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ABSTRACT:

A thermostable phenol sulfotransferase, SULT1A1, has been implicated in numerous detoxification and bioactivation pathways; however, little is known regarding its endogenous function or its putative role in mediating risk for human environmental disease. A simple endpoint colorimetric assay is described that can be used for rapid phenotyping of SULT1A1 activity in human populations. The assay utilizes a microtiter-plate format and relatively small amounts of platelet cytosol-derived enzyme. The enzyme catalyzes the synthesis of 2-naphthylsulfate from 2-naphthol and 5'-phosphoehydrogenosine 3'-phosphosulfate (PAPS), whereas addition of p-nitrophenyl sulfate to the assay contributes to an effective PAPS-regenerating system. In contrast to other sulfotransferase assay methods, 3'-phosphoehydrogenosine 5'-phosphate (PAP) does not accumulate during the incubation to interfere with enzyme activity, but instead serves as a cofactor to cause the removal of sulfate from p-nitrophenyl sulfate to regenerate PAPS. This reaction concomitantly results in generation of p-nitrophenol that can be quantified colorimetrically at 405 nm (ε = 18,200 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) to give an indirect measure of sulfotransferase activity. Using platelet enzyme preparations from adult human subjects, sulfation rates of two prototypical thermostable phenol sulfotransferase substrates (2-naphthol and p-nitrophenol) and one thermolabile phenol sulfotransferase substrate (dopamine) were determined using standard radiochemical protocols. These data were then compared with results from the colorimetric assay using 2-naphthol as substrate. There was a good correlation between the phenotyping assay and radiochemical assays for both 2-naphthol sulfotransferase and p-nitrophenol sulfotransferase activity (r = 0.85 and 0.69, respectively). However, SULT1A1 activity was approximately 10 to 20 times higher with the colorimetric determination. As anticipated, there was no correlation between SULT1A1 activity and dopamine sulfotransferase activity (r = 0.07) in these human platelet preparations. This inexpensive and rapid method for phenotyping SULT1A1 activity may help investigators assess a role for this enzyme in disease susceptibility.

Sulfation is a mechanism by which a wide variety of hormones, neurotransmitters, drugs, and xenobiotic compounds are detoxified (Jakoby and Zeigler, 1990). Alternatively, sulfation can be an important mechanism of bioactivation, because reactive sulfuric esters of neurotransmitters, drugs, and xenobiotic compounds are detoxified (Jakoby and Zeigler, 1990). Additionally, sulfation can be an important mechanism of bioactivation, because reactive sulfuric esters of neurotransmitters, drugs, and xenobiotic compounds are detoxified (Jakoby and Zeigler, 1990).
ever, of these, only SULT1A1 is expressed at appreciable levels in human liver (Ozawa et al., 1998; named ST1A3 in this reference). Anderson et al. (1998) have further reported the purification of a single major thermostable PST, presumably SULT1A1, in human platelets. The thermolabile SULT1A3, as measured by dopamine sulfotransferase activity, is also expressed in significant amounts in human platelets (Anderson et al., 1998). However, on a per milligram of protein basis, both SULT1A1 and SULT1A3 are expressed at relatively lower levels in platelets as compared with human liver. Other sulfotransferase isoforms have not been reported in human platelets.

Disease mechanisms related to SULT1A1 and SULT1A3 expression have been studied previously using human peripheral blood. Weinshilboum and collaborators reported good concordance between thermostable PST activity in human platelets and jejunal mucosa, liver, and cerebral cortex; however, there was no such correlation for the thermolabile PST in platelets and these tissues (Weinshilboum, 1988; Sundaram et al., 1989; Weinshilboum, 1990). Thus, the coordinate regulation between SULT1A1 in both liver and blood platelets provides the opportunity for the utilization of minimally invasive phenotyping methods for estimating hepatic SULT1A1 levels and hence its role in carcinogen and drug metabolism.

