Rapid Identification of P-glycoprotein Substrates and Inhibitors
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ABSTRACT:

Identifying molecules that interact with P-glycoprotein (P-gp) is important for drug discovery but is also generally reliant on time-consuming in vitro and in vivo studies. As an alternative approach, the current study applied pharmacophore models and database screening to rapidly retrieve molecules that bind as substrates or inhibitors for P-gp from commercial databases and then confirmed their affinity as inhibitors in vitro. Seven molecules (acitretin, cholecalciferol, misoprostol, nafcillin, repaglinide, salmeterol, and telmisartan) with no published details for P-gp affinity, one positive control inhibitor (miconazol), and two negative control molecules (phenelzine and zonisamide) were selected for testing. The MDCK-MDR1 in vitro cell model was used to confirm their inhibitory effect on [3H]digoxin transport, and the ATPase assay was used as an additional in vitro tool to indicate P-gp activation. All seven test drugs were confirmed to have P-gp affinity. Additionally, our experimental results provided plausible explanations for the published pharmacokinetic profiles of the tested drugs and their classification according to the biopharmaceutics and drug disposition classification system. In this study, we showed the successful application of pharmacophore models to accurately predict P-gp binding, which holds promise to anticipate drug-drug interactions from screening drug databases and a priori prediction of novel P-gp inhibitors or substrates.

Assessment of P-glycoprotein (P-gp, ABCB1) affinity in humans is a rate-limiting step in the identification of drug efficacy and safety. Apart from serving as a key factor in the natural detoxification mechanism in various human tissues, expression of P-gp also mediates the efflux of xenobiotics. This generally results in reduced bioavailability as a result of increased hepatic, renal, and/or intestinal clearance of substrate drugs. Because of its frequent involvement in drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion, screening for in vivo and in vitro P-gp-mediated transport has become an essential component of the drug discovery process; this, in turn, may have led to the mounting costs of identifying clinical drug candidates. Attempts to coadminister P-gp modulators, inhibitors, or inducers to increase cellular availability by blocking the actions of P-gp have been met with limited success. As a result, there is a great need to identify whether a new chemical entity has affinity for P-gp and to understand the effects of P-gp on drug pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. Thus, the rapid identification of P-gp substrates or inhibitors would be advantageous.

Despite its overall significance, P-gp is poorly characterized at the atomic level, in large part because of the intrinsic difficulties involved in membrane protein crystallization. As an alternative, computational modeling of transporters has aided our understanding and has significantly increased our knowledge of transporter mechanisms and drug-transporter interactions. However, in the case of P-gp, no single quantitative structure-activity relationship or pharmacophore model can describe the spatial arrangement of structural features responsible for substrate and inhibitor affinity (Stouch and Gudmundsson, 2002; Ekins and Swaan, 2004). This is reflected in the existence of multiple models, which account for multiple binding sites and allosteric mechanisms of interaction. Thus, we have previously developed P-gp pharmacophore models from in vitro data for several cell systems using substrate transport data (Yates et al., 2003), as well as models with inhibition data (Ekins et al., 2002a,b).

In current drug discovery, the prospective application of pharmacophore models for database screening and the identification of P-gp substrates/inhibitors is limited (for a review, see Chang and Swaan, 2005) and not usually supported by experimental verification (Langer et al., 2004). Therefore, the objectives of the present study were to utilize and validate three unique P-gp pharmacophore models derived from substrate and inhibition data. These were applied to search structurally diverse molecular databases to identify molecules that bind to P-gp. Subsequently, a selection of retrieved compounds was tested in vitro to further validate and assess the predictive accuracy of the pharmacophore models. The successful identification of these novel molecules and demonstration of their in vitro activity indicate that pharmacophore models for P-gp and other transporters are valuable tools for the efficient retrieval of novel or hitherto unrecognized molecules with binding affinity.
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Materials and Methods

In Silico Modeling. P-gp pharmacophore development with Catalyst.

