Modulation of P-glycoprotein at the Human Blood-Brain Barrier by Quinidine or Rifampin Treatment: A Positron Emission Tomography Imaging Study
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ABSTRACT
Permeability-glycoprotein (P-glycoprotein, P-gp), an efflux transporter at the human blood-brain barrier (BBB), is a significant obstacle to central nervous system (CNS) delivery of P-gp substrate drugs. Using positron emission tomography imaging, we investigated P-gp modulation at the human BBB by an approved P-gp inhibitor, quinidine, or the P-gp inducer, rifampin. Cerebral blood flow (CBF) and BBB P-gp activity were respectively measured by administration of 15O-water followed by 11C-verapamil. In a crossover design, healthy volunteers received quinidine and 11–29 days of rifampin treatment during different study periods. CBF and P-gp activity was measured in the absence (control; prior to quinidine treatment) and presence of P-gp modulation. At clinically relevant quinidine plasma concentrations, P-gp inhibition resulted in a 60% increase in 11C-radioactivity distribution across the human BBB as measured by the brain extraction ratio (ER) of 11C-radioactivity. Furthermore, the magnitude of BBB P-gp inhibition by quinidine was successfully predicted by a combination of in vitro and macaque data, but not by rat data. Although our findings demonstrated that quinidine did not completely inhibit P-gp at the human BBB, it has the potential to produce clinically significant CNS drug interactions with P-gp substrate drugs that exhibit a narrow therapeutic window and are significantly excluded from the brain by P-gp. Rifampin treatment induced systemic CYP3A metabolism of 11C-verapamil; however, it reduced the ER by 6%. Therefore, we conclude that rifampin, at its usual clinical dose, cannot be used to induce P-gp at the human BBB to a clinically meaningful extent and is unlikely to cause inadvertent BBB-inductive drug interactions.

Introduction
Permeability-glycoprotein (P-gp), an ATP-binding cassette transporter and a member of the multidrug resistance (MDR) family, was one of the first xenobiotic transporters identified at the blood-brain barrier (BBB) (Schinkel et al., 1994; Borst and Schinkel, 2013). Due to its high expression at the BBB and wide substrate selectivity, P-gp is widely believed to be the most important transporter in modulating the entry of drugs into the central nervous system (CNS) (Sun et al., 2003).

We and others, using positron emission tomography (PET) imaging, have shown that inhibition of BBB P-gp by inhibitors [cyclosporine-A (CsA), tariquidar] can increase 11C-verapamil (P-gp radiolabeled substrate) distribution into the human brain (Sasongko et al., 2005; Bauer et al., 2012). Because this increase in inhibition is modest, approved P-gp inhibitors that can inhibit human BBB P-gp to a greater extent are needed to further investigate the utility of P-gp inhibition to increase CNS drug delivery (e.g., treatment of brain tumors). Furthermore, using a combination of rat and MDR1-expressing cells, we successfully predicted the CsA–11C-verapamil drug-drug interaction (DDI) at the human BBB (Hsiao et al., 2006). However, additional P-gp inhibitors need to be studied to further validate preclinical models for the prediction of P-gp–based DDIs at the human BBB. This is especially important for P-gp since it is known to exhibit multiple binding sites and demonstrates allosteric interactions (Martin et al., 2000; Zolnerciks et al., 2011).

