Pharmacogenetics of Human Carbonyl Reductase 1 (CBR1) in Livers from Black and White Donors
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ABSTRACT:

Carbonyl reductase 1 (CBR1) reduces the anticancer drug doxorubicin into the cardiotoxic metabolite doxorubicinol. We documented the hepatic expression of CBR1 in samples from white and black donors. Concordance between ethnicity and geographical ancestry was examined with ancestry informative markers. Livers from blacks and whites showed similar CBR1 mRNA levels (CBR1 mRNA_{blacks} = 4.8 ± 4.3 relative -fold versus CBR1 mRNA_{whites} = 3.6 ± 3.6 relative -fold; p = 0.217). CBR1 protein levels did not differ between both groups (CBR1_{blacks} = 8.0 ± 3.4 mmol/g cytosolic protein versus CBR1_{whites} = 9.0 ± 4.6 mmol/g cytosolic protein; p = 0.347). The CBR1 3’-untranslated region polymorphism 1096G>A was detected in DNA samples from whites (p = 0.875; q = 0.125), and livers with homozygous G/G genotypes showed a trend toward higher CBR1 mRNA levels compared with samples with heterozygous G/A genotypes [CBR1 1096G>A_{G/G} = 4.1 ± 4.1 relative -fold versus CBR1 1096G>A_{G/A} = 3.0 ± 2.5 relative -fold; p = 0.266]. CBR1 1096G>A genotype status was associated with CBR1 protein levels (p = 0.030) and CBR activity expressed as the rate of synthesis of doxorubicinol (p = 0.028). Our findings warrant further studies to evaluate the impact of CBR1 1096G>A genotype status on the variable pharmacodynamics of anthracyclines drugs.

Carbonyl reductase 1 (CBR1) is a cytosolic short-chain dehydrogenase that catalyzes the two-electron reduction of relevant pharmacological substrates such as the antipsychotic haloperidol and the anticancer anthracyclines doxorubicin and daunorubicin (Forrest and Gonzalez, 2000; Rosemond and Walsh, 2004; Matsunaga et al., 2006). CBR1 reduces doxorubicin into its metabolite doxorubicinol (doxol) by using the cofactor NADPH (Wermuth, 1981; Forrest et al., 1990). On average, 16 to 45% of the total doxorubicin is eliminated as doxorubicinol, and the remainder of the drug is eliminated unchanged. Other minor metabolites such as 7-deoxy aglycones are synthesized by cytochrome P450 reductase and circulate in plasma at low concentrations (1–2% of the parent drug) (Speth et al., 1988; Joerger et al., 2005). The pharmacodynamics of doxorubicin in different cancer settings is variable, and the development of anthracycline-related cardiotoxicity in some patients hampers the clinical use of the drug. It is interesting to note that the metabolite doxorubicinol synthesized by CBR1 activity plays a key role during the pathogenesis of anthracycline-related cardiotoxicity. Doxorubicinol exerts cardiotoxicity by a combination of mechanisms, including inhibition of Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+}.ATPase in the sarcoplasmic reticulum and inactivation of the cytoplasmatic aconitase/iron regulatory protein-1 complex (Olson et al., 1988; Minotti et al., 2004). Olson et al. (1988) reported that an overall 40 to 50% reduction of Cbr1 protein levels in Cbr1(+/-) mice was sufficient to confer protection against anthracycline-related cardiotoxicity. Cbr1(+/-) animals treated with a single injection of doxorubicin (20 mg/kg i.p.) showed lower plasma levels of doxorubicinol than wild-type animals [Cbr1(+/-)]. Furthermore, histopathological analyzes together with echocardiographical assessments demonstrated anthracycline-related cardiotoxicity in Cbr1(+/-) but not in Cbr1(-/-) mice (Olson et al., 2003).

The liver is the major organ for the metabolism of doxorubicin, and various reports including a very recent report by Kassner et al. (2008), have shown that CBR1 is the main source of hepatic doxorubicin reductase activity. The aldo-keto reductases AKR1A1 and AKR1B1 are expressed in liver and also catalyze the reduction of doxorubicin. However, AKR1A1 and AKR1B1 have 7- to 18-fold lower catalytic efficiencies for the reduction of anthracycline substrates compared with CBR1 (Wermuth et al., 1986; Ohara et al., 1995; O’connor et al., 1999; Rosemond and Walsh, 2004; Kassner et al., 2008). Thus, variable hepatic CBR1 expression may affect the unpredictable pharmacodynamics of doxorubicin. Therefore, the first aim of this study was to analyze the expression of CBR1 in a collection of liver tissue samples from white (n = 64) and black donors (n = 32). Toward this end, we documented CBR1 mRNA and CBR1 protein levels by quantitative real-time RT-PCR and immunoblotting with a polyclonal anti-CBR1 antibody, respectively.