Pharmacogenetic studies of platelet-derived SULT1A1 and SULT1A3 activities further indicate that the activities of these two isoforms are regulated by separate genetic mechanisms or polymorphisms (Price et al., 1988; Rafgogianis et al., 1996). With respect to SULT1A1 activity, this may account, at least in part, for up to a 50-fold individual variability in phenotype (Rafgogianis et al., 1997). A largely genetic basis for variability in human SULT1A1 activity is also supported by the recent characterization of multiple variant alleles (Henkel et al., 1995; Weinshilboum et al., 1997; Ozawa et al., 1998). Less is known about host-specific and environmental factors that impact on sulfotransferase expression and possibly contribute to disease susceptibility. However, numerous studies in animal, human, and cell models suggest modulation by gender, hormones, disease, developmental factors, hypoxia, diet, season, and xenobiotic exposure (reviewed by Coughtrie et al., 1998).

At present, the link between SULT1A1 activity and risk for human disease is relatively unexplored. The standard phenotyping assay involves the use of expensive radioactive substrates and labor-intensive precipitation and centrifugation steps, factors that limit its application to large scale phenotyping studies. However, in this report, a simple and reproducible endpoint colorimetric assay is described that has been adapted to a 96-well microtiter plate format. The assay was validated by measuring 2-naphthol sulfation rates in preparations of normal human platelets (n = 8), by correlating these results to those obtained using standard radiochemical methods, and by product identification using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Three prototypical SULT substrates have been used to verify that the colorimetric assay is specific for SULT1A1, and not for SULT1A2 or SULT1A3, and were supported by measurements of either SULT mRNA or immunoreactive protein and by the use of specific inhibitors. These validation studies are critical for showing that the colorimetric assay is a useful and inexpensive alternative, particularly for SULT1A1 phenotyping of population-based molecular epidemiological studies.

Materials and Methods

Reagents. The following chemicals (and their sources) were obtained commercially: phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4; #1000-3), trisodium citrate, citric acid, triethanolamine, 2-mercaptoethanol, acetylsalicylic acid, 2-naphthol, potassium monobasic and dibasic phosphate, magnesium chloride, 5'-phosphoaldehyde-3'-phosphosulfate (PAPS), p-nitrophenylsulfate, pargyline, dopamine, barium hydroxide, zinc chloride, and barium acetate from the Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO); N,N-dimethylformamide, triethyamine, and 1,3-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide from Aldrich Chemical Co., Inc. (Milwaukee, WI); dextrose from Fisher Scientific (Houston, TX); sucrose from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA); and [35S]PAPS from New England Nuclear, Inc. (Boston, MA). Rabbit anti-human SULT1B1/2 (Wang et al., 1998) was kindly provided by Dr. Charles Falany (University of Alabama at Birmingham).

The citrate/phosphate-buffered saline was prepared by constituting a 15:85 (v/v) mixture of 1) 20 mM sodium citrate buffer, pH 7.4, containing 20 mM dextrose with 2) phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4). 2-Naphthylsulfate was prepared by condensation with sulfuric acid using dicyclohexylcarbodiimide. Briefly, 28.8 mg of 2-naphthol was dissolved in 10 ml of dimethylformamide, 13 µl of concentrated H2SO4 was added, and this was followed by 226 mg of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide. The insoluble dicyclohexylurea was removed by centrifugation; triethyamine (45 µl) was added to the supernatant to form the triethyamine salt and the 2-naphthylsulfate product was crystallized by cooling the solution on ice. The yield was 40%, and the product was judged to be >99% pure by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry [vide infra; (M+H)]+ = 225].