The molecular modeling studies were carried out on a Silicon Graphics (Palo Alto, CA) Octane workstation. Briefly, molecules described in the literature for P-gp were sketched in Catalyst. The three-dimensional molecular structures were produced using up to 255 conformers with the BEST conformer generation method, allowing a maximum energy difference of 20 kcal/mol. The three pharmacophore models were constructed using Catalyst version 4.9 (Accelrys, San Diego, CA). A previously published P-gp substrate HIPHOP model (Ekins et al., 2002b) based on verapamil and digoxin data was generated (Substrate model). Because the substrate HIPHOP model is limited to identifying only large molecules, a second model, the previously described P-gp digoxin inhibition HypoGen model (Ekins et al., 2002a) (Inhibitor model 1), was also generated. To further update this latter model, six previously described test compounds with activity data were used (FK506A, PSC833, ritonavir, erythromycin, cyclosporine, and CP99542) and incorporated into the training set. For each HypoGen model, 10 hypotheses were generated using hydrophobic, hydrogen bond acceptor, hydrogen bond donor, and the positive and negative ionizable features. After assessing all 10 generated hypotheses, the hypothesis with lowest energy cost was selected for further analysis because this possessed features representative of all the hypotheses and had the lowest total cost. The quality of the structure activity correlation between the estimated and observed activity values was estimated by means of an r value. The statistical significance of the Inhibitor model 2 was verified by permuting (randomizing) the response variable 10 times (so that each value was no longer assigned to the original molecule), and the Catalyst hypothesis generation procedure was repeated. The total energy cost of the generated pharmacophores was calculated from the deviation between the estimated activity and the observed activity, combined with the complexity of the hypothesis (i.e., the number of pharmacophore features). A null hypothesis, which presumes that there is no relationship in the data and the experimental activities are normally distributed about their mean, was also calculated. Hence, the greater the difference between the energy cost of the generated hypothesis and the energy cost of the null hypothesis, the less likely it is that the hypothesis reflects a chance correlation.

Database Searches.

The three P-gp pharmacophore models were used to search different databases to provide a means of evaluation of their utility. Both databases were generated using structures in the Elsevier MDL SDF format before conversion to a three-dimensional Catalyst database after generating up to 100 molecule conformations with the FAST conformer generation method, allowing a maximum energy of 20 kcal/mol. The first database (P-gp Database) consisted of 189 known P-gp substrates and nonsubstrates (Penzotti et al., 2002a) and was used to evaluate the performance of each model, as well as the different searching methods. Both the FAST (rigid) search method and BEST (flexible) search methods were applied to this database. The second in-house database (SCUT) consisted of 576 known drugs in clinical use in the United States derived from the Clinician’s Pocket Drug Reference (Gomella and Haist, 2004). By screening this database, our pharmacophore models were put into a realistic situation to test their ability to identify previously unclassified drugs as possible P-gp substrates or inhibitors. The retrieved hits were used to search against PubMed (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi) for previously documented P-gp affinity. Among the ones that have not been characterized before, seven were selected for further in vitro testing. One retrieved hit that has previously been documented as a P-gp inhibitor was also tested in vitro as a positive control. In addition, from the structures that did not fit the pharmacophores, two molecules were selected as negative controls for in vitro testing.

Database Analysis. Database analysis assessment included the enrichment (E, eq. 1), which indicates the ratio of yield of active compounds in the hit list relative to the yield of active compounds in the database.

\[ E = \frac{\text{yield of active compounds in the hit list}}{\text{yield of active compounds in the database}} \] (1)

where D is the total number of compounds in the database, A the number of active compounds in the database (e.g., substrates), Ht the number of compounds in a search hit list, and Haz the number of active compounds in the hit list. Second, the yield (Y, eq. 2) was determined, which is the percentage of known active compounds in the hit list.

\[ Y = \frac{H_t}{H_{az}} \times 100 \] (2)

Third, the coverage (A, eq. 3) was calculated, which is the percentage of known active compounds retrieved from the database.

\[ A = \frac{H_t}{H_{az}} \times 100 \] (3)

Finally, the search effectiveness of each model from the P-gp database was evaluated using the Güner-Henry (GH) score (Guner and Henry, 2000), which is defined as:

\[ \text{GH} = \frac{H_t(3A + H_t)}{4HA} \times \frac{1}{D - A} \] (4)

The GH score provides a combination of yield and coverage with a correction for the hit list size (Guner and Henry, 2000). Particularly, the correction is the ratio of the retrieved false-positive hits over the inactive compounds in the database. Should the whole database be retrieved as a hit list, this correction will add a penalty because this is not reflected by either yield or coverage factor. Small but selective hit lists (high %Y but low %A) are very useful because this will represent fewer false-positive hits. Therefore, yield is more important than coverage and is given a weight of 0.75, whereas coverage has a weight of 0.25 in this formula (eq. 4).