Functional single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on CBR1 may...
contribute to variable CBR1 activity. We have characterized the functional impact of a nonsynonymous SNP on CBR1 (CBR1 V88I, rs1143663) that seems to be confined to individuals with African ancestry (q = 0.014). CBR1 V88I results in CBR1 protein variants (CBR1 V88 and CBR1 I88) with distinctive catalytic and thermodynamic properties (Gonzalez-Covarrubias et al., 2007). Further studies demonstrated that the anthracycline reductase activities of CBR1 V88 and CBR1 I88 are differentially inhibited by the cardioprotectant CBR1 V88 (CBR1 V88 and CBR1 I88) with distinctive catalytic and thermodynamic properties (Gonzalez-Covarrubias et al., 2008). A second nonsynonymous SNP on CBR1 (CBR1 S131P, rs1557318) has been recently reported by the dbSNP database (build 129). In addition, Avramopoulos et al. (1992) identified a relatively common SNP on the CBR1 3′-untranslated region (CBR1 1096G>A, rs9024). Thus, we investigated the presence of CBR1 V88I, CBR1 S131P, and CBR1 1096G>A in paired liver DNA samples; and we determined whether CBR1 1096G>A genotype status dictates variable hepatic CBR1 expression.

Materials and Methods

Human Liver Samples. The Institutional Review Board of the State University of New York at Buffalo, NY, approved this research. Demographic information (e.g., age, gender, and ethnicity) was obtained from medical records (Supplemental Table S1). Human liver tissues from black (n = 32) and white (n = 64) donors were processed at St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital (Memphis, TN) and were provided by the Liver Tissue Procurement and Distribution System (National Institutes of Health Contract N01-DK-9-2310) and by the Cooperative Human Tissue Network (http://chtn.nci.nih.gov/), respectively. Liver tissue samples were processed following standardized procedures to obtain cytosolic fractions, RNA, and DNA. DNA and RNA isolations were performed with phenol-chloroform extraction (n = 1; 1% of the total), TRI Reagent (n = 7; 7% of the total; Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH), and QIAGEN DNA/RNA kits (n = 8; 92% of the total; QIAGEN, Valencia, CA).

Ancestry Informative Markers. One hundred and seventy-six autosomal genetic markers showing large differences in allele frequencies between populations with distinctive geographical ancestries were used as ancestry-informative markers (AIM). A subset of DNA samples from white and black donors were selected by blinded operators for AIM genotyping (whites, n = 49, 77% of the total; and blacks, n = 27, 84% of the total). AIM were genotyped by DNAPrint Genomics ( Sarasota, FL). The results are reported as the estimated percentage of sub-Saharan African, European, Native American, and East Asian ancestry (Kishi et al., 2007).

Hepatic CBR1 mRNA Expression. The expression of CBR1 mRNA was analyzed in 23 total liver RNA samples from blacks (72% of the total) and 42 total liver RNA samples from whites (66% of the total; Supplemental Fig. S1). RNA concentrations were measured with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Total RNA (100 ng) was reverse-transcribed and amplified by using one-step QuantiTect SYBR Green RT-PCR kits (QIAGEN). RT-PCR reaction mixtures were incubated in a MJ MX3050P thermal cycler equipped with proprietary software for data analyses (MxPro version 3.00; Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). CBR1 primers were 5′-CTGATCCCCACACCCCTTCTC-3′ (forward) and 5′-TAAGGGCTCTGACCGCTCAT-3′ (reverse). β-Actin primers were 5′-ACGCGTCCGGATGTCGCAAG-3′ (forward) and 5′-TGGACATGCGCGT-GCTGATG-3′ (reverse). CBR1 and β-actin (normalizer) mRNAs were amplified in parallel with the following cycling parameters: 50°C for 30 min (reverse transcription), 95°C for 10 min (Taq DNA polymerase activation), 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s (denaturation), 51°C for 30 s (annealing), 72°C for 30 s (extension), and 78°C for 30 s (fluorescence collection). Standard curves for CBR1 and β-actin mRNAs (20-fold dynamic range) were run in parallel to ensure accurate mRNA quantifications. In all cases, the regression coefficients of the standard curves were r² ≥ 0.94. Amplification efficiencies for CBR1 and β-actin mRNAs were comparable and ranged between 125 and 175%. Experimental samples and standards for calibration curves were analyzed in quadruplicate. The relative amount of CBR1 mRNA in each liver sample was automatically calculated with the comparative quantitation algorithm by using individual β-actin mRNA levels as normalizers. CBR1 mRNA values were expressed relative to the normalized CBR1 mRNA content of liver sample 237. Liver sample 237 was randomly selected for data normalization (Blangcuet et al., 2002; Bustin, 2002; Zambra et al., 2005).

