

# Metabolism and Disposition of Siponimod, a Novel Selective S1P<sub>1</sub>/S1P<sub>5</sub> Agonist, in Healthy Volunteers and In Vitro Identification of Human Cytochrome P450 Enzymes Involved in Its Oxidative Metabolism<sup>□</sup>

Ulrike Glaenzel, Yi Jin, Robert Nufer, Wenkui Li, Kirsten Schroer, Sylvie Adam-Stitah, Sjoerd Peter van Marle, Eric Legangneux, Hubert Borell, Alexander D. James, Axel Meissner, Gian Camenisch, and Anne Gardin

PK-Sciences, Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland (U.G., Y.J., R.N., W.L., K.S., S.A.-S., E.L., H.B., A.D.J., A.M., G.C., A.G.), and PRA Health Sciences, Raleigh, North Carolina (S.P.M.)

Received November 20, 2017; accepted April 20, 2018

## ABSTRACT

Siponimod, a next-generation selective sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor modulator, is currently being investigated for the treatment of secondary progressive multiple sclerosis. We investigated the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) of a single 10-mg oral dose of [<sup>14</sup>C]siponimod in four healthy men. Mass balance, blood and plasma radioactivity, and plasma siponimod concentrations were measured. Metabolite profiles were determined in plasma, urine, and feces. Metabolite structures were elucidated using mass spectrometry and comparison with reference compounds. Unchanged siponimod accounted for 57% of the total plasma radioactivity (area under the concentration–time curve), indicating substantial exposure to metabolites. Siponimod showed medium to slow absorption (median  $T_{max}$ : 4 hours) and moderate distribution ( $V_z/F$ : 291 l). Siponimod was mainly cleared through biotransformation, predominantly by oxidative metabolism. The

mean apparent elimination half-life of siponimod in plasma was 56.6 hours. Siponimod was excreted mostly in feces in the form of oxidative metabolites. The excretion of radioactivity was close to complete after 13 days. Based on the metabolite patterns, a phase II metabolite (M3) formed by glucuronidation of hydroxylated siponimod was the main circulating metabolite in plasma. However, in subsequent mouse ADME and clinical pharmacokinetic studies, a long-lived nonpolar metabolite (M17, cholesterol ester of siponimod) was identified as the most prominent systemic metabolite. We further conducted in vitro experiments to investigate the enzymes responsible for the oxidative metabolism of siponimod. The selective inhibitor and recombinant enzyme results identified cytochrome P450 2C9 (CYP2C9) as the predominant contributor to the human liver microsomal biotransformation of siponimod, with minor contributions from CYP3A4 and other cytochrome P450 enzymes.

## Introduction

Siponimod (BAF312; Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland), a next-generation sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) receptor modulator, is currently being evaluated for the treatment of secondary progressive multiple sclerosis (SPMS). S1P signaling pathways play a role in multiple sclerosis pathophysiology, and the therapeutic potential of S1P receptor modulation in multiple sclerosis treatment has been demonstrated using fingolimod (Gilenya), a functional antagonist of S1P<sub>1</sub> receptors (Brinkmann et al., 2002; Baumruker et al., 2007; Cohen et al., 2010; Kappos et al., 2010), and siponimod (Selmaj et al., 2013; Kappos et al., 2016, 2018).

Sphingosine-1-phosphate receptors are widely expressed in the body, including in lymphocytes and neural cells such as oligodendrocytes and astrocytes (Brinkmann, 2007). Siponimod has shown nanomolar affinity for S1P<sub>1</sub>/S1P<sub>5</sub> receptors, inducing a profound and long-lasting internalization of S1P<sub>1</sub> receptors (Gergely et al., 2012). The internalization of S1P<sub>1</sub> receptors renders lymphocytes unresponsive to S1P, depriving them of an obligatory signal to egress from the lymph nodes and recirculate into the central nervous system (CNS), subsequently reducing neuroinflammation (Matloubian et al., 2004). Compared with the first-generation S1P receptor modulators, siponimod does not require phosphorylation in vivo.

Evidence from preclinical models has demonstrated that siponimod readily crosses the blood–brain barrier to enter the CNS. Thus, siponimod may have a direct neurobiologic effect in the CNS, independent from its effects on peripheral lymphocytes, through

This study was funded by Novartis Pharma AG, Basel Switzerland.

<https://doi.org/10.1124/dmd.117.079574>.

□ This article has supplemental material available at [dmd.aspetjournals.org](http://dmd.aspetjournals.org).

**ABBREVIATIONS:** ADME, absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion; AE, adverse event; AUC, area under the concentration–time curve; CL, systemic clearance; CL<sub>int</sub>, intrinsic clearance; CNS, central nervous system; CYP, cytochrome P450 enzyme; DETC, diethyldithiocarbamate; F, bioavailability; HLM, human liver microsomes; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; ICH, International Conference on Harmonization; LC-MS, liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry; LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectroscopy; LOQ, limit of quantitation; LSC, liquid scintillation counting; MP, mobile phase; P450, cytochrome P450; S1P, sphingosine-1-phosphate; SPMS, secondary progressive multiple sclerosis;  $T_{max}$ , time to reach maximum radioactivity; UPLC, ultraperformance liquid chromatography;  $V_z$ , apparent volume of distribution during the terminal phase.

selective modulation of S1P<sub>1</sub> on astrocytes and S1P<sub>5</sub> on oligodendrocytes (Tavares et al., 2014). Moreover, in preclinical multiple sclerosis models, siponimod was shown to reverse chronic neurologic paralysis and reduce inflammation and demyelination (Nuesslein-Hildesheim et al., 2009; Choi et al., 2011; Gergely et al., 2012; Brana et al., 2014).

Single-dose and multiple-dose studies of siponimod in healthy volunteers have established 25 mg as the maximum tolerated single dose, with an acceptable safety and tolerability profile at dose levels ranging from 0.3 mg to 20 mg administered daily for 28 days (Novartis data on file). In an adaptive, dose-ranging, phase 2 study, siponimod treatment reduced the combined unique active MRI lesions up to 80% versus placebo in relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis patients. The MRI dose–response curve indicated near-maximal efficacy at 2 mg (Selmaj et al., 2013). Subsequently, the siponimod 2-mg dose was selected for further development based on the favorable benefit-risk profile. In a recently concluded phase 3 study in patients with SPMS, siponimod (2 mg once daily administration with an initial 6-day dose titration) significantly reduced the risk of confirmed disability progression in an advancing SPMS population.

The detection and identification of all main metabolites in a human absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) study is essential to plan future studies in case of quantitative and/or qualitative differences in drug metabolism between the animals used in nonclinical safety assessments and humans. As per the M3 (R2) International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidance, if a metabolite present in human plasma exceeds a defined threshold (10% of the total drug-related material), an equal or greater steady-state exposure to the metabolite should also be seen in at least one toxicology species to avoid any further metabolite testing ([http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public\\_Web\\_Site/ICH\\_Products/Guidelines/Multidisciplinary/M3\\_R2/Step4/M3\\_R2\\_Guideline.pdf](http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Multidisciplinary/M3_R2/Step4/M3_R2_Guideline.pdf)).

Our current study evaluated the ADME of a single 10-mg oral dose of [<sup>14</sup>C]siponimod in healthy men. The study results also provided data that could be used to estimate the main elimination pathways. In general, enzymes involved in metabolic pathways estimated to contribute to ≥25% of drug elimination should be identified if possible and their in vivo contribution should be quantified ([http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en\\_GB/document\\_library/Scientific\\_guideline/2012/07/WC500129606.pdf](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2012/07/WC500129606.pdf)). The primary objectives of our study were to: 1) determine the pharmacokinetics of total radioactivity of [<sup>14</sup>C]siponimod and of any important metabolites in the plasma; 2) identify and quantify siponimod and the metabolites of siponimod in plasma, urine, and feces after a single 10-mg oral dose of [<sup>14</sup>C]siponimod; 3) determine the rate and routes of excretion and the mass balance of total radioactivity in urine and feces; 4) evaluate the absorption of unchanged drug and total radioactivity from available urinary and fecal excretion data; and 5) elucidate the key biotransformation pathways and clearance mechanisms of siponimod in humans. Furthermore, in vitro experiments were conducted to investigate the major enzymes involved in the oxidative metabolism of siponimod. A brief description of the cholesterol ester conjugate or M17 metabolite, a rare metabolite first identified as a major component in the mouse ADME study with its presence later confirmed in humans in an absolute bioavailability study, is also provided.

## Materials and Methods

### Study Drug

The radiolabeled drug [<sup>14</sup>C]siponimod hemifumarate (cocrystal) was synthesized by the Isotope Laboratory of Novartis, Basel, Switzerland. The final drug product was analyzed by the Isotope Laboratory and Pharmaceutical and Analytical Development department of Novartis and was released for human




Fig. 1. Chemical structure of [<sup>14</sup>C]siponimod.

use, according to predefined specifications. The chemical and radiochemical purity of the drug was ≥99.4% with individual impurities accounting for ≤0.2%. Hence, no impurity is expected to confound the metabolite investigations. The nominal specific radioactivity of [<sup>14</sup>C]siponimod was 0.37 megabecquerel (MBq) per milligram, referring to free base. The chemical structure of the compound and the radiolabel are shown in Fig. 1.

### Chemicals and Standards

Unlabeled siponimod (reference standard), [D<sub>11</sub>]siponimod (internal standard), and authentic standards of the metabolites M1 to M8 as well as M17 were synthesized at Novartis Pharma. All other chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade and were obtained from commercial sources.

### Study Design and Subjects

This single-center, open-label, single oral dose ADME study enrolled four healthy, cytochrome P450 (P450) 2C9 enzyme (CYP2C9) wild-type (CYP2C9\*1/\*1), nonsmoking, male Caucasian volunteers who were determined to be in good health according to their past medical history, physical examination, vital signs, ECG, laboratory tests, and urine analysis. Subjects with relevant radiation exposure of greater than 0.2 millisievert within 12 months before the initiation of the study were excluded. The subjects were exposed to a radiation dose of 1.4 millisievert maximally, which was calculated according to the guidelines of the International Commission on Radiologic Protection. The clinical part of the study was performed at PRA International, Zuidlaren, the Netherlands, in accordance with the Good Clinical Practice guidelines and the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and subsequent revisions. The study protocol and dosimetry calculations were reviewed by the independent ethics committee for the center, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before entering the study.

### Dosage Administration

After an overnight fast of at least 10 hours, each subject received a single 10-mg oral dose of [<sup>14</sup>C]siponimod as 10-mg free base (11.12 mg hemifumarate) in a solution containing a radioactive dose of 3.7 MBq. The established solid dosage form of siponimod could not be manufactured with the [<sup>14</sup>C]-radiolabeled drug substance because of its radiochemical instability. The radiolabeled drug was stable in ethanol solution frozen below –60°C. The stability was ascertained from the period of manufacturing to the dose administration. The concentrate for oral solution in ethanol 94% (wt./wt.) was diluted with water (1:1, v/v) before administration to obtain 4 ml of a 2.5 mg/ml drinking solution. After dose administration, the solution container was rinsed twice with a mixture of 2 ml of ethanol (94%, wt./wt.) and 2 ml of water, which was swallowed by the subjects.

### Safety Assessments

The safety analysis included the monitoring and recording of all adverse events (AEs), laboratory tests (hematology, blood chemistry, and urinalysis), vital signs, ECG, cardiac monitoring (telemetry), and physical examination.

### Sample Collection and Aliquoting

A total of 22 pharmacokinetic blood samples were collected before dose administration\* and at 1, 2\*, 4\*, 6\*, 8, 12, 16\*, 24, 36\*, 48, 72\*, 96, 120\*, 144, 168\*, 192, 216, 240\*, 312, 480, and 816 hours after dose administration into ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-containing vacuum tubes by direct venipuncture or by an indwelling cannula inserted in a forearm vein (blood

volume collected: 31 ml at specific time points [\*]; 11 ml at all others). Three weighed aliquots of 0.5 ml were removed for radioactivity determination.

The remaining blood was centrifuged at 4°C to obtain plasma. From the total plasma, three weighed aliquots of 0.25 ml each were removed for radioactivity determination, and one aliquot of 0.5 ml was reserved for the analysis of siponimod.

After aliquoting for the different assays, the blood and plasma samples were immediately frozen and stored at  $\leq -60^{\circ}\text{C}$  until analysis. For each subject, predose blank urine was collected on day -1. After the radiolabeled dose, all urine samples were collected in time fractions of 0–6, 6–12, and 12–24 hours and thereafter in 24-hour fractions up to 816 hours. The subjects were asked to collect their urine at home and bring the sample to the study center on days 14, 21, and 35.

