P450-Based Drug-Drug Interactions of Amiodarone and its Metabolites: Diversity of Inhibitory Mechanisms
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ABSTRACT

In this study, IC_{50} shift and time-dependent inhibition (TDI) experiments were carried out to measure the ability of amiodarone (AMIO), and its circulating human metabolites, to reversibly and irreversibly inhibit CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 activities in human liver microsomes. The \([I/u]/K_{un} \) values were calculated and used to predict in vivo AMIO drug-drug interactions (DDIs) for pharmaceuticals metabolized by these four enzymes. Based on these values, the minor metabolite \(N,N\)-didesethylamiodarone (DDEA) is predicted to be the major cause of DDIs with xenobiotics primarily metabolized by CYP1A2, CYP2C9, or CYP3A4, while AMIO and its \(N\)-monodesethylamiodarone (MDEA) derivative are the most likely cause of interactions involving inhibition of CYP2D6 metabolism. AMIO drug interactions predicted from the reversible inhibition of the four P450 activities were found to be in good agreement with the magnitude of reported clinical DDIs with lidocaine, warfarin, metoprolol, and simvastatin. The TDI experiments showed DDEA to be a potent inactivator of CYP1A2 \((KI = 0.46 \, \mu M, k_{inact} = 0.030 \, \text{minute}^{-1})\), while MDEA was a moderate inactivator of both CYP2D6 \((KI = 2.7 \, \mu M, k_{inact} = 0.018 \, \text{minute}^{-1})\) and CYP3A4 \((KI = 2.6 \, \mu M, k_{inact} = 0.016 \, \text{minute}^{-1})\). For DDEA and MDEA, mechanism-based inactivation appears to occur through formation of a metabolic intermediate complex. Additional metabolic studies strongly suggest that CYP3A4 is the primary microsomal enzyme involved in the metabolism of AMIO to both MDEA and DDEA. In summary, these studies demonstrate both the diversity of inhibitory mechanisms with AMIO and the need to consider metabolites as the culprit in inhibitory P450-based DDIs.

Introduction

Amiodarone (AMIO) is a class III antiarrhythmic agent, used widely to counter serious supraventricular and ventricular tachyarrhythmias, and is the most commonly used drug for treatment of patients with atrial fibrillation (Mason, 1987; Doyle and Ho, 2009). Although AMIO is an effective drug, its use has been complicated by safety issues, which are highlighted by several clinical reports linking pulmonary (Marchlinski et al., 1982; Heger et al., 1983), thyroidal (Dickstein et al., 1984), ocular (Castells et al., 2002), and/or liver (Rigas et al., 1986) toxicity to AMIO therapy. AMIO is also known to interact with a large variety of therapeutic agents, and many of these drug-drug interactions (DDIs) result from inhibition of cytochrome P450–mediated metabolism, which raises systemic exposure of the victim drug (Yamreuedewong et al., 2003).

Four specific P450 enzymes are implicated in the majority of these metabolism-dependent in vivo DDIs: CYP1A2 (lidocaine and theophylline), CYP2C9 (S-warfarin), CYP2D6 (metoprolol and flecainide), and CYP3A4 (cyclosporine A and simvastatin) (Soto et al., 1990; Nicolau et al., 1992; Chitwood et al., 1993; Funck-Brentano et al., 1994; Ha et al., 1996; Trujillo and Nolan, 2000; Orlando et al., 2004; Werner et al., 2004; Becquemont et al., 2007; Thi et al., 2009). AMIO, itself, appears to be a fairly weak in vitro inhibitor of these enzymes (Kobayashi et al., 1998; Ohyama et al., 2000), which raises the possibility that inhibitory metabolites play a more direct role than the parent drug. In fact, a recent literature review identified AMIO as one of only five out of 137 total pharmaceuticals to cause a metabolism-dependent clinical DDI judged to be due entirely to an inhibitory metabolite(s), with little to no contribution of the parent drug (Yu et al., 2015). Therefore, a more complete analysis of AMIO-P450 inhibition should provide a useful case study in helping to determine which future drugs are more at risk of a metabolism-dependent DDI caused by inhibitory metabolites.