Hepatic CBR1 Protein Expression. Randomly selected liver cytosols from white (n = 28) and black (n = 32) donors were analyzed by quantitative immunoblotting (Supplemental Fig. S1). Liver cytosols (150 μg) and recombinant CBR1 standards were electroblotted to PVDF membranes (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK). Membranes were blocked at room temperature for 1 h with blocking reagents (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Cytosols were loaded into wells at 90 V for 90 min in a Bio-Rad mini-cell apparatus. Proteins were transferred into Hybond-polyvinylidene difluoride membranes, washed with 1× TBS-Tween 20 (Pierce Chemical, Rockford, IL), and separated by electrophoresis at 180 V for 90 min. Blots were incubated with a 1:1000 dilution of human antiserum against CBR1 (Cambridge, MA) or with an anti-β-actin monoclonal antibody (1:5000 dilution; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Cytosols were incubated for 1 h with a secondary anti-IgG antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (1:10,000 dilution; Sigma-Aldrich). Immunoreactive bands were visualized with the ECL Plus Western blotting detection system (GE Healthcare). CBR1 band intensity values (pixels per square millimeter) were quantified with a ChemiDoc XRS gel documentation system equipped with Quantity One software (Bio-Rad). Hepatic CBR1 levels were determined by direct extrapolation from the calibration curves with recombinant CBR1. The limit of quantification was 0.02 μg, and the limit of detection was 0.01 μg. Detection of CBR1 was linear (range, 0.05–0.30 μg; r² > 0.85; coefficient of variation = 9.5%). No immunoreactive bands were detected in immunoblots of recombinant human CBR3 (purity ≥90%) probed with the polyclonal anti-human CBR1 antibody. CBR3 was obtained as described previously (Lakhman et al., 2005).

Hepatic CBR Activity. Maximal CBR activities were measured in liver cytosols from whites (n = 64) and blacks (n = 32) with the substrate doxorubicin at 37°C. Validation experiments with liver cytosols from black and white donors showed that 400 μM doxorubicin (S) ensured conditions of Vmax and/or maximal CBR activity (zero-order kinetics). Thus, maximal CBR activities (reaction rates) were directly proportional to the amount of cytosolic CBR1 enzyme. CBR activity with the substrate doxorubicin was linear within the following total protein concentration range: 0.2 to 5.0 μg/ml (r² = 0.99). Incubation mixtures (final volume, 1 ml) contained potassium phosphate buffer (0.1 M; pH 7.4), NADPH (200 μM; Sigma-Aldrich), and doxorubicin (400 μM; Sigma-Aldrich). Kinetic reactions were started by the addition of liver cytosols (100 μl), total protein concentration: 1.2 ± 0.6 mg/ml; range, 0.1–3.7 mg/ml). The oxidation rates of the NADPH cofactor were recorded at 340 nm (NADPH molar absorption coefficient, 6220 M−1 cm−1) for 3.0 min at an acquisition speed of 0.05 and the acquisition time was 0.01 s. A Cary Varian BioRad UV-Vis (Varian, Inc., Palo Alto, CA) spectrophotometer equipped with thermal control and proprietary software for enzyme kinetics analysis (Wernth, 1999; Kovarriabias et al., 2006). Enzymic velocities (V0) were automatically calculated by linear integration of the ΔA260/Δtime points (r² > 0.95). After the kinetic measurements, the reaction mixtures were immediately frozen at −70°C for doxorubicin quantification. Direct quantification of doxorubicin with a high-performance liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry assay adapted for human liver cytosols was performed in a subset of randomly selected reaction mixtures from whites (n = 40; 63% of the total) and blacks (n = 20; 63% of the total) (DiFrancesco et al., 2007). Dxorubicin was extracted from the cytosolic reaction mixtures by solid phase extraction using reversed-phase sorbent cartridges (Oasis HLB; Waters, Milford, MA). The high-performance liquid chromatography system consisted of an autosampler, a degasser, and an LC pump (1100 series; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) coupled to an Applied Biosystems API/Sciex API 300 mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The mass spectrometer was operated in the mixed reaction-monitoring positive ion mode using a turbo ionspray interface. The desolvation temperature of the interface was 350°C, and the ion current was 4000 V. Chromatographic separation was performed in a C18 2.1 × 30-mm column (Waters Symmetry, Milford, MA) protected by a C18 2.1 × 10-mm guard column (Waters Symmetry). Separation of doxor-
bicinol and doxorubicin was achieved at a flow rate of 250 μl/min using a mobile phase gradient of 75% mobile phase A (5 mM acetate buffer, pH 3.5; 5% methanol) and 25% mobile phase B (5 mM acetate buffer, pH 3.5; 95% methanol), with a transition to 25% mobile phase A and 75% mobile phase B in 8 min. The anthracycline daunorubicin was used as an internal standard (240 ng/ml). The range of linearity for the quantification of doxorubicinol was 50 to 1000 ng/ml (r² > 0.990). The inter- and intraday coefficients of variations were <15%, and the accuracy range for doxorubicinol was 107 to 112%. Quality control points were routinely prepared by spiking doxorubicinol (Toronto Research Chemicals, Toronto, ON, Canada) into pooled liver cytosols at the following final concentrations: 50, 100, 200, and 400 ng/ml. The matrix effects of plasma and liver cytosols were comparable (percentage of recovery plasma, 99% and percentage of recovery cytosols, 103%). Cytosolic protein concentrations were determined with an assay based on the Bradford (1976) technique using bovine serum albumin as standard (Bio-Rad). Maximal hepatic CBR activities were expressed as doxorubicinol synthesis rates (nanomoles of doxorubicin per minute per milligram).