Siponimod showed a high adsorption to glass and plastic surfaces in tests with blank urine. Therefore, after each voiding, acetonitrile was added to the initial collection container such that a final concentration of 25% acetonitrile (v/v) was obtained. This provided quantitative recovery of siponimod from all sample containers used in the study. The urine samples were frozen and stored at  $\leq -60^{\circ}\text{C}$  until analysis.

For each subject, a predose blank feces sample was collected on day -1 or -2. After the radiolabeled dose, all feces samples were collected completely during the postdose sample collection period of 816 hours. The subjects were asked to collect their feces at home and bring the sample to the study center on days 14, 21, and 35. The feces samples were quantitatively transferred into a container per subject and per 24-hour interval. A minimum amount of water (1 to 2 weight equivalents) was added. The samples were homogenized using an Ultra Turrax mixer by mixing for at least 2 minutes. After homogenization, one 10-g aliquot was used for determination of the total [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]-radioactivity, and one 50-g aliquot and one 200-g aliquot were used for metabolite profiling. All samples and the remaining homogenates were stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

The radiometry samples were analyzed at the bioanalytical laboratories of PRA International Early Development Services (Assen, the Netherlands). Metabolism samples were analyzed at Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research (Basel, Switzerland).

### Pharmacokinetic Evaluations

Pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated via noncompartmental methods using WinNonlin software, version 5.2 (Pharsight, Mountain View, CA). The fraction of radioactivity associated with the plasma (Fp) was calculated from blood (Cb) and plasma (Cp) concentrations of radioactivity and from the hematocrit value (H) as follows:  $\text{Fp}(\%) = (\text{Cp}/\text{Cb}) \times (1 - \text{H}) \times 100$ .

### Total Radioactivity Measurement

Radioactivity in blood, plasma, urine, and feces samples was measured using liquid scintillation counting (LSC), with a typical counting time of 10 minutes. Low levels in blood and plasma were counted for 60 minutes. LSC was performed using a LSC model Tri-Carb 3100 TR (Perkin Elmer, Groningen, The Netherlands) equipped with a low-level counting mode using an external standard ratio method for quench correction. Blood samples were analyzed in triplicate (500  $\mu\text{l}$  each, weighed), plasma samples in triplicate (250  $\mu\text{l}$  each, weighed), urine samples in duplicate (1000  $\mu\text{l}$  each), and feces samples in quadruplicate (0.5 g each). Radioactivity in blood samples was measured after solubilization. Radioactivity in plasma and urine samples was measured directly after the addition of LSC cocktail, whereas radioactivity in feces samples was measured after combustion.

With sample counting times of 10 or 60 minutes, the limit of quantification (LOQ) for blood and plasma samples were 25 and 7.5 dpm, corresponding to 2.31 and 1.39 ng-eq/ml (or grams), respectively. For urine and feces samples with counting times of 10 minutes, the LOQs were 10 and 20 dpm, corresponding to 0.46 and 1.85 ng-eq/ml (or grams), respectively.

### Determination of Siponimod Concentrations in Plasma

The plasma concentration of siponimod was determined by a validated liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometric (LC-MS/MS) method. Aliquots of 50  $\mu\text{l}$  plasma (calibration standards, quality controls, study samples, and blanks), 25  $\mu\text{l}$  of the internal standard working solution (2.00 ng/ml of [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]-siponimod in 50% aqueous methanol [v/v]) or 25  $\mu\text{l}$  of 50% aqueous methanol (v/v), 25  $\mu\text{l}$  of 40% formic acid in water (v/v) and 350  $\mu\text{l}$  of

acetonitrile/ethanol/acetic acid (90/10/0.5; v/v/v) were added to the corresponding wells of a 2-ml 96-well assay plate. This was followed by vortex-mixing for approximately 15 minutes on a pulse vortex mixer with a motor speed setting of 60 units. The samples were then centrifuged at 3800 rpm (2700g) for 10 minutes at  $10^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The supernatant (200  $\mu\text{l}$ ) was transferred to a clean 1-ml 96-well assay plate, evaporated to dryness under a stream of nitrogen at  $45^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and reconstituted with 200  $\mu\text{l}$  of 70% aqueous acetonitrile. The reconstituted sample extract was vortex-mixed briefly, which was followed by centrifugation at 3500 rpm (2300g) for 5 minutes at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Thereafter, 10  $\mu\text{l}$  of the reconstituted sample extract was injected onto the LC-MS/MS system for analysis.

The LC-MS/MS system consisted of Shimadzu LC-20AD pumps, a CBM-20A controller, a CTO-20A column oven, a SIL-20A autosampler (with rack changer) and a DGU-20A5 online degasser (Shimadzu, Columbia, MD) with an ACE5 C<sub>18</sub> column (50  $\times$  4.6 mm, 5  $\mu\text{m}$  particle size; MAC-MOD Analytical, Chadds Ford, PA) and a AB Sciex API4000 tandem mass spectrometer (AB Sciex, Concord, ON, Canada). Chromatographic elution of the analyte and internal standard was performed using 30% water containing 0.5% formic acid (mobile phase [MP] A) and 70% acetonitrile containing 0.5% formic acid (MP B) at a flow rate of 1.00 ml/min. The eluents were directed to the electrospray ionization source of the MS system between approximately 1.0 and 2.5 minutes. The following MS transitions were monitored: *m/z* 517.2–416.3 for siponimod and *m/z* 528.5–427.3 for the internal standard. The lower limit of quantification was 0.250 ng/ml using a 50  $\mu\text{l}$  sample volume.

### Determination of Metabolite Profiles in Plasma and Excreta

For metabolite profiling in plasma, the individual samples of subjects taken at 2, 4, 16, 36, 72, and 120 hours after the siponimod dose were analyzed. Each plasma sample was extracted 4 times with methanol and up to 2 times with acetonitrile to yield extraction recoveries of  $\geq 90\%$ . The extracts were combined and evaporated to dryness. The residues were reconstituted sequentially in four different solvents of decreasing polarity.

The first reconstitution was performed with acetonitrile-water (25:75, v/v). After sonication and centrifugation, the supernatant (extract A) was removed, and the pellet was resuspended by sonication in the same solvent mixture of acetonitrile–water (25:75, v/v). After centrifugation, the supernatant (extract B) was removed, and the remaining pellet was reconstituted two more times in the same way using acetonitrile–water (50:50, v/v) (extract C) or acetonitrile (extract D).

Extracts A to D were analyzed together in one high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) run after they were injected sequentially into the column in the order D–C–B–A. Using this procedure, both polar and nonpolar components were dissolved and concentrated on the head of the HPLC column before the start of the elution. The recovery of radioactivity from the sample was close to complete ( $\sim 90\%$ ). However, for the plasma samples taken at 120 hours after dose administration and containing very low amounts of radioactivity, recoveries of 70%–76% were obtained.

Urine samples from each subject were pooled across the collection period of 0–192 hours. An aliquot of each urine pool (15 ml) was evaporated to approximately 350  $\mu\text{l}$  and mixed with 50  $\mu\text{l}$  of acetonitrile. After centrifugation, the supernatant was analyzed using HPLC.

Feces samples from each subject were pooled across the collection period of 0–192 hours. An aliquot of the feces homogenate pool (5 ml) was extracted twice with methanol by sonication and centrifugation. The combined methanol extract of the feces homogenate (100  $\mu\text{l}$ ) was mixed with 400  $\mu\text{l}$  of solvent A (ammonium acetate 25 mM; pH 5.4) and injected for HPLC analysis.

The recovery of radioactivity in the excreta was complete ( $\sim 100\%$ ). The stability of the siponimod and its metabolites in the biologic samples was demonstrated by repeated extractions and analyses during the study. The stability of siponimod during the sample preparation and HPLC analysis was investigated using blank plasma, urine, and feces spiked with [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]-siponimod. No degradations were observed except for the formation of minor amounts of P73.0.

**HPLC Instrumentation for Metabolite Pattern Analysis (Radio-HPLC).** The chromatography was performed on an Agilent 1100 (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) liquid chromatograph equipped with a binary capillary pump, a degasser, and a ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy (UV/VIS) diode array detector with a 13- $\mu\text{l}$  flow cell. The software used was Agilent ChemStation for LC 3D, Rev. B.03.02. The components were separated at  $40^{\circ}\text{C}$  on a NUCLEOSIL

100-5 C<sub>18</sub> Nautilus analytical column (4.6 × 250 mm; Macherey-Nagel, Oensingen, Switzerland) protected by a 8.0 × 4.0 mm guard column of the same stationary phase. Volumes up to 500 μl were injected using an HTS PAL autosampler (CTC, Zwingen, Switzerland). Elution was performed with a gradient of ammonium acetate 25 mM in water (pH 5.4; MP A) and acetonitrile (MP B) at a flow rate of 1.00 ml/min (gradient: 0–5 minutes, 5%, MP B; 5–20 minutes, 5%–28%, MP B; 20–65 minutes, 28%–70%, MP B; 65–70 minutes, 70%–100%, MP B; 70–75 minutes, 100%, MP B).

For hydrogen/deuterium exchange experiments the water in MP A was replaced by deuterium oxide. The HPLC effluent was directed into the electrospray liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry (LC-MS) interface with the greater portion directed to UV detection followed by online or offline radioactivity detection.

For online radioactivity detection, the effluent was mixed with Rialuma liquid scintillation mixture (Lumac, Groningen, the Netherlands) at a flow rate of 3.00 ml/min. Radioactivity was detected in an HPLC radioactivity monitor equipped with a flow cell (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany).

For offline radioactivity detection, the effluent was collected in 0.13- or 0.20-minute fractions in 96-well LumaPlates (Perkin Elmer). The fractions were evaporated to dryness and the radioactivity was counted in a TopCount NXT microplate scintillation counter (Packard Instruments, Meriden, CT).

The amount of siponimod and its metabolites in plasma and excreta was estimated from the radiochromatograms based on the relative peak areas and the concentration/amount of radioactivity in the original biologic samples, reduced by the losses during sample processing and chromatography. The recovery of radioactivity after HPLC was determined for a selected sample of each biologic matrix and was found to be complete (~100%).

For the separation of the three positional isomers—M4a, M4b, and M4c—an ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system (Waters Corporation, Manchester, United Kingdom) was used. Offline radioactivity detection was performed using the Gilson GX-271 Liquid Handler controlled by Trilution LC software version 2.1. The components were separated on an Acquity UPLC HSS T3 1.8 μm analytical column (2.1 × 150 mm; Waters Corporation) protected by a 2.1 × 5.0 mm guard column of the same stationary phase and thermostat at 40°C. Elution was performed with a gradient of 25 mM ammonium acetate (pH 5.4; MP A) and acetonitrile (MP B) at a flow rate of 0.50 ml/min (gradient: 0–1 minutes, 5%, MP B; 1–8 minutes, 5%–30%, MP B; 8–21 minutes, 30%–50%, MP B; 21–22 minutes, 50%–100%, MP B; 22–27 minutes, 100%, MP B). Radioactivity detection was performed by offline solid scintillation counting as described before.

### Structural Characterization of Metabolites

The structures of the polar siponimod-related components were characterized by LC-MS, LC-MS/MS, and comparison with the available authentic reference standards M1, M2, M3, M4a, M4b, M4c, M5, M6, M7, and M8 (see the Supplemental Materials).

In brief, the metabolites were analyzed in plasma, urine, and feces by HPLC using sample preparation procedures similar to those described previously for the metabolite profiling and the same HPLC or UPLC methods. For LC-MS and LC-MS/MS analysis after the chromatography, the effluent was split into a ratio of approximately 1:6. The smaller amount was directed into the electrospray LC-MS interface, while the larger part was used for UV detection followed by online or offline radioactivity detection as described earlier. The LC-MS and LC-MS/MS analyses were performed on a quadrupole time of flight Premier or Synapt G2-Si mass spectrometer (Waters Corporation) using electrospray ionization in the positive ion mode.

