Reversible Disulfide Formation of the Glutamate Carboxypeptidase II Inhibitor E2072 Results in Prolonged Systemic Exposures In Vivo
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ABSTRACT:

E2072 [(3-2-mercaptoethyl)biphenyl-2,3'-dicarboxylic acid] is a novel, potent and selective thiol-based glutamate carboxypeptidase II (GCP-II) inhibitor that has shown robust analgesic and neuroprotective efficacy in preclinical models of neuropathic pain and chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. For the first time, we describe the drug metabolism and pharmacokinetic profile of E2072 in rodents and primates. Intravenously administered E2072 was found to exhibit an unexpectedly long terminal half-life (105 ± 40 h) in rats. The long half-life was found to be the result of its ability to rapidly form reversible homo- and possibly heterodisulfides that served as a continuous E2072 depot. The half-life of reversible homodisulfides was 208 ± 81 h. In further support, direct intravenous administration of the E2072-homodisulfide in rats resulted in the formation of E2072, with both E2072 and its disulfide detected in plasma up to 7 days after dose. The observed long exposures were consistent with the sustained efficacy of E2072 in rodent pain models for several days after dose cessation. It is noteworthy that a shorter t₁/₂ of E2072 (23.0 ± 1.2 h) and its homodisulfide (21.0 ± 0.95 h) was observed in primates, indicating interspecies differences in its disposition. In addition, E2072 was found to be orally available with an absolute bioavailability of ~30% in rats and ~30% in monkeys. A tissue distribution study of E2072 and its homodisulfide in rats showed good tissue penetration, particularly in sciatric nerve, the presumed site of action for treatment of neuropathy. Metabolic stability and the correlation between pharmacokinetic profile and pharmacological efficacy support the use of this GCP-II inhibitor in the clinic.

Introduction

E2072 [(3-2-mercaptoethyl)biphenyl-2,3’-dicarboxylic acid] belongs to a family of thiol-based inhibitors of membrane-bound zinc metalloenzyme, glutamate carboxypeptidase-II (GCP-II; EC 3.4.17.21). GCP-II, also known as prostate-specific membrane antigen, N-acetylated α-linked acidic dipeptidase, and folate hydrolase, is a 94-kDa metalloenzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of neuropeptide N-acetyl-aspartyl glutamate (NAAG) to N-acetyl-aspartate and glutamate (Slusher et al., 1990; Neale et al., 2005, 2011). Glutamate is a major excitatory neurotransmitter in the central and peripheral nervous systems. Excessive concentrations of extracellular glutamate, possibly derived from NAAG hydrolysis, has been implicated in numerous neurological disorders, such as stroke, spinal cord injury, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, peripheral neuropathy, chronic pain, dementia, Parkinson’s disease, and cognition (Neale et al., 2011; Bařinka et al., 2012). Inhibition of GCP-II is thought to be a viable strategy for attenuation of glutamergic effects both by causing an increase in NAAG and simultaneously decreasing the glutamate level (Bařinka et al., 2012; Rahn et al., 2012; Wozniak et al., 2012), 2-PMPA [2-(phosphonomethyl pentanedioic acid)] (Jackson et al., 2001) was the first highly potent and selective GCP-II inhibitor that displayed efficacy in preclinical models, including protection against ischemic injury (Slusher et al., 1999), cocaine addiction (Xi et al., 2010a,b), neuropathic pain and peripheral neuropathies (Yamamoto et al., 2001a; Chen et al., 2002; Marmiroli et al., 2012; Wozniak et al., 2012), formalin-induced agitation behavior (Yamamoto et al., 2001b), and seizures (Witkin et al., 2002; Luszczki et al., 2006), thus emphasizing its relevance as a therapeutic target, especially for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases where excess glutamate is presumed pathogenic.

One of the biggest hurdles in design of a successful GCP-II inhibitor has been attainment of optimal physicochemical and biopharmaceutical properties. Early efforts led to the identification of extremely potent GCP-II inhibitors containing phosphate (e.g., 2-PMPA, IC₅₀ = 300 pM) and phosphate functional groups that were potent in vitro (Slusher et al., 1999; Harada et al., 2000; Jackson et al., 2001; Tsukamoto et al., 2002). The downside of these functionalities was the extremely hydrophilic nature of the molecules, which impeded their permeation across blood-brain barrier, and consequently led to poor in...
vivo potency. Replacement of the phosphonomethyl group with the thioalkyl groups, with the assumption that this could result in significant improvement in oral bioavailability, led to the discovery of 2-MPPA \([2-(3-mercaptopropyl)pentanedioic~acid]\), also known as GPI 5693. 2-MPPA, which exhibited nanomolar level potency \((IC_{50} = 90 \text{ nM})\) and efficacy in several animal models of disease after oral administration (Ghadge et al., 2003; Majer et al., 2003), was the first GCP II inhibitor to advance to the clinic where doses up to 1 g were found to be safe and well tolerated in healthy and diabetic subjects after acute and 14-day administration (van der Post et al., 2005). However, the concerns over potential immune reactivity common to thiol-containing drugs when dosed at high levels halted 2-MPPA clinical development. Because immune reactivity is often considered a function of dose, more potent compounds in this class were then sought.