Several circulating AMIO metabolites (Fig. 1) have been identified (Ha et al., 2005), and these were investigated previously in our laboratory for their ability to contribute to the CYP2C9-mediated AMIO-warfarin DDI. Using \([I/u]/K_{un} \) ratios—i.e., the unbound plasma concentration of the inhibitory metabolite, \([I/u] \), divided by the equilibrium constant for inhibition of warfarin 7-hydroxylation in human liver (HL) microsomes (HLM), normalized to the amount of free inhibitor available in those microsomes, \((K_{inact})\)—we predicted the minor AMIO metabolite, \(N,N\)-didesethylamiodarone (DDEA) to be the culprit most likely to induce the hypocoagulation effect seen when AMIO is coadministered with warfarin (McDonald et al., 2012). Of course, \([I/u]/K_{un} \) ratios are most useful in predicting the inhibitor efficiency of purely reversible inhibitors, and there is conflicting evidence in the literature as to whether AMIO and/or its primary metabolite, \(N\)-monodesethylamiodarone (MDEA) can act as irreversible inhibitors of various P450 isoforms, including CYP2C9 (Ohyama et al., 2000; Obach et al., 2007; Berry and Zhao, 2008; Mori et al., 2009; Sekiguchi et al., 2009).

Therefore, the aim of this study was to predict, from in vitro studies, whether one or more of the circulating metabolites of AMIO might contribute to the CYP1A2-, CYP2C9-, CYP2D6-, and CYP3A4-dependent DDIs that are observed in vivo with AMIO, through either reversible or
metabolism-dependent inhibition. To this end, we used IC$_{50}$ shift and time-dependent inhibition (TDI) experiments to measure the inhibitory potential of AMIO and its circulating metabolites against diagnostic marker activities for each P450 enzyme in HLM. We also identified the specific P450 enzyme(s) involved in the formation of the major inhibitory metabolites of AMIO to assess whether P450 polymorphisms are a potential variable in an individual’s susceptibility to DDIs involving AMIO.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

Midazolam was obtained as a 1 mg/ml methanolic solution from Cerilliant (Round Rock, TX). Diclofenac sodium salt, 1’-hydroxymidazolam-d$_{4}$, dextromethorphan-d$_{3}$, 4’-hydroxydiclofenac-d$_{4}$, and acetaminophen-d$_{3}$ were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals, Inc. (North York, ON, Canada). Paroxetine hydrochloride and montelukast sodium were procured from AvaChem Scientific (San Antonio, TX), while troleandomycin and dextromethorphan were purchased from Enzo Life Sciences (Farmingdale, NY) and LKT Laboratories, Inc. (St. Paul, MN), respectively. Solvents were purchased from J.T. Baker, Inc. (Phillipsburg, NJ) or Fisher Scientific (Springfield, NJ). Unlabeled and deuterium-labeled AMIO metabolites were synthesized according to literature procedures (Wendt et al., 2002; Lucas et al., 2006; Waldhauser et al., 2006) or as previously described (McDonald et al., 2012). Pooled human plasma from healthy individuals, containing sodium citrate as an anticoagulant, was obtained from Innovative Research (Novi, MI). Cytochrome P450 Supersomes and Bactosomes, expressed from cDNA using baculovirus-infected insect cells, were obtained from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA) and XenoTech, LLC (Lenexa, KS), respectively. The P450s were all co-expressed with P450 oxidoreductase, as well as, in most cases, cytochrome b$_{5}$ (CYPs 1A1, 1A2, 1B1, 2C18, 2D6, and 4A1 were expressed without b$_{5}$). A set of pooled HLM was prepared from eight randomly selected HL samples (HL 150, HL 151, HL 152, HL 154, HL 160, HL 166, HL 167, and HL 169) from the Human Liver Bank that is maintained within the Department of Medicinal Chemistry at the University of Washington, using established protocols (Sadeghi et al., 1992). Additional HLM pools were prepared from the following samples: HL 119 (CYP2D6*4*4), HL 167 (*41*41), and HL 168 (*4*4) (CYP2D6 poor metabolizers) and HL 132, HL 143, and HL 150 (CYP2D6*1*1 extensive metabolizers). All other chemicals used in this study were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO).

**AMIO/MDEA Metabolic Assays**

AMIO or MDEA (5 μM) was incubated with either HLM (at final concentrations ranging from 0.25 to 1.0 mg/ml microsomal protein) or P450 Supersomes (20 pmol) in 50 mM potassium phosphate (KPi) buffer, pH 7.4, containing 4% bovine serum albumin, in a 250 μl volume with 1% v/v of methanol. After 2-minute preincubination at 37°C/70 rpm, the reactions were initiated with NADPH (1 mM final concentration, wells 25–48) and were incubated for an additional 30 minutes. Reactions were quenched by addition of an equal volume of acetonitrile (containing either 50 nM of 1’-hydroxymidazolam-d$_{4}$, 100 nM of 4’-hydroxydiclofenac-d$_{4}$, or 5 μM of acetaminophen-d$_{3}$ as the internal standard), centrifuged to remove protein, and the supernatants were analyzed by LCMS. All incubations were carried out in triplicate.