To determine whether the phase II metabolite M3 is an acyl glucuronide, we investigated its stability in alkaline solution. For this purpose, the metabolite was isolated from urine by preparative HPLC. One aliquot was mixed with 300 μl of phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) and 0.52 mg of β-glucuronidase (*Escherichia coli*; Sigma G-7396, 125 kU/76 mg). A second aliquot was mixed with 300 μl of borax buffer at pH 10.0 (Fluka 73419, 30 mM Na<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, 42 mM NaOH). Control incubation was performed with a third aliquot, which was mixed with 300 μl phosphate buffer (Fluka 73173, 28 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 41 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>) adjusted at a pH of 6.8 with phosphoric acid. The three samples were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, followed by HPLC analysis. The identification of the chemical structure was further supported by enzymatic hydrolysis experiments.

### Metabolite M17

Experimental data supporting the identification and structural characterization of the nonpolar metabolite M17 in mouse and human studies are provided in the Supplemental Materials.

### In Vitro Experiments

**Human Liver Microsomes and Recombinant Human P450 Enzymes.** A pool of liver microsomes prepared from 47 individual donors was obtained from BD Biosciences (cat. no. 452161, lot 26; Woburn, MA).

Microsomes prepared from baculovirus-infected insect cells (BTI-TN-5B1-4) expressing human P450 enzymes and insect cell membrane preparations (negative control) were obtained from BD Biosciences.

**Incubation of [<sup>14</sup>C]Siponimod with Human Liver Microsomes in the Presence of Chemical Inhibitors.** Biotransformation of siponimod was investigated at 5 μM in the presence of eight individual chemical inhibitors at two concentrations: 2 and 10 μM for furafylline (CYP1A2 inhibitor), quercetin (CYP2C8 inhibitor), sulfaphenazole (CYP2C9 inhibitor), and tranlycypromine (CYP2C19 inhibitor); 0.1 and 1 μM for quinidine (CYP2D6 inhibitor) and ketoconazole (CYP3A and CYP4F2 inhibitor) (Kovarik et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2011); 5 and 20 μM for triethylenethiophosphoramidate (CYP2B6 inhibitor); and 5 and 30 μM for diethyldithiocarbamate (DETC; CYP2E1 inhibitor).

**Incubation of [<sup>14</sup>C]Siponimod with Human Liver Microsomes and Recombinant Enzymes.** The incubation of human liver microsomes (HLMs) and recombinant P450 enzymes (CYP) was performed in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, at 37°C. Typically, the final incubation volume was 200 μl. After addition of an appropriate volume of siponimod stock solution, the reaction was started by the addition of 20 μl of fresh 10 mM NADPH (1 mM) buffer. The enzyme kinetics were determined by incubating pooled HLMs (0.1 mg/ml) with 15 substrate concentrations ranging from 1 to 300 μM for 90 minutes.

Enzyme mapping experiments were performed by incubating 30 pmol of CYP/ml for 30 minutes at 37°C using 10 and 40 μM substrate concentrations. CYP3A4 enzyme kinetic experiments were performed with 50 pmol/ml (0.345 mg protein/ml) for 30 minutes at 37°C using 13 substrate concentrations ranging from 5 to 300 μM. CYP2C9 enzyme kinetic experiments were performed with 50 pmol/ml (0.12 mg protein/ml) for 60 minutes at 37°C using 13 substrate concentrations ranging from 5 to 300 μM.

Reactions were terminated by the addition of equal volumes (200 or 400 μl) of ice-cooled acetonitrile containing 0.5% formic acid (v/v). After 30 minutes at –80°C (or overnight at –20°C), the samples were centrifuged at 30,000g for 15 minutes to remove protein. Aliquots of the supernatants were diluted with water to a final concentration containing less than 30% of acetonitrile and were analyzed by HPLC with radiodetection.

**HPLC with Radiodetection for In Vitro Experiments.** Liquid chromatography was performed on an Agilent 1100 liquid chromatograph. Components were separated on a NUCLEOSIL 100 C<sub>18</sub> Nautilus analytical column (4.0 × 250 mm; Macherey-Nagel, Germany) protected by an 8.0 × 4.0 mm guard column of the same stationary phase and thermostat at 40°C. Elution was performed with a gradient of 0.5% formic acid and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in water (MP A), and 0.5% formic acid and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in acetonitrile (MP B) at a flow rate of 1.00 ml/min.

For online radioactivity detection, the effluent was mixed with Rialuma liquid scintillation mixture (Lumac) at a flow rate of 3.00 ml/min. Radioactivity was detected in a HPLC radioactivity monitor equipped with a 0.5 ml flow cell (Berthold Technologies).

**Data Analysis.** Enzyme kinetic parameters,  $V_{max}$  and  $K_m$ , for the biotransformation by HLMs and major metabolizing enzymes were calculated using SigmaPlot 8.0 (Enzyme Kinetics module version 1.1; SPSS Science, Chicago, IL). The parameters  $V_{max}$  and  $K_m$  were determined using the Michaelis-Menten or substrate inhibition model. Intrinsic clearance ( $CL_{int}$ ) was calculated as  $V_{max}/K_m$ .

## Results

### Demographic, Safety, and Tolerability Data

All four enrolled men completed the study. The mean age of the study participants was 35.8 years (range: 18–54 years). Their height

(mean  $\pm$  S.D.) was 183.0  $\pm$  6.5 cm (range: 176–189 cm), and weight (mean  $\pm$  S.D.) was 77.5  $\pm$  8.1 kg (range: 67.2–85.5 kg).

Overall, siponimod was well tolerated without any serious AE or discontinuation due to AEs. The safety profile was consistent with the safety profile of a similar dose level in previous clinical studies with siponimod in healthy subjects (Selmaj et al., 2013; Kappos et al., 2016, 2018). The most commonly reported AEs were headache, somnolence, and asthenia. The majority of the observed AEs were mild to moderate in severity. No clinically significant abnormalities in hematology parameters, biochemistry parameters, or urinalysis were reported for any of the four men. The 24-hour cardiac monitoring revealed intermittent bradycardia in all participants. Intermittent bradycardia and one episode of second-degree atrioventricular block were reported but were clinically well tolerated. In addition, one episode of Wenckebach-type atrioventricular block was reported, starting approximately 2 hours after dosing and lasting intermittently up to 24 hours after dosing. However, these cardiovascular events were considered clinically insignificant by the investigator.

### Plasma Concentrations of Total Radioactivity and Siponimod

After oral administration of 10 mg of [ $^{14}$ C]siponimod, the peak plasma level ( $C_{\max}$ ) of siponimod and total radiolabeled components (radioactivity) in plasma was reached at 4 and 6 hours, respectively. The concentration–time profiles of siponimod were in agreement with the first human single ascending dose study with a time to reach maximum radioactivity ( $T_{\max}$ ) of 3–6 hours after dose administration (Novartis data on file), and with the ascending multiple dose study with a  $T_{\max}$  of 2–8 hours (Gergely et al., 2012). The apparent systemic clearance (CL/F) of siponimod was low (mean CL/F = 4.0 l/h). The apparent elimination  $t_{1/2}$  was 56.6 hours. The pharmacokinetic parameters of the [ $^{14}$ C]-radioactivity and siponimod in plasma showed moderate interindividual variability. The average pharmacokinetic parameters are presented in Table 1.

Siponimod was moderately distributed within the human body ( $V_z/F = 291 \pm 60$  l), with siponimod or its metabolites mainly confined within the plasma compartment. The mean blood/plasma area under the concentration–time curve (AUC) ratio of radioactivity was approximately 0.67, indicating no special affinity of siponimod or its metabolites to blood cells.

Radioactivity in plasma was detected up to 312–480 hours and was subsequently below the LOQ. Unchanged siponimod was detected in

plasma for 216–480 hours after dose administration (Fig. 2). Approximately 38% of the plasma area under the concentration–time curve from 0 to infinity ( $AUC_{0-\infty}$ ) radioactivity was due to siponimod, indicating substantial exposure to metabolites. The estimated terminal half-life ( $t_{1/2}$ ) of siponimod and total radioactivity in plasma was 56.6 and 171.0 hours, respectively.

### Structural Characterization of Metabolites

The structural analysis of the metabolites in plasma and excreta was performed by LC/MS or LC-MS/MS analysis with radioactivity detection for peak correlation with mass spectral data. The metabolite structures were derived from their product ion mass spectra and hydrogen/deuterium exchange experiments, and where possible are supported by comparison of mass spectral data and retention times with chemically and enzymatically synthesized reference standards (see the Supplemental Materials).

Data from the LC-MS and LC-MS/MS analyses of plasma, urine, and feces extracts are summarized in Table 2. The full scan mass spectrum of siponimod using source-induced dissociation (Fig. 3A) showed the protonated intact molecule  $[M+H]^+$  ( $m/z$  517) and a key fragment ion A ( $m/z$  416), resulting from the neutral loss of an acetidine-3-carboxylic acid group. Fragment ions analogous to the key fragment ion A of the parent compound in the mass spectra of the metabolites allowed the assignment of the biotransformation reactions to the substructures of the metabolites (Table 2).

The chemical structure of the metabolite M3 was further supported by alkaline and enzymatic hydrolysis. The radiochromatogram of the aliquot incubated with  $\beta$ -glucuronidase (*E. coli*) showed the complete disappearance of M3 and an increase of M5. The radiochromatograms of the metabolite aliquots incubated with borax buffer (pH 10.0) and phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) were stable, confirming M3 as an *O*-glucuronide of the hydroxylated metabolite M5 but not as an acyl glucuronide.

To further support the chemical structure elucidation, enzymatic synthesis methods were applied to generate metabolite standards. The hydroxylated metabolites M5, M6, and M7 were synthesized from siponimod. Then, in a next enzymatic synthesis step, glucuronic acid or sulfuric acid was introduced and formed the metabolites M3, M4a, M4b, and M4c. The mass spectral and NMR data of the synthesis products (Supplemental Fig. 3) and the comparison with the retention time and

TABLE 1  
Pharmacokinetic parameters of total radioactivity and siponimod in blood and plasma

Values are shown as mean  $\pm$  S.D. (N = 4) with the exception of  $t_{\max}$  values, which are presented as median (range).

Parameter	Blood Radioactivity	Plasma Radioactivity	Plasma Siponimod
$T_{\max}$ (h) (median, range)	6 (All)	6 (All)	4 (4–6)
$C_{\max}$ (ng/ml) <sup>a</sup>	86.6 $\pm$ 16.4	131 $\pm$ 24.5	80.4 $\pm$ 19.6
$C_{\text{last}}$ (ng/ml)	2.83 $\pm$ 0.272	2.65 $\pm$ 1.154	0.35 $\pm$ 0.03
$AUC_{\text{last}}$ (h*ng/ml) <sup>b,c</sup>	4899 $\pm$ 2439	7646 $\pm$ 3592	3196 $\pm$ 1897
$AUC_{\text{last}}$ (% of [ $^{14}$ C]plasma)	63.6 $\pm$ 1.68	NA	40.5 $\pm$ 5.1
$t_{1/2}$ (h)	156 $\pm$ 44.7	171 $\pm$ 28.6	56.6 $\pm$ 19.7
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ <sup>d</sup> (h*ng/ml) <sup>b,c</sup>	5526 $\pm$ 2463	8272 $\pm$ 3684	3226 $\pm$ 1909
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (% of [ $^{14}$ C]plasma)	66.7 $\pm$ 2.8	NA	37.5 $\pm$ 4.84
$AUC_{\% \text{extrap}}$ (%)	12.5 $\pm$ 4.32	8.26 $\pm$ 3.37	0.952 $\pm$ 0.157
$V_z/F$ (l)	NA	NA	291 $\pm$ 59.8
CL/F (l/h)	NA	NA	3.97 $\pm$ 1.57

$AUC_{\text{last}}$ , area under the concentration–time curve from 0 to last measurement;  $AUC_{0-\infty}$ , area under the concentration–time curve from 0 to infinity; CL/F, apparent systemic clearance;  $C_{\max}$ , maximum concentration; NA, not applicable;  $T_{\max}$ , time to reach maximum concentration;  $V_z/F$ , apparent distribution volume. (One gram of plasma or blood was taken as 1 mL.)


<sup>a</sup> Value is ng-eq/ml for radioactivity.

<sup>b</sup> Value is ng-eq/h/ml for radioactivity.

<sup>c</sup>  $AUC_{\text{last}}$  was calculated using the linear trapezoidal rule. The typical time interval was 192–312 hours for blood radioactivity, 240–482 hours for plasma radioactivity, and 144–216 hours for plasma siponimod.

<sup>d</sup>  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  was calculated as  $AUC_{\text{last}} + AUC_{t-\infty}$ , where  $AUC_{t-\infty} = C_{\text{last}} \times t_{1/2}/\ln(2)$ .