Here, we report on the pharmacokinetics and metabolism of a promising, second generation thiol-based GCP-II inhibitor, E2072. E2072 is a highly selective and potent compound with approximately 10- to 100-fold more potent in vitro enzyme inhibition relative to 2-MPPA \((K_i = 1–10 \text{ vs. } 90 \text{ nM})\), and 10- to 100-fold more potent efficacy in in vivo preclinical neuropathy models (minimally effective dose of 0.1 vs. 10 mg/kg) (Carozzi et al., 2010; Stoermer et al., 2012). Oral administration of E2072 in rat models of peripheral neuropathy was found to relieve neuropathic pain symptoms while simultaneously slowing nerve conduction velocity decline (Carozzi et al., 2010). Furthermore, results indicated that GCP-II inhibition protects against the pathological changes of diabetes-induced axonal atrophy and Wallerian degeneration (Zhang et al., 2002, 2006). E2072 contains a terminal thiol functional group. Terminal thiols, such as in captopril, have been shown to be reactive both in vivo and in vitro (Drummer and Jarrott, 1984; Drummer et al., 1985), with the potential to form disulfide dimers and mixed disulfides with other free thiols upon entering the systemic circulation. Bearing this in mind, the studies presented here were aimed at delineating not only the pharmacokinetics of the parent drug E2072 but also the pharmacokinetics of its homodisulfide, which in preliminary studies was identified in high concentrations in plasma on administration of E2072.

### Materials and Methods

#### Chemicals and Reagents.

E2072 and its homodisulfide were synthesized at Eisai, Inc. (Andover, MA). Drug-free rat and monkey heparin plasma, rat whole blood, and monkey and rat sera were obtained from Innovative Research, Inc. (Plymouth, MN). Liver microsomes from mouse, rat, dog, monkey, and humans, the NADPH-regenerating system, and UGT reaction mixture were purchased from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA). Formic acid (98%, v/v in water) acetonitrile (HPLC grade) and methanol (HPLC grade) were obtained from EMD Chemicals, Inc. (Gibbstown, NJ). All of the chemical reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) except where indicated. All solvents used were of HPLC grade.

#### In Vitro Metabolic Stability.

Phase I and phase II metabolic stability of E2072 was conducted in liver microsomes from different species (i.e., mouse, rat, dog, monkey, and human). For phase I metabolism, the reaction was carried out with 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, in the presence of NADPH-regenerating system \((1.3 \text{ mM NADPH, } 3.3 \text{ mM glucose 6-phosphate, } 3.3 \text{ mM MgCl}_2, 0.4 \text{ U/ml glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, } 50 \text{ mM sodium citrate})\). Reactions in duplicate were initiated by addition of the appropriate liver microsomes to the incubation mixture (compound final concentration was \(10 \mu\text{M}\); 0.5 mg/ml microsomes). For phase II glucuronidation reaction, E2072 was added to Tris-HCl buffer \((50 \text{ mM, pH 7.5})\) with microsomes \((0.5 \mu\text{g/ml})\), along with MgCl\(_2\) \((8 \text{ mM})\) and alamethicin \((25 \mu\text{g/ml})\) and preincubated at 37°C. The reaction was initiated in duplicate with uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronic acid \((2 \mu\text{M})\); final concentration). Controls in the absence cofactors were carried out to determine the specific cofactor-free degradation. At predetermined times up to 1 h, aliquots of the mixture were removed, and the reaction was quenched by addition of two times the volume of ice-cold acetonitrile spiked with the internal standard. Compound disappearance was monitored over time using a liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method.

#### In Vitro Blood to Plasma Ratio, Plasma Stability, and Serum Protein Binding.

Blood to plasma ratio was determined as described previously (Fukuda et al., 2008; Akabane et al., 2009; Bungay et al., 2011; Jayaraman et al., 2006). E2072 was added to liver microsomes from different species (i.e., mouse, rat, dog, monkey, and human). For phase I, the reaction was carried out with 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, in the presence of NADPH-regenerating system \((1.3 \text{ mM NADPH, } 3.3 \text{ mM glucose 6-phosphate, } 3.3 \text{ mM MgCl}_2, 0.4 \text{ U/ml glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, } 50 \text{ mM sodium citrate})\). Reactions in duplicate were initiated by addition of the appropriate liver microsomes to the incubation mixture (compound final concentration was \(10 \mu\text{M}\); 0.5 mg/ml microsomes). For phase II, the reaction was carried out with Tris-HCl buffer \((50 \text{ mM, pH 7.5})\) with microsomes \((0.5 \mu\text{g/ml})\), along with MgCl\(_2\) \((8 \mu\text{M})\) and alamethicin \((25 \mu\text{g/ml})\) and preincubated at 37°C. The reaction was initiated (in duplicate) with uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronic acid \((2 \mu\text{M})\); final concentration). Controls in the absence cofactors were carried out to determine the specific cofactor-free degradation. At predetermined times up to 1 h, aliquots of the mixture were removed, and the reaction was quenched by addition of two times the volume of ice-cold acetonitrile spiked with the internal standard. Compound disappearance was monitored over time using a liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method.

#### In Vitro Blood to Plasma Ratio, Plasma Stability, and Serum Protein Binding.

Blood to plasma ratio was determined as described previously (Fukuda et al., 2008; Akabane et al., 2009; Bungay et al., 2011; Jayaraman et al., 2006). E2072 was added to liver microsomes from different species (i.e., mouse, rat, dog, monkey, and human). For phase I, the reaction was carried out with 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, in the presence of NADPH-regenerating system \((1.3 \text{ mM NADPH, } 3.3 \text{ mM glucose 6-phosphate, } 3.3 \text{ mM MgCl}_2, 0.4 \text{ U/ml glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, } 50 \text{ mM sodium citrate})\). Reactions in duplicate were initiated by addition of the appropriate liver microsomes to the incubation mixture (compound final concentration was \(10 \mu\text{M}\); 0.5 mg/ml microsomes). For phase II, the reaction was carried out with Tris-HCl buffer \((50 \text{ mM, pH 7.5})\) with microsomes \((0.5 \mu\text{g/ml})\), along with MgCl\(_2\) \((8 \mu\text{M})\) and alamethicin \((25 \mu\text{g/ml})\) and preincubated at 37°C. The reaction was initiated (in duplicate) with uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronic acid \((2 \mu\text{M})\); final concentration). Controls in the absence cofactors were carried out to determine the specific cofactor-free degradation. At predetermined times up to 1 h, aliquots of the mixture were removed, and the reaction was quenched by addition of two times the volume of ice-cold acetonitrile spiked with the internal standard. Compound disappearance was monitored over time using a liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method.