**CBR1 Genotyping.** The CBR1 V88I polymorphism (rs1143663, 391G>A) was analyzed with a validated assay for allelic discrimination with specific fluorescent probes as described previously (Gonzalez-Covarrubias et al., 2007). The CBR1 3’-UTR 1096G>A (rs9024, 1096G>A) and CBR1 S131P (rs41557318, 520C>T) polymorphisms were investigated by allelic discrimination with fluorescent probes followed by real-time PCR (Assays-by-Design; Applied Biosystems). Supplemental Table 2 lists the nucleotide sequences of genotyping primers and probes. Genotyping reactions were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol in a Bio-Rad IQ5 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad). All genotyping runs included appropriate negative (no DNA template) and positive controls (DNA samples from the Coriell Institute for Medical Research (Camden, NJ) with known CBR1 V88I, CBR1 1096G>A, and CBR1 S131P genotypes). For quality control purposes, CBR1 3’-UTR 1096G>A was further investigated by direct sequencing on 33 CBR1 full-length cDNA samples from black (n = 13) and white (n = 20) donors as described previously (Gonzalez-Covarrubias et al., 2007).

**Human Lymphoblastoid Cell Lines.** Nine human lymphoblastoid cell lines (GM10853, GM10845, GM10857, GM10858, GM10860, GM17240, GM16654, GM16688, and GM16689) derived from individual donors with Chinese (n = 3) or European (n = 6) ancestries were purchased as live cultures from the Coriell Institute for Medical Research. Cultures were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 2 mM l-glutamine and 15% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen). Total RNA was extracted from lymphoblastoid cell cultures (1 × 10⁶ cells/ml) with Illustra RNA Mini Spin kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions (GE Healthcare). The relative amount of CBR1 mRNA in each lymphoblastoid cell line was determined as described above. CBR1 mRNA levels in lymphoblastoid cell cultures were expressed relative to the normalized CBR1 mRNA content of cell lines with CBR1 1096G>A homozygous G/G genotypes (cell lines: GM10857 and GM16654) and negative controls (DNA samples from the Coriell Institute for Medical Research). Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and the resulting pellets were treated with 2.0 ml of lysis buffer [320 mM sucrose, 10 mM potassium phosphate, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine, pH 7.4] supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). Pellets were homogenized with a handheld tissue homogenizer (BioSpec Products, Inc., Bartlesville, OK). Cell homogenates were sequentially centrifuged at 10,000 rpm (15 min), 15,000 rpm (30 min), and 45,000 (1 h). Cytosols were obtained by collecting the supernatants from the last centrifugation step. Synthesis of doxorubicinol by lymphoblastoid cell lines was determined by incubating cytotoxic fractions with the substrate doxorubicin (400 μM) and NADPH (200 μM) for 5 h. Reactions were started by the addition of cytosols (volume, 600 μl; total protein concentration, 3 mg/ml). Doxorubicinol concentrations were determined as described above.