In Vitro Assays. Materials.

All the chemicals and reagents used for the study were of the highest chemical purity available commercially. [1H]digoxin (23.5 Ci/mmol) was purchased from PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences (Boston, MA). [14C]mannitol (53 mCi/mmol) was purchased from Moravek Biochemicals (Brea, CA). Digoxin, verapamil, acitretin, nafcillin, miconazole, misoprostol, salmeterol, telmisartan, phenelzine, and zonisamide were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Regaplinide was purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals (Toronto, ON, Canada). Cholecalciferol was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO).-upsilon was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). }

MDCK strain II (MDCK-WT) epithelial cells and MDCK cells transduced with the human MDR1 gene (MDCK-MDR1) were gifted from Dr. Piet Borst (Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and used within 10 to 20 passages from the original clone. MDCK-II and MDCK-MDR1 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, penicillin-streptomycin, fetal bovine serum, l-glutamine, and nonessential amino acids were obtained from Gibco-Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Rat tail collagen type I was purchased from Upstate (Waltham, MA). Cultureware and 12-well polycarbonate membrane Transwells (0.4-μm pore size) were from Corning Costar (Corning, NY). P-gp-expressing Spodoptera frugiperda (Sf9) membranes for P-gp ATPase activation assays were obtained from BD Biosciences (Bedford, MA).

Cell culture. MDCK strain II (MDCK-WT) epithelial cells and MDCK cells transfected with the human MDR1 gene (MDCK-MDR1) were gifted from Dr. Piet Borst (Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and used within 10 to 20 passages from the original clone. MDCK-II and MDCK-MDR1 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 10% fetal bovine serum, 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids, 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Cells were split twice weekly at a dilution of 1:10. All the cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 and 95% air atmosphere. The cells were used for transport assays after at least 3 passages on removal from liquid nitrogen. It has been shown that the cells poorly express the P-gp protein during the initial phase of culturing probably because of the cells acclimatizing to the cell culture conditions (Polli et al., 2001). The cells were used within 2 months of culture. The expression of the P-gp transporter in the transfected cells was assessed using Western blot analysis.
Compounds were tested at a concentration of 20 μM in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or ethanol or methanol or buffer, depending on their solubility, and were prepared fresh before each study. Further dilutions (3% final solvent concentration) were prepared in the transport buffer, Hanks’ balanced salt solution with 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4). Bidirectional transport of 0.05 μM [3H]digoxin was monitored in the presence of compounds at 100-fold excess (5 μM) concentrations. The paracellular marker [14C]mannitol (2.9 μM) was used in all the test solutions to confirm the formation of functional tight junctions. The inserts were given two quick washes with transport buffer and incubated with drug solutions for 30 min at 37°C. Bidirectional transport assays were performed by replacing solutions on the acceptor and donor sides with [3H]digoxin and [14C]mannitol prepared in the test drug solution. For control studies, only [3H]digoxin and [14C]mannitol were added to the acceptor and donor chambers. MDCK-II cells were used as control, cultured, and seeded in the less computationally intensive FAST search approach over the

