IN VITRO FLOW CYTOMETRY METHOD TO QUANTITATIVELY ASSESS INHIBITORS OF P-GLYCOPROTEIN
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ABSTRACT:

P-glycoprotein (Pgp)-mediated drug efflux is a major factor contributing to the variance of absorption and distribution of many drugs (Hall et al., 1999). A simple and reliable in vitro method to identify inhibitors of Pgp helps to prevent the potential of drug interactions. Using daunorubicin as a fluorescent marker and vanadate as a positive control compound, a functional flow cytometry method for assessing the capacity of a drug to inhibit Pgp-mediated drug efflux from CR1R12 multidrug-resistant cells has been evaluated. Quantitation of the relative fluorescence was used to compare potency of individual inhibitors. Known Pgp inhibitors, such as cyclosporin A, nicardipine, verapamil, quinidine, terfenadine, tamoxifen, and vinblastine were demonstrated to inhibit the Pgp-mediated efflux of daunorubicin. Cyclosporin A and terfenadine were the most potent inhibitors among the compounds tested. Tetraphenylphosphonium and α-tocopherol had little inhibitory effect. Progesterone produced significant inhibition at relatively high concentrations. This study demonstrated that this in vitro flow cytometry method is a simple, sensitive, and quantitative tool to assess the capacity of a drug to inhibit Pgp transporters, and is useful for screening or identifying inhibitors of Pgp as well as evaluation of potential for drug interactions.

One of the main obstacles to successful cancer chemotherapy is the development of multiple drug resistance (MDR) to a variety of structurally unrelated cytotoxic drugs. Overexpression of P-glycoprotein (Pgp; 170–180 kDa), the product of the MDR1 gene, is the most commonly observed characteristic of multidrug-resistant cells grown in vitro (Gottesman and Pastan, 1993; Ling, 1995; Schinkel et al., 1995) and in a number of tumors (Redmond et al., 1991; Decker et al., 1995). Pgp, an ATP-dependent multidrug pump belonging to the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) superfamily of proteins (Hyde et al., 1990), protects cells from cytotoxic compounds by transporting them out of the cells and reducing the intracellular levels below their effective concentrations. Physiologically, Pgp is widely expressed in the gastrointestinal cells of intestine, liver and kidney, and in the endothelial cells of brain and placenta. The broad substrate specificity and distinctive expression locations suggest that Pgp may have a direct role in the absorption and disposition of many drugs and xenobiotics, and is quickly becoming recognized as a critical factor in the disposition of many drugs and xenobiotics. Consequently, the inhibition of this membrane transporter could result in far reaching implications, including drug interactions.

A large number of compounds that interact with the Pgp efflux pump have been identified, and some are under development as drugs. These compounds have no common chemical structural features except for hydrophobicity. Some of them are positively charged at physiological pH (Chin et al., 1993). The early generation of modulators of Pgp, such as cyclosporin A (Sonneveld et al., 1992), verapamil (Watanabe et al., 1995), and quinidine (Wishart et al., 1992), failed to show clinical significance due to limited efficacy and their own dose-related toxicity or profound alterations in pharmacokinetics when used in combination with anticancer drugs. The more recently developed modulators possess a higher affinity for Pgp; however, their efficacy is still under clinical evaluation. Examples of this class include the cyclosporin A analog PSC 833 (Keller et al., 1992), the acridonecarboxamide GF120918 (Hyafil et al., 1993), LY335979 (Slate et al., 1995), the triazinoaminopiperidine derivative S9788 (Merlin et al., 1995), a yohimbine analog, trimethoxybenzoylohydroxybimine (Pearce et al., 1989), and other compounds, including MS-073 (Sato et al., 1991) and the R-isomer of verapamil (Toffoli et al., 1995).