#### In Vitro Blood to Plasma Ratio, Plasma Stability, and Serum Protein Binding.

Blood to plasma ratio was determined as described previously (Fukuda et al., 2008; Akabane et al., 2009; Bungay et al., 2011; Jayaraman et al., 2006). E2072 was added to liver microsomes from different species (i.e., mouse, rat, dog, monkey, and human). For phase I, the reaction was carried out with 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, in the presence of NADPH-regenerating system \((1.3 \text{ mM NADPH, } 3.3 \text{ mM glucose 6-phosphate, } 3.3 \text{ mM MgCl}_2, 0.4 \text{ U/ml glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, } 50 \text{ mM sodium citrate})\). Reactions in duplicate were initiated by addition of the appropriate liver microsomes to the incubation mixture (compound final concentration was \(10 \mu\text{M}\); 0.5 mg/ml microsomes). For phase II, the reaction was carried out with Tris-HCl buffer \((50 \text{ mM, pH 7.5})\) with microsomes \((0.5 \mu\text{g/ml})\), along with MgCl\(_2\) \((8 \mu\text{M})\) and alamethicin \((25 \mu\text{g/ml})\) and preincubated at 37°C. The reaction was initiated (in duplicate) with uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronic acid \((2 \mu\text{M})\); final concentration). Controls in the absence cofactors were carried out to determine the specific cofactor-free degradation. At predetermined times up to 1 h, aliquots of the mixture were removed, and the reaction was quenched by addition of two times the volume of ice-cold acetonitrile spiked with the internal standard. Compound disappearance was monitored over time using a liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method.
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al., 2011) with minor modifications. In brief, fresh blood from male Sprague-Dawley rats was spiked with E2072 at a concentration of 10 μM and incubated at 37°C for 10 min; a preliminary study confirmed that 10 min was sufficient to reach equilibrium. Blood sample aliquots were withdrawn, and the remaining sample was centrifuged at 4000 rpm (1800g) for 10 min to obtain plasma. The blood and plasma samples were extracted with acetonitrile-methanol (7:3, v/v), vortexed, and centrifuged. The resultant supernatants were analyzed by LC-MS/MS. The blood to plasma ratio was calculated as Cp/Cr, where Cr and Cp represent the blood and plasma concentrations of the compound, respectively.
For plasma stability, compound (5 and 10 μM) was spiked in plasma from Sprague-Dawley rats and cynomolgus monkeys and incubated at 37°C. At 0, 30, and 60 min, 150-μl aliquots were withdrawn, and the reaction stopped by addition of 300 μl of acetonitrile. Samples were vortexed and centrifuged, and the resultant supernatants were analyzed by LC-MS/MS. The percentage remaining was calculated as A/Ao, where A0 was the area ratio (ratio of area of analyte to internal standard) at time 0 and A was the area ratio at the specified time of incubation.
For protein binding, sera from Sprague-Dawley rats and cynomolgus monkeys were spiked with E2072 (10 μM) and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. A 500-μl aliquot was then added to the sample reservoir portion of the ultrafiltration device (Corning Spin-X UF with a molecular mass cutoff of 5000 Da) and centrifuged for 45 min at 37°C and 4000 rpm (1800g). The concentrations were determined in both the filtrate and serum before filtration after extraction and quantified via LC-MS/MS. Protein binding was calculated as percentage bound \( = 100 - \frac{[C_t/C_s]}{[C_t]} \times 100 \), where \( C_t \) was the concentration in the ultrafiltrate and \( C_s \) was the concentration in the serum.

### E2072 Pharmacokinetics in Rats.

All of the animal studies were performed as per protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

E2072 was administered to male Sprague-Dawley rats (weighing 280–330 g) as a single oral or intravenous dose. Rats were fasted overnight until 4 h after dose. Dosing solutions were prepared in 50 mM HEPES buffered saline, pH 7.4. Rats were jugular vein cannulated and received a 0.1, 1, 10, and 30 mg/kg concentration of the dosing solution by oral gavage (n [mteq] 4) or 0.1, 1, 10, and 30 mg/kg i.v. (n [mteq] 7), administered as a bolus injection in the tail vein. To assess the effect of food in male Sprague-Dawley rats, E2072 dosing solution was prepared in 50 mM HEPES buffered saline, pH 7.4, and administered to rats as a single oral dose of 10 mg/kg under nonfasted conditions. Blood samples were collected in heparinized microtubes containing 10 μl of 100 mM N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) at 0, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 24 h after dosing. Plasma was prepared by centrifugation immediately after collection of blood samples. All plasma samples were stored at or below −20°C until analyzed for E2072 by LC-MS/MS method as described in the bioanalytical section.

#### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cmax (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Tmax (h)</th>
<th>AUClast (ng · h/ml)</th>
<th>AUCinf (ng · h/ml)</th>
<th>CL (ml/h/kg)</th>
<th>Vd/F (ml/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1 mg/kg i.v.</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.77</td>
<td>268 ± 267</td>
<td>605 ± 939</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.30</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mg/kg i.v.</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.74</td>
<td>12,990 ± 7511</td>
<td>12,990 ± 7511</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mg/kg p.o.</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.44</td>
<td>2608 ± 818</td>
<td>3200 ± 776</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mg/kg i.v.</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.58</td>
<td>90,150 ± 88,864</td>
<td>90,622 ± 90,43</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mg/kg p.o.</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.57</td>
<td>33,448 ± 9737</td>
<td>33,762 ± 9867</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are presented as mean ± S.D.; n = 4–9 rats per dose group.

For intravenous data, Cl and Vd/F are presented. For oral data, apparent clearance (CL/F) and apparent volume (Vd/F) are presented.

FIG. 3. Concentration-time profiles following intravenous administration (A) and oral administration (B) of E2072 in male Sprague-Dawley rats under fasted conditions.

E2072 Pharmacokinetics in Monkeys.

E2072 and E2072-Homodisulfide Pharmacokinetics in Rats. Male Sprague-Dawley rats were dosed intravenously with E2072 at 10 mg/kg (n = 6) or its homodisulfide at 5 mg/kg (n = 5) in a similar manner as described above. To fully characterize the terminal elimination, blood sample collection was extended up to 7 days after dose in heparinized microtubes containing 10 μl of 100 mM NEM. The plasma obtained from blood was analyzed for E2072 and E2072-homodisulfide. To examine whether the observed dimer was a contaminant from the dosing solution or a metabolite of E2072, dimer-free E2072 dosing solution (10 mg/kg) was prepared by using a thiol-reducing agent, tris-carboxyethyl phosphine (TCEP) solution, at 1.5 mg/ml. The TCEP-treated dose solution was administered to rats either intravenously or orally. The absence of E2072-homodisulfide in the dosing solutions was verified by LC-MS/MS. E2072 and E2072-Homodisulfide Pharmacokinetics in Monkeys. E2072 was administered by intravenous bolus and orally to cynomolgus monkeys (n = 3) at a nominal dose of 5 mg/kg. The dosing solutions (in 50 mM HEPES)
were treated with TCEP to prevent the formation of homodisulfide in dosing solution. The intravenous dose was administered via either the cephalic or saphenous vein, and blood collection was via cannulas implanted in either the iliac or femoral artery. Blood samples were taken up to 9 days after dose in heparinized microtubes containing 10 μl of 100 mM NEM. Plasma from the blood samples was analyzed for E2072 and its homodisulfide dimer (E2072-homodisulfide) by LC-MS/MS.

**Tissue Distribution after Single and Multiple Daily Oral Dosing.** Tissue distribution study in male Sprague-Dawley rats was conducted to assess the distribution (penetration) of E2072 and its homodisulfide dimer in various tissues after single and 5-day daily oral doses (10 mg/kg per day). Blood (by cardiac puncture immediately before sacrifice) and tissues (liver, kidney, brain, and sciatic nerves) were collected at five time points (i.e., 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 h after dose). Both E2072 and its homodisulfide were assayed in plasma and tissues by LC-MS/MS.

**Bioanalysis of E2072 and Its Homodisulfide.** E2072 was stabilized via NEM (Giustarini et al., 2011) in all samples to prevent ex vivo dimerization. E2072 and its homodisulfide were quantified by LC-MS/MS in the biological matrices by the LC-MS/MS method described below.

For quantification of analytes in the plasma samples, the stocks for standards were prepared fresh. Plasma sample (180 μl; or 160 μl of matrix blank and 20 μl of stock for standards), with 10 μl of 100 mM NEM, was allowed to react at room temperature for 30 min following the addition of 10 μl of 0.1 N HCl and 20 μl of internal standard, 5 μg/ml in acetonitrile/water (1:1, v/v). The tubes were vortexed, and samples extracted with 400 μl of methanol, followed by vortexing and centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 5 min. Supernatant (50 μl) was transferred to LC vials, and 5 μl was injected on a LC-MS/MS system. The tissue samples were processed in a manner similar to plasma. In brief, tissue sample was weighed, followed by the addition of phosphate-buffered saline buffer (also containing 10 μl of 100 mM NEM), and volume was adjusted such that all samples were equal per gram tissue. The samples were homogenized, vortexed, and extracted following the same procedure as for plasma. For each tissue, same matrix was used for the preparation of standard curve. The calibration range was 1 to 5000 ng/ml for both compounds in plasma. For concentrations >5000 ng/ml, samples were diluted with blank matrix before extraction for quantitation. Quality control samples were prepared independently at 50, 250, and 1000 ng/ml for both E2072 and its homodisulfide.

The HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) coupled with the API 3000 mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems/MDS Sciex, Toronto, ON, Canada) was used to analyze the extracted samples. Derivatized monomer (E2072) and its dimer were separated on a Luna C18 (2 mm) 30 × 4.6-mm 5 μM column (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA). The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (A) and 0.1% formic acid in Milli-Q water (B). Separation was achieved using a gradient run, with the organic composition changing from 50 to 90% over a period of 3 min, maintaining at 90% for 4 min, and then re-equilibrating to 50% over 3 min at a flow rate of 350 μl/min and total run time of 10 min. MS instrument was operated in a negative ion mode. The multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) transition of derivatized E2072 was 426.35 > 301.25 (Q1/Q3); for the E2072-homodisulfide, 601.35 > 266.85; and for the internal standard, 645.25 > 323.25, with a declustering potential of 40 V, entrance potential of 10 V, and collision energy of 21 V for monomer and 40 V for the dimer and internal standard. The curtain gas, ion-spray voltage, temperature, nebulizer gas (GS1), and auxiliary gas (GS2) were set at 8 psi, 5500 V, 350°C, 8 psi, and 4 psi, respectively, and the interface heater was on.