Human Subjects and Blood Processing. To assess intraindividual variability in platelet PST activities, blood platelets were isolated (on four separate occasions at weekly intervals) from healthy human volunteers, 24 to 65 years old, who were participants in an ongoing colon cancer case control study. For each individual, approximately 24 to 32 ml of blood was collected in four to six Vacutainer tubes (“yellow-top ACD” tubes; Becton-Dickinson, from Fisher Scientific, Houston, TX). The tubes were maintained at room temperature with gentle rocking for up to 24 h before processing. Isolation of the platelets from blood cells was then carried out by differential centrifugation, also at room temperature. Briefly, blood lymphocytes and platelets were collected from the upper interface of discontinuous Histopaque gradients (polysucrose; Sigma) that were prepared in four to six (15 ml) conical polystyrene centrifuge tubes according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Three citrate/phosphate-buffered saline washes, interspersed with 150 centrifugation steps, removed contaminating lymphocytes from platelets, which were retained in the supernatants. The washes were pooled in 50-ml polystyrene centrifuge tubes, and the platelets were sedimented by centrifugation at 500g. The purified platelet pellets were then resuspended in buffer (10 mM triethanolamine-HCl buffer, 0.25 M sucrose, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, and 67 µM acetylsalicylic acid, pH 7.4) and transferred to standard microcentrifuge tubes. A small aliquot was set aside for determination of platelet yield and purity (Model STKS; Coulter Corp., Irving, TX). By this method, isolated platelets routinely showed negligible contamination with other cell types (≤0.08%). Platelets used in correlation studies were obtained from these and additional control subjects participating in an ongoing colon cancer case control study.

Human liver were obtained as surgical samples from the John L. McClellan Memorial Veterans’ Hospital and the U.S. Cooperative Tissue Network. These tissues were excess surgical samples that were immediately frozen in liquid N2 and stored at −80°C before use. Cytosols were prepared as described by Chou et al. (1995a,b).

Immunoblotting for SULT1B2 was carried out by the method of Towbin et al. (1979) using 1:1000-fold dilution of the anti-SULT1B1/2. Detection of the immunoreactive bands was performed using the ECL chemiluminescence method from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech (Arlington Heights, IL).

Determination of SULT1A1, SULT1A2, and SULT1A3 mRNA transcripts in human platelets was performed according to the method of Ozawa et al. (1998). Total RNA was isolated from platelets by the acid guanidinium thiocyanate method using TriReagent (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA (10 µg) was reverse-transcribed using the Promega (Madison, WI) Access reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction system, which uses avian myeloblastosis virus reverse transcriptase for first strand cDNA synthesis. Second strand synthesis and subsequent DNA amplification were catalyzed by the thermostable Tfl DNA polymerase from Thermus flavus using the specific primers described in Ozawa et al. (1998).

Preparation of Platelet Samples for PST Assays. After addition of a...
sonication solution (0.1 ml/ml) containing 1 M KCl, 10 mM EDTA, and 0.3% 2-mercaptoethanol, purified platelet suspensions were subjected to three 3-s “bursts” of a sonicator, while on ice. Supernatants were recovered after a 10-min spin in a refrigerated microcentrifuge (14,000 g, 4°C), removing intact cells and most subcellular membrane contaminants that contribute to optical interference. In some experiments, a further ultracentrifugation step (100,000 g for 1 h, 4°C) replaced the microcentrifuge spin. If time permits, this step is recommended, because it minimizes potential optical interference in some samples. For either platelet supernatant isolation procedure, several aliquots were prepared and frozen at −80°C for up to 3 months without any detectable effects on enzyme activities. Samples were subjected to a single freeze-thaw cycle before assay.

Comparison of SULT1A1 Activity by Colorimetric and Radiochemical Assays. In the colorimetric assay, SULT1A1 activity was measured as the release of p-nitrophenol from a PAPS-regenerating system originally developed by Mulder et al. (1977). The basis for the SULT1A1 phenotyping assay is depicted in Fig. 1A. Although some overlapping substrate specificity has been reported for various sulfotransferase isoforms, reported $K_m$ values are approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferred substrates (Veronese et al., 1994). For approximately two orders of magnitude lower for reactions with prototypical substrates compared with nonpreferen...
SULT1A1 is the only isoform contributing to this assay in platelet cytosol.