**Fig. 2.** Time profiles of total radioactivity and siponimod in plasma of four healthy men treated with a single 10-mg oral dose of [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]siponimod (mean  $\pm$  S.D.,  $N = 4$ ; semilogarithmic scale).

mass spectral data of the metabolite data revealed hydroxylation of the cyclohexyl ring and glucuronidation or sulfation of the respective hydroxyl groups.

Furthermore, the compound P73.0, which was only observed in plasma extracts after extensive sample preparation with methanol, was structurally characterized by LC-MS/MS. P73.0 is likely a degradation product of siponimod formed at low levels by methyl-esterification of the carboxyl group during sample processing. The descriptions of the chemical or biochemical synthesis of the reference compounds and characterization by mass spectrometry and NMR spectroscopy are provided in the Supplemental Materials.

The proposed biotransformation pathways and partial or complete structures of the metabolites are given in Fig. 4.

### Excretion and Mass Balance of Radioactivity in Urine and Feces

The [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ] radioactivity was mainly excreted in feces (Fig. 5). The mean total recovery of radioactivity in excreta over 9 days (216 hours after post administration) was  $87.7\% \pm 3.7\%$  of the dose:  $84.1\% \pm 3.5\%$  in feces and  $3.6\% \pm 0.4\%$  in urine. By day 13 (312 hours after dose administration), the recovery of radioactivity was close to complete with a moderate interindividual variability (mean  $90.4\% \pm 2.7\%$  of the dose:  $86.7\% \pm 2.5\%$  in feces and  $3.7\% \pm 0.3\%$  in urine).

### Metabolite Profiles in Plasma, Urine, and Feces

Metabolite profiles in plasma were investigated up to 120 hours after dose administration for each participant individually. The quantitative data on siponimod and its metabolites in plasma are given in Table 3. A representative plasma metabolite profile of a subject at 6 hours ( $T_{\text{max}}$ ) is depicted in Fig. 6A. In plasma, the parent compound siponimod represented the main proportion of radioactivity ( $57.1\% \pm 5.9\%$  of the plasma  $\text{AUC}_{0-120\text{h}}$ ). In addition, the metabolite M3 accounted for  $18.4\% \pm 5.0\%$  of the plasma  $\text{AUC}_{0-120\text{h}}$  or  $27.6\%$  of the parent drug  $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ . Minor proportions of other metabolite peaks were detected and attributed to the metabolites M5, M6, M7, P29.6, and P30.5, each accounting on average for  $1.5\% - 3.7\%$  of the plasma  $\text{AUC}_{0-120\text{h}}$ . Overall, more than 90% of the detected radioactive components could be covered by the parent drug and structurally characterized metabolites.

Metabolite profiles in the urine and feces pools for 0–192 hours were analyzed for each of the four men individually. Quantitative data on siponimod and metabolites in excreta are provided in Table 4. Figure 6, B and C, shows representative radiochromatograms. Only  $3.6\% \pm 0.4\%$  of the administered radioactivity was excreted in urine within 192 hours. Unchanged siponimod in urine was not detected, indicating that siponimod was not renally excreted. The metabolite profiles in urine consisted of the major metabolite M3, amounting to  $2.1\% \pm 0.2\%$  of the dose, and nine minor peaks. The hydroxylated metabolites M5, M6, and M7 were detected in traces ( $<0.1\%$  each).

The main proportion of the administered radioactivity was excreted in the feces and amounted to  $82.3\% \pm 3.9\%$  of the dose within 192 hours. The metabolite profiles in the feces extracts showed essentially three major peaks and at least six minor components. Unchanged siponimod accounted to  $9.2\%$  of the radioactive dose in the feces during 0–192 hours. The major hydroxylated metabolite in feces (M5) accounted for  $45.1\% \pm 9.0\%$  of the dose. Other hydroxylated metabolites M6, M7, and sulfate conjugates of hydroxylated metabolites (M4a, M4b, and M4c) ranged between  $1.6\%$  and  $6.4\%$  of the administered dose. Overall,  $67.3\%$  of the dose was covered by hydroxylated metabolites including sulfate conjugates of hydroxylated metabolites.

Minor metabolites (M1, M2, M8) formed by ether cleavage, hydrolysis, and subsequent reduction (Supplemental Fig. 2) were only detected in urine and represented  $2.1\%$  of the dose. No major difference

TABLE 2

Mass spectral data on siponimod and its metabolites in plasma, urine, and feces

Data are from LC-MS and LC-MS/MS runs of siponimod and metabolites.

Component	Matrix and Experimental Data for Assignment of Peaks			Elemental Composition of $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^{+a}$	Observed Ions in LC-MS/MS Runs ( $m/z$ )			
	Plasma	Urine	Feces		$[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$	Fragment A <sup>b</sup>	Fragment A-H <sub>2</sub> O	Additional Major Signals
M1	—	MS/MS	Rt	$\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{20}\text{NO}_3$	262	161	143	
M2	—	MS/MS	—	$\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3$	277	176	158	
M3	MS	MS/MS	—	$\text{C}_{35}\text{H}_{44}\text{N}_2\text{O}_{10}\text{F}_3$	709	432 <sup>a</sup>	414	533 ( $\text{M}+\text{H}^+ - \text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_6$ )
M4a-c	—	—	MS/MS	$\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{36}\text{N}_2\text{O}_7\text{F}_3\text{S}$	613	432 <sup>c</sup>	414	533 ( $\text{M}+\text{H}^+ - \text{SO}_3^-$ ) and 515 ( $\text{M}+\text{H}^+ - \text{SO}_3^- - \text{H}_2\text{O}$ )
M5	MS	Rt	MS/MS	$\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{36}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4\text{F}_3$	533	432	414	255, 239, 237, 178, and 160
M6	MS	Rt	MS/MS	$\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{36}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4\text{F}_3$	533	432	414	255, 239, 178, and 160
M7	MS	Rt	MS/MS	$\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{36}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4\text{F}_3$	533	432	414	239, 178, and 160
M8	—	MS/MS	—	$\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{NO}_3$	264	163	145	
M12	—	MS/MS	—	$\text{C}_{35}\text{H}_{44}\text{N}_2\text{O}_{10}\text{F}_3$	709	432 <sup>d</sup>	414	533 ( $\text{M}+\text{H}^+ - \text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_6$ )
M17 <sup>e</sup>	—	—	—	$\text{C}_{56}\text{H}_{80}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3\text{F}_3$	886	416	—	517 ( $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+ - \text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{44}$ ), 369, 348, 239, 178
P73.0	MS/MS	—	—	$\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{38}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3\text{F}_3$	531	416	—	386, 348, 241, 239, 178, and 160
Siponimod	MS	—	MS/MS	$\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{36}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3\text{F}_3$	517	416	—	348, 241, 239, 178, 160, and 159

Rt, retention time.

<sup>a</sup> The difference between the measured and calculated mass ranged between 0.2 and 9.8 mDa.


<sup>b</sup> Nomenclature of fragment ion is provided in Fig. 3A using siponimod as an example.

<sup>c</sup> Formed after loss of  $\text{SO}_3^-$  moiety.

<sup>d</sup> Formed after loss of glucuronic acid moiety ( $\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_6$ ).

<sup>e</sup> Details from the mouse ADME study.





**Fig. 4.** Proposed biotransformation pathways for siponimod in humans. In vitro experiments demonstrated that CYP2C9 is the main drug-metabolizing enzyme responsible for the catalysis of M5 and M7 formation. \*CYP2C9 selectively catalyzes the formation of metabolites M5 and M7.

enzymes (CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP1B1, CYP2A6, CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9\*1, CYP2C18, CYP2C19, CYP2D6\*1, CYP2E1, CYP2J2, CYP3A4, CYP3A5, CYP3A7, CYP4A11, CYP4F2, CYP4F3A, CYP4F3B, CYP4F12, and CYP19) were conducted under similar conditions for each isoenzyme using 10 and 40  $\mu\text{M}$  siponimod and 30 pmol CYP/ml. CYP2C9\*1 showed significant metabolic activity and contributed predominantly to the total  $\text{CL}_{\text{int}}$  in HLMs (Fig. 9).


The metabolite profile obtained with CYP2C9 was qualitatively similar to that observed in HLMs (Supplemental Fig. 1). CYP2C9 mainly produced the metabolites M5 and M7, whereas M6 was produced to a small extent with this enzyme and formed predominantly by other enzymes such as CYP3A4, CYP2C8, and CYP3A5. M5 and M7 can therefore be considered as CYP2C9-selective or specific metabolites of siponimod.

Low metabolic activity was also observed with CYP3A4, whereas only traces of metabolites were detected with other CYP isoenzymes: CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C19, CYP2J2, CYP3A5, and CYP1A1. The enzyme kinetics parameters of the isoenzymes CYP2C9 and CYP3A4 for siponimod metabolism were determined by incubating different concentrations of substrate with the enzyme. Kinetic constants for both CYP3A4 ( $K_m$ :  $85.1 \pm 8.6 \mu\text{M}$ ;  $V_{\text{max}}$ :  $706 \pm 31 \text{ pmol/min per nmol}$ ) and CYP2C9 ( $K_m$ :  $34.5 \pm 5 \mu\text{M}$ ;  $V_{\text{max}}$ :  $2596 \pm 233 \text{ pmol/min per nmol}$ ) were determined. The derived  $\text{CL}_{\text{int}}$  ( $V_{\text{max}}/K_m$ ) of the total metabolite formation of siponimod was 8.3 and  $75.2 \mu\text{l/nmol per minute}$  for CYP3A4 and CYP2C9, respectively (Table 5).

For minor siponimod metabolizing CYP isoforms, the kinetic constants  $K_m$  and  $V_{\text{max}}$  were estimated by solving two linear equations with two

variables, which allowed the estimation of enzymatic efficiency, or  $\text{CL}_{\text{int}}$ , for various enzymes.

With regard to their importance in hepatic metabolic clearance, we calculated a  $\text{CL}_{\text{int}}$  relative to their abundance in HLMs (Yeo et al., 2004). CYP2C9 contributed predominantly (79.2%) to the total  $\text{CL}_{\text{int}}$  in HLMs (Table 5), and CYP3A4 contributed to 18.5% of the  $\text{CL}_{\text{int}}$ . The contributions of other known drug-metabolizing enzymes (CYP2B6, 2C8, 3A5, and 2C19) were low.



**Fig. 5.** Cumulative excretion of radioactivity in urine and feces (mean  $\pm$  S.D.;  $N = 4$ ).



TABLE 3

Estimated  $AUC_{0-120h}$  of siponimod and metabolites in the plasma of healthy men after a single 10-mg oral dose of [ $^{14}C$ ]siponimod

The  $AUC_{0-120 h}$  is mean  $\pm$  S.D.,  $N = 4$  (nmol-h/l), mean values of  $N = 4$  subjects and individual values.

Peak	Compound/Metabolite	$AUC_{0-120 h}$	% of Total [ $^{14}C$ ]
M3	Glucuronide of M5	1850 $\pm$ 268	18.4 $\pm$ 5.11
M5	Formed by hydroxylation	156 $\pm$ 29.0	1.51 $\pm$ 0.34
M6	Formed by hydroxylation	170 $\pm$ 39.9	1.63 $\pm$ 0.33
M7	Formed by hydroxylation	282 $\pm$ 87.8	2.75 $\pm$ 1.11
P29.6	Unknown	378 $\pm$ 103	3.72 $\pm$ 1.49
P30.5	Unknown	192 $\pm$ 57.4	1.82 $\pm$ 0.47
P73.0	Formed during sample processing	190 $\pm$ 91.7	1.74 $\pm$ 0.62
Siponimod	Parent drug	6320 $\pm$ 2920	57.1 $\pm$ 5.91 <sup>a</sup>
	Sum of unknown trace metabolites	204 $\pm$ 29.8	2.02 $\pm$ 0.59
	Lost during sample processing and HPLC <sup>b</sup>	1040 $\pm$ 578	9.30 $\pm$ 2.10
Total [ $^{14}C$ ] <sup>c</sup>		10800 $\pm$ 3700	100 (NC)

$AUC_{0-120 h}$ , area under the concentration-time curve from 0 to 120 h; NC, not calculable, not meaningful.

<sup>a</sup> Here, 58.8%, including P73 formed by methyl-esterification of parent drug during sample preparation.


<sup>b</sup> This might be due to the formation of metabolite M17, which has a long half-life.

<sup>c</sup> Total of radiolabeled components.