**IC$_{50}$ Shift Experiments**

**Cocktail Assay.** In a 96-well plate, wells 1–24 and 25–48 both contained inhibitor (added from eight different 200 μM concentrated methanolic stock solutions, and done in triplicate replications) and pooled HLM (final concentration = 0.25 mg/ml microsomal protein) in KPi buffer. After 2-minute preincubation at 37°C, 2.5 μM of NADPH stock (wells 1–24, final concentration = 1 μM) or buffer without NADPH (wells 25–48) was added; final incubation volume = 250 μl. The plate was incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes, and then 196 μl was removed from each well and added to a second plate containing 2 μl of a 100 μM concentrated substrate cocktail stock (in 50% aqueous methanol, final incubation concentrations = 4 μM diclofenac, 4 μM dextromethorphan, and 2 μM midazolam) per well, plus 2 μl of either buffer only (wells 1–24) or buffer with NADPH (1 mM final concentration, wells 25–48). This plate was incubated for 5 minutes at 37°C prior to quenching with equal volumes of acetone. The acetone quench solution was similarly replaced with a 5 μM solution of acetaminophen-d$_{3}$ as the internal standard. All IC$_{50}$ shift assays were performed at least twice and data are presented as mean values with S.E. measurements. In some experiments, with MDEA as the inhibitor, glutathione or N-acetylcysteine (10 mM each) was added as a trapping agent for reactive intermediates.

**TDI Experiments**

**Cocktail Assay.** Incubations were carried out in 1.2 ml library tubes for each of six inhibition concentrations (added from 200 μM concentrated methanolic stock), in duplicate replications. Each incubation contained 0.25 mg/ml pooled HLM in KPi buffer. The tubes were preincubated at 37°C/70 rpm for 2 minutes in a water bath prior to initiation with NADPH (1 mM in 1.1 ml final volume). At times 0.5, 10, 15, and 20 minutes, 196 μl aliquots were removed from each library tube and added to 2 μl of a 100 μM concentrated substrate cocktail stock solution (in 50% aqueous methanol) to give final concentrations of 40 μM diclofenac, 40 μM dextromethorphan, and 20 μM midazolam. The substrate reactions were then incubated for 5 minutes at 37°C/70 rpm before quenching with an equal volume of acetonitrile standard solution (containing 50 nM 1’-hydroxymidazolam-d$_{4}$, 50 nM dextromethorphan-d$_{3}$, and 100 nM 4’-hydroxydiclofenac-d$_{4}$).

Again, the phenacetin O-dealkylation TDI experiment was carried out using the identical methodology, except that a stock solution of 20 mM phenacetin (200 μM final incubation concentration) was used in place of the substrate cocktail, while the acetonitrile quench solution was replaced with a 5 μM solution of acetaminophen-d$_{3}$ as the internal standard.

**LCMS Analysis**

LCMS analyses were conducted on a Micromass Quattro Premier Tandem Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer (Micromass Ltd., Manchester, United Kingdom) coupled to an ACQUITY ultraperformance liquid chromatography system (Waters Corp., Milford, MA) with integral autoinjector. The Premier XE was operated in positive ion electropray–tandem mass spectrometry (multiple reaction monitoring) mode at a source temperature of 120°C and a desolvation temperature of 350°C. The following mass transitions were monitored in separate ion channels for the substrate cocktail assay: m/z 258 > 157 (dextromethan-d$_{3}$), m/z 261 > 157...
(dextrorphan-d₃), m/z 312 > 230 (4′-hydroxydiclofenac-d₄), m/z 316 > 234 (4′-hydroxydiclofenac-d₃), m/z 342 > 324 (1′-hydroxymidazolam-d₃), and m/z 346 > 328 (1′-hydroxymidazolam-d₄) at cone voltages of 25, 23, and 30 V and collision energies of 35, 33 and 35 eV for dextrorphan, 4′-hydroxydiclofenac, and 1′-hydroxymidazolam, respectively. For the phenacetin O-dealkylation assay, mass transitions of m/z 152.110 (acetaminophen-d₀) and m/z 155.110 (acetaminophen-d₃) were monitored at a cone voltage of 25 V and collision energy of 17 eV. Mass spectral data analyses were carried out on Windows XP-based Micromass MassLynxNT, version 4.1, software.