Transport experiments. The Transwells were coated with 25% rat tail collagen type I before cell seeding at a density of 50,000 cells/cm². Medium for the cells was changed every 2 days, and experiments were conducted after 3 to 6 days in culture. The development of tight junctions was monitored by assessing transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER), using the Endohm meter (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL). Inserts with TEER values less than 250 Ω cm² were discarded. Putative inhibitors were dissolved in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or ethanol or methanol or buffer, depending on their solubility, and were prepared fresh before each study. Further dilutions (3% final solvent concentration) were prepared in the transport buffer, Hanks’ balanced salt solution with 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4). Bidirectional transport of 0.05 μM [3H]digoxin was monitored in the presence of compounds at 100-fold excess (5 μM) concentrations. The paracellular marker [14C]mannitol (2.9 μM) was used in all the test solutions to confirm the formation of functional tight junctions. The inserts were given two quick washes with transport buffer and incubated with drug solutions for 30 min at 37°C. Bidirectional transport assays were performed by replacing solutions on the acceptor and donor sides with [3H]digoxin and [14C]mannitol prepared in the test drug solution. For control studies, only [3H]digoxin and [14C]mannitol were added to the acceptor and donor chambers. MDCK-II cells were used as control, cultured, and seeded similarly. Fifty-microliter samples were withdrawn at 30-, 60-, 90-, 120-, 150-, and 180-min intervals. Sink conditions were maintained after aliquots were taken from the respective chambers during the transport studies. The apparent permeability was calculated using the steady-state rate constant (eq. 5):

\[ P_{\text{app}} + J/A \cdot C_0 \]

where \( P_{\text{app}} \) is the apparent permeability (cm/s), \( J \) the solute flux (dpm/min), \( A \) the effective growth area (cm²), and \( C_0 \) the initial dosing concentration (dpm/ml). The net efflux was calculated from eq. 6:

\[ \text{ER} = \frac{P_{\text{app}}(B-A)}{P_{\text{app}}(A-B)} \]

where ER is efflux ratio, \( P_{\text{app}}(B-A) \) is \( P_{\text{app}} \) in B ↔ A direction, and \( P_{\text{app}}(A-B) \) is \( P_{\text{app}} \) in A ↔ B direction. The experiments were performed in triplicate and represent three separate determinations (n = 3).

ATPase activation assay. Using P-gp-expressing S9 membranes, the organic phosphate released from ATP as a result of drug-stimulated P-gp ATPase activity was estimated as mentioned in the manufacturer’s protocol. All the compounds were tested at a concentration of 20 μM. Verapamil (20 μM) was used as a positive control. The concentration range was selected based on previous published reports that showed that this concentration would provide adequate ATPase activation for the major compounds (Litman et al., 1997; Polli et al., 2001). Briefly, membranes (30 μg/well) prepared in Tris/4-morpholineethanesulfonic acid buffer, pH 6.8 (50 mM Tris/4-morpholineethanesulfonic acid, pH 6.8, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM sodium azide, 2 mM EGTA, and 2 mM N-t-dithiothreitol) were incubated at 37°C on a 96-well plate for 5 min with test compounds in the presence or absence of 300 μM sodium orthovanadate (in duplicate). The reaction was initiated by the addition of 12 mM Mg-ATP (20 μl), and after a 20-min incubation, it was terminated by addition of 10% SDS containing antifoam A (30 μl). The inorganic phosphate released was detected by incubation at 37°C for 20 min with 200 μl of detection reagent [1:4 w/v mixture of 35 mM ammonium molybdate in 15 mM zinc acetate (pH 5.0) and 10% ascorbic acid]. Phosphate standards were prepared in each plate. The absorbance was measured at 800 nm using a SpectraMax Gemini UV-visible spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). The drug-stimulated ATPase activity (nmol/min/mg protein) was determined as the difference between the amounts of inorganic phosphate released from ATP in the absence and presence of vanadate. The drug-stimulated P-gp ATPase activity was reported as fold-stimulation relative to the basal P-gp ATPase activity in the absence of drug (DMSO control).

Results

In Silico Modeling. In the present study, two pharmacophores for P-gp inhibitors and substrates (Inhibitor model 1 and the P-gp substrate model, respectively) were evaluated (Ekins et al., 2002a,b). A third, unique Catalyst P-gp pharmacophore model (Inhibitor model 2) was developed with the 33 molecules (activity range, 0.024–100 μM) and consisted of four hydrophobic features and one hydrogen bond acceptor feature (Table 1). The observed versus predicted IC50 data revealed a linear correlation with an r value of 0.87 and acceptable model statistics (Kristam et al., 2005) (Table 2). Following randomization, the average training correlation decreases to 0.56, and the difference between the total cost (model) and null cost narrowed considerably (Table 2), indicative of a significant model (Kristam et al., 2005).

