OXIDATIVE METABOLISM OF THE ALKALOID RUTAECARPINE BY HUMAN CYTOCHROME P450
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ABSTRACT:

Rutaecarpine is the main active alkaloid of the herbal medicine, Evodia rutaecarpa. To identify the major human cytochrome P450 (P450) participating in rutaecarpine oxidative metabolism, human liver microsomes and bacteria-expressed recombinant human P450 were studied. In liver microsomes, rutaecarpine was oxidized to 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine. Microsomal 10- and 3-hydroxylation activities were strongly inhibited by ketoconazole. The 11- and 12-hydroxylation activities were inhibited by α-naphthoflavone, quinidine, and ketoconazole. These results indicated that multiple hepatic P450s including CYP1A2, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 participate in rutaecarpine hydroxylations. Among recombinant P450s, CYP1A1 had the highest rutaecarpine hydroxylation activity. Decreased metabolite formation at high substrate concentration indicated that there was substrate inhibition of CYP1A1- and CYP1A2-catalyzed hydroxylations. CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylations had Vmax values of 1388 to −1893 pmol/min/nmol P450, Kᵣ values of 4.1 to −9.5 μM, and Kᵢ values of 45 to −103 μM. These results indicated that more than one molecule of rutaecarpine is accessible to the CYP1A active site. The major metabolite 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine decreased CYP1A1, CYP1A2, and CYP1B1 activities with respective IC50 values of 2.56 ± 0.04, 2.57 ± 0.11, and 0.09 ± 0.01 μM, suggesting that product inhibition might occur during rutaecarpine hydroxylation. The metabolite profile and kinetic properties of rutaecarpine hydroxylation by human P450s provide important information relevant to the clinical application of rutaecarpine and E. rutaecarpa.

...
Bourrie et al., 1996). Thus, to identify the P450 forms participating in human rutaecarpine hydroxylations, the effects of P450 inhibitors were analyzed using human liver microsomes, and rutaecarpine hydroxylation activities were determined using *Escherichia coli* membranes expressing human P450s. Among expressed P450 forms, our results showed that CYP1A1 had the highest activity. The kinetic parameters of CYP1-catalyzed hydroxylations were determined.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals.** Rutae carpine was synthesized following the method of Bergman and Bergman (1985). Glucose 6-phosphate (G6P), G6P dehydrogenase, ketoconazole, 4-methylpyrazole, NADP, α-NF, orphenadrine, quinidine, and sulfaphenazole were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Inc. (St. Louis, MO). The standards for metabolites, 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine were synthesized from corresponding methoxyrutaecarpines as described in a previous report (Ueng et al., 2005).

**Microsomal Preparation and Bicistronic Human P450 Expression.** Four Chinese liver samples (HL1–4) were obtained from patients who underwent liver resection in National Taiwan University Hospital (Taipei, Taiwan). Four liver samples (HL5–8) of white people were obtained from Dr. F. Peter Guengerich (Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN) through Tennessee Donor Services (Nashville, TN). Microsomes of frozen human liver samples were prepared following the method of Guengerich (1994). Bicistronic human P450 plasmids were kindly provided by Dr. F. Peter Guengerich. P450 constructs consisting of the coding sequence of a P450 followed by that of NADPH-P450 reductase were transformed to *E. coli* DH5α by electroporation. Bacterial membrane fractions were prepared following the method of Parikh et al. (1997). Microsomes and bacterial membrane fractions were stored at −75°C until use.

**Enzyme Assays.** Microsomal protein concentration was determined by the method of Lowry et al. (1951). P450 content was measured by the spectrophotometric method of Omura and Sato (1964). 7-Ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation activity was determined by measuring the fluorescence of resorufin (Pohl and Fouts, 1980). Rutae carpine hydroxylation activity was determined as described before (Ueng et al., 2005). Incubation mixtures (1 ml total volume) contained 1 mg/ml microsomal protein, a NADPH-generating system, and various concentrations of rutaecarpine as indicated under Results. The NADPH-generating system consisted of 10 mM G6P, 0.5 mM NADP, and 0.25 U/ml G6P dehydrogenase. For *E. coli* membranes expressing human CYP1, 20 pmol of P450 was added in the assay. For membranes expressing human CYP2A6, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, and CYP3A4, 100 pmol of P450 was added in the assay. Hydroxylation metabolites were separated by HPLC using a C18 column [4.6 × 250 mm, 5 μm, Agilent Technologies Inc. (Palo Alto, CA); or 5C18-MS-II, Cosmosil, Nacalai Tesque Inc. (Kyoto, Japan)] and a mobile phase containing 10% methanol and 26% acetonitrile in 0.04% formic acid at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. A UV detector, L-7420 (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), was used and metabolites were detected by measuring the absorbance at 344 nm. The amounts of hydroxyrutaecarpines were determined using synthetic standards. Orphenadrine and 4-methylpyrazole were dissolved in water. α-NF, sulfaphenazole, quinidine, and ketoconazole were dissolved in ethanol. For the inhibition study, the concentrations of α-NF, orphenadrine,
sulfaphenazole, quinidine, 4-methylpyrazole, and ketoconazole in the assay were 10, 300, 1, 10, 20, and 1 μM, respectively. The final concentration of ethanol was less than 0.4%. The same concentration of ethanol was added in the control incubation.