Calibration curves for E2072 and its homodisulfide were constructed from the peak area ratio of the analyte to the internal standard using linear regression, with a weighting factor of 1/nominal concentration)² over the range of 1 to 5000 ng/ml in plasma and tissues. Correlation coefficient >0.99 was obtained in all analytical runs for both analytes. The mean-predicted concentration accuracy ranged from 85.4 to 117% for E2072 and 96.5 to 103% for E2072-homodisulfide standard samples. For quality control samples, the mean-predicted accuracy ranged from 106 to 110% for E2072 and from 95 to 105% for homodisulfide. Both E2072 N-ethylmaleimide derivative and its

---

**TABLE 2**

Plasma pharmacokinetic parameters in rats following 10 mg/kg p.o. E2072 under fasted and fed conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cₘₐₓ ng/ml</th>
<th>Tₘₐₓ h</th>
<th>AUC₀₋₂₄ ng·h/ml</th>
<th>Vₘₐₓ l/kg</th>
<th>Cl/F h/ml</th>
<th>Vₐ/F l/kg</th>
<th>t½ h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E2072 Fasted</td>
<td>7097 ± 5549</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.57</td>
<td>33,448 ± 9737</td>
<td>33,762 ± 9867</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.46</td>
<td>3.17 ± 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2072 Fed</td>
<td>16,186 ± 15,426</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.1</td>
<td>32,213 ± 10,057</td>
<td>37,057 ± 12,942</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.22</td>
<td>2.75 ± 0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Data are presented as mean ± S.D.; n = 6–9 rats per dose group.

b For oral data, apparent clearance (Cl/F) and apparent volume (Vₐ/F) are presented.

---

**FIG. 4** Plasma concentrations of E2072 and its E0272-homodisulfide in rats after intravenous administration of E2072 at 10 mg/kg (A) and E2072-homodisulfide at 5 mg/kg (B).
homodisulfide were stable in the extracted matrix for at least 24 h on a bench top and in the autosampler.

**Pharmacokinetic Analysis of E2072 and Its Homodisulfide.** Pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated as implemented in the computer software program WinNonlin version 4.0 (Pharsight, Inc., Mountain View, CA). The maximum plasma concentration (C_max) and the time of maximum concentration in plasma (T_max) were the observed values. The area under the plasma concentration time curve (AUC) value was calculated to the last quantifiable concentration (AUC_last) by dividing the dose administered by AUC (extrapolated to infinity (AUC_inf)). For intravenous data, Cl and V_d were calculated by dividing the dose administered by AUC (wherever applicable). For oral data, apparent clearance (Cl/F) and volume of distribution (V_d) were calculated by subtracting the average latency of the nonligated versus ligated side. Statistical analyses were conducted using the Student’s t-test.

### Results

**Efficacy of E2072 in Rat Chronic Constriction Injury Model.** The methods used were as described previously (Bennett and Xie, 1988) using male Sprague-Dawley rats. In brief, the common sciatic nerve was exposed, and four ligatures (4.0 chromic gut) were tied loosely around it with 1-mm spacing. Hyperalgesia testing was initiated 10 days after surgery. Pain sensitivity was determined in rats. Table 2 shows E2072 plasma pharmacokinetic parameters in monkeys after intravenous and oral administration of 5 mg/kg E2072b.

### E2072 Pharmacokinetics in Rats.** Plasma concentration-time profiles of E2072 in rats after intravenous and oral dosing are shown in Fig. 3. A summary of the plasma pharmacokinetic parameters is listed in Table 1. Absorption of E2072 was relatively rapid after dose. The C_max for all doses, i.e., 0.1, 1, 10, and 30 mg/kg, were 62.6 ± 43.0, 1095 ± 1058, 7097 ± 5549, and 13,017 ± 6148 ng/ml, respectively, and were generally observed within 1.5 h after dose. There seemed to be a trend toward delayed recovery of C_max for all doses, i.e., 0.1, 1, 10, and 30 mg/kg, were 62.6 ± 43.0, 1095 ± 1058, 7097 ± 5549, and 13,017 ± 6148 ng/ml, respectively, and were generally observed within 1.5 h after dose. There seemed to be a trend toward delayed recovery of C_max for all doses, i.e., 0.1, 1, 10, and 30 mg/kg.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>C_max</th>
<th>T_max</th>
<th>AUC_last</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>V_d</th>
<th>t1/2, β</th>
<th>%F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E2072 (10 mg/kg)</td>
<td>57,226 ± 12,077</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.08</td>
<td>86,652 ± 22,279</td>
<td>86,973 ± 222,349</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.26</td>
<td>19.0 ± 9.9</td>
<td>105 ± 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2072-nomodisulfide</td>
<td>3134 ± 838</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.18</td>
<td>120,303 ± 30,237</td>
<td>253,322 ± 108,052</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>208 ± 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2072-nomodisulfide (5 mg/kg)</td>
<td>242 ± 173</td>
<td>3.33 ± 1.03</td>
<td>9149 ± 4386</td>
<td>12,177 ± 5214</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>102 ± 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2072-nomodisulfide</td>
<td>103,952 ± 24,100</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2,492,590 ± 480,442</td>
<td>3,537,275 ± 1,052,897</td>
<td>0.0015 ± 0.0005</td>
<td>209 ± 52</td>
<td>101 ± 35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are presented as mean ± S.D.; n = 5–6 rats per dose group.