To assess the capacity of a drug to inhibit Pgp-mediated drug efflux from multidrug-resistant cells, a sensitive and reliable method must be developed. Previously, functional flow cytometry assays using a fluorescent marker (rhodamine) have been used to evaluate the inhibitory potential of Pgp modulators in cells expressing Pgp. It is recognized that test compounds affect the active Pgp-mediated transport of rhodamine 123 and daunorubicin (DNR) differently as there are multiple binding sites on Pgp for the substrates with one selective for rhodamine 123 (Shapiro and Ling, 1997). In this study, DNR was used as a fluorescent marker and vanadate as a positive control agent. Use of the positive control (inactivator) makes it possible to quantitatively assess the potency and efficacy of known Pgp inhibitors and unknown test drugs to inhibit the Pgp-mediated DNR efflux. Therefore, this method provides a more rigorous means to evaluate the potential and efficiency of drugs as inhibitors of the Pgp transporter as well as offer quantitation.
Materials and Methods

Chemicals. DNR, verapamil, vinblastine, colchicine, quinidine, progesterone, gramicidin, nicardipine, α-tocopherol, EGTA, EDTA, TRIZMA base, HEPES, and cyclosporin A were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Hanks’ balanced salt solution, α-minimum essential medium (α-MEM), Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, penicillin/streptomycin, fetal bovine serum (FBS), and trypsin-EDTA were obtained from Life Technologies, Inc. (Rockville, MD). Sodium orthovanadate was purchased from Pfaltz & Bauer Inc. (Waterbury, CT). Tetraphenylphosphonium (TPP) was obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI). Microplates (Costar 96-well), plastic tubes, and cell culture flasks (75 cm²) were purchased from Corning.

Fig. 1. Intracellular retention of DNR from multidrug-resistant CR1R12 cells in the presence of 0.1 μM DNR (a), 1 μM DNR (b), and 5 μM DNR (c).

Cells were treated as described in Materials and Methods before the flow cytometry analysis. Histograms represent the cell counter numbers versus fluorescent intensity expressed as log relative fluorescence. In each figure, four overlaid histograms represent, from left to right, the peak from autofluorescent control cells without DNR (No DNR), the peak from 30-min efflux cells (Eff), the peak from 30-min accumulation cells (Acc), and the peak from cells coincubated with 1 μM verapamil (Ver). The geometric means of fluorescent intensity for No DNR, Eff, Acc, and Ver are 22.54, 23.52, 27.11, and 40.88, respectively, in 1a; 22.54, 59.44, 108.98, and 218.74, respectively, in 1b; and 22.54, 251.00, 513.15, and 1104.05, respectively, in 1c.

Fig. 2. Effect of verapamil (0–100 μM) on intracellular retention of DNR in CR1R12 cells either in the accumulation phase (a) and/or in the efflux phase (b).

Histograms represent the cell counter numbers versus fluorescent intensity expressed as log relative fluorescence. The geometric means of fluorescent intensity for No DNR, 0, 10, 20, and 100 μM verapamil are 29.22, 459.91, 1738.99, 2986.73 and 4692.86, respectively, in 2a; for No DNR, 0, 2, 10, 20, and 100 μM are 29.22, 98.19, 144.83, 586.59, 1602.54, and 3324.83, respectively, in 2b.

Fig. 3. Effect of vanadate on intracellular retention of DNR in CR1R12 cells.

Graph represents fluorescent intensity expressed as relative fluorescence (%) inhibition versus concentrations of vanadate.
centrifuged (50 g for 3 min) at room temperature and resuspended in 10% FBS MEM. Cells were grown to 80 to 90% confluency and treated with colchicine (0.5 μg/ml) to reduce cell proliferation. Cells were then incubated in the presence of 0.1 to 5 μM DNR for 60 min. After incubation, the media was removed and the cells were reincubated in fresh medium containing DNR for an additional 30 min. The cell suspension was then transferred to FACS tubes, which were placed on ice (less than 1 h) until analysis.

**Cell Viability Test.** Cell viability was tested with 0.4% trypan blue and then propidium iodide staining. Dead cells in which propidium iodide was bound to double stranded DNA or RNA were detected in certain regions of cytometry dot plots and were not included in the final data calculations.