Metabolic products from the substrate cocktail incubations were separated on an ACQUITY BEH C₈ 1.7 µ, 2.1 × 50 mm, ultraperformance liquid chromatography column (Waters Corp.) using a binary solvent gradient, where solvent A = 0.05% aqueous formic acid and solvent B = methanol, with a constant flow rate of 0.35 ml/min. From 0 to 1 minute, the solvent was set at 5% B and was then increased linearly to 95% B from 1 to 2.5 minutes, where it was maintained for 0.5 minutes and then re-equilibrated to 5% B over 0.2 minutes. The same ACQUITY C₈ ultraperformance liquid chromatography column was used to analyze products from the phenacetin O-dealkylation assay, but using a binary solvent system where solvent A = 10 mM ammonium acetate (pH 4.6) and solvent B = methanol. From 0 to 0.75 minutes, the solvent was delivered isocratically at 5% B and was then increased linearly to 95% B over an additional 1.25 minutes, where it was maintained for 1 minute and then re-equilibrated to 5% B over 0.2 minutes. The flow rate was again maintained at 0.35 ml/min. MDEA and DDEA were analyzed quantitatively as previously described (McDonald et al., 2012).

P450 Binding Studies

Difference binding spectra were recorded on a modernized Aminco DW-2 spectrophotometer (Olis). Sample and reference cuvettes contained either 1 mg/ml pooled HLM, 110 nM P450 Supersomes, or 400 nM P450 Bactosomes, along with 5–40 µM inhibitor, in 100 mM KPi buffer at pH 7.4. After 3-minute preincubation at 37°C, blank KPi buffer and NADPH in KPi buffer were added to the reference and sample cuvettes, respectively (to 1 mM final NADPH concentration). The cuvettes were then scanned repetitively over an optical range from 495 to 430 nm in 5-nm increments (0.1 minute/scan), for 25 minutes, while maintaining the temperature at 37°C.

Data Analysis

GraphPad Prism version 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA), was used in the graphing/analyses of results from all metabolic assays and inhibitory enzyme kinetic experiments.

Results

IC₅₀ Shift Experiments. AMIO and its circulating metabolites were tested for their ability to inhibit four specific P450 metabolic activities in pooled HLM. Phenacetin O-dealkylation was used to probe CYP1A2 activity, while diclofenac 4′-hydroxylation, dextromethorphan O-dealkylation, and midazolam 1′-hydroxylation were used as specific activity probes for CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4, respectively.
for CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4, respectively. Inhibitory potency against CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 activities was measured in HLM using a substrate cocktail assay because diclofenac, dextromethorphan, and midazolam showed no P450 cross inhibition at their relative \( K_{in} \) substrate concentrations (data not shown). The IC\(_{50} \) shift experiments were all run at the reported \( K_{in} \) values for the substrates, i.e., 40 \( \mu M \) for phenacetin, 4 \( \mu M \) for diclofenac, 4 \( \mu M \) for dextromethorphan, and 2 \( \mu M \) for midazolam (Kobayashi et al., 1998; Yuan et al., 2002; Berry and Zhao, 2008). Taking a conservative approach, we estimated \( K_{i} \) values as one-half of the IC\(_{50} \) values determined for each inhibitor in the absence of NADPH preincubation in their respective IC\(_{50} \) shift experiments (i.e., reversible inhibition was assumed to be competitive). The \( [I]/K_{i,u} \) ratios were calculated for AMIO and its metabolites using previously determined plasma concentrations along with fraction unbound values for each of these compounds that were previously measured in both plasma and microsomes (McDonald et al., 2012). The IC\(_{50} \) values for the reversible inhibition of CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 activities in HLM, by the various AMIO metabolites, are shown in Table 1. Due to poor inhibitor solubility, IC\(_{50} \) values above ~50–100 \( \mu M \) could not be measured reliably.

For a drug with multiple inhibitory metabolites, such as AMIO, the total change in clearance affected by the reversible inhibition of a specific P450 isozyme can be predicted according to eq. 1 (Templeton et al., 2008). Since the AMIO metabolites are all highly protein bound in plasma and/or HLM, the accuracy of the prediction should be greatly improved by substituting with \( [I]/K_{i,u} \) ratios because this corrects for the amount of freely available inhibitor in both plasma and HLM. Summing together the \( [I]/K_{i,u} \) ratios shown in Table 1 allows us to predict clinical DDIs arising from the inhibition of CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 metabolism by AMIO (Table 2)

\[
\text{DDI} = \frac{\text{CL}_{\text{inhibited}}}{\text{CL}_{\text{uninhibited}}} = \frac{\text{AUC}_i}{\text{AUC}} = 1 + \sum \frac{[I]}{K_i} \quad (1)
\]

where AUC is the area under the time versus plasma drug concentration curve.