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E2072 and E2072-nomodisulfide plasma pharmacokinetic parameters in monkeys after intravenous and oral administration of 5 mg/kg E2072b</th>
<th>C_max</th>
<th>T_max</th>
<th>AUC_last</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>V_d</th>
<th>t1/2, β</th>
<th>%F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>68,013.3 ± 2698.4</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.00</td>
<td>63,622.1 ± 7821.8</td>
<td>0.022 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.06</td>
<td>23.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.o.</td>
<td>10,454.3 ± 1032.2</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.14</td>
<td>24,935.6 ± 740.7</td>
<td>0.057 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.31</td>
<td>9.57 ± 3.43</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2072-nomodisulfide (5 mg/kg) i.v.</td>
<td>3272.8 ± 964.8</td>
<td>3.33 ± 1.15</td>
<td>105,771.6 ± 39,413.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21.0 ± 0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.o.</td>
<td>133.6 ± 14.6</td>
<td>5.33 ± 2.31</td>
<td>25,279.0 ± 6198.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>20.0 ± 1.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are presented as mean ± S.D.; n = 3 monkeys per dose group.

* For intravenous data, Cl and V_d are presented. For oral data, apparent clearance (Cl/F) and apparent volume (V_d/F) are presented.
eters under nonfasted versus fasted conditions. The AUC, clearance, and half-life were comparable under nonfasted and fasted conditions (AUC 37,052 vs. 33,762 ng·h/ml; clearance 0.30 vs. 0.32 l/h/kg; and $t_{1/2}$ 3.17 vs. 2.75 h, respectively). However, $C_{\text{max}}$ values under nonfasted conditions were 2.3 times higher than under fasted conditions (16,186 vs. 7097 ng/ml), respectively.

**E2072-Homodisulfide Pharmacokinetics in Rats.** Because a common metabolic pathway for sulfhydryl-containing compounds is their interaction with other sulfides to form disulfides, we next investigated E2072’s potential to form homodisulfides.

After intravenous administration of 10 mg/kg E2072 (Fig. 4A), both E2072 and its homodisulfide were present in all plasma samples up to 7 days after dose, as shown in Table 3. With the extended pharmacokinetic sampling, E2072 exhibited a biphasic decline in plasma concentration over time with an initial distribution $t_{1/2}$ of 0.87 ± 0.15 h and an unanticipated long elimination $t_{\beta}$ of 105 ± 40 h. The homodisulfide of E2072 was observed at the earliest blood sampling time (5 min after dose) and exhibited a terminal $t_{1/2}$ of 208 ± 81 h. After intravenous administration of the homodisulfide itself at 5 mg/kg (Fig. 4B), both E2072 and E2072-homodisulfide were present from the earliest blood sampling point (5 min) up to 7 days after dose. E2072-homodisulfide exhibited an initial distribution phase of approximately 6 h in length. $C_{\text{max}}$ for E2072 was not observed until 3.3 ± 1.0 h after dose, reflective of an apparent formation phase, probably from the dissociation of the dimer. Both compounds exhibited similar terminal rates of elimination, with $t_{\beta}$ values for E2072 and its homodisulfide of 102 ± 27 and 101 ± 35 h, respectively.

It was further validated that observed disulfide concentrations in vivo were not from a contaminant from a dosing solution but rather a metabolite of E2072. This was confirmed by preparing E2072-dosing solution (10 mg/ml) with TCEP at 1.5 mg/ml to prevent the formation of its homodisulfide in the solution. The TCEP-treated dosing solution was administered to rats either intravenously or by oral gavage. Both UV and LC-MS/MS analyses of the solutions confirmed absence of the homodisulfides. Similar pharmacokinetics was observed with TCEP as without TCEP solutions (data not shown).

**E2072 and E2072-Homodisulfide Pharmacokinetics in Monkeys.** Comparative evaluation of the pharmacokinetic parameters of E2072 and its homodisulfide was performed in male cynomolgus monkeys ($n = 3$) at nominal doses of 5 mg/kg, and blood samples were taken up to 9 days after dose (Table 4). In monkeys, after either intravenous or oral administration (Fig. 5), E2072 exhibited a distribution/elimination phase over approximately the first 24 h, during which the plasma concentrations of E2072 dropped 2 to 3 orders of magnitude. At the end of 24 h, $t_{\beta}$ for the intravenous profile was 23.0 ± 1.2 h, whereas $t_{\beta}$ for the oral profile was 9.6 ± 3.4 h. Oral bioavailability for E2072 was approximately 39%. After intravenous dosing, mean $V_d$ was 0.73 l/kg.

After intravenous administration of E2072 (Fig. 5), E2072-homodisulfide was detected in the first 5-min plasma samples. E2072-homodisulfide levels increased over the next few hours until $T_{\text{max}}$ was observed at approximately 4 h. Thereafter, homodisulfide declined slowly over the 9 days of the study. Major contrast was observed between the half-lives in monkeys (21.0 ± 0.95 h; Table 4) as opposed to rats where it was approximately 10 times longer (208 ± 81 h; Table 3). Similar to pharmacokinetics of the homodisulfide in rats, the terminal phases for E2072-homodisulfide and E2072 were close to parallel, suggesting that the compounds were related to each other.