**Binding Spectral Analysis.** Binding spectra were analyzed by using tandem cuvettes, which have two separate chambers in one cuvette. Various concentrations of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine and *E. coli* membrane suspension containing 0.2 μM P450 10.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, were added in both sample and reference cuvettes at room temperature. In the reference cuvette, *E. coli* membrane suspension and 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine in potassium phosphate buffer were kept separated in two chambers. After mixing *E. coli* membrane suspension with 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine in the sample cuvette, the difference spectrum was recorded from 350 nm to 450 nm. The spectral dissociation constant (*K*~d~) and maximal absorbance change (Δ*A*~max~) were calculated by nonlinear regression without weight according to the equation Δ*A* = Δ*A*~max~/[I] + 1, where *I* is the inhibitor concentration (Sigma Plot, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

**Data and Statistical Analyses.** Substrate inhibition can be regarded as a form of uncompetitive inhibition (Houston and Kenworthy, 2000). Thus, kinetic parameters of CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylations were calculated according to uncompetitive inhibition by substrate. Values of velocities (*v*) at various substrate concentrations, *S*, were fit using nonlinear least-squares regression without weight due to the equation: *v* = *V*~max~ · *S*/*K*~m~ + *S*([1 + *S*/*K*~i~]) + *S*, where *V*~max~ and *K*~i~ are the maximal velocity and inhibition constant, respectively (Sigma Plot, SPSS Inc.). The concentrations of chemicals required for 50% inhibition of 7-ethoxresorufin O-deethylolation activity (IC~50~) were calculated by curve fitting (GraphPad; Erithacus Software Ltd., Staines, UK). For inhibitory kinetic analysis, values of velocities (*v*) at various substrate concentrations, *S*, were fit using nonlinear least-squares regression without weight due to the equation, consistent with the competitive inhibition according to the Michaelis-Menten equation: *v* = *V*~max~ · *S*/*K*~m~ + [1 + ([I*K*~i~]) + *S*, where *I* and *K*~i~ are the inhibitor concentration and inhibition constant, respectively (Sigma Plot, SPSS Inc.). The significant relationship of pairs of variables was analyzed by Spearman rank correlation test using the software Sigma Stat 2.03 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). A *p* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

**Rutaecarpine Hydroxylation by Human Liver Microsomes.** Rutaecarpine was oxidized by human liver microsomal enzymes to form mainly 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (Fig. 1). In eight human liver samples, rutaecarpine 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation activities were in the range (mean ± S.E.) of 7.1 to ~43.9 (25.9 ± 4.7); 8.5 to ~32.8 (22.3 ± 3.0); not detectable, ~13.3 (5.4 ± 1.6), and 5.0 to ~23.0 (14.1 ± 2.5) pmol/min/mg protein, respectively. Except for the high 11-hydroxylation activity of the HL8 sample, 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine was the most abundant product in human liver samples. Individual differences were as high as 6-, 4-, and 5-fold in 10-, 11-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine formation, respectively. The formation of 12-hydroxyrutaecarpine by HL2 and HL3 microsomes was under the detection limit. α-NF caused 54%, 60%, and 51% decreases of 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation activities, respectively (Table 1). In contrast, the inhibition of 10-hydroxylation by α-NF was less than 30%. Quinidine decreased 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation activities by 55%, 53%, 55%, and 58%, respectively. Ketoconazole decreased 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine formation by 86% and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine by 78%. However, orphenadrine, sulfaphenazole, and 4-methylpyrazole caused less than 30% decreases.