**Statistical Analysis.** Descriptive statistics (e.g., group means, variances, standard deviations, group ranges, and group percentiles) were computed with Excel 2000 version 9.0 (Microsoft Office; Microsoft, Redmond, WA) and GraphPad Prism version 4.03 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). The D’Agostino and Pearson omnibus normality test was used to examine data normality with α levels <0.05. Unpaired Student’s t tests were used to compare population means of data sets normally distributed. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare population means of data sets with non-normal distributions. In all cases, differences were considered to be statistically significant at p < 0.050. Pearson’s coefficient of correlation (rP) was used to analyze data sets with normal distributions, and Spearman’s coefficient of correlation (rS) was used for data sets with non-normal distributions. Genotype and phenotype data sets will be available at the PharmGKB database (http://www.pharmgkb.org). Results

**AIM in Samples from Black and White Liver Donors.** First, we sought to examine the extent of concordance between self-reported ethnicity and geographical ancestry by genotyping 176 AIM in a subset of randomly selected DNA samples from black (n = 27) and white (n = 49) donors (Supplemental Fig. S1). Samples from black donors showed an average AIM score of 76 ± 15% for the sub-Saharan African panel, whereas samples from white donors showed an average AIM score of 91 ± 8% for the European panel (Fig. 1). Further analysis showed that the hepatic expression of CBR1 (CBR1 mRNA, CBR1 protein, and CBR activity) was similar whether stratifying by ethnicity or AIM-determined geographical ancestry (Table 1). Thus, the hepatic expression of CBR1 was analyzed after stratifying samples by self-reported ethnicity.

**CBR1 mRNA and CBR1 Protein Expression in Liver Tissues from Black and White Donors.** Hepatic CBR1 mRNA expression was analyzed in 23 total liver RNA samples from blacks and 42 total liver RNA samples from whites, respectively. The number of RNA...
samples represented 72% (blacks) and 66% (whites) of the total number of liver samples available for each ethnic category (Supplementary Fig. S1). Hepatic CBR1 mRNA levels varied widely in blacks (49-fold; CBR1 mRNA(blacks), range, 0.4–19.6 relative-fold) and whites (48-fold; CBR1 mRNA(whites), range, 0.3–14.3 relative-fold). Statistical comparisons demonstrated that the relative expression of hepatic CBR1 mRNA was similar between samples from black and white donors (CBR1 mRNA(blacks) = 4.8 ± 4.3 relative-fold versus CBR1 mRNA(whites) = 3.6 ± 3.6 relative-fold; p = 0.217) (Fig. 2).

A randomly selected subset of liver cytosols from blacks (n = 28) and whites (n = 28) was examined for CBR1 protein expression by immunoblot analysis (Supplemental Fig. S1). CBR1 was detected as a single band of approximately 30 kDa in all samples. β-Actin expression was also examined to assess the integrity of each cytosolic sample. The expression of CBR1 varied by 8-fold in samples from blacks (range, 2.2–17.0 nmol/g cytosolic protein) and by 6-fold in samples from whites (range, 3.3–19.2 nmol/g cytosolic protein). CBR1 protein levels did not differ between blacks and whites (CBR1(blacks) = 8.0 ± 3.4 nmol/g cytosolic protein versus CBR1(whites) = 9.0 ± 4.6 nmol/g cytosolic protein; p = 0.347) (Fig. 3). Correlation analysis showed no association between CBR1 mRNA and CBR1 protein expression in samples from black (r = 0.244; p = 0.305) and white (r = 0.296; p = 0.150) liver donors (Supplemental Fig. S2).

**CBR Activities in Liver Cytosols from Blacks and Whites.**

Hepatic CBR activities for the substrate doxorubicin were assessed by measuring the oxidation rates of the NADPH cofactor in liver cytosols. Hepatic CBR activities for the substrate doxorubicin were assessed by measuring the oxidation rates of the NADPH cofactor in liver cytosols. Statistical comparisons demonstrated that maximal CBR activities were observed in samples from whites (range, 0.9–14.0 nmol/min · mg) and blacks (range, 4.6–19.2 nmol/g cytosolic protein). Correlation analysis showed a significant negative correlation between CBR activity and age in samples from whites (r = −0.439; p = 0.006). Similar analyses in samples from black donors showed no correlation between CBR activity and age (r = 0.008; p = 0.715) (Fig. 4). We also determined whether a relationship existed between age and cytosolic protein yield. Cytosolic protein yield was

![Fig. 2.](image1.png) Hepatic CBR1 mRNA expression in samples from white (n = 42) and black (n = 23) donors. Relative CBR1 mRNA levels were determined with the comparative quantitation method (see text for details). Individual β-actin mRNA levels were used as normalizers. Samples and standards for calibration curves (r² > 0.94) were analyzed in quadruplicates. Each circle depicts the average of individual samples. Horizontal lines indicate group means (p = 0.217).