After oral administration of E2072 to cynomolgus monkeys (Fig. 5), E2072-homodisulfide was not detected until the 15-min samples. E2072-homodisulfide levels increased over the next few hours until $T_{\text{max}}$ was observed at approximately 4 h (Table 4). Thereafter, the
homodisulfide declined slowly over the 9 days of the study. Mean \( t_{1/2} \) for E2072-homodisulfide was 20 ± 1.9 h. Because of the limitations of assay sensitivity, the terminal phase of the E2072 profile could not be accurately measured, so it was not apparent whether E2072 assumed a parallel time course with the disulfide after oral administration.

**Tissue Distribution after Single and Five Daily Oral Doses.** A tissue distribution study in male Sprague-Dawley rats was conducted to assess the distribution of E2072 and its homodisulfide in various tissues after single and 5-day daily oral doses (10 mg/kg per day).

In addition to plasma, E2072 was present in all of the tissues sampled, particularly in sciatic nerve, which is the proposed site of action. Figure 6A illustrates the concentration time profile on days 1 and 5 after single daily oral doses for 5 days. Table 5 lists observed \( C_{\text{max}} \) values of E2072 in plasma and tissues. The concentrations (\( C_{\text{max}} \)) in liver and kidney averaged 9.1 and 7.8% of the plasma concentrations, respectively. Concentrations in sciatic nerve, the proposed site of action, averaged 4.2% of plasma concentrations. Apparent brain levels averaged only 0.4% of plasma concentrations, suggesting that E2072 does not achieve appreciable brain penetration. The observed \( C_{\text{max}} \) values and the concentration-time profiles for E2072 on both days 1 and 5 did not seem to be different in plasma, liver, kidney, or brain tissues upon multiple dosing of E2072, suggesting that little accumulation occurred.

Homodisulfide of E2072 was generally found in all sampled tissues through 8 h after dose (Fig. 6B). The ratios of tissue to plasma concentrations varied, as with E2072, and liver generally exhibited higher levels than kidney or sciatic nerve and very low levels were observed in brain. The observed \( C_{\text{max}} \) values of E2072-homodisulfide in plasma and the tissues collected were higher on day 5 than on day 1, indicating possible accumulation of the disulfide. The average ratios of E2072-homodisulfide concentrations on day 5 versus day 1 were 4.0 for plasma, 3.9 for liver, and 9.0 for sciatic nerve; ratios for kidney and brain were not calculated because \( C_{\text{max}} \) could not be determined for these tissues for day 1. Plasma and tissue elimination half-lives and AUCs for disulfide could not be reliably estimated.

The exposure ratios of E2072 to its homodisulfide could not be estimated because of lack of an apparent elimination phase being observed over 24 h for E2072-homodisulfide. However, a measure of the ratio of E2072 to its homodisulfide using \( C_{\text{max}} \) values showed that, on day 1, the E2072-homodisulfide concentration was 4.9% of the E2072 \( C_{\text{max}} \) in plasma and 2.7% of the E2072 \( C_{\text{max}} \) in the sciatic nerve. In contrast, on day 5, the E2072-homodisulfide \( C_{\text{max}} \) was 19.3% of the E2072 \( C_{\text{max}} \) in plasma and 38.8% of the E2072 \( C_{\text{max}} \) in the sciatic nerve.

**Efficacy of E2072 in Rat Chronic Constriction Injury Model.** Constriction of the sciatic nerve produced thermal hyperalgesia observable at 10 days after surgery on the ligated versus nonligated side. Ten days after hyperalgesia was observed, daily E2072 treatment was initiated. As shown in Table 6, significant reversal of hyperalgesia was seen in rats receiving 10 mg/kg p.o. E2072 daily. It is noteworthy that the analgesic effect continued for at least 7 days after cessation of E2072 treatment. Furthermore, the withdrawal latencies on the nonligated side were similar in E2072 as well as vehicle-treated groups, suggesting that E2072 neither affected normal thermal sensitivity nor impaired the ability to respond to a painful stimulus.

**Discussion**

The studies conducted here were designed to assess the preclinical pharmacokinetics and metabolism of E2072, a novel, potent, and selective GCP-II inhibitor. In rats, E2072 depicted favorable pharmacokinetic parameters, including consistent oral bioavailability (~30%) and moderate clearance. On the basis of 24-h plasma concentration studies, the \( t_{1/2} \) of E2072 was calculated to be 3 to 4 h. However, longer plasma concentration studies up to 7 days characterized \( t_{1/2} \) of 0.87 h and an unanticipated long elimination \( t_{1/2} \) of approximately 105 h. Food was shown to modestly but selectively increase the \( C_{\text{max}} \) of E2072. Under nonfasted conditions, the \( C_{\text{max}} \) of E2072 was 2.3 times higher than under fasted conditions, despite no change in total exposure (AUC), clearance, and volume of distribution. This is different from its thiol analog, 2-MPPA, where concomitant food intake was shown to substantially reduce \( C_{\text{max}} \) and AUC in clinical studies (van der Post et al., 2005). Tissue distribution studies revealed that E2072 penetrated the sciatic nerve, the proposed target organ in rodents at concentrations almost 10-fold its \( K_i \) for GCP-II inhibition up to 8 h after dose. Potential nonlinearity and exposures less than dose proportional were observed after oral administration in rats across the entire range of the administered doses, indicative of either saturable or dose-limiting absorption. The pharmacokinetic parameters in monkeys were similar to those in rats with approximately 39% oral bioavailability and moderate clearance. However, a shorter terminal half-life of approximately 24 h was exhibited in monkeys.