All three pharmacophores were then used to search molecular databases. For the P-gp database, the results were analyzed using several metrics (defined under Materials and Methods) (Guner and Henry, 2000) and are listed in Table 3. Because of the wider coverage of the BEST search algorithm, more true positive hits (i.e., known P-gp substrates or inhibitors) were retrieved when compared with the FAST search algorithm (Table 3). Simultaneously, a high number of false-positive hits were also returned. This resulted in generally lower GH scores when compared with the FAST search algorithm. This result echoes the previous observations by Guner and Henry (2000) for other types of pharmacophores and databases. Overall, in this study the GH scores for the P-gp database indicated the usefulness of the less computationally intensive FAST search approach over the...
The P-gp substrate pharmacophore model had relatively higher GH scores compared with the two inhibition models, likely because of its improved enrichment and yield factors. Because the various P-gp pharmacophores may retrieve identical molecules, we analyzed the molecules identified by visualizing their overlap using Venn diagrams (Fig. 1A). A high degree of overlap is observed between the inhibitor models, whereas the substrate model identifies a smaller subset of molecules shared between all three models. The average molecular properties of each set of retrieved hits also indicate that the more stringent substrate model retrieved molecules characterized by a significantly higher average number of hydrogen-bond acceptors and donors, as well as a higher average molecular weight (Table 4). In contrast, the compounds retrieved by inhibitor models 1 and 2 have similar values for these molecular properties. Interestingly, compounds retrieved by all three models have nearly equal molecular flexibility as represented by the total number of rotatable bonds. These data effectively validate the three independent pharmacophore models. Their efficacy was further determined using the in-house SCUT database of compounds with unknown P-gp affinity. All the retrieved molecules from database searching were subjected to a thorough literature analysis to determine their previous experimental confirmation as a P-gp substrate. Forty drugs were retrieved by Inhibitor model 1, of which 25 were known P-gp substrates or inhibitors. For Inhibitor model 2, 68 drugs were retrieved containing 34 verified P-gp substrates or inhibitors. For the substrate model, four of the six returned drugs were known P-gp substrates or inhibitors (Fig. 1B). Importantly, of the remaining hits identified by the various models, there are no data available to definitively verify their status as P-gp substrates or inhibitors. Therefore, the number of positive hits identified by these models could be much greater than stated here. Thus, because the number of experimentally verified P-gp substrates or inhibitors within the database was unknown, we were unable to perform statistical analysis on the search results from the SCUT database.

Among the remaining drugs with no reported P-gp affinity, we selected seven for in vitro testing based on their mapping to the pharmacophore, as well as their commercial availability. As a positive control, a known P-gp inhibitor (miconazole) that was also retrieved by the pharmacophore was selected for in vitro testing. For comparison, the eight putative P-gp substrates or inhibitors and the model substrate CP114416 were mapped to Inhibitor model 2 pharmacophore (Fig. 2). To test their robustness, the other two pharmacophores were used to score the selected molecules (Table 5) and two additional positive controls (verapamil and the selective P-gp inhibitor LY335979). The FAST fit of verapamil to the substrate pharmacophore has a score of 4.54, and LY335979 has a score of 3.29 and 5.72 to Inhibitor model 1 and Inhibitor model 2, respectively. The phar-
macophore fit scores and predicted IC₅₀ values for the molecules selected for experimental verification are similar to the standard substrate and inhibitor molecules. The two negative controls retrieved from the SCUT database (phenelzine, zonisamide) did not fit any of the models (Table 5).