![Fig. 3.](image2.png) Hepatic CBR1 protein expression in samples from white (n = 28) and black (n = 28; A) donors. Each circle represents individual liver samples. Horizontal lines indicate group means (p = 0.347). B, immunodetection of hepatic CBR1 and β-actin in human liver cytosols. C, immunodetection of recombinant CBR1 standards (cCBR1) from a typical calibration curve.
not significantly associated with age in samples from blacks (r² = 0.126; p = 0.325) and whites (r² = 0.231; p = 0.340), respectively.

**CBR1 Genotype-Phenotype Associations in Liver Samples from Black and White Donors.** To pinpoint genetic determinants of hepatic CBR1 expression, paired liver DNA samples were genotyped for the nonsynonymous SNPs CBR1 V881 and CBR1 S131P, and for the 3′-UTR SNP CBR1 1096G>A, respectively (Avramopoulos et al., 1992; Gonzalez-Covarrubias et al., 2007). The variant alleles for CBR1 V881 (A) and CBR1 S131P (T) were absent in DNA samples from white and black liver donors. The CBR1 1096G>A polymorphism was detected in samples from whites but not in samples from blacks (Table 2). CBR1 1096G>A genotype distributions were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (χ² test; p = 0.565). Genetic surveys in small DNA human diversity panels from the Coriell Institute for Medical Research revealed that the CBR1 1096G>A polymorphism seems to be relatively common (q > 0.12) among individuals with distinctive geographical ancestries, such as Chinese, Japanese, and South East Asians (Table 2).

On average, relative CBR1 mRNA levels tended to be higher in samples from white donors with CBR1 1096G>A homozygous G/G genotypes compared with samples from donors with heterozygous G/A genotypes, but the differences between genotype groups did not reach statistical significance [CBR1 mRNA1096G>A(G/G)] = 4.1 ± 4.1 relative -fold versus CBR1 mRNA1096G>A(G/A) = 3.0 ± 2.5 relative -fold; p = 0.266] (Fig. 5). Further analysis showed statistically significant differences in cytosolic CBR1 protein levels between both CBR1 1096G>A genotype groups [CBR1 protein1096G>A(G/G)] = 10.2 ± 4.7 nmol/g cytosolic protein versus CBR1 protein1096G>A(G/A) = 6.1 ± 2.8 nmol/g cytosolic protein; p = 0.030] (Fig. 6). In line, liver cytosols with CBR1 1096G>A homozygous G/G genotypes showed higher maximal rates of doxorubicinol synthesis (1.5-fold) compared with samples with heterozygous G/A genotypes [CBR activity1096G>A(G/G)] = 4.4 ± 2.2 nmol doxol/min · mg versus CBR activity1096G>A(G/A)] = 2.9 ± 1.4 nmol doxol/min · mg; p = 0.028] (Fig. 7).

The impact of the CBR1 1096G>A polymorphism was further investigated in cultures of lymphoblastoid cell lines with known CBR1 1096G>A genotype status. CBR1 mRNA levels in lymphoblastoid cells were expressed relative to the normalized CBR1 mRNA content of cell lines: GM10857 and GM16688 (CBR1 1096G>A G/G genotypes). Cell lines with CBR1 1096G>A homozygous G/G genotypes exhibited higher CBR1 mRNA relative expression levels than cell lines with CBR1 1096G>A homozygous A/A genotypes (CBR1 mRNA1096G>A(G/G)] = 1.0 ± 0.1 relative -fold versus CBR1 mRNA1096G>A(A/A)] = 0.7 ± 0.2 relative -fold; p = 0.04) (Fig. 5). Furthermore, cell lines with CBR1 1096G>A homozygous G/G genotypes synthesized 2-fold more doxorubicinol than cell lines with homozygous A/A genotypes [CBR activity1096G>A(G/G)] = 44.2 ± 25.4 nmol doxol/min · mg versus CBR activity1096G>A(A/A)] = 22.0 ± 24.0 nmol doxol/min · mg; p = 0.02] (Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

The reduction of carbonyl groups by hepatic CBR1 activity is an important step during the metabolism of clinically relevant drugs such as haloperidol (antipsychotic), doxorubicin (anticancer), dolasteron (antiemetic), and pentoxyfilline (hemorheologic) (Rosemond and Walsh, 2004). On the other hand, differences in the average expression of drug-metabolizing enzymes between ethnic groups with distinctive geographical ancestries may affect drug response and toxicity (Wilson et al., 2001; Daar and Singer, 2005; Diczfalusy et al., 2008). Therefore, our first aim was to document the hepatic expression of CBR1 in a cohort of liver tissue samples from white and black donors. Recent pharmacogenetic studies suggest that in certain cases, discrete
population labels based on self-reported ethnicity and/or skin color may be inappropriate surrogates of geographical ancestry due to population admixture (Suarez-Kurtz et al., 2007a,b). Thus, we sought to examine 176 autosomal genetic markers of geographical ancestry to refine the stratification criterion. Stratification by ethnicity or AIM-determined geographical ancestry did not modify the average expression values of hepatic CBR1 mRNA, CBR1 protein, and CBR activity (Table 1). Therefore, in this group of samples, self-reported ethnicity seems to be an accurate indicator of geographical ancestry.