Among the clinically approved drugs that can inhibit P-gp, quinidine, based on its ability to inhibit P-gp in vitro (EC50: 0.9 μM) and its unbound therapeutic plasma concentration (Cu: 1.3 μM; Cu/EC50: 1.4), is predicted to produce significantly greater inhibition (by ~4-fold) of P-gp at the human BBB than CsA (EC50: 0.6 μM; Cu: 0.2 μM; Cu/EC50: 0.3) (Hsiao et al., 2008; Brunton et al., 2011). Additionally, in humans, coadministration of quinidine with loperamide results in significant CNS toxicity of loperamide, a drug that is normally excluded from the CNS by BBB P-glycoprotein (Sadeque et al., 2000). Therefore, we hypothesized that if quinidine is found to be a more potent in vivo
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inhibitor of P-gp at the human BBB than CsA, it could potentially be used clinically to overcome this P-gp barrier. Conversely, studies using transgenic mouse models have shown that pretreatment of mice with rifampin induces BBB P-gp, resulting in a 70% decrease in methadone analgesia (Bauer et al., 2006). Similarly, pretreatment with pregnenolone 16α-carbonitrile (Ot et al., 2009) or dexamethasone (Chan et al., 2013) results in an increase of the efflux of known P-gp substrates, β-amyloid and quinidine, respectively. However, whether P-gp at the human BBB can be induced has never been investigated. Understanding the inducibility of BBB P-gp and determining the maximum magnitude of induction could help establish guidelines to prevent inadvertent DDIs with P-gp inducers that would decrease CNS drug delivery and, consequently, the efficacy of P-gp substrate drugs. Additionally, as demonstrated by the proof-of-concept decrease CNS drug delivery and, consequently, the efficacy of P-gp ever, whether P-gp at the human BBB can be induced has never been known P-gp substrates, dexamethasone (Chan et al., 2013) results in an increase of the efflux of 

### Materials and Methods

#### Subjects.

Ten healthy volunteers (six men and four women; 22–42 years of age; 61–98 kg) participated in the study. Potential subjects underwent a screening visit with medical history review, physical examination, EKG, complete blood cell count, hepatic and renal function tests, and pregnancy tests for women. Subjects were excluded if the aforementioned laboratory results were outside of the normal range or if the subjects had chronic medical conditions or were: breastfeeding, pregnant, smokers, taking long-term medications (except for stable doses of oral contraceptives for women), or had a history of substance abuse. The study was approved by the University of Washington’s Human Subjects Review Committee, Radiation Safety Committee, and Radioactive Drug Research Committee (Seattle, Washington). Informed consent was obtained from each subject.

#### Chemicals and Reagents.

Racemic verapamil and verapamil metabolites (norverapamil, D-617, D-717) were obtained from the sources described previously (Sasongko et al., 2005). Solid-phase extraction C8 cartridges (1 ml, 100 mg) were purchased from Varian (Lake Forest, CA). Ultrafiltration devices were purchased from Amicon Centrífuge Micropartition Device (Bedford, MA). Quinidine gluconate and rifampin were provided by the University of Washington Medical Center Pharmacy (Seattle, WA). All other reagents were of the highest grade available from commercial sources.

#### Radiopharmaceuticals.

The radiosynthesis of 11C-water and 11C-verapamil (from norverapamil) was as previously described (Sasongko et al., 2005). On the quinidine PET imaging day, when 11O-water and 11C-verapamil were administered twice in the same day, these PET tracers were freshly synthesized prior to their administration. All PET tracers were greater than 99% radiochemically and chemically pure, and had specific activity of approximately 53–61.3 TBq/mmol at the end of radiosynthesis and 33.6–41 TBq/mmol at the time of all injections. On average, 10.82 mCi or 4.65 μg of 11C-verapamil was administered at each imaging session. 1H-verapamil (verapamil [N-methyl 3H] hydrochloride; 2.2 TBq/mmol) for verapamil plasma protein binding assays was purchased from American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc. (St. Louis, MO).

### Experimental Study Design.

The study was divided into two arms in a crossover design with quinidine and 11–29 days of rifampin treatment administered during different study periods (Fig. 1A). Subjects in arm A (n = 7) were first imaged in the absence (control scan) or presence of quinidine (consecutive studies on the same day). They were then imaged again after at least 11–29 days of rifampin treatment (600 mg once daily at night). Subjects in arm B (n = 3) were studied in the reverse order, e.g., first imaged at after at least 11–29 days of rifampin treatment followed by 21–42 days of washout, and then imaged in the absence (control) or presence of quinidine.