Furafylline, tienilic acid, paroxetine, and troleandomycin are specific mechanism-based inactivators of CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4, respectively, and so they were used as positive controls for these IC\(_{50} \) shift experiments (Berry and Zhao, 2008; Parkinson et al., 2011). As expected, these compounds all showed significant TDI, exhibiting IC\(_{50} \) shifts (i.e., the ratios of the inhibitor IC\(_{50} \) values determined without versus with a 30-minute inhibitor/NADPH preincubation step) of between 9.4 (paroxetine/CYP2D6) and 40 (troleandomycin/CYP3A4). By contrast, the largest IC\(_{50} \) shift we observed for parent drug or AMIO metabolite was 3.1, determined for the inhibition of CYP2D6 activity by MDEA, or roughly twice the generally accepted significance threshold for a TDI (Parkinson et al., 2011). Inclusion of glutathione and N-acetylcysteine in microsomal incubations modestly reduced the IC\(_{50} \) shift ratios for CYP2D6, CYP3A4, and CYP2C9 activities to between 1.5 and 2.1 (data not shown).

**DDEA \( K_{i} \) Experiments.** Since, to our knowledge, the importance of DDEA as an inhibitory metabolite in AMIO therapy has not been previously addressed, we more fully explored its mechanism of inhibition against the P450s for which it exhibits the greatest inhibitor potency. Also, due to the relatively low IC\(_{50} \) values obtained for the inhibition of CYP2C9 and CYP3A4 activities in HLM, and the observation that DDEA is 99% bound in HLM under the experimental conditions.

### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>IC(_{50})</th>
<th>([I]/K_{i,u})</th>
<th>IC(_{50})</th>
<th>([I]/K_{i,u})</th>
<th>IC(_{50})</th>
<th>([I]/K_{i,u})</th>
<th>IC(_{50})</th>
<th>([I]/K_{i,u})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMIO</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDEA</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&lt;0.07</td>
<td>75 ± 9</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>17 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>43 ± 5</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDEA</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>9.6 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH-MDEA</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>5.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>24 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODAA</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.034</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&gt;10 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>8.6 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAA</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&gt;10 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>&gt;50 &lt;0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DAA, deaminated-amiodarone; ODAA, O-desalkylamiodarone; OH-MDEA, 3'-hydroxy-N-monodesethylamiodarone.

*Phenacetin O-dealkylation was used as a probe for CYP1A2 activity in HLM.

*Diclofenac 4’-hydroxylation was used as a probe for CYP2C9 activity in HLM.

*Dextemethorphan O-dealkylation was used as a probe for CYP2D6 activity in HLM.

*Midazolam 1’-hydroxylation was used as a probe for CYP3A4 activity in HLM.

### TABLE 2

Comparison of predicted AMIO drug interactions versus observed clinical DDIs for drugs primarily metabolized by CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, or CYP3A4.

Predicted interactions are based on the sum of \([I]/K_{i,u}\) (eq. 1) determined for the reversible inhibition of specific P450 substrate probes by AMIO and its circulating human metabolites (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmaceutical</th>
<th>In Vivo DDl (AUC/AUC)</th>
<th>Predicted DDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CYP1A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidocaine</td>
<td>1.21 (Ha et al., 1996)</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Warfarin</td>
<td>2.10 (O’Reilly et al., 1987)</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metoprolol</td>
<td>1.94 (Werner et al., 2004)</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simvastatin</td>
<td>1.76 (Beccquenmont et al., 2007)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-Warfarin</td>
<td>1.62 (O’Reilly et al., 1987)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUC, area under the time versus plasma drug concentration curve.
conditions (McDonald et al., 2012), we were concerned that a low free inhibitor/enzyme ratio could potentially mask tighter binding of the inhibitor to these enzymes.

Therefore, full kinetic analyses to determine the $K_i$ values were performed separately for the inhibition by DDEA of phenacetin $O$-dealkylation, diclofenac 4'-hydroxylation, and midazolam 1'-hydroxylation activities in pooled HLM by DDEA.

$K_i$ values obtained were 723 ± 81 nM for the inhibition of CYP1A2, 308 ± 20 nM for the inhibition of CYP2C9, and 1.15 ± 0.38 μM for the inhibition of CYP3A4. DDEA appears to inhibit all three enzymes competitively, although there may be a mixed component to the inhibition of CYP1A2 (Fig. 2, A–C).

**Fig. 2.** Lineweaver-Burke plots showing inhibition of (A) phenacetin $O$-dealkylation ($K_i = 723 \pm 81$ nM), (B) diclofenac 4'-hydroxylation ($K_i = 308 \pm 20$ nM), and (C) midazolam 1'-hydroxylation ($K_i = 1150 \pm 380$ nM) activities in pooled HLM by DDEA.