**Rutaecarpine Hydroxylation by Human P450 Forms.** At rutaecarpine concentrations less than 50 μM in the assay, some P450-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylations were under the detection limit. Thus, 50 μM rutaecarpine was used in the assay of all bicistronic human P450 forms determined. Hydroxylation product formation was studied in the presence of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine in the sample cuvette. Various concentrations of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine and *E. coli* membrane suspension containing 0.2 μM P450 10.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, were added in both sample and reference cuvettes at room temperature. In the reference cuvette, *E. coli* membrane suspension and 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine in potassium phosphate buffer were kept separated in two chambers. After mixing *E. coli* membrane suspension with 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine in the sample cuvette, the difference spectrum was recorded from 350 nm to 450 nm. The spectral dissociation constant (*K*~d~) and maximal absorbance change (Δ*A*~max~) were calculated by nonlinear regression without weight according to the equation Δ*A* = Δ*A*~max~/[I] + 1, where *I* is the inhibitor concentration (Sigma Plot, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>10-Hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
<th>11-Hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
<th>12-Hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
<th>3-Hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-Naphthoflavone</td>
<td>72 ± 3</td>
<td>46 ± 4</td>
<td>40 ± 14</td>
<td>49 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orphenadrine</td>
<td>88 ± 2</td>
<td>90 ± 6</td>
<td>70 ± 9</td>
<td>83 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfaphenazole</td>
<td>82 ± 3</td>
<td>88 ± 3</td>
<td>86 ± 6</td>
<td>75 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinidine</td>
<td>45 ± 15</td>
<td>47 ± 27</td>
<td>45 ± 28</td>
<td>42 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Methylpyrazole</td>
<td>84 ± 7</td>
<td>85 ± 8</td>
<td>79 ± 13</td>
<td>81 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketoconazole</td>
<td>14 ± 3</td>
<td>46 ± 19</td>
<td>55 ± 20</td>
<td>22 ± 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results represent mean ± S.E. of three human liver samples. Rutaecarpine concentration was 200 μM in the assay. P450 inhibitors were added simultaneously with rutaecarpine in the assay as described under Materials and Methods.

**Fig. 2.** Relationship between rutaecarpine hydroxylation activity and P450 concentrations in the assay. Rutaecarpine hydroxylation activity of bacterial membrane expressing CYP1A1 (●), CYP1A2 (▲), CYP1B1 (□), CYP2C9 (●), CYP2D6 (▲), and CYP3A4 (□) was determined using 50 μM rutaecarpine in the reaction mixture.
In contrast, CYP2A6 had no detectable rutaecarpine hydroxylation activity.

**Kinetic Analysis of CYP1-Catalyzed Rutaecarpine Hydroxylation.** For CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation, the velocities decreased at rutaecarpine concentrations higher than 25 μM (Fig. 4A). At low concentrations of rutaecarpine (≤5 μM), the plot did not follow a hyperbolic pattern (Fig. 4B). The Lineweaver-Burk plot of CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation showed a nonlinear pattern (Fig. 4C). Kinetic analysis was performed according to the substrate inhibition pattern as described under Materials and Methods. The results generated kinetic parameters of CYP1A1 for each hydroxylation as follows: 10-hydroxylation, $V_{\text{max}} = 1799 ± 326 \text{ pmol/min/mmol P450}$, $K_m = 5.1 ± 2.0 \mu M$, $K_i = 103 ± 53 \mu M$ ($r = 0.97$); 11-hydroxylation, $V_{\text{max}} = 1535 ± 292 \text{ pmol/min/mmol P450}$, $K_m = 4.1 ± 1.8 \mu M$, $K_i = 105 ± 61 \mu M$ ($r = 0.96$); 12-hydroxylation, $V_{\text{max}} = 1388 ± 350 \text{ pmol/min/mmol P450}$, $K_m = 4.6 ± 2.6 \mu M$, $K_i = 91 ± 60 \mu M$ ($r = 0.95$); 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine, $V_{\text{max}} = 1893 ± 607 \text{ pmol/min/mmol P450}$, $K_m = 9.5 ± 5.1 \mu M$, $K_i = 45 ± 27 \mu M$ ($r = 0.97$). The Eadie-Hofstee plot showed a convex curve indicating positive cooperation (Fig. 4D). At a substrate concentration higher than 10 μM, the Hill plot did not show linearity (Fig. 4E). At the linear range (0.75–8 μM), results of linear regression showed cooperativities of 1.6 ($r = 0.96$), 1.7 ($r = 0.96$), 1.4 ($r = 0.96$), and 1.5 ($r = 0.99$) for 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation, respectively (Fig. 4E, inset plot).