### Discussion

A single oral dose of 10 mg [ $^{14}C$ ] siponimod in humans showed medium to slow absorption (median  $T_{max}$ : 4 hours) and moderate distribution ( $V_z/F$ : 291  $\pm$  60 l, mean  $\pm$  S.D.) and was mainly cleared through biotransformation. On average, approximately 9.2% of

recovered radioactivity in the feces pool consisted of unchanged drug with only trace amounts of unchanged siponimod in urine, indicating extensive metabolism. Assuming that the drug and metabolites were stable against intestinal bacterial enzymes, the extent of oral absorption was estimated to be higher than 70% of the



**Fig. 6.** Representative metabolite (excluding M17) patterns in plasma with the total radioactivity  $T_{max}$  at (A) 6 hours, (B) urine pools 0–192 hours, and (C) feces pools 0–192 hours of healthy men treated with a single 10-mg oral dose of [ $^{14}C$ ]siponimod.

TABLE 4

Total amount of siponimod and metabolites (% of dose) in the excreta of healthy men after a single 10-mg oral dose of [<sup>14</sup>C]siponimod

Values are mean ± S.D., N = 4.

Component	Excretion % of Dose		
	Urine <sub>0-192 h</sub>	Feces <sub>0-192 h</sub>	Total <sub>0-192 h</sub>
M1	0.296 ± 0.16	1.09 ± 1.41	1.39 ± 1.55
M2	0.17 ± 0.04	—	0.17 ± 0.04
M3	2.08 ± 0.23	—	2.08 ± 0.23
M4a	—	4.85 ± 1.36	4.85 ± 1.36
M4b	—	6.11 ± 1.60	6.11 ± 1.60
M4c	—	1.64 ± 0.479	1.64 ± 0.479
M5	0.0114 ± 0.004	45.1 ± 9.00	45.1 ± 9.00
M6	0.0193 ± 0.01	3.22 ± 0.65	3.24 ± 0.66
M7	0.0165 ± 0.005	6.42 ± 1.12	6.43 ± 1.12
M8	0.534 ± 0.32	—	0.534 ± 0.32
M12	0.117 ± 0.03	—	0.117 ± 0.03
P29.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.139 ± 0.04	—	0.139 ± 0.04
P41.8 <sup>a</sup>	—	1.42 ± 1.35	1.42 ± 1.35
P54.6 <sup>a</sup>	—	0.641 ± 1.28	0.641 ± 1.28
P57.0 <sup>a</sup>	—	1.4 ± 1.24	1.4 ± 1.24
Siponimod	—	9.2 ± 1.12	9.2 ± 1.12
Total excretion in time period <sup>b</sup>	3.56 ± 0.38	82.3 ± 3.86	85.9 ± 4.06

—, not detectable.

<sup>a</sup> Unknown compound/metabolite.

<sup>b</sup> Analytical recovery during sample processing and HPLC was complete (~100%).

administered dose—that is, approximately 3.6% renally excreted radioactivity and approximately 73% of radioactivity in feces representing metabolites.

The absorbed part of the siponimod oral dose was cleared mainly by C-hydroxylations on the cyclohexyl moiety (M5, M6, and M7). The hydroxylated metabolites underwent further phase II reactions involving sulfation (M4a, M4b, and M4c) and glucuronidation (M3 and M12). Cleavage or hydrolysis at the oxime ether bond (M1 and M2) and further reduction (M8) was only a minor pathway. In vitro, the metabolites M5, M6, and M7 were also detected in incubations with HLMS.

In plasma, the parent drug represented the most abundant radioactive component (57.1%). In line with the in vitro metabolism studies, metabolite M3 formed by glucuronidation of the hydroxylated metabolite M5 was the main circulating metabolite (18.4%). The hydroxylated metabolites M5, M6, and M7 accounted for less than 3% of the radioactivity.

An additional nonpolar metabolite, M17, which was detected as a major component in the systemic circulation in the mouse ADME study after a single oral administration of 25 mg/kg of [<sup>14</sup>C]siponimod hemifumarate, represented 23.3% of the total [<sup>14</sup>C]AUC<sub>0-168h</sub>. It should be noted that M17 was unknown at the time the human ADME study was conducted, so the presence of M17 was not investigated. A very low amount of drug-related radioactivity in plasma, the reduced recovery of the radioactivity after sample preparation at late sampling time points, and the low concentration of drug-related components may have been the limiting factor that prevented the detection of M17 in the human ADME study. Moreover, the single-dose nature of the human ADME study may not have been suitable for detection of metabolites such as M17 with low abundance and a long half-life (*t*<sub>1/2</sub> of M17: 150–155 hours).


Nevertheless, formation of M17 in humans was considered possible due to the longer half-life of radioactivity than for the parent compound that was observed in the human ADME study. Consequently, a validated quantitative analytical method (described in the Supplemental Methods) was developed and appended to the human bioavailability study to measure the quantities of M17 present in human plasma to satisfy the ICH M3

(R2) and EMA (drug-drug interactions) requirements ([http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en\\_GB/document\\_library/Scientific\\_guideline/2012/07/WC500129606.pdf](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2012/07/WC500129606.pdf); [http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public\\_Web\\_Site/ICH\\_Products/Guidelines/Multidisciplinary/M3\\_R2/Step4/M3\\_R2\\_Guideline.pdf](http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Multidisciplinary/M3_R2/Step4/M3_R2_Guideline.pdf)). M17 was identified as the most prominent systemic metabolite based on AUC<sub>0-∞</sub>. Despite the high systemic exposure to M17, cholesterol ester formation was not considered a primary elimination pathway of siponimod, which was confirmed by the complete analytical recovery of total radioactivity for urine and feces in the human ADME study (Table 4) and the previously reported hydrolysis of cholesterol esters in the liver before elimination. In mammals, the relevance of such types of metabolic transformation (direct esterification and hydrolysis) in the maintenance of cholesterol homeostasis in the body has been studied previously (Rudel and Shelness, 2000). Although rare, this metabolic transformation of a xenobiotic acid into a cholesterol ester has been previously reported in the literature (Fears et al., 1982; Miyamoto et al., 1986).

The first human ascending single-dose trial demonstrated the mono exponential decay of siponimod, with a geometric mean apparent terminal *t*<sub>1/2</sub> of between 27.0 and 56.7 hours (Novartis data on file). The apparent elimination *t*<sub>1/2</sub> of metabolites M3, M5, M6, and M7 obtained from semiquantitative data ranged between 29.3 and 35.2 hours. Therefore, an unexpectedly high accumulation of siponimod or these polar metabolites was not anticipated after daily oral administration. However, for the nonpolar metabolite M17, a significant accumulation after multiple dosing could be expected.

The predominant elimination route of siponimod and its metabolites was fecal excretion in the form of metabolite M5 (hydroxylation) and M4a–c (hydroxylation and sulfation). In urine, excretion of radioactivity was low and mainly in the form of hydroxylated glucuronide M3. No unchanged siponimod was recovered in urine. Excretion of radioactivity was close to complete after 13 days, with more than 80% of the dose (>90% of total radioactivity in 0–192 hours' urine or feces pools) being covered by siponimod and structurally characterized metabolites.

The in vitro experiments in HLMS and recombinant human CYP enzymes investigated the enzymes involved in the oxidative metabolism of siponimod. The biotransformation of siponimod in HLMS was slow,




**Fig. 7.** Representative LC-MS/MS chromatograms of M17 in human plasma: (A) lower LOQ sample, (B) upper LOQ sample, and (C) unknown study sample. LC-MS/MS validated quantitative assay.

with an apparent  $CL_{int}$  of  $3.8 \mu\text{l}/\text{mg}$  per minute. The apparent  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  were  $50.3 \mu\text{M}$  and  $191 \text{ pmol}/\text{min}$  per milligram, respectively, and aligned with the substrate inhibition model. Based on kinetic results with recombinant CYP isoforms and the relative abundance values of the currently known CYP isoforms, it was estimated that CYP2C9 was the predominant contributor (79.2%) to the oxidative metabolism of siponimod in HLMs. Furthermore, CYP2C9 produced metabolite profiles similar to those produced by HLMs, with M5 and M7 being the major metabolites. As both metabolites were produced mainly by CYP2C9 and only to a small extent by other enzymes, M5 and M7 could therefore be considered as CYP2C9-selective or specific metabolites of siponimod. The enzyme phenotyping experiments using both the chemical inhibition and recombinant P450

enzymes methods confirmed the results of additional phenotyping approaches with correlation analysis and CYP2C9-genotype sensitivity analysis (Jin et al., 2018).


These experiments demonstrated the predominant contribution of CYP2C9 in the in vitro metabolism, accurately predicting the in vivo pharmacogenetic effect on siponimod clearance. CYP2C9 is a major CYP enzyme that is involved in the metabolic clearance of approximately 15% of all drugs that undergo phase I biotransformation (Rettie and Jones, 2005). The in vitro metabolism correlates well to the in vivo situation. The two major and selective metabolites produced by CYP2C9, M5 and M7, and their secondary metabolites M3, M4a, M4b, and M4c were also found in vivo as the predominant metabolites in human excreta and in the systemic circulation.



**Fig. 8.** Depletion rate of [<sup>14</sup>C]siponimod (10 and 40 μM) by recombinant human P450 enzymes.

The metabolic activity of CYP3A4 was low and contributed 18.5% toward the biotransformation of siponimod. Enzymes of the CYP3A subfamily are the most abundant CYP enzymes in HLMs, although the levels vary enormously (>10-fold) among individuals, whereas CYP3A5 expression is relatively low in HLMs (10%–30% of human livers) (Shimada et al., 1994; Yeo et al., 2004). CYP3A4/5 enzymes play a key role in the biotransformation of numerous drugs, metabolizing approximately 50% of the drugs that are known to be metabolized by CYP enzymes (Li et al., 1995; Rodriguez-Antona and Ingelman-Sundberg, 2006; Ingelman-Sundberg et al., 2007). In line with these results, the metabolism of siponimod in HLMs was strongly inhibited by the CYP2C9-selective chemical inhibitor sulfaphenazole, and partly by ketoconazole, a potent inhibitor of CYP3A4.

CYP2C9 is subject to significant genetic polymorphism (CYP2C9\*1, CYP2C9\*2, and CYP2C9\*3), varying largely between different ethnic populations. Because the biotransformation of siponimod to its hydroxylated metabolites was mainly catalyzed by CYP2C9, a significant effect of genetic polymorphism of this isoenzyme on human metabolism could be anticipated (Jin et al., 2018). To reduce the variability due to genetic



**Fig. 9.** Inhibition of siponimod metabolism in HLMs by chemical inhibitors. Results are the mean of two incubations.

polymorphism, only four men with the wide-type CYP2C9\*1/\*1 genotype were selected for the current human ADME study.

In conclusion, the absorption of orally administered siponimod was moderate to slow but almost complete, with  $C_{max}$  achieved at 4 hours after dose administration. The extent of oral absorption was estimated to be higher than 70% of the administered dose. Siponimod had moderate distribution, with an apparent distribution volume,  $V_z/F$ , at steady state of  $291 \pm 60$  l. Siponimod and its metabolites were confined primarily within the plasma compartment with no special affinity to erythrocytes.

The parent drug was extensively metabolized and systemic exposure to metabolites was substantial. The metabolites M3 and M17 were above the action limit recommended in the ICH M3 (R2) guidance ([http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public\\_Web\\_Site/ICH\\_Products/Guidelines/Multidisciplinary/M3\\_R2/Step4/M3\\_R2\\_Guideline.pdf](http://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Multidisciplinary/M3_R2/Step4/M3_R2_Guideline.pdf)) and warranted further consideration. Indeed, adequate exposure of the major human plasma metabolites was obtained in toxicological evaluations (Novartis data on file).

The biotransformation of siponimod occurred by the following pathways: phase I metabolic reactions involving C-hydroxylations on the cyclohexyl moiety (M5, M6, and M7, predominantly catalyzed by CYP2C9). Cleavage or hydrolysis at the oxime ether bond (M1 and M2) and further reduction yielding metabolite M8 was only a minor pathway. Phase II reactions of hydroxylated metabolites involved sulfation (three positional isomers M4a, M4b, and M4c) and glucuronidation (M3 and M12). The most abundant radioactive component in plasma was unchanged siponimod. The metabolite M3 formed by glucuronidation of

TABLE 5

Enzyme kinetic constants and estimated contribution of various CYPs to the metabolic clearance of siponimod in human liver microsomes

Enzyme kinetic parameters  $V_{max}$  and  $K_m$  of CYP3A4 and CYP2C9 were obtained from kinetic experiments. The values of other isoenzymes were estimated by solving the Michaelis-Menten equation for the two concentrations of substrate and their rate numbers.