CBR1 mRNA and CBR1 protein were detected in all liver samples. On average, CBR1 mRNA expression varied by 48-fold (Fig. 2). Further analysis showed that the hepatic expression of CBR1 mRNA varied by 13- and 23-fold in samples from black and white donors after the elimination of outlier values located above the 95th or below the 5th percentiles (blacks, \( n = 11005 \); whites, \( n = 1005 \)). Hepatic CBR1 protein expression varied by 7-fold, and CBR1 protein was detected as a single immunoreactive band after electrophoretic separation in 4 to 10% gradient polyacrylamide gels (Fig. 3). In a recent study, Kassner et al. (2008) reported that CBR1 expression in liver cytosols varied by \( 70\)-fold. The 10-fold discrepancy between both studies may be due in part to different strategies for CBR1 immunodetection. That is, Kassner et al. (2008) performed electrophoretic separations by using 12% polyacrylamide gels, and CBR1 was detected as a triple band after immunoblotting due to the binding of 2-oxocarbonyl acids to lysine 239 (Wermuth et al., 1993; Kassner et al., 2008). We also found that hepatic doxorubicin reductase activity varied by 22-fold in samples from blacks and whites (Fig. 4). In this case, the extent of variable CBR activity for the substrate doxorubicin is in agreement with the 22-fold value reported by Kassner et al. (2008). We observed a modest although significant correlation between CBR1 activities determined by UV-spectrophotometry versus direct quantification of doxorubicinol (Supplemental Fig. S5). The comparatively low specificity of UV-spectrophotometric methods in complex biological matrices (i.e., liver cytosols) may have affected the extent of correlation between both measurements of CBR1 activity (Tietz, 1999).

In a recent study, we reported that CBR1 mRNA, CBR1 protein, and CBR activity are concomitantly up-regulated by strong ligands of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) such as \( \beta \)-naphthoflavone and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-\( p \)-dioxin (Lakhman et al., 2007). Here, we found no significant relationships between CBR1 mRNA, CBR1 protein, and CBR activity levels in liver samples from white and black.

### TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>( N^a )</th>
<th>G/G</th>
<th>G/A</th>
<th>A/A</th>
<th>( p^b )</th>
<th>( q^c )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black liver donors</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White liver donors</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. African ancestry(^d)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. European ancestry(^d)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indo-Pakistanis(^d)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Eastern(^d)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africans north of the Sahara(^d)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africans south of the Sahara(^d)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese(^d)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese(^d)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican(^d)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia Pacific(^d)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South American Andes(^d)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Asia(^d)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) \( N \), number of DNA samples.  
\(^b\) \( p \) denotes the G allele.  
\(^c\) \( q \) denotes the A allele.  
\(^d\) DNA human diversity panels from the Coriell Institute for Medical Research.
donors. Based on these observations, it is possible to hypothesize that the hepatic expression of CBR1 under “basal conditions” (i.e., absence of AHR ligands) may be regulated by factors that operate at various levels (e.g., transcriptional and/or post-transcriptional), whereas the expression of CBR1 under “nonbasal conditions” (i.e., presence of AHR ligands) would be primarily driven by AHR through coupled transcriptional-translational regulation.

The CBR1 1096G>A polymorphism was common in samples from white donors, and we pinpointed a significant association between CBR1 1096G>A genotype status and the hepatic expression of CBR1 protein. Furthermore, CBR1 1096G>A genotype status in whites was significantly associated with the maximal rates of synthesis of the cardiotoxic C-13 metabolite doxorubicinol (Fig. 7). It should be noted that Kassner et al. (2008) did not detect associations between CBR1 1096G>A genotype and doxorubicin reductase activity or CBR1 protein expression in 57 liver samples. The reasons for this discrepancy are unclear and highlight the need for confirmatory studies. Nevertheless, it is also interesting to note that the impact of the CBR1 1096G>A polymorphism on the maximal rates of synthesis of doxorubicinol was also apparent in cultures of lymphoblastoid cell lines. That is, cell lines with CBR1 1096G>A homozygous G/G genotypes synthesized 2-fold more doxorubicinol per unit of time compared with cell lines with homozygous A/A genotypes. This observation is limited by the small number of cell lines analyzed (n = 3 per each genotype combination), but it provides the rationale to test whether peripheral blood lymphocytes would serve as surrogates to predict the impact of CBR1 1096G>A genotype status on variable hepatic CBR activity.