In vivo pharmacokinetic evaluation of E2072 and its homodisulfide was performed in both plasma and tissue in rodents. Examination of the plasma concentration profiles suggests that the E2072-homodisulfide and other possible heterodisulfides were formed rapidly after the intravenous administration of E2072 and that E2072 was reformed as a result of disulfide reduction. Evidence for reversibility of this oxidation-reduction reaction was observed when the E2072-homodisulfide was administered. E2072 did not seem at its maximum plasma

---

**TABLE 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>E2072 ( C_{\text{max}} ) (^{a,b} )</th>
<th>E2072-homodisulfide ( C_{\text{max}} ) (^{a,b} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>Day 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>4922 ± 467</td>
<td>6085 ± 349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>405 ± 48</td>
<td>388 ± 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>453 ± 72</td>
<td>457 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>25 ± 3</td>
<td>32.4 ± 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciatic nerve</td>
<td>203 ± 31</td>
<td>165 ± 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) BLQ, below the limit of quantification.  
\(^{b}\) Data are presented as mean ± S.D.; \( n = 4 \) rats per dose group.

---

**TABLE 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Following chronic E2072/vehicle daily dosing</th>
<th>4 Days after dose cessation</th>
<th>7 Days after dose cessation</th>
<th>11 Days after dose cessation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E2072 (10 mg/kg per day)</td>
<td>-0.55 ± 0.35*</td>
<td>-1.36 ± 0.11*</td>
<td>-1.53 ± 0.33*</td>
<td>-1.9 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>-3.11 ± 0.34</td>
<td>-2.85 ± 0.32</td>
<td>-2.68 ± 0.43</td>
<td>-2.77 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Data are presented as mean ± S.E.M.; \( n = 10 \) rats per dose group.  
\(^{b}\) Withdrawal latencies to thermal stimulus are presented as the difference score in seconds, calculated by subtracting the average latency on the hind paws nonligated vs. ligated side.  
\(^{*}\) \( P \leq 0.05 \) vs. vehicle treated animals.
concentration immediately as might be expected if the monomer was present as a contaminant in the intravenous dosing solution; rather, a phase of increasing concentrations of E2072 was observed after homodisulfide administration, suggesting a period of formation from the disulfide. Furthermore, by 2 to 3 days after administration of either compound, their plasma concentrations followed parallel time courses. It is noteworthy that the sustained exposure of E2072 is consistent with its efficacy in the chronic constriction injury model of neuropathic pain, where its analgesic effects persisted up to 7 days after dose cessation.

The reactivity of thiol-containing moieties in drugs (captopril, D-penicillamine, SA 96) is a well known phenomenon (Yeung et al., 1983; Yamauchi et al., 1985; Pilkington and Waring, 1988) where the thiol compounds are predominantly metabolized into disulfide metabolites, such as homodisulfides and mixed disulfides (Bourke et al., 1984; Horuchi et al., 1985). E2072 can exist in three forms in vivo: as free thiol, as homodisulfide, or as a heterodisulfide (bound to either other thiol containing endogenous small molecules or to proteins). It is also possible that E2072’s sulphydryl group could react with its carboxylate to form a thiolactone. However, in an aqueous environment, this formation is unlikely; in a separate study in rats, we found that in vivo administration of the E2072 thiolactone did not readily convert to E2072 (data not shown).

The species difference in half-lives of E2072 (105 h in rats vs. 24 h in monkeys) could be attributed to differences in its interaction with endogenous thiols in plasma to form mixed disulfides. In vitro experiments involving blood to plasma ratio, plasma protein binding, and plasma stability were performed to gain insights into these differences in half-lives. The blood to plasma ratio determinations indicated partitioning primarily into plasma and lower association with red blood cells. The protein binding studies showed higher binding of E2072 to rodent serum (97.5 ± 0.5%) compared with monkey serum (89.9 ± 0.3%) proteins. Plasma stability studies indicated that approximately 60% free E2072 remained in rat plasma compared with >90% in monkey plasma after a 1-h incubation. The differences between the two species suggest more interactions of E2072 with plasma albumins/proteins probably by formation of reversible protein-thiol-mixed disulfides in rodents compared with primates. Such species differences in plasma albumin reactivity have been described previously (Spiga et al., 2011). It is likely that both the homodisulfide and the mixed disulfides formed in vivo serve as a reversible depot of E2072 and help to maintain the monomer in circulation, which results in its prolonged pharmacological effects. In these short in vitro experiments involving 10- to 60-min incubations, the homodisulfide was found to be <4% in both the species, suggesting that the E2072-mixed disulfides may be important in disposition of E2072, although further studies are warranted to substantiate this finding.

Captopril, a marketed angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor used primarily as an antihypertensive, demonstrates similar pharmacokinetics where oxidation of the free sulphydryl group in captopril results in the formation of disulfides conjugates (Yeung et al., 1983; Drummer and Jarrott, 1984; Worland et al., 1984; Drummer et al., 1985). The disulfides of captopril can be reversibly reduced by a combination of enzymatic and redox processes to the monomer.

Although this complicates the pharmacokinetics of captopril and its homodisulfide, E2072 is a potent, selective, orally available GCP-II inhibitor that has shown promising efficacy in preclinical models of chemotherapy-induced neuropathy and neuro-pathic pain. Although the pharmacokinetics of E2072 is somewhat complex because of its reversible disulfide formation, resultant prolonged exposures of the parent compound may prove advantageous in providing sustained efficacy results. Currently, additional studies are underway to assess the heterodisulfides formation in vivo following E2072 administration and their effects on the disposition of the parent compound.
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