Determination of the relationship between rutaecarpine concentrations and CYP1A2-catalyzed hydroxylation activities showed that activity decreased at a rutaecarpine concentration higher than 100 μM (Fig. 5A). The hydroxylation activity of CYP1A2 also showed substrate inhibition at a high rutaecarpine concentration. In contrast to CYP1A1 and CYP1A2, there was no substrate inhibition observed in CYP1B1-catalyzed rutaecarpine 10-hydroxylation (Fig. 5B).

**Inhibition of CYP1 Enzymes by the Metabolite 10-Hydroxyrutaecarpine.** 10-Hydroxyrutaecarpine inhibited CYP1A1-, CYP1A2-, and CYP1B1-catalyzed 7-ethoxyresorufin O-deethyla-

tion activity with IC$_{50}$ values of 2.56 ± 0.04, 2.57 ± 0.11, and 0.09 ± 0.02 μM, respectively. Among CYP1 enzymes, 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine had the highest inhibitory effect on CYP1B1. 10-Hydroxyrutaecarpine was a competitive inhibitor of CYP1B1 with a $K_i$ value of 0.11 ± 0.02 μM ($r = 0.99$, Fig. 6, A and B). The binding of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine to CYP1B1 exhibited a binding spectrum with an absorbance maximum at 377 nm and a minimum at 417 nm (Fig. 6C). The absorbance change (377–417 nm) was
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**Fig. 3.** Relationship between CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation and the incubation time of the assay. Rutaecarpine hydroxylation activity of bacterial membrane expressing CYP1A1 was determined using 20 pmol of P450 and 50 μM rutaecarpine in the reaction mixture. The formation rate of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine (●), 11-hydroxyrutaecarpine (▲), 12-hydroxyrutaecarpine (◆), and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (▲) were determined. Results represent the means of duplicate determinations.
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**TABLE 2** Rutaecearpace hydroxylation activities of human P450s expressed in E. coli

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P450 Form</th>
<th>10-Hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
<th>11-Hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
<th>12-Hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
<th>3-Hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A1</td>
<td>1258 ± 125</td>
<td>1040 ± 37</td>
<td>885 ± 32</td>
<td>825 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A2</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>181 ± 32</td>
<td>78 ± 11</td>
<td>31 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B1</td>
<td>217 ± 22</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>78 ± 11</td>
<td>29 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A6</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C9</td>
<td>48 ± 10</td>
<td>139 ± 13</td>
<td>93 ± 19</td>
<td>98 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D6</td>
<td>67 ± 22</td>
<td>173 ± 30</td>
<td>56 ± 4</td>
<td>86 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E1</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>112 ± 21</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A4</td>
<td>107 ± 41</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
<td>117 ± 46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.D., not detectable.
unsaturable and showed a linear relationship with 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine concentration in the range of 0.01 to 9.0 μM (r = 0.97) (Fig. 6, C and D).