**Calculation of Relative Fluorescence Channel Numbers.** The DNR fluorescence intensities of individual cells are recorded as histograms. The mean fluorescence intensity of 10,000 cells is used for comparison among different conditions. Vanadate was selected as a positive control and standard compound because it can maximally inactivate the Pgp efflux pump. Figure 3 shows vanadate (5 mM) reaching maximal geometric mean fluorescence for DNR retention. This concentration was therefore used in each set of experiments. Relative fluorescence was used for quantitation and comparison between different compounds. The relative fluorescence (percent inactivation) represents a ratio obtained through the following formula: the geometric mean (because the data is acquired as a logarithm) fluorescence of a discrete sample divided by the geometric mean fluorescence in the presence of 5 mM vanadate, times 100 or expressed as:

\[
\text{Relative fluorescence} = \frac{\text{Fluorescence of sample geometric mean}}{\text{Fluorescence of vanadate geometric mean}} \times 100
\]

The denominator represents inactivation or complete preclusion of the function of Pgp active efflux. The numerator is the resulting signal caused by test compound inhibiting the function of Pgp active efflux. Therefore, this ratio is a factor that normalizes the degree of inhibition by the compound relative to the total absence of Pgp function and allows for normalization of the results across sample sets.

**Results**

**DNR Dose-Dependent Fluorescence Intensity in CR1R12 Cells.** Three concentrations (0.1, 1, and 5 μM) of DNR were tested in viable CR1R12 cells for their fluorescence intensity at the end of a 30-min accumulation phase, at the end of a 30-min efflux phase, and at the end of a 30-min efflux phase with 1 μM verapamil. When a DNR concentration of 0.1 μM was incubated with the CR1R12 cells, there was no significant difference. When the DNR concentration was increased to 1 μM (Fig. 1b), there was a marked difference between the accumulation and efflux phases. The geometric means of fluorescent intensity for efflux, accumulation, and verapamil are 59, 109, and 219 in 1b, respectively. The separation was even greater at 5 μM DNR (Fig. 1c). These results indicated that a concentration of between 1 and 5 μM DNR provides reasonable fluorescence to distinguish differences, thereby making this range suitable for additional inhibition studies. Additionally, this indicates that as much as 5 μM was not cytotoxic over the duration of the experiment based on the ability of the cell to retain DNR. However, to avoid potential cytotoxic effects, a concentration of 2 μM was considered optimal. This is very conservative as the ED_{50} for DNR is 26.5 μM in this MDR cell line (Al-Shawi and Senior, 1993; The ED_{50} is the concentration of drug required to reduce colony formation to 50% of that observed in the absence of the drug.).

**Comparison of Effects of Drug Influx and Efflux.** When DNR, a Pgp substrate, was coinubated with a Pgp inhibitor or test compound in the cell culture, the test compound might interact with Pgp and affect transport of DNR. During the accumulation phase, the concentration of DNR is higher outside the cell. Because the passive diffusion of DNR into cells and the Pgp-mediated efflux are in opposite directions, the inhibition of the Pgp pump caused a relative increase in DNR levels within the cells. In the efflux phase, the concentration of DNR is lower outside the cell, and thus the direction of the passive diffusion and the Pgp-mediated efflux is the same. When the Pgp
Fig. 5. Effect of drugs or chemicals on intracellular retention of DNR in CRI12 cells.

Graphs showing fluorescent intensity expressed as relative fluorescence (% inhibition) in the presence of different concentrations of inhibitors.
pump is inhibited in the efflux phase, DNR exits the cell primarily by passive diffusion. As a result, DNR retention in the efflux phase (Fig. 2b) was lower compared with that in the accumulation phase (Fig. 2a) at given concentrations of verapamil. Increasing concentrations of verapamil caused a concentration-dependent increase in fluorescent intensity in both the accumulation and efflux phases with slightly greater separation during the efflux phase. Although DNR and verapamil are known to be substrates of the Pgp efflux enzyme, a nonPgp substrate fluorescent indicator was used to further test for a nonspecific effect on DNR retention by verapamil and the other test compounds. The presence of saturating inhibition concentrations (see below) of cyclosporin A (50 μM), quinidine (100 μM), verapamil (100 μM), ketoconazole (100 μM), terfenadine (25 μM), progesterone (500 μM), had no detectable effect on the retention of resorufin fluorescence, which has similar fluorescence qualities as DNR (data not shown).