A power analysis was conducted to determine the study sample size using data from a previous CsA-verapamil PET imaging study in healthy volunteers (Sasongko et al., 2005). In this study, the coefficient of variation (CV) of AUCblood (AUCR) [11C-radioactivity area under the curve (AUC) in the brain]11C-radioactivity AUC in the blood and the presence of CsA] was 22%. Since a difference in 11C-verapamil brain distribution of <30% in the presence of an inhibitor is unlikely to be clinically significant (intrasubject variability in pharmacokinetics of most drugs tends to be at least that), we used this as a cutoff for a significant DDI at the human BBB. Under these assumptions, our analysis indicated that nine subjects per treatment (quinidine or rifampin) were sufficient to provide 80% power with α = 0.05 to detect at least a 30% change in brain distribution of 11C-verapamil.

On the imaging days, a pregnancy test was performed on female volunteers, which was required to be negative. Each subject had catheters inserted in both arms (antebrual veins), one with two separate lines for PET tracer injections and quinidine venous blood sampling, and the other catheter for quinidine infusion. In the arm used for quinidine infusion, the radial artery was catheterized for arterial blood sampling during PET imaging. Quinidine gluconate (80 mg/ml; Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN) was formulated for intravenous infusion as per instructions in the manufacturer’s package insert, and administered at rates detailed in Fig. 1B. Each subject’s head was immobilized during the PET imaging studies using a custom-made thermoplastic mask and head-holder.

The PET imaging experimental design and image acquisition

Ge Advance PET camera, Little Chalfont, UK) of the control and quinidine treatment followed the study sequence described previously with the modifications illustrated in Fig. 1B (Sasongko et al., 2005). The experimental design and timeline for the postrifampin PET imaging were identical to that in the control arm. In brief, during all three PET imaging sessions (control, quinidine, postrifampin treatment), subjects were administered 11O-water (0.5 mCi/kg, i.v. bolus) and 11C-verapamil (~0.1 mCi/kg, <0.08 μg/kg, 1-minute i.v. infusion) consecutively, separated by ~15 minutes, and brain PET images were acquired to determine cerebral blood flow (CBF) and P-gp activity, respectively.

Within 1 week of the PET studies, the subjects underwent magnetic resonance (MR) imaging of the brain [T1- and T2-weighted images; Philips Achieva 3 Tesla Scanner (Andover, MA)] to provide anatomic information for the construction of region-of-interest (ROI) PET analysis. Subjects were evaluated poststudy (1–2 weeks after the imaging session), with all tests performed at the screening visit, except for the EKG and the pregnancy test.

### Blood Sample Collection and Processing.

Arterial blood samples (1 ml) during both PET imaging sessions (control and quinidine treatment) were manually collected as follows: 11O-water (first 1 minute: every 5 seconds; 1–2 minutes; every 15 seconds; 2–4 minutes: every 30 seconds; and then at 5 minutes) and 11C-verapamil (first 1 minute: every 15 seconds; 1–2 minutes; every 20 seconds; 2–3 minutes: every 30 seconds; 3–8 minutes: every 1 minute; 8–12 minutes: every 2 minutes; and then at 15 and 20 minutes). 11O-water blood radioactivity in preweighed gamma-counting tubes and 11C-verapamil blood and plasma radioactivity were determined using a gamma counter (Cobra Counter; Packard Corporation, Meriden, CT).

In addition, arterial blood samples (2 ml) were manually collected during all three 11C-verapamil PET imaging sessions at 5, 10, and 20 minutes to quantify the radioactivity content of 11C-verapamil, its known 11C-dealkylated metabolites (D-617, D-717), and 11C-N-demethylated metabolites (polar species) in the plasma at control, in the presence of quinidine and postrifampin treatment via solid-phase extraction and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) methods described previously (Sasongko et al., 2005, Unadkat et al., 2008).