Enzyme	$K_m$ (μM)	$V_{max}$ (pmol/(min·nmol CYP))	CL ( $V_{max}/K_m$ ) μl/(min·nmol CYP)	Abundance (pmol P450/mg) <sup>a</sup>	Specific concentration (%) Total CYP	Relative CL μl/(min·nmol Total CYP)	Contribution (%) Total Relative CL
CYP1A2				52	9.47%		
CYP2A6				36	6.56%		
CYP2B6	40.0	88.9	2.2	11	2.00%	0.04	0.4%
CYP2C8	2.9	14.3	5	24	4.37%	0.22	1.7%
CYP2C9	34.5	2596	75.2	73	13.30%	10.01	79.2%
CYP2C19	140	100	0.7	14	2.55%	0.02	0.1%
CYP2D6				8	1.46%		
CYP2E1				61	11.11%		
CYP3A4 <sup>b</sup>	85.1	706	8.3	155	28.23%	2.34	18.5%
Total				434	79%	12.63	100%

<sup>a</sup> CYP abundance values were from Yeo et al. (2004) and Simeyp.

<sup>b</sup> For CYP3A4, the abundance value of CYP3A was used.

the hydroxylated metabolite M5 was identified as a main metabolite. Although the metabolite M17 was identified as the most prominent systemic metabolite in humans, the cholesterol ester formation was not a major elimination pathway of siponimod. The excretion of siponimod and its metabolites was slow but essentially complete after 13 days. The elimination of siponimod was predominantly through feces.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the participants from whom data were taken for analysis. We would also like to thank Albrecht Glänzel and Thomas Mönius (Isotope Laboratory, Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland) and Frederic Zecri and Virginie Tuconi (Global Discovery Chemistry, Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland) for the preparation of the radiolabeled drug or the synthesis of reference compounds, and Claudia Sayer and Janine Wank (Biotransformation Laboratory, Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland) as well as Fabian Eggimann and Liliane Porchet Zemp (Bioreactions Group, Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland) for their excellent technical support. We would also like to thank Rahul Bajirao Birari and Richa Chhabra (Novartis Healthcare Pvt. Ltd, Hyderabad, India) for providing medical writing assistance on this manuscript. All authors edited the manuscript for intellectual content, provided guidance during manuscript development, and approved the final version submitted for publication.

#### Authorship Contributions

*Participated in research design:* Glaenzel, Jin, Nufer, Schroer, Adam-Stitah, Peter van Marle, Legangneux, James, Camenisch.

*Conducted experiments:* Glaenzel, Jin, Nufer, Li, Schroer, Peter van Marle, Legangneux, Borell, James.

*Contributed new reagents or analytic tools:* Glaenzel, Jin, Schroer.

*Performed data analysis:* Glaenzel, Jin, Nufer, Schroer, Borell, James, Meissner, Gardin.

*Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript:* Glaenzel, Jin, Nufer, Li, Schroer, Adam-Stitah, Legangneux, Meissner, Camenisch, Gardin.

#### References

- Baumruker T, Billich A, and Brinkmann V (2007) FTY720, an immunomodulatory sphingolipid mimetic: translation of a novel mechanism into clinical benefit in multiple sclerosis. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* **16**:283–289.
- Brana C, Frossard MJ, Pescini Gobert R, Martinier N, Boschert U, and Seabrook TJ (2014) Immunohistochemical detection of sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor 1 and 5 in human multiple sclerosis lesions. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* **40**:564–578.
- Brinkmann V (2007) Sphingosine 1-phosphate receptors in health and disease: mechanistic insights from gene deletion studies and reverse pharmacology. *Pharmacol Ther* **115**:84–105.
- Brinkmann V, Davis MD, Heise CE, Albert R, Cottens S, Hof R, Bruns C, Prieschl E, Baumruker T, Hiestand P, et al. (2002) The immune modulator FTY720 targets sphingosine 1-phosphate receptors. *J Biol Chem* **277**:21453–21457.
- Choi JW, Gardell SE, Herr DR, Rivera R, Lee CW, Noguchi K, Teo ST, Yung YC, Lu M, Kennedy G, et al. (2011) FTY720 (fingolimod) efficacy in an animal model of multiple sclerosis requires astrocyte sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor 1 (S1P1) modulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **108**:751–756.
- Cohen JA, Barkhof F, Comi G, Hartung HP, Khatri BO, Montalban X, Pelletier J, Capra R, Gallo P, Izquierdo G, et al.; TRANSFORMS Study Group (2010) Oral fingolimod or intramuscular interferon for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* **362**:402–415.
- Fears R, Baggaley KH, Walker P, and Hindley RM (1982) Xenobiotic cholesterol ester formation. *Xenobiotica* **12**:427–433.
- Gergely P, Nuesslein-Hildesheim B, Guerini D, Brinkmann V, Traebert M, Bruns C, Pan S, Gray NS, Hinterding K, Cooke NG, et al. (2012) The selective sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor modulator BAF312 redirects lymphocyte distribution and has species-specific effects on heart rate. *Br J Pharmacol* **167**:1035–1047.
- Ingelman-Sundberg M, Sim SC, Gomez A, and Rodriguez-Antona C (2007) Influence of cytochrome P450 polymorphisms on drug therapies: pharmacogenetic, pharmacoeconomic and clinical aspects. *Pharmacol Ther* **116**:496–526.
- Jin Y, Borell H, Gardin A, Ufer M, Huth F, and Camenisch G (2018) In vitro studies and in silico predictions of fluconazole and CYP2C9 genetic polymorphism impact on siponimod metabolism and pharmacokinetics. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **74**:455–464.
- Jin Y, Zollinger M, Borell H, Zimmerlin A, and Patten CJ (2011) CYP4F enzymes are responsible for the elimination of fingolimod (FTY720), a novel treatment of relapsing multiple sclerosis. *Drug Metab Dispos* **39**:191–198.
- Kappos L, Bar-Or A, Cree B, Fox R, Giovannoni G, Gold R, Vermersch P, Arnold D, Arnould S, Scherz T, et al. (2018) Siponimod versus placebo in secondary progressive multiple sclerosis (EXPAND): a double-blind, randomised, phase 3 study. *Lancet* **391**:1263–1273.
- Kappos L, Bar-Or A, Cree B, Fox R, Giovannoni G, Gold R, Vermersch P, Arnould S, Sidorenko T, Wolf C, et al. (2016) Efficacy and safety of siponimod in secondary progressive multiple sclerosis—results of the placebo controlled, double-blind, phase III EXPAND study. *Mult Scler* **22**:828–829.
- Kappos L, Radue EW, O'Connor P, Polman C, Hohlfeld R, Calabresi P, Selmaj K, Agoropoulou C, Leyk M, Zhang-Auberson L, et al.; FREEDOMS Study Group (2010) A placebo-controlled trial of oral fingolimod in relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* **362**:387–401.
- Kovarik JM, Dole K, Riviere GJ, Pommier F, Maton S, Jin Y, Lasseter KC, and Schmoeder RL (2009) Ketoconazole increases fingolimod blood levels in a drug interaction via CYP4F2 inhibition. *J Clin Pharmacol* **49**:212–218.
- Li AP, Kaminski DL, and Rasmussen A (1995) Substrates of human hepatic cytochrome P450 3A4. *Toxicology* **104**:1–8.
- Matloubian M, Lo CG, Cinamon G, Lesneski MJ, Xu Y, Brinkmann V, Allende ML, Proia RL, and Cyster JG (2004) Lymphocyte egress from thymus and peripheral lymphoid organs is dependent on SIP receptor 1. *Nature* **427**:355–360.
- Miyamoto J, Kaneko H, and Takamatsu Y (1986) Stereoselective formation of a cholesterol ester conjugate from fenvalerate by mouse microsomal carboxylesterase(s). *J Biochem Toxicol* **1**:79–93.
- Nuesslein-Hildesheim B, Gergely P, Wallstrom E, Luttringer O, Groenewegen A, Howard L, Pan S, Gray N, Chen YA, Bruns C, et al. (2009) The S1P1/S1P5 receptor modulator BAF312 reverses neurological deficits in ongoing EAE, reduces specific lymphocyte subsets in healthy volunteers and is a potential new multiple sclerosis treatment. *Mult Scler* **15**:S126.
- Rettie AE and Jones JP (2005) Clinical and toxicological relevance of CYP2C9: drug-drug interactions and pharmacogenetics. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **45**:477–494.
- Rodriguez-Antona C and Ingelman-Sundberg M (2006) Cytochrome P450 pharmacogenetics and cancer. *Oncogene* **25**:1679–1691.
- Rudel LL and Shelness GS (2000) Cholesterol esters and atherosclerosis—a game of ACAT and mouse. *Nat Med* **6**:1313–1314.
- Selmaj K, Li DK, Hartung HP, Hemmer B, Kappos L, Freedman MS, Stüve O, Rieckmann P, Montalban X, Ziemssen T, et al. (2013) Siponimod for patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (BOLD): an adaptive, dose-ranging, randomised, phase 2 study. *Lancet Neurol* **12**:756–767.
- Shimada T, Yamazaki H, Mimura M, Inui Y, and Guengerich FP (1994) Interindividual variations in human liver cytochrome P-450 enzymes involved in the oxidation of drugs, carcinogens and toxic chemicals: studies with liver microsomes of 30 Japanese and 30 Caucasians. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **270**:414–423.
- Tavares A, Barret O, Alagille D, Morley T, Papin C, Maguire RP, Briard E, Auberson YP, and Tamagnan G (2014) Brain distribution of MS565, an imaging analogue of siponimod (BAF312), in non-human primates. *J Neurol* **261**:S333.
- Yeo KR, Rostami-Hodjegan A, and Tucker GT (2004) Abundance of cytochromes P450 in human liver: a meta-analysis. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **57**:687–688.

**Address correspondence to:** Dr. Ulrike Glaenzel, Novartis Pharma AG, Fabrikstrasse 14, WSJ-1531.02, 4056 Basel, Switzerland. E-mail: ulrike.glaenzel@novartis.com



**Title:** Metabolism and Disposition of Siponimod, a Novel Selective S1P1/S1P5 Agonist, in Healthy Volunteers and In Vitro Identification of Human Cytochrome P450 Enzymes Involved in Its Oxidative Metabolism

**Authors:** Ulrike Glaenzel, Yi Jin, Robert Nufer, Wenkui Li, Kirsten Schroer, Sylvie Adam-Stitah, Sjoerd Peter van Marle, Eric Legangneux, Hubert Borell, Alexander D. James, Axel Meissner, Gian Camenisch, Anne Gardin

**Journal title:** Drug metabolism and disposition

**Supplemental figure legends:**

**Supplemental Figure 1.** Selective metabolites of siponimod by CYP2C9 as compared with human liver microsomes (HLM) and CYP3A4

The pattern of metabolites in 10  $\mu\text{M}$  [ $^{14}\text{C}$ ]siponimod incubations (30 minutes) using recombinant human CYP isoenzyme (30 pmol/mL) were determined by HPLC with radiodetection. The pattern of HLM (108 pmol CYP/mL) is included for comparison.

CYP2C9, cytochrome P450 2C9 (CYP2C9); HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; M, metabolite.

**Supplemental Figure 2.** Schematic presentation of the synthesis of the M1, M2 and M8

**Supplemental Figure 3.** MS and NMR data of the authentic reference compounds: Metabolite M1 (A), Metabolite M2 (B), Metabolite M3 (C), Metabolite M4a (D), Metabolite M4b (E), Metabolite M4c (F), Metabolite M4d (G), Metabolite M5 (H), Metabolite M6 (I), Metabolite M7 (K), Metabolite M17

**Supplemental table legends:**

**Supplemental Table 1.** Siponimod and related compounds in mouse plasma following a single oral dose of 25 mg/kg [<sup>14</sup>C]siponimod referring to base

-, Not detected or below lower limit of quantitation. Patterns of metabolites and the parent compound in plasma after a single oral dose of 25 mg base/kg [<sup>14</sup>C]siponimod hemifumarate were determined by UPLC analysis with offline radiodetection. UPLC, ultra-performance liquid chromatography.