**Fig. 3.** TDI experiments showing the inactivation profiles of (A) phenacetin $O$-dealkylation activity in HLM by DDEA, (B) dextromethorphan $O$-dealkylation activity in HLM by MDEA, and (C) midazolam 1'-hydroxylation activity in HLM by MDEA. Graph insets show plots of the slopes determined from the inactivation curves ($\lambda$) versus inhibitor concentration, with nonlinear regression fits to determine $K_i$ and $k_{inact}$ values. Slopes of the curves for the incubations containing no inhibitor were normalized to zero.

**TDI Experiments.** AMIO, MDEA, and DDEA were selected for further testing in the TDI experiments with CYPs 1A2, 2C9, 2D6, and 3A4 using HLM as the enzyme source. As in the IC$_{50}$ shift experiments, CYP1A2 inhibition was studied separately, while a substrate cocktail assay was used to test inhibition of the latter three drug-metabolizing enzymes. Substrate concentrations were used at 10× their reported $K_m$ values (5× $K_m$ for phenacetin). Diclofenac, dextromethorphan, and midazolam again showed minimal P450 cross inhibition even at these elevated concentrations.

AMIO was found not to be inhibitory toward CYP1A2, but both MDEA and DDEA inhibited phenacetin $O$-dealkylation activity in HLM in a time-dependent manner. Solubility issues precluded an accurate measurement of the inactivation parameters for MDEA.
(K<sub>i</sub> > 40 μM), while DDEA proved to be a potent inactivator of CYP1A2 with K<sub>i</sub> = 0.46 μM and k<sub>inact</sub> = 0.030 min<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3A). DDEA competitively inhibited CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 activities with no TDI component, while AMIO and MDEA were poor inactivators of CYP2C9-mediated diclofenac 4′-hydroxylation in HLM (K<sub>i</sub> values > 40 μM). AMIO also appeared to be a poor inactivator of CYP3A4, with an intermediate K<sub>i</sub> of 4.7 μM, but a low k<sub>inact</sub> value of less than 0.01 minute<sup>-1</sup>. In contrast, MDEA was a more potent time-dependent inhibitor of both CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 activity, exhibiting almost identical K<sub>i</sub> (2.7 and 2.6 μM) and k<sub>inact</sub> (0.018 and 0.016 minute<sup>-1</sup>) values for both enzymes (Fig. 3, B and C).

**P450 Bindng Studies.** Type I binding occurs when a P450 substrate or inhibitor displaces the water molecule directly coordinated to the distal side of the heme iron in the enzyme active site—thus changing the spin state of the iron from low to high spin—and produces a difference spectrum with a Soret maximum at 435–405 nm (Jefcoate, 1978). As a result of this energetically favorable heme coordination, Type II compounds are generally believed to bind more tightly to P450 enzymes due to presumed enzyme stability issues, likely explained by the observation that preincubation of the Supersomes with NADPH resulted in rapid enzyme inactivation even in the absence of inhibitor (data not shown). Therefore, the MI complex experiments with CYP1A2 were performed with XenoTech Bactosomes at a P450 concentration of 400 nM. Using an extinction coefficient of 65 cm<sup>-1</sup>·mM<sup>-1</sup>, which has been previously reported for the 455–490 absorbance difference (Liu and Franklin, 1985), we could calculate the percentage of MI complex formed in relation to the total initial enzyme concentration in the reaction mixture. The secondary amine, MDEA, exhibited a higher percentage of MI complex with CYP2D6 (9.1%), and especially with CYP3A4 (45%), than did the primary amine, DDEA, with CYP1A2 (5.8%).

**Amiodarone Metabolism by Cytochrome P450 P450 Enzymes.** Next, we screened for AMIO N-deethylase activity across a range of recombinant human P450 Supersomes (Fig. 6A). Although CYP1A1 showed the greatest overall metabolic activity, at 810 ± 77 pmol MDEA formed/min/nmol enzyme, CYP3A4 exhibited the highest activity among the major drug-metabolizing enzymes in the liver (520 ± 99 pmol/min/nmol). CYPs 1A2, 2C8, 2C19, 2D6, 2J2, and 3A5 also catalyzed low-to-moderate AMIO N-deethylation activity. When AMIO metabolism was studied in HLM, the specific CYP3A4 chemical inhibitors, troleandomycin and ketoconazole, both reduced MDEA formation by roughly 90%, while furafylline (specific inhibitor of CYP1A2), montelukast (CYP2C8), sulfaphenazole (CYP2C9), N-benzylirvinanol (CYP2C19), and quinidine (CYP2D6) were all essentially noninhibitory toward AMIO N-deethylation (Fig. 6B).