**Substrate Specificity.** To validate the use of this phenotype (colorimetric) assay for SULT1A1 activity in platelet fractions, a series of comparisons were made to assess the correlation with standard radiochemical PST assays. As shown in Fig. 4A, a high degree of correlation \( r = 0.87 \) was found between 2-naphthol PST activities measured colorimetrically and by radiochemical detection \( n = 8 \). Note that actual values were much higher in the colorimetric assay \( 0.2 - 3.7 \text{ nmol/min/mg} \) than in the corresponding radiochemical assay \( 15 - 170 \text{ pmol/min/mg} \) for the same samples.

The correlation was also good \( r = 0.69 \) between the platelet phenotyping assay and \( p \)-nitrophenol PST measured radiochemically (Fig. 4B), and this correlation was identical with that for 2-naphthol PST versus \( p \)-nitrophenol PST, both measured radiochemically (Fig. 4C). As anticipated, the lowest correlations were found for comparisons of 2-naphthol and dopamine sulfotransferase activities. Whether 2-naphthol ST activity was measured colorimetrically or radiochemically (Fig. 5, A and B, respectively), the correlation with dopamine ST activity was very poor. The correlation coefficient was 0.07 when 2-naphthol PST was measured by the colorimetric assay and was 0.16 when 2-naphthol PST was measured by the standard radiochemical assay. This confirmed that the colorimetric assay selectively measures SULT1A1 activity and not SULT1A2 or SULT1A3 activity.

**Intraindividual Variability.** Figure 6 shows the relatively low intraindividual variability when healthy human adult volunteers were phenotyped for SULT1A1 on four occasions, with sampling at weekly intervals. Individuals that had the highest sulfotransferase activities on the initial assay also ranked highest on subsequent assays. Likewise, low 2-naphthol sulfotransferase activity was a good correlate of the slow SULT1A1 phenotype, whether based on single or multiple samples.
Intraindividual and intra-assay variabilities were very low with few identifiable technical problems for effective phenotyping. As with other experimental approaches, these results support the idea that SULT1A1 expression has a strong genetic component. It is interesting that certain sulfotransferase isoforms in humans and animals are modulated by season (Marazziti et al., 1995), hormones (Runge-Morris, 1998), disease state (el Mouelhi et al., 1987), diet, and other factors (Burchell and Coughtrie, 1997). To date, nongenetic factors that modulate SULT1A1 activity have not been reported. However, using this simple phenotyping assay, nongenetic as well as genetic factors may be explored readily. For example, the assay may be useful for screening variant SULT1A1 allele(s) to correlate with SULT1A1 activity and risk for human disease. It may also be useful for characterization of age- and disease-associated alterations of this xenobiotic-metabolizing enzyme.

The assay described in this report is inexpensive and simple to perform. The microtiter format greatly decreases the assay time per sample, such that in a few days to weeks, several hundred cytosol samples may be measured for SULT1A1 activity. For example, using this phenotyping technique, we were able to complete phenotyping of 367 individual blood samples in 10 working days (Frame et al., 1997). Although other methods for measurement of PST activities have been proposed (Arand et al., 1987; Ramaswamy and Jakoby, 1987; Beckmann, 1991; Anderson et al., 1998), these have not been validated for SULT1A1 for use in any human epidemiological study. It is thus

---

**Fig. 4.** Correlation plots between the colorimetric platelet phenotyping assay and the radiochemical assay for 2-naphthol (A) and p-nitrophenol (B) and between 2-naphthol and p-nitrophenol using the radiochemical assay (C).

Statistical analyses were conducted using the Spearman rank order correlation test. Platelet cytosols from the same eight donors were used for all the assays shown in Figs. 2 and 3. ST, sulfotransferase activity.

**Fig. 5.** Correlation plots between the colorimetric phenotyping assay (A) and the radiochemical assay (B) using 2-naphthol and dopamine as substrates.

Statistical analyses were conducted using the Spearman rank order correlation test. Platelet cytosols from the same eight subjects were used for all the assays shown in Figs. 2 and 3. ST, sulfotransferase activity.
anticipated that this simple phenotyping method for SULT1A1 will improve the investigation of genetic, environmental, and host-specific factors that impact on SULT1A1 expression and disease risk.
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