## **Supplemental Methods**

### ***Preparation of reference compounds by biosynthesis***

#### **Preparative scale synthesis of hydroxylated metabolites M5, M6 and M7:**

Precultures of the three fungi strains ATCC20034 (*Mortierella vinicae*), DSM1344 (*Beauveria bassiana*) and DSM858 (*Staurophoma sp.*) were grown in 100 mL NL148s medium in 500 mL shake flasks at 28°C and 200 rpm for 2, 3 and 4 days, respectively. The NL148s medium consisted of 0.2% soluble starch, 0.8% soya peptone, 0.4% LabLemco, 0.05% yeast extract, 0.15% NaCl, 0.21% MOPS (3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid), adjusted to pH 6.5 and trace element B solution (1 mL/L). The trace element B solution consisted of Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (30 mg/L), FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (5.5 g/L), CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O (80 mg/L), MnCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (180 mg/L), ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (4.4 g/L) and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 97% (2 mL/L). Sterile glycerol was added to each preculture to a final concentration of 16.7% (w/v). The precultures were stored at -80°C until usage to inoculate the main cultures. Due to pellet formation, the precultures of ATCC20034 and DSM858 were homogenized using a single-use tube homogenizer (IKA ULTRA-TURRAX Tube Drive) before adding glycerol.

Each of the 10 mL thawed precultures were then used to inoculate 200 mL medium in a 1 L shake flask. These main inoculates were incubated at 28°C and 200 rpm. In total, five shake flasks of each microbial strain were incubated in parallel, resulting in a biotransformation scale of 1 L per microbial strain. The biotransformation substrate (0.1 mg/mL) was added to each flask after 1 day of incubation. In addition, glucose was added to the biotransformation mixtures with DSM1344 and ATCC20034 to a final

concentration of 10 mg/L. The biotransformation process was stopped at 34 h for the DSM1344 and ATCC20034 strains and at 72 h for the DSM858 strain by freezing the whole mixture at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The mixtures were stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until further processing. During the biotransformation process, samples were taken periodically and analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

The biotransformation mixtures were thawed at room temperature and approximately 100 g/L NaCl was added to each flask. The aqueous biotransformation mixtures were then acidified using phosphoric acid and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. For each microbial biotransformation, the ethyl acetate extracts were combined and evaporated to a final volume of approximately 20 mL. The concentrate was mixed with diatom granulates (Isolute HM-N, Biotage) in order to absorb the biotransformation substrate and product molecules, and was further evaporated to dryness. The biotransformation products were eluted with 300 mL of methanol/isopropyl alcohol mixture and dried by vacuum evaporation. The residues were reconstituted in 40 mL methanol/H<sub>2</sub>O and were applied on a reverse phase chromatography for isolation of hydroxylated siponimod metabolites.

The preparative high-performance liquid chromatography/mass spectroscopy (HPLC/MS) system consisted of a Waters autopurification liquid chromatograph, a DAD UV detector (210-350 nm) and a WATERS ZQ series mass detector equipped with ESI interface. The mass detector was operated in the positive an electrospray ionization (ESI) mode. The components were separated on a Sunfire Prep C18 column (5  $\mu\text{M}$ ; 21.0  $\times$  150 mm). Elution was performed with a gradient of aqueous ammonium acetate, pH 4.5 (mobile phase A) and acetonitrile (mobile phase B) at a flow rate of 20.00 mL/min.

Using the ATCC20034 strain, the final isolated yields for M5, M6 and M7 were 34%, 11% and 33%, respectively. The corresponding yields using the DSM1344 strain were 11%, 12% and 2%, respectively. Biotransformation using the DSM858 strain yielded only M5 (33%) and M6 (5%).

### **Preparation of glucuronide M3:**

The hydroxylated metabolite M5 was used as the starting material for the preparative synthesis of the glucuronide M3. To obtain sufficient amounts of starting material, a large scale biosynthesis of M5 was performed in a wave bag fermenter. Biotransformation of siponimod (4 g) using the microbial strain DSM875 (*Beauveria bassiana*) under the same conditions as described before yielded 1.050 g of M5. Glucuronidation of M5 (700 mg) was performed by using a dog liver S9 preparation as the biocatalyst in 160 mmol/L HEPES buffer, pH 8.5. The reaction mixture also consisted of MgCl<sub>2</sub> and UDP glucuronic acid at a final concentration of 20 mmol/L and 40 mmol/L, respectively. The biotransformation was performed on a 1 L scale in centrifuge tubes on an orbital shaker for 24 h. After 24 h, the biotransformation mixture was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined ethyl acetate extracts were mixed with Isolute HM-N in order to absorb the biotransformation products and were then evaporated until dryness. The Isolute HM-N was transferred to a cartridge and connected to a HPLC column. The components were separated on a RP18 column using 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and a 25–100% methanol gradient.

The purity of all the metabolites was determined using liquid chromatography mass spectroscopy (LC/MS) and ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) coupled



with ultraviolet (UV) detection. The structures of the metabolites obtained by biotransformation were determined by NMR (HRMS, <sup>13</sup>C HSQC, <sup>1</sup>H, ROESY, COSY).

#### **Preparation of sulfates M4a, M4b and M4c:**

The sulfates M4a, M4b and M4c were synthesized from the hydroxylated siponimod metabolites M5, M7 and M6, respectively. M5, M6 and M7 were synthesized as described before.

The sulfates were synthesized from the hydroxylated siponimod metabolites according to the following procedure: 0.05 to 0.25 mmol of the starting material were dissolved in 10 to 20 mL dry dimethyl formamide and stirred with a magnetic stirrer in a 60°C water bath. To this solution 3 mol-equivalents of NEt<sub>3</sub>-SO<sub>3</sub> complex were added and the reaction was stirred for 15 to 60 minutes. Then the reaction was quenched with 20 mL MeOH. The quenched reaction was directly pumped onto a RP18 chromatography column representing 20% (v/v) of the total flow, while 80 % (v/v) consisted of ammonia acetate buffer 10 mmol/L (pH 5.5) at a flow rate of 90 mL/min. The conditions for preparative HPLC were: Stationary phase: Self-packed RP18 50\*250 mm column; solvent A: aqueous ammonium acetate buffer 10 mmol/L pH 5.5; solvent B: acetonitrile; gradient: 0-5 minutes 35% B, 3 minutes 65% B; room temperature; detection at UV252 nm; and fraction size 50 mL. Fractions containing the product were combined, the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure to a final volume of about 50 mL and the product was finally dried by lyophilisation overnight. The isolated yields of the sulfates varied between 9 and 50%.

#### ***Preparation of reference compounds by chemical synthesis***

The schematic presentation of the synthesis of M1, M2 and M8 is presented in **supplemental figure 2**.

### **Preparation of the metabolite M1**

Manganese dioxide (8.8 eq, 49.3 mmol) was added to a solution of siponimod-B8 (1 eq, 5.61 mmol) in heptane (7 ml) at room temperature. The black suspension was then heated at 50°C for 2 h. Subsequently, the mixture was filtered and the resulting filtrate was concentrated to dryness to yield 760 mg of 4-acetyl-2-ethylbenzaldehyde as a yellow oil (yield 73%).

4-acetyl-2-ethylbenzaldehyde (1 eq, 3.56 mmol) and azetidine-3-carboxylic acid (1.2 eq, 4.27 mmol) were stirred in methanol (12 mL) for 1 h at room temperature. Thereafter, sodium triacetoxyborohydride (1.2 eq, 4.26 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Purification by reverse phase chromatography using a preparative HPLC system, C18 column, eluting from 5% to 100% of acetonitrile in 25 minutes yielded 480 mg of 1-(4-acetyl-2-ethylbenzyl)azetidine-3-carboxylic acid (M1, Yield 51%).

### **Preparation of the metabolite M2**

A solution of 1-(4-acetyl-2-ethylbenzyl)azetidine-3-carboxylic acid (1 eq, 0.727 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (3 ml) was mixed with hydroxylamine 50% solution in water (10 eq, 7.3 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. Purification by reverse phase chromatography using a preparative HPLC system, C18 column, eluting from 0 to 100% of acetonitrile in 25 minutes yielded 134 mg of (E)-1-(2-ethyl-4-(1-(hydroxyimino)ethyl)benzyl)azetidine-3-carboxylic acid as a white lyophilisate (TFA salt) (M2, Yield 47%).

### **Preparation of the metabolite M8**

To a solution of 1-(4-acetyl-2-ethylbenzyl)azetidine-3-carboxylic acid (1 eq, 0.727 mmol) in methanol (3 ml) was mixed under argon atmosphere with sodium tetrahydridoborate (2 eq, 1.45 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1h. Purification by reverse phase chromatography using a preparative HPLC system, C18 column, eluting from 0% to 100% of acetonitrile in 25 minutes yielded 87 mg of 1-(2-ethyl-4-(1-hydroxyethyl)benzyl)azetidine-3-carboxylic acid (M8, Yield 32%).

### **Preparation of the cholesterol ester conjugate M17**

Esterification of siponimod with cholesterol was achieved by N, N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC) and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) as coupling agents. The crude reaction product was subjected to RP8-HPLC-purification.

### ***Determination of cholesterol ester conjugate (M17) in human plasma***

The presence of M17, a siponimod cholesterol ester conjugate, identified as a major circulating metabolite in mice (Supplemental Table 1), was confirmed in an absolute bioavailability study with a single oral dose of 0.25 mg of siponimod in healthy subjects. Quantitative analysis of this metabolite in human plasma was carried out by using validated LC-MS/MS methods with lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ) of 0.100 ng/mL. Details of the analytical methods are presented below.

### ***Structural characterization of metabolite M17***

The structure of M17 was characterized by using LC/MS-MS in the positive ionization mode and comparison with the available authentic standard. A fully validated LC/MS-MS method

was used for the determination of M17 in the human plasma samples collected from this absolute bioavailability study. The overall bias (%) and coefficient of variation (CV; %) values were, respectively, in the range of -2.0 to 1.8% (bias) and 3.5 to 6.6% (CV) for the calibration standards (0.100 to 50 ng/mL). For quality control (QC) samples, the obtained precision and accuracy values ranged, respectively, from 3.8 to 7.3% (CV) and -2.8 to 0.3% (bias) for the QCs at the four concentration levels (0.3, 2.5, 15 and 37.5 ng/mL) evaluated.

### ***Sample preparation and determination using a validated LC- MS/MS method***

A plasma sample of 100  $\mu$ L was added into a 2.2 mL 96-well assay plate (*Eppendorf*). For the double blank and QC0 (zero sample) samples, a 100  $\mu$ L of blank plasma was added. A 20  $\mu$ L aliquot of the internal standard working solution (15.0 ng/mL [D7]M17 in acetonitrile/dimethyl sulfoxide (1/1, v/v)) was added to all samples with the exception of the double blanks, to which a 20  $\mu$ L aliquot of acetonitrile/dimethyl sulfoxide (1/1, v/v) was added. The plate was centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 1 minute at 20°C, then vortex-mixed for 15 minutes. A 50  $\mu$ L aliquot of 40% formic acid in water (v/v) was added into each well. The plate was centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 1 minute at 20°C, then vortex-mixed for 15 minutes. A 600  $\mu$ L aliquot of 1% formic acid in acetonitrile (v/v) was added into each well. The plate was vortex-mixed for 10 min, then centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C. A sample of 500  $\mu$ L of the resulting supernatant was transferred into a new 2.0 mL 96-well assay plate (*Axygen*), which was followed by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C. A 400  $\mu$ L sample of the above 500  $\mu$ L sample extract was transferred into another new 2.0 mL 96-well assay plate (*Axygen*).

### ***Liquid chromatography experimental conditions***

The plasma sample extracts were analyzed by LC-MS/MS via multiple reaction monitoring using positive ESI as the ionization technique. The liquid chromatography was performed on an Agilent 1260 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) system. A Sciex API5000 mass spectrometer (Sciex, Forest City, CA, USA) equipped with an ESI source was used for the MS/MS detection. Gradient chromatographic elution was performed on an ACE 3 C4 column (50 × 2.1 mm). The mobile phase consisted of 5 mM ammonium acetate in water (mobile phase A) and 100% acetonitrile (mobile phase B). The injection volume was 15 µL and the column was maintained at 50°C.

## **Supplemental results**

### ***MS and NMR data of the authentic reference compounds used in the study***

The MS and NMR data of the authentic reference compound used in the study are presented in **Supplemental Figure 3**.

### ***Determination of cholesterol ester conjugate (M17) in a mouse ADME study***

In a mouse ADME study (single oral dose of 25 mg/kg <sup>14</sup>C-siponimod, specific radioactivity 2 megabecquerel [MBq] per mg referring to free base) a nonpolar long-lived metabolite M17 was identified (Supplemental Table 1). The major plasma metabolite was identified as a cholesterol ester of siponimod by LC-MS or LC-MS/MS and the chemical structure was confirmed by comparison of the retention time and mass spectral data with a reference standard.