Effects of Vanadate on Accumulation of DNR in CR1R12 Cells. Vanadate is a competitive inhibitor of the Pgp-ATPase at the ATP binding site and, therefore, is distinct from other MDR1 transport site inhibitors. As shown in Fig. 3, vanadate caused a concentration-dependent increase in DNR retention in CR1R12 cells. Vanadate concentrations less than 100 μM had little effect on DNR retention. However, vanadate concentrations between 100 and 12,000 μM produced a sigmoidal DNR retention response curve, with maximal accumulation at ~5 mM.

Time Dependence of DNR Influx and Efflux in CR1R12 Cells. DNR diffused into CR1R12 cells very rapidly. Within 2 to 5 min, the accumulation of DNR reached a plateau as shown in Fig. 4a. To assure maximal retention of DNR, subsequent experiments were allowed to incubate for 30 min. During the efflux phase (Fig. 4b), the time required to reach steady state with respect to DNR was between 10 and 15 min. For most of the assays, 30 min were allowed for DNR efflux.

Potency and Efficacy of Known Pgp Inhibitors on DNR Efflux. To examine the inhibition of the efflux of DNR via Pgp, a number of potential inhibitors were tested (Fig. 5, a–l). The efflux inhibition potency of each compound was compared with that of vanadate. In general, all compounds tested demonstrated only partial inhibition of efflux. Increasing the concentration of most inhibitors increased the intracellular retention of DNR. After reaching a point of maximal inhibition, the inhibitory effect decreased dramatically for most compounds at higher concentrations. For a limited number of compounds, a stable plateau was achieved at higher concentrations (verapamil, for example). Cyclosporin A and progesterone (Fig. 5, f and h) produced a significant inhibition, which was approximately 75 and 60% of that observed with vanadate, respectively. Verapamil and terfenadine achieved 40 to 50% inhibition relative to vanadate. Vinblastine, quinidine, tamoxifen, and nicardipine produced 30 to 40% relative inhibition (Fig. 5, a, b, d, and i). Gramicidin (Fig. 5j) had a slight inhibitory effect (14%), and TPP and α-tocopherol (Fig. 5, k and l) had no significant inhibitory effect on DNR efflux.

Discussion
To be suitable for a functional flow cytometry assay, a Pgp marker must satisfy several criteria: 1) it should be primarily transported by the Pgp pump; 2) it should be a good fluorescent marker, and 3) its rate of passive diffusion should be slow compared with the rate of Pgp-mediated active transport. In earlier drug efflux functional assays, DNR was used for determination of cellular drug retention (Krishan and Ganapathi, 1980; Nooter et al., 1983; Krishan et al., 1987; Ross et al., 1995; Baggetto et al., 1998) and for evaluation of Pgp phenotypes in clinical samples (Chin-Yee et al., 1994; Maynadie et al., 1996).
with respect to inhibition of the Pgp transporter, the combination of the accumulation and efflux assays is desirable.

Use of cell lines expressing different levels of Pgp may result in differences in the potency determined for related inhibitors. Quantitative values such as IC50 from CR1R12 cells could not be directly compared with those from other cell lines. When compounds are tested in the same cell system for the purpose of comparison, it is important to assess the relative potency of the inhibitors. This study demonstrates that most of the known MDR inhibitors are partial inhibitors of DNR efflux. Exposure to quinidine, nicardipine, vinblastine, and tamoxifen resulted in 33% inhibition of DNR efflux activity. Terfenadine and verapamil blocked 42% of DNR efflux. In contrast to previous reports, which indicated that TFP and α-tocopherol were Pgp modulators (Gros et al., 1992; Relling, 1996; Hall et al., 1999), these studies demonstrated that these compounds do not significantly affect the efflux of DNR. Among compounds tested to date, only cyclosporin A and progesterone achieved greater than 50% inhibition. Most of the inhibitors did not reach a level of 50% inhibition, and the effective concentrations were in the micromolar range. This may explain the limited clinical significance when these inhibitors are used in combination chemotherapy.

In conclusion, this study provides an improved method for assessing the potency of a candidate drug to inhibit the Pgp-mediated efflux pump. With DNR as a fluorescent marker and vanadate as a positive control compound, most known Pgp inhibitors were classified as partial, low-potency antagonists. A significant improvement in chemotherapeutic may need to include a search for more potent Pgp inhibitors.
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