**In Vitro Assays.** The selected compounds were tested for inhibition of [³H]digoxin transport in the MDCK-MDR1 cell monolayer model. Control and verapamil-treated BL-AP and AP-BL \( P_{app} \) values were compared to determine the contribution of verapamil-sensitive efflux for the model substrate, digoxin. The efflux ratio for [³H]digoxin was approximately 15.2, which is comparable with studies by Taub et al. (2005), who reported a [³H]digoxin (at 20 nM) efflux ratio of 25.5. The apparent permeability coefficient (\( P_{app} \)) of [¹⁴C]mannitol across MDCK-II and MDCK-MDR1 monolayers was typically in the range of 4.0 to \( 7.0 \times 10^{-6} \) cm/s, in line with other studies (Tang et al., 2002). TEER values were measured at the start and at the end of each transport experiment and were typically between the acceptable range of 70 to 800 \( \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2 \). The decrease in TEER values over the course of an experiment was generally less than 10%, indicating the preservation of monolayer integrity. The efflux ratio of [³H]digoxin in MDCK-II cell and MDCK-MDR1 monolayers (Table 6) was within previously reported values (Tang et al., 2002). As expected, complete inhibition of P-gp-mediated [³H]digoxin efflux was observed in the presence of verapamil (25 \( \mu \)M) and GF120918 (2.0 \( \mu \)M) in MDCK-II and MDCK-MDR1 cell monolayers, which is comparable with studies by other groups (Polli et al., 2001; Tang et al., 2002). All the other compounds tested showed potent inhibitory activity (Table 6, except for the two negative controls, zonisamide and phenelzine. Misoprostol was excluded from the data table because of unacceptably high [¹⁴C]mannitol \( P_{app(B\rightarrow A)} \). All the compounds were further characterized using the ATPase activation assay (Schmid et al., 1999). Verapamil strongly stimulates the P-gp-mediated ATPase activity as compared with the other tested compounds (Fig. 3), yet the negative controls, zonisamide and phenelzine, do not. Among the tested com-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Venn Diagram Section</th>
<th>Average Number of Hydrogen Bond Donors</th>
<th>Average Number of Hydrogen Bond Acceptors</th>
<th>Average Molecular Weight</th>
<th>Average Rotatable Bond Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>581.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>553.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>655.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>929.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>1201.8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2.** A, pharmacophore model for inhibitor model 2 aligned with CP114416. Green spheres indicate hydrogen bonding acceptor (HBA) features, and cyan spheres indicate hydrophobic (HYD) features. B, molecules selected with the Inhibitor model 2 for in vitro testing aligned with inhibitor model 2.
pounds, a greater than 2-fold increase in ATPase activity was observed for nafcillin, miconazole, misoprostol, and telmisartan, suggesting that these compounds are also likely P-gp substrates.

**Discussion**

**The Need for Predictive Models.** The application of pharmacophore modeling to determine substrate or inhibitor specificity has greatly advanced our mechanistic understanding of drug transporters (Chang and Swaan, 2005). To date, several P-gp models derived from both efflux and inhibition data have been reported (Ekins and Swaan, 2004; Chang and Swaan, 2005), but application of these models to identify novel P-gp substrates or inhibitors has not been explored. Recently, Langer et al. (2004) described a P-gp pharmacophore that was subsequently utilized to screen molecular databases to select putative P-gp inhibitors. However, without in vitro validation of the predicted molecules, the impact of the work and the validity of the predictions remain to be seen.

To overcome limitations of previous studies, our present work applied three distinct P-gp pharmacophore models in database screening studies using the GH score as a metric for assessing the efficacy...
of the respective pharmacophore models (Guner and Henry, 2000). Specifically, the implementation of the GH score here can be used as a determinant of the effectiveness of the model in retrieving true and false-positive results from the database using standard search algorithms. Thus, the resulting hit lists simultaneously served as a means of model validation and assisted in the selection of molecules for subsequent in vitro testing. GH scores indicated that all three computational pharmacophore models, particularly the P-gp substrate model, were able to significantly enrich and successfully identify P-gp substrates from nonsubstrates (Table 3). Additionally, the two inhibitor pharmacophores were capable of retrieving a considerable number of validated P-gp substrates or inhibitors.