### **Determination of metabolite profiles in plasma in the mouse ADME study**

For metabolite profiling in mouse plasma, the plasma of three mice per time point were pooled. Each plasma pool was extracted five times with acetonitrile and one time with dimethyl



sulfoxide (DMSO) in order to reach recoveries between 82 and 100%. The extracts were combined and evaporated to dryness. The residues were extracted three times using water/acetonitrile/DMSO mixtures in different ratios. About 90% of the radioactivity could be reconstituted.

The chromatography was performed on an UPLC system (Waters Corporation, Manchester, UK). The components were separated at 40°C on an ACQUITY UPLC BEH300 C4 1.7µm analytical column (2.1 × 100 mm; Macherey-Nagel, Switzerland) protected by a 2.1 × 5 mm guard column of the same stationary phase. Volumes up to 40 µL were injected. Elution was carried out with a gradient of ammonium acetate 25 mM in water (pH 5.4; mobile phase A) and acetonitrile (mobile phase B) at a flow rate of 0.9 mL/min. The HPLC effluent directed into the electrospray LC-MS interface and the larger portion was directed to UV detection followed by online or offline radioactivity detection. For offline radioactivity detection, the Perkin Elmer, Gronningen, The Netherlands ). The fractions were evaporated to dryness and the radioactivity was counted in a TopCount NXT microplate scintillation counter (Packard Instruments, Meriden, CT, USA).

Concentrations in plasma of siponimod and its metabolites were estimated from the radiochromatograms, based on the relative peak areas and the concentrations or amounts of radioactivity in the original biological samples, reduced by the losses during sample processing.

### ***Structural characterization of metabolite M17 in the mouse ADME study***

The [<sup>14</sup>C] molecular ion at [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 885 had a mass shift of +368 Da compared with siponimod. Accurate mass data indicated a gain of C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>44</sub>. MS/MS data yielded a fragment at m/z 517 (consistent with the [M+H]<sup>+</sup> of siponimod) and m/z 416 (Fragment A) (**Figure 3A**). The

structure of the metabolite was confirmed to be a cholesterol ester of siponimod by co-injection of reference standard for metabolite M18 and comparison of retention time, mass spectrometry and MS/MS data.

## **Supplemental Tables**


### ***Supplemental Table 1***


Experiment		Mouse 25 mg/kg single oral dose			
Sample type		Plasma pools (n=3 mice/time point)			
Sample collection time (h)	8	96	168	AUC <sub>0-168h</sub>	
Concentration		μmol/L		h.μmol/L	(%)
Component					
Siponimod	9.77	0.781	0.285	542	66.1
P73.0	0.0783	0.0563	-	8.26	1.01
P12.3	-	0.0298	-	2.38	0.291
M17	1.60	1.19	0.541	191	23.3
Sum of additional components	0.241	-	-	11.5	1.41
Total detected	11.7	2.05	0.826	755	92.1
Lost during sample processing	0.449	0.457	0.185	64.8	7.90
Total radiolabeled	12.1	2.51	1.01	820	100

---

Experiment	Mouse 25 mg/kg single oral dose
components in original sample	

---

**Supplemental Figure 1**

**Supplemental Figure 2**

***Supplemental Figure 3***

A. Metabolite M1


Molecular Formula:  $C_{15}H_{19}NO_3$

Average Mass: 261.32

Monoisotopic Mass: 261.13649

High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) (ESI/Q-TOF) m/z:  $[M + H]^+$  Calculated for  $C_{15}H_{20}NO_3$  262.1438; Found 262.1437.

$^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.56 (s, 1H), 7.88 – 7.81 (m, 2H), 7.50 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 4.32 – 4.20 (m, 4H), 3.66 (p,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.76 (q,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 2.59 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 3H).



## B. Metabolite M2

Molecular Formula:  $C_{15}H_{20}N_2O_3$


Average Mass: 276.33

Monoisotopic Mass: 276.14739

HRMS (ESI/Q-TOF)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^+$  Calculated for  $C_{15}H_{21}N_2O_3$  277.1547; Found 277.1585.

$^1H$  NMR (400 MHz,  $DMSO-d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.17 (s, 1H), 11.28 (s, 1H), 10.21 – 9.91 (m, 1H), 7.58 (d,  $J = 1.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.52 (dd,  $J = 8.0, 1.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.42 – 7.34 (m, 1H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 4.30 – 4.16 (m, 4H), 3.63 (s, 1H), 2.72 (q,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.16 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 3H).





### C. Metabolite M3

Molecular Formula: C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>43</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>10</sub>


Average Mass: 708.72


Monoisotopic Mass: 708.28698

HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> Calculated for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>44</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>10</sub> 709.2943; Found 709.2921.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 12.77 (s, 1H), 10.39 (s, 1H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.63 (s, 2H), 7.55 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (s, 2H), 4.47 (s, 2H), 4.38 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (dd, J = 10.1, 8.5 Hz, 4H), 3.73 – 3.69 (m, 1H), 3.67 (m, 1H),

3.64 (d,  $J = 9.6$  Hz, 1H), 3.32 (t,  $J = 9.3$  Hz, 1H), 3.18 (t,  $J = 9.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.97 (t,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.78 (t,  $J = 11.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.71 (q,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.19 – 2.11 (m, 1H), 2.06 – 1.99 (m, 1H), 1.78 – 1.57 (m, 4H), 1.37 (qd,  $J = 16.1, 14.2, 6.2$  Hz, 1H), 1.28 (tdd,  $J = 14.9, 9.9, 4.0$  Hz, 1H), 1.15 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 3H).





## D. Metabolite M4a


Molecular Formula:  $C_{29}H_{35}F_3N_2O_7S$ 


Average Mass: 612.66

Monoisotopic Mass: 612.21171

HRMS (ESI/QTOF)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^+$  Calculated for  $C_{29}H_{36}F_3N_2O_7S$  613.2190; Found 613.2184.

$^1H$  NMR (600 MHz,  $DMSO-d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.67 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d,  $J = 10.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd,  $J = 7.8, 1.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 5.21 (s, 2H), 4.14 (tt,  $J = 11.3, 4.3$  Hz, 1H), 3.60 (s, 2H), 3.42 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 3.25 (t,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 2H), 3.19 (p,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.76 (t,  $J = 11.7$  Hz, 1H), 2.63 (q,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 2H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 2.17 – 2.09 (m, 2H), 1.73 – 1.57 (m, 4H), 1.31 (qd,  $J = 12.5, 3.9$  Hz, 2H), 1.13 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 3H).





## E. Metabolite M4b


Molecular Formula:  $C_{29}H_{35}F_3N_2O_7S$ 

Average Mass: 612.66


Monoisotopic Mass: 612.21171

HRMS (ESI/QTOF)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^+$  Calculated for  $C_{29}H_{36}F_3N_2O_7S$  613.2190; Found 613.2183.

$^1H$  NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.68 (d,  $J = 9.1$  Hz, 2H), 7.55 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 7.46 – 7.42 (m, 1H), 7.32 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.09 (s, 2H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 4.39 (t,  $J = 2.9$  Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 2H), 3.72 (s, 2H), 3.59 (s, 2H), 3.37 (p,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.82 (t,  $J = 12.3$  Hz, 1H), 2.67 (q,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 2.14 – 2.01 (m, 2H), 1.80 (qd,  $J = 15.3, 14.5, 3.7$  Hz, 2H), 1.55 – 1.39 (m, 4H), 1.15 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 3H).







## F. Metabolite M4c


Molecular Formula:  $C_{29}H_{35}F_3N_2O_7S$ 


Average Mass: 612.66

Monoisotopic Mass: 612.21171

HRMS (ESI/QTOF)  $m/z$ :  $[M + H]^+$  Calculated for  $C_{29}H_{36}F_3N_2O_7S$  613.2190; Found 613.2188.

$^1H$  NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.69 (s, 1H), 7.66 (s, 2H), 7.48 (d,  $J = 1.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.44 (dd,  $J = 8.1, 1.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.10 (s, 2H), 5.23 (s, 2H), 4.05 (tt,  $J = 11.0, 4.3$  Hz, 1H), 3.94 (s, 2H), 3.74 (s, 2H), 3.62 (s, 2H), 3.38 (p,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.85 (t,  $J = 11.9$  Hz, 1H), 2.67 (q,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 2H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 2.09 (td,  $J = 13.7, 12.5, 3.8$  Hz, 2H), 1.81 (dt,  $J = 12.5, 3.3$  Hz, 1H), 1.60 (d,  $J = 12.0$  Hz, 1H), 1.51 (q,  $J = 11.9$  Hz, 1H), 1.46 – 1.34 (m, 2H), 1.27 (tdd,  $J = 17.2, 9.5, 6.1$  Hz, 1H), 1.15 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 3H).





## G. Metabolite M5


Molecular Formula: C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>35</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>


Average Mass: 532.59

Monoisotopic Mass: 532.25489

HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> Calculated for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>36</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 533.2622; Found 533.2584.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (s, 2H), 4.62 (s, 1H), 3.55 (s, 2H), 3.51 (tt, *J* = 10.8, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.39 – 3.35 (m, 2H), 3.23 – 3.15 (m, 3H), 2.73 (t, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 1.93 (dd, *J* = 13.1, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 1.71 – 1.64 (m, 2H), 1.60 (qd, *J* = 13.0, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 1.23 (qd, *J* = 12.8, 3.7 Hz, 2H), 1.14 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H)





## H. Metabolite M6

Molecular Formula: C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>35</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>


Average Mass: 532.59


Monoisotopic Mass: 532.25489

HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> Calculated for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>36</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 533.2622; Found 533.2665.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.68 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.67 – 7.64 (m, 1H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 3.47 (tq, *J* = 10.9, 4.3, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 3.20 – 3.13 (m, 2H), 3.10 (p, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (t, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 1.87 (dd, *J* = 18.2, 12.4 Hz, 2H), 1.78 (dq, *J* = 12.9, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.58 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 1.47 – 1.29 (m, 3H), 1.20 (qd, *J* = 12.4, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.13 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H).







## I. Metabolite M7


Molecular Formula: C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>35</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>


Average Mass: 532.59

Monoisotopic Mass: 532.25489

HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> Calculated for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>36</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 533.2622; Found 533.2626.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 7.70 – 7.64 (m, 2H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 4.44 (s, 1H), 3.91 (t, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (s, 2H), 3.37 (m<sub>c</sub>, 2H), 3.22 – 3.15 (m, 3H), 2.81 (t, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 1.92 (qd, *J* = 12.8, 3.4 Hz, 2H), 1.77 (dd, *J* = 13.0, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 1.51 (tt, *J* = 13.6, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 1.46 – 1.39 (m, 2H), 1.14 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H).





## J. Metabolite M8


Molecular Formula:  $C_{15}H_{21}NO_3$ 

Average Mass: 263.33

Monoisotopic Mass: 263.15214

HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z:  $[M + H]^+$  Calculated for  $C_{15}H_{22}NO_3$  264.1594; Found 264.1609.

$^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.11 (s, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 1H), 4.70 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 4.21 (m<sub>c</sub>, 4H), 3.61 (m<sub>c</sub>, 1H), 2.69 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.31 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.15 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H).



## K. Metabolite M17

Molecular Formula:  $C_{56}H_{79}F_3N_2O_3$ 

Average Mass: 885.23

Monoisotopic Mass: 884.60428

HRMS (ESI/QTOF) m/z:  $[M + H]^+$  Calculated for  $C_{56}H_{80}F_3N_2O_3$  885.6116; Found 885.6105.

$^1H$  NMR (600 MHz, Chloroform-d)  $\delta$  7.65 (s, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.45 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.34 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (s, 2H), 4.63 (td, J = 11.1, 10.6, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.86 – 3.53 (m, 4H), 3.38 (d, J = 24.6 Hz, 3H), 2.93 (t, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 2.71 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.38 – 2.28 (m, 2H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 2.07 – 1.93 (m, 2H), 1.90 – 1.80 (m, 6H), 1.77 (d, J = 13.1 Hz, 1H), 1.65 – 1.24 (m, 17H), 1.23 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.20 – 1.04 (m, 7H), 1.03 – 1.00 (m, 4H), 1.00 – 0.93 (m, 2H), 0.92 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H), 0.68 (s, 3H).