We next screened for MDEA N-deethylase activity across a similar range of recombinant drug-metabolizing P450 enzymes (Fig. 7A). Interestingly, CYP2D6 Supersomes were found to be most active in producing DDEA, which was formed at a rate of 500 pmol/min/nmol enzyme, while recombinant CYPs 1A1 and 3A4 were only ~20% as effective. However, when using specific chemical inhibitors against the HLM-catalyzed reaction, the CYP3A4 inhibitors, ketoconazole...
and troleandomycin, were again the most effective in reducing N-deethylation (by 94% and 87%, respectively), while quinidine inhibited DDEA formation by, at most, 10%–20% (Fig. 7B). To resolve this apparent discrepancy, we combined three HLM samples from CYP2D6 poor metabolizers and three HLM samples from CYP2D6 extensive metabolizers and compared the MDEA-deethylation activity of the CYP2D6 poor metabolizer pool (3.50 ± 0.20 pmol/min/nmol total P450) with that of the extensive metabolizer pool (3.91 ± 0.25 pmol/min/nmol P450). These data suggest strongly that CYP2D6 is not a major contributor to MDEA-deethylation activity in HLM, an activity that is instead dominated by CYP3A4.

**Discussion**

In the course of preclinical drug discovery in the pharmaceutical industry, IC₅₀ shift experiments are commonly used to screen out compounds that act as time-dependent inhibitors of the major HL P450s (Obach et al., 2007; Parkinson et al., 2011). If TDI of the enzyme is occurring, a 30-minute preincubation of a drug candidate in the presence of NADPH should result in increased inhibitory potency relative to a 30-minute incubation of the compound in the absence of cofactor (lowering the former IC₅₀, and thus shifting it to the left of the latter curve). Generally, a ratio of the nonshifted IC₅₀ value (preincubated minus NADPH) to the shifted IC₅₀ value (preincubated with NADPH) of greater than ~1.5 is used as an indicator of potential TDI (Parkinson et al., 2011).

Alternatively, IC₅₀ shift experiments can be used to predict the potential for a compound to cause an in vivo interaction due to the reversible inhibition of a specific drug-metabolizing enzyme. If we assume that the mode of inhibition is competitive, then the reversible IC₅₀ component of the experiment, carried out at the Km value for the substrate, should be equal to twice the value of the inhibitor Ki.

Guidelines issued by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2006) for the prediction of an in vivo drug interaction from in vitro data are based upon [I/Ki] ratios, i.e., the total plasma concentration of the drug candidate divided by its in vitro inhibition constant, usually measured in HLM. If the [I/Ki] ratio is below 0.1, then the likelihood that the compound will lead to an interaction with other drugs metabolized by the enzyme in question is considered to be remote. Compounds with a ratio between 0.1 and 1.0 or with a ratio >1.0 are considered to be possible and likely contributors, respectively, to potential DDIs involving a given enzyme.

The results from the IC₅₀ shift experiments, showing only the reversible inhibition of CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 metabolic activities by AMIO and its circulating metabolites, are given in Table 1. When presented in terms of [I/Ki]—i.e., correcting the [I/Ki] ratios for plasma and microsomal protein binding—the data indicate that the Type II inhibitor DDEA is likely to be the major perpetrator in inhibitory DDIs that involve CYP1A2, CYP2C9, and CYP3A4. By contrast, it appears that AMIO and MDEA, but not DDEA, are likely to be the major contributors to DDIs involving CYP2D6. If we apply eq. 1 to the results listed in Table 1, we can predict the overall change in clearance due to concomitant AMIO therapy for drugs primarily metabolized by each of the four P450s examined here. Several in vivo DDIs caused by AMIO inhibition of CYP1A2-, CYP2C9-, CYP2D6-, or CYP3A4-mediated metabolism have been previously quantified and show very good agreement with our in vitro data (Table 2).

We next considered the ability of AMIO and its metabolites to act as time-dependent inhibitors; however, the IC₅₀ shift experiments provided scant evidence for possible TDI. The highest recorded IC₅₀ shift ratio, for MDEA-dependent inactivation of CYP2D6, was ~3, a value that was only slightly reduced by inclusion of reactive intermediate trapping agents. Nevertheless, AMIO, MDEA, and DDEA were
included in more detailed TDI experiments designed to provide a fuller kinetic characterization of their TDI. All three compounds inactivated one or more of the P450s studied, although only the two N-dealkylated metabolites showed more than weak inactivation profiles for any of the enzymes; DDEA proved to be a potent time-dependent inhibitor of CYP1A2, and MDEA showed moderate inactivation of both CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 (Fig. 3).