Discussion

Our previous report demonstrated that the alkaloid rutaecarpine was oxidized by rat liver microsomes to form 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (Ueng et al., 2005). In this report, our results revealed that rutaecarpine was also oxidized by human liver microsomal enzymes to form 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (Fig. 1). This is the first report to determine human metabolism of rutaecarpine in vitro. The results of microsomal inhibition were consistent with hydroxylation activities of bacterial membranes expressing bicistronic human P450s. Microsomal 10-hydroxylation activity was strongly inhibited by quinidine and ketoconazole (Table 1). Consistent with this inhibition result, E. coli-expressed human CYP2D6 and
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Fig. 4. Kinetic analyses of rutaecarpine hydroxylations by human CYP1A1. A, velocity (v) versus rutaecarpine concentration (S) plot of CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation. Lines show the best fit as determined by nonlinear least-squares regression according to substrate inhibition as described under Materials and Methods. B, velocity (v) versus rutaecarpine concentration (S) plot of CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation at rutaecarpine concentration less than 10 μM. C, Lineweaver-Burk plots of CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylations. Lines show the best fit as determined by nonlinear least-squares regression according to substrate inhibition. D, the plots of v versus v/S (Eadie-Hofstee plot). E, the plots of log(v/Vmax) versus logS (Hill plot). The formation rates of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine (●), 11-hydroxyrutaecarpine (■), 12-hydroxyrutaecarpine (●), and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (▲) were determined. Results represent the means of duplicate determinations.
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Fig. 5. The velocity (v) versus rutaecarpine concentration (S) plots of CYP1A2 (A)- and CYP1B1 (B)-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation. The formation rates of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine (●), 11-hydroxyrutaecarpine (■), 12-hydroxyrutaecarpine (●), and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (▲) were determined. Results represent the mean ± S.E.M. and means of three and two separate experiments with duplicate determinations, respectively.
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Fig. 6. A and B, inhibitory kinetic analysis of the inhibition of CYP1B1-catalyzed 7-ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation activity by 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine. A, the velocity (v) versus rutaecarpine concentration (S) plots of CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation in the presence of 0 (●), 0.1 (■), and 0.2 (▲) μM 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine. Solid lines represent the lines as determined by nonlinear regression analysis. B, the Lineweaver-Burk plot. Solid lines represent the lines as determined by linear regression analysis. C, binding spectra of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 7 μM) with CYP1B1 in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 at room temperature.
CYP3A4 had 10-hydroxylation activities higher than those of the other P450 enzymes (Table 2). Microsomal 12-hydroxylation was inhibited by α-NF, quinidine, and ketoconazole. Human CYP1A2, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6 had detectable 12-hydroxylation activities (Table 2). Microsomal 11-hydroxylation was inhibited by α-NF, quinidine, and ketoconazole. Human CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 had higher 11-hydroxylation activities than the other P450s. However, CYP3A4 had no detectable 11-hydroxylation activity. Microsomal 3-hydroxylation was inhibited by α-NF, quinidine, and ketoconazole. 3-Hydroxylation activities of CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 were higher than those of the other P450s. However, CYP1A2 had relatively low 3-hydroxylation activity.

Thus, to further clarify the roles of CYP1A2 and CYP3A4 in rutaecarpine hydroxylations, correlation analysis (Spearman rank order correlation) was carried out to delineate the association of rutaecarpine hydroxylation with CYP1A2- and CYP3A4-catalyzed model reactions. Correlation analysis showed that liver microsomal rutaecarpine 11- and 12-hydroxylation activities had significant correlation (p < 0.05) with the CYP1A2 model reaction, 7-methoxyresorufin O-demethylation activity [correlation coefficient (r) values of 0.857 and 0.762, respectively]. In contrast, rutaecarpine 10- and 3-hydroxylation activities were not significantly correlated with 7-methoxyresorufin O-demethylation activity (r values of 0.943 and 0.886, respectively). Liver microsomal rutaecarpine 10- and 3-hydroxylation activities were significantly correlated with the CYP3A4 model reaction, nifedipine oxidation activity (r values of 0.943 and 0.886, respectively). In contrast, rutaecarpine 11- and 12-hydroxylation activities had no significant correlation with nifedipine oxidation activity (r = -0.029 and 0.429, respectively). These results were consistent with the rutaecarpine hydroxylations of recombinant human P450. In contrast, orphenadrine, sulfaphenazole, and 4-methylpyrazole decreased microsomal 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation by less than 30%, suggesting that CYP2B6, CYP2C, and CYP2E1 do not play main roles in human rutaecarpine hydroxylations (Table 1). Although CYP2C9 had detectable rutaecarpine 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation activities (Table 2), the activities of CYP2C9 may not be high enough for sulfaphenazole to cause inhibition in human liver microsomes. Similar to CYP2C9, CYP2E1 also had low 11-hydroxylation activity. Thus, the P450 enzymes, whose selective inhibition decreased hydroxylation by more than 50% and showed high hydroxylation activities, were identified as the main P450 forms involved in each hydroxylation reaction in human liver (Fig. 7). For rutaecarpine metabolism, CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 were involved in the 10-hydroxylation, CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 in 11-hydroxylation, CYP2D6 in 12-hydroxylation, and CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 in 3-hydroxylation. These results revealed the regioselective hydroxylation by P450 enzymes.