We found that on average, the hepatic expression of CBR1 mRNA, CBR1 protein, and CBR1 activity was similar in samples from black and white donors (Figs. 2–4). However, these findings need to be interpreted with caution by considering 1) sample size limitations, 2) the potential functional impact of CBR1 1096G>A, and 3) the distribution of the variant CBR1 1096A allele among individuals with distinctive geographical ancestry (Table 2). For example, cytosols from whites with CBR1 1096G>A homozygous G/G genotype and the entire group of cytosols from black donors exhibited similar doxorubicinol synthesis rates [CBR1 activity 1096G>A(G/G)-whites = 4.4 ± 2.2 nmol doxol/min · mg, n = 24 versus CBR1 activity 1096G>A(G/G)-blacks = 4.2 ± 2.3 nmol doxol/min · mg, n = 20; p = 0.826]. Thus, the survey of larger sample sizes from white (i.e., more samples with the variant allele) and black donors may pinpoint differences in the hepatic expression of CBR1 among both groups. It is interesting to note that clinical studies in pediatric cancer survivors treated with anthracyclines identified higher incidence of anthracycline-related cardiotoxicity among black patients (Krischer et al., 1997; Grenier and Lipshultz, 1998). Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that the comparatively higher risk of anthracycline-related cardiotoxicity among black cancer patients may be due in part to the scarcity of the variant allele (A) among individuals with African ancestry.

A pharmacokinetic study in patients receiving concomitant administration of doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide showed a significant negative correlation between age and the clearance of doxorubicin (r̂p = −0.46; p = 0.0037) (Li and Gwilt, 2003). In addition, Joerger et al. (2007) detected a negative correlation between the clearance of doxorubicin and age by using a physiologically based pharmacokinetic model. They concluded that a 10-year increase in patient age led to a 9% decrease in doxorubicin clearance (Li and Gwilt, 2003; Joerger et al., 2007). In this study, we detected a significant negative correlation between age and maximal CBR activity in samples from whites (r̂p = −0.439; p = 0.006). Regression analysis without stratification by CBR1 1096G>A genotype demonstrated that age would account for approximately 16% of the variation in doxorubicin reductase activity (Fig. 4). Further analysis after stratification by CBR1 1096G>A genotype status showed that maximal CBR activity was significantly associated with age only in the group of samples with CBR1 1096G>A homozygous G/G genotypes (r̂p = −0.505; p = 0.014) (Supplemental Fig. S6). Thus, age would account for 25% of the variation in doxorubicin reductase activity among subjects with homozygous G/G genotypes. In this group, samples from donors 1 to 25 years old showed 1.9-fold higher maximal rates of doxorubicinol synthesis compared with those from donors older than 55 years (CBR1 1096G>A(G/G)-whites 1-25 years old = 6.7 ± 1.5 nmol doxol/min · mg, n = 5 versus CBR1 1096G>A(G/G)-whites >55 years = 3.0 ± 3.0 nmol doxol/min · mg, n = 5; p = 0.039).

Age did not correlate with doxorubicinol synthesis rates in the group of samples with heterozygous G/A genotypes (r̂p = 0.504; p = 0.410; Supplemental Fig. S6). These findings are intriguing and highlight the potential functional impact of the CBR1 1096G>A polymorphism. Recent studies on genes of pharmacogenetic relevance indicate that certain 3′-UTR polymorphisms affect the binding of specific microRNAs (miRNAs) and are associated with differential gene expression (Mishra et al., 2007; Gow et al., 2008). In consequence, we performed bioinformatics searches by using the PolymiRTS and miRBase databases to test whether the polymorphic CBR1 3′-UTR sequence constitutes a potential target for miRNA. The searches revealed that the presence of the A allele at the polymorphic position (5′-ACTAATATACTAC-3′) creates a potential binding site for miR-656 (Gla-zov et al., 2008). Thus, we hypothesize that CBR1 1096G>A regulates the steady-state concentrations of hepatic CBR1 mRNA levels through the binding of specific miRNA species such as miR-656 (He and Hannon, 2004).

Anthracycline C-13 alcohol metabolites circulate in plasma and are devoid of significant tumor cell killing activity (Forrest and Gonzalez, 2000). Therefore, it will be of clinical relevance to explore whether CBR1 1096G>A genotype status influence 1) the therapeutic efficacy of anthracycline drugs and 2) the risk of anthracycline-related cardiotoxicity. Our studies support the notion that information on specific...
genetic determinants of variable CBR activity may assist to optimize anticaner therapy with anthracycline drugs.
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