There are two major mechanisms by which a compound exhibits mechanism-based inactivation of P450 enzymes: oxidative metabolism can lead to a reactive intermediate capable of alkylating either the protein or prosthetic heme group, or alternatively if the inhibitor structure contains an amine functional group, N-oxidation can then lead to the formation of an irreversible MI complex between a nitroso group and the heme iron (Hanson et al., 2010; VandenBrink and Isoherranen, 2010). Although there are literature data that point to the ability of AMIO to form at least trace amounts of a reactive \( \sigma \)-quinone metabolite in rat liver microsomes, feces, and urine, the \( \sigma \)-quinone was not detected as a circulating metabolite in plasma (Ramesh Varkhede et al., 2014). Additionally, the inclusion of nuclophilic trapping agents in our HL microsomal experiments did not substantially protect against modest enzyme inactivation induced by MDEA in IC\(_{50}\) shift experiments. Therefore, while inactivation of certain recombinant P450 enzymes can be demonstrated by some AMIO metabolites in vitro, irreversible P450 inhibition in HLMs is a relatively minor event. While the observation of MI complex formation between MDEA and both CYP2D6 and CYP3A4, as well as between DDEA and CYP1A2 upon incubation with NADPH, is interesting and novel, we should acknowledge that the overall accumulation of MI complex was low (5\%–45\% of maximum, based on initial enzyme concentration) even with experimental inhibitor concentrations greatly exceeding those encountered under physiologic conditions. Therefore, irreversible and quasi-irreversible P450 inhibitions are likely minor mechanisms contributing to inhibitory P450 DDIs that arise during AMIO treatment.

Interestingly, a recently published physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling (PBPK) study used previously reported values for the reversible and TDI parameters of AMIO and MDEA to predict potential DDIs involving CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4-mediated metabolism (Chen et al., 2015). Not surprisingly, since kinetic inhibition parameters can often differ considerably depending on the literature source, there are some significant differences in the \( K_s \), \( K_i \), and \( k_{\text{inact}} \) values the authors used to generate their predictions compared with those reported here. However, it is of particular interest that, considering only AMIO and MDEA inhibition parameters, the model of Chen et al., (2015) appears to substantially underpredict the well-known warfarin-AMIO DDI. This discrepancy is in line with our contention that the minor AMIO metabolite, DDEA, is the primary culprit in AMIO DDIs involving CYP2C9. The authors’ PBPK model performs very well for predictions of AMIO DDIs involving CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 metabolism (Chen et al., 2015). Our own predictions for AMIO DDIs involving CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 also appear to be reasonable (Table 2), despite the fact that the parameters we use to arrive at our predictions differ from those used in the PBPK study. It is possible that our slight underprediction of these DDIs could result from the lack of incorporation into our model of MDEA kinetic parameters for modest TDI of CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 metabolism.

Finally, because MDEA and DDEA both appear to be likely contributors to the in vivo drug interactions of AMIO, there exists the possibility that polymorphism within genes responsible for metabolism formation could lead to individual variation in the magnitude of these DDIs. Multiple metabolic approaches performed with AMIO or MDEA using recombinant P450 enzymes and pooled HLM with specific chemical P450 inhibition probes or with CYP2D6 genotyped HLM all strongly suggest that CYP3A4 is primarily responsible for the production of both N-dealkylated metabolites. Since there is no evidence in the literature that any correlation exists between CYP3A4 polymorphism and in vivo DDIs, it is unlikely that differences in an individual’s susceptibility to AMIO-induced drug interactions can be attributed to a pharmacogenetic effect on the rate of formation of MDEA or DDEA from AMIO, although it is still possible that genetic variation in P450s could lead to differences in MDEA or DDEA clearance.

In conclusion, this study implicates AMIO and two of its metabolites, MDEA and DDEA, as major contributors to in vivo drug interactions involving multiple drug-metabolizing P450 enzymes. Results from IC\(_{50}\) shift and TDI experiments, measuring the reversible and TDI of several specific P450 metabolic activities in HLm, predict that the minor metabolite, DDEA, is responsible for precipitating drug interactions that arise as a consequence of inhibition of either CYP1A2- or CYP2C9-mediated metabolism, while both AMIO and MDEA appear to be important in DDIs resulting from inhibition of CYP2D6. Although DDEA is the strongest reversible inhibitor of CYP3A4 activity, MDEA shows a moderate ability to inactivate this enzyme. Thus, it is possible that both of these AMIO metabolites contribute to in vivo DDIs resulting from CYP3A4 inhibition. However, the observation that clinical DDIs (measured for the interactions of AMIO with lidocaine, warfarin, metoprolol, and simvastatin) are in good agreement with predictions based solely on the reversible inhibition of CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 activities in HLM by parent drug and metabolites would seem to suggest that TDI of these enzymes may not play a critical role in vivo.
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