**Newly Identified Substrates or Inhibitors.** To further test whether our models could successfully identify known P-gp substrates or inhibitors, as well as predict novel substrates or inhibitors, the pharmacophore models were used to screen an in-house database of nearly 600 frequently prescribed drugs (SCUT). The hit list of retrieved molecules contained ~50% confirmed P-gp substrates or inhibitors; from the remaining molecules, which had no reported P-gp affinity in the literature and were commercially available, we selected seven high-scoring molecules for in vitro testing (Fig. 2). Using a [3H]digoxin efflux assay, we confirmed that all the selected compounds were P-gp inhibitors, except for misoprostol (Table 6, which may have been caused by cellular cytotoxicity at the concentrations studied. However, misoprostol showed strong activation of ATPase (Fig. 3), suggesting its P-gp affinity. The positive control, miconazole, is an established P-gp inhibitor (Yasuda et al., 2002; Schwab et al., 2003) and was successfully identified by both in silico and in vitro experiments. Two negative controls (phenelzine and zonisamide) did not significantly inhibit [3H]digoxin efflux or induce ATPase activation. Although there are no published interactions between any of these molecules and P-gp, there are several examples of drugs within the same therapeutic class and similar molecular structure with reported affinity for P-gp. For example, calciferol is structurally similar to cholecalciferol (differing by only a double bond and a methyl group) and was shown to inhibit P-gp in yeast (Saeki et al., 1991). Similarly, dicoxacillin, which falls in the same therapeutic class as nafcillin (β-lactam antibiotics), has been identified as a potent P-gp inhibitor (Susanto and Benet, 2002). Salmeterol, structurally related to the β2-adrenergic receptor agonist salbutamol, is a known P-gp inhibitor (Valenzuela et al., 2001). Although purported P-gp activity based on structural associations is speculative in nature, it may serve as a suggestive indication of potential P-gp interactions for a given therapeutic class that may warrant further testing. For example, acitretin belongs to the retinoid family, and although there is strong evidence of P-gp induction by retinoic acid (Kitzaki et al., 1996) and retinol (Frota et al., 2004), there is no direct evidence for P-gp interaction.

### TABLE 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[3H]Digoxin (50 nM) Efflux Assay (P_{app} ± S.D. × 10^{-6} cm/s)</th>
<th>P_{app}(A to B)</th>
<th>P_{app}(B to A)</th>
<th>Efflux Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MDCK-II Control</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3H]Digoxin alone</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.83</td>
<td>8.74 ± 2.30</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3H]Digoxin with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF120918 (2 μM)</td>
<td>5.02 ± 0.046</td>
<td>5.21 ± 0.047</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verapamil (25 μM)</td>
<td>3.40 ± 0.30</td>
<td>4.29 ± 0.33</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MDCK-MDR1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3H]Digoxin alone</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.11</td>
<td>15.2 ± 3.42</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3H]Digoxin with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF120918 (20 μM)</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.42</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.59</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verapamil (25 μM)</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.56</td>
<td>3.96 ± 0.49</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repaglinide</td>
<td>3.37 ± 1.36</td>
<td>5.00 ± 1.85</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acitretin</td>
<td>3.31 ± 1.50</td>
<td>6.51 ± 1.73</td>
<td>2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholecalciferol</td>
<td>3.53 ± 1.26</td>
<td>7.12 ± 2.14</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telmisartan</td>
<td>2.92 ± 1.36</td>
<td>6.54 ± 2.01</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miconazole</td>
<td>2.80 ± 1.46</td>
<td>7.22 ± 1.29</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nafcinol</td>
<td>2.23 ± 1.41</td>
<td>7.08 ± 2.60</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmeterol</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.58</td>
<td>11.1 ± 1.37</td>
<td>6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misoprostol</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenyazine</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.09</td>
<td>9.13 ± 0.29</td>
<td>16.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonisamide</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.08</td>
<td>10.1 ± 1.13</td>
<td>18.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.A., not available.

* n = 6.

* All the compounds were tested at 5 μM unless otherwise noted.
Thus, our study provides the first direct evidence of retinoid affinity for P-gp. These in vitro evaluations represent preliminary studies that confirmed the inhibitory role of each tested compound. More quantitative and definitive future experiments are warranted to fully characterize the interaction of each individual compound with P-gp as substrates.