Among P450s, our results showed that CYP1A1 had the highest rutaecarpine 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation activities (Table 2). Our previous report demonstrated that rutaecarpine inhibited CYP1A1- and CYP1A2-catalyzed 7-ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation activities (Don et al., 2003). The IC50 value of rutaecarpine for CYP1A2 was only 8% of that for CYP1A1 (Don et al., 2003). This strong CYP1A2 inhibition may result in relatively low rutaecarpine oxidation activity of CYP1A2 (Table 2). Kinetic analysis revealed that substrate inhibition occurred for both CYP1A1- and CYP1A2-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylations (Figs. 4A and 5A). At a rutaecarpine concentration higher than 25 μM, hydroxylation activities decreased. In the in vitro systems, the pharmacologically active concentrations of rutaecarpine were in the range of 0.1 to 200 μM (Chen et al., 2002; Sheu, 1999). Substrate inhibition is possible at high pharmacologically active concentrations. The hydroxylations by CYP1A1 had K values 5- to 26-fold higher than Km values. Substrate inhibition can be due to a variety of mechanisms including allosteric interaction and multiple active sites (Lin et al., 2001). For most P450-catalyzed oxidations, substrate inhibition was partial, suggesting that the substrate inhibition was due to the binding of a second molecule of substrate to the P450-substrate complex to form a poorly productive or nonproductive enzyme complex and reduce the oxidation rates (Lin et al., 2001). Consistent with this allosteric interaction in substrate inhibition, rutaecarpine strongly inhibited CYP1A2-catalyzed 7-ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation activity in a mixed type of
noncompetitive and uncompetitive inhibition. The $K_i$ value for CYP1A2-substrate complex was less than that for CYP1A2 only, suggesting a strong binding of rutecarpine to CYP1A2-substrate complex (Y. F. Ueng, S. Y. Wang, and M. J. Don, unpublished result). Besides CYP1A1 and CYP1A2, rutecarpine also inhibited CYP1B1-catalyzed 7-ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation noncompetitively with an IC$_{50}$ value about 3-fold higher than that for CYP1A2, suggesting that rutecarpine binds to CYP1B1 and CYP1B1-substrate complexes with similar dissociation constants (Don et al., 2003). However, CYP1B1 did not show the substrate inhibition as obviously as CYP1A1 and CYP1A2.

10-Hydroxyrutecarpine was the most abundant metabolite formed by most human liver samples. Among CYP1 members, CYP1A1 and CYP1B1 oxidized rutecarpine to form mainly 10-hydroxyrutecarpine. For CYP1A1, the plot of velocity versus substrate concentration at the concentration less than 10 μM showed a sigmoidal pattern (Fig. 4B). Eadie-Hofstee and Hill plots showed a kinetic pattern with cooperativity higher than 1 for CYP1A1-catalyzed rutecarpine 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylations (Fig. 4, D and E). The positive cooperativity seen in the sigmoidal velocity versus substrate concentration plot could be attributed to several factors. These factors include the presence of more than one substrate binding site or conformation in CYP1A1 and/or product inhibition (Duggleby, 1994). Thus, the effect of 10-hydroxyrutecarpine on CYP1 members was studied. 10-Hydroxyrutecarpine strongly inhibited CYP1A1-, CYP1B2-, and CYP1B1-catalyzed 7-ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation activities with the IC$_{50}$ values in the order of CYP1A1 = CYP1A2 > CYP1B1. The inhibition of CYP1 by this oxidation product may shift the velocity versus substrate concentration plot to a sigmoidal pattern. In the future, it will be of interest to determine the plasma concentrations of 10-hydroxyrutecarpine and/or its conjugates to assess the role of 10-hydroxyrutecarpine in drug interactions of the rutecarpine-containing remedy in our previous report (Ueng et al., 2003).

The major finding is that multiple P450 enzymes, including CYP1A2, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 participated in human liver microsomal rutecarpine hydroxylation (Fig. 7). Genetic variations of CYP1A2, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 have been reported in humans (Hasler et al., 1999). To clarify the possible individual differences in pharmacological response to $E$. rutecarpa due to differential metabolic capacity, it will be of interest to investigate the pharmacological effects of rutecarpine metabolites. The main pharmacological targets of rutecarpine are the cardiovascular and circulation systems. The pharmacological effects of rutecarpine may show tissue specificity. Among the P450 enzymes studied here, CYP1A1 had 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation activities 6 to 26- to 9- to 10 to 16-, and 7 to 27-fold higher than the activities of the other P450s, respectively. CYP1A1 is especially hepatic and is inducible in the endothelial cells of blood vessels (Dey et al., 1999; Ding and Kaminisky, 2003). Therefore, CYP1A1 may also play an important role in the toxicity and pharmacological activities of rutecarpine in hepatoxepathic tissues.
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