**Clinical Pharmacokinetic Implications.** The biopharmaceutics classification system has been used as a helpful guide to classify compounds based on their aqueous solubility and gastrointestinal permeability (Amidon et al., 1995). Wu and Benet (2005) recently recognized that the clinical impact of efflux transporters in modulating oral absorption and drug Pharmacokinetics is reserved to class 2 and possibly class 4 compounds. For example, high permeability allows facile cellular penetration for class 2 compounds, but low solubility (perhaps mainly because of high lipophilicity) will limit the effective concentration entering the cell, thereby preventing saturation of efflux transporters. Consequently, efflux transport can affect class 2 compounds’ extent of oral bioavailability and their rate of absorption (Wu and Benet, 2005). Thus, classification of compounds according to biopharmaceutics drug disposition classification system (BDDCS) guidelines may allow for a scientific basis toward their observed clinical behavior as a result of their interactions with P-gp. This, in turn, allows for a further understanding of their pharmacokinetic behavior and their potential for drug-drug interactions (DDI). Thus, we expect the compounds identified in this study as P-gp substrates or inhibitors to primarily belong to BDDCS classes 2 and 4.

Classifications were based on various parameters, including physicochemical properties (cLogP and aqueous solubility), metabolism, bioavailability, and food effects (Table 7). Misoprostol and verapamil are both class 2 compounds based on their high membrane permeability and high solubility characteristics. Miconazole, acitretin, cholecalciferol, repaglinide, and salmeterol can be classified as class 2 drugs based on the following observations: miconazole displays high permeability (rapidly absorbed) and low bioavailability (−30%), undergoing extensive metabolism with less than 1% of the administered dose excreted in the urine unchanged (Stevens, 1983); oral bioavailability of acitretin, cholecalciferol, and repaglinide is 56 to 60%; oral absorption of acitretin increases when administered with food (Wiegand and Chou, 1998); cholecalciferol and salmeterol are extensively metabolized and readily absorbed; clinical studies indicate that known P-gp substrates such as phenobarbital (Wikinski, 2005) and phenytoin (Bialecka et al., 2005) reduce plasma levels of vitamin D, suggesting a possible P-gp-mediated DDI involving cholecalciferol; and a DDI was recently observed during the coadministration of repaglinide with the known P-gp inhibitor cyclosporine A, which markedly increases the plasma concentrations of repaglinide in humans (Kajosaari et al., 2005). Based on our current studies and these clinical observations, we can deduce that the affinity of repaglinide for P-gp significantly contributes to potential DDI with other P-gp substrates or inhibitors. Nafcinil, on the other hand, although soluble in aqueous media, is poorly metabolized, thereby justifying its class 3 categorization (Nahata et al., 1990). To our best knowledge, no reported DDI have been recorded for this drug. Telmisartan is insoluble in aqueous media and is eliminated unchanged (98%) via the biliary route (Stangier et al., 2000), thus categorizing it as class 4. Furthermore, administration of telmisartan in multiple doses with the known P-gp substrate digoxin results in significantly higher serum digoxin concentrations (Stangier et al., 2000). This strongly indicates competition between telmisartan and digoxin with P-gp. Comparison of BDDCS classification and P-gp results indicate that class 2 and 4 compounds showed clinical evidence of P-gp-mediated DDI, whereas predicted P-gp substrates or inhibitors in class 1 and 3 did not. This observation further supports claims by Wu and Benet (2005) that class 2 and 4 drugs are more susceptible to the effects of efflux transporters.

In conclusion, the pharmacophore-based screening approach outlined in this study using different databases could represent a method to either filter out P-gp substrates or inhibitors a priori and identify potential therapeutic P-gp inhibitors. This would be particularly useful to avoid compounds with a potential P-gp interaction or efficiently select molecules to be screened in vitro in lead identification for drug discovery programs. We have shown in this study that pharmacophore models generated with limited numbers of substrates or inhibitors can be used to efficiently identify other confirmed substrates or inhibitors that were not included in the model, as well as suggest new potential molecules for testing. Finally, we showed that classification of these compounds according to the BCCDS can provide a rational basis for their observed clinical actions. Thus, the combination of an iterative in silico and in vitro approach represents a means to rapidly identify and subsequently validate molecules as substrates or inhibitors for a protein of interest.
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