### Quantification of Quinidine Plasma Concentration.

To measure quinidine plasma concentrations, venous blood samples (2 ml) were drawn at 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, and 80 minutes after the start of quinidine infusion (or the last sample was taken at the end of the second 11C-verapamil PET imaging). The calibrators were 29 days of rifampin treatment (600 mg once daily at night). Subjects in arm B (n = 3) were studied in the reverse order, e.g., first imaged at after at least 11–29 days of rifampin treatment followed by 21–42 days of washout, and then imaged in the absence (control) or presence of quinidine. Therefore, in the study presented here, our objectives were to 1) quantify the ability of quinidine and rifampin to, respectively, inhibit and induce P-gp at the human BBB at therapeutic plasma concentrations of these drugs using 11C-verapamil as the prototypic PET imaging agent, and 2) predict the resulting quinidine–11C-verapamil DDI from preclinical experimental models.
prepared in human plasma (quinidine concentration range: 1–15 μM). Quality control samples (quinidine concentrations: 1, 4, and 15 μM) were prepared and processed along with the venous plasma samples collected during the PET imaging studies. All plasma samples (800 μl) were spiked with an equal volume of acetonitrile containing 1 μg/ml Hoehst 33258 (internal standard: Hoechst 33258 at 350 nm, retention time 5 minutes) were injected onto the HPLC. HPLC separation was achieved using an Agilent (Santa Clara, CA) XDB C18 2.1 × 50 mm, 5 μm column, and the analytes (quinidine at 250 nm, retention time 3.5 minutes; internal standard: Hoehst 33258 at 350 nm, retention time 5 minutes) were eluted with 1% formic acid and 0.1 M potassium phosphate in water at pH 3.3 (solvent A) and 1% formic acid in acetonitrile (solvent B). For the first 3 minutes, the gradient was maintained at 90% solvent A, decreased linearly to 60% solvent A until 8 minutes, and then cycled back to initial conditions.

**Verapamil Plasma Protein Binding.** To ensure that chronic administration of rifampin and the presence of quinidine did not significantly increase verapamil plasma protein binding, ultrafiltration was used to compare verapamil plasma protein binding in pooled plasma samples (n = 3 subjects) collected during control, quinidine, and postrifampin treatment PET imaging sessions. Pooled plasma samples were used due to the limited plasma volume collected during control, quinidine, and postrifampin treatment PET imaging sessions. Both the PET image acquisition and reconstruction were conducted as described previously (Sasongko et al., 2005). MR images were coregistered to the PET images with a method based on mutual information criteria using PMOD software (PMOD Technologies, Zurich, Switzerland). ROIs for three tissue types/brain regions (whole brain, gray matter, or white matter for both hemispheres) were identified manually on the coregistered PET-MR images to avoid margins of the respective tissues, and extended continuously to an average of 18 slices (≈6 cm) to create volumes of interest for each tissue type. Volumes of interest were applied to both the 15O-water and 11C-verapamil dynamic images to determine decay-corrected radioactivity concentration in each brain region.

**Noncompartmental Analysis.** After decay correction, the area under the 11C-verapamil radioactivity concentration-time curve in the brain region (AUCbrain region) was calculated from 11C-verapamil PET imaging in the control arm, in the presence of quinidine, or postrifampin treatment using the trapezoidal rule. The percent change in the ratio of AUCbrain region: AUCblood (AUCR) was used to evaluate the magnitude of BBB P-gp modulation by quinidine or rifampin treatment.

**Compartmental Analysis.** Regional CBF for the whole brain, gray matter, or white matter was estimated for each subject by fitting a flow-dispersion model to the first 2 minutes of the 15O-water tissue time-activity curves in the respective brain tissue using the PKIN module in PMOD.

Previously, a one-tissue compartment (1-TC) compartment model was shown to be the best model to estimate the distribution clearance of 11C-verapamil into the human brain (K1) (Muzi et al., 2009). In fact, we and others have confirmed that, when P-gp activity at the human BBB is inhibited, it is the K1 of 11C-verapamil radioactivity into the brain that is changed, rather than the efflux rate constant out of the brain (k2) (Bauer et al., 2006; Bankstahl et al., 2008, Liow et al., 2009; Kreisel et al., 2010). To confirm this finding, we analyzed our data using a 1-TC model with different a duration of data sets (5, 10, or 20 minutes) and input functions (total plasma radioactivity, 11C-verapamil and 11C-verapamil metabolites that are P-gp substrates (11C-dealkylated metabolites), or a dual input function that simultaneously and separately modeled the 11C-radioactivity contributed by P-gp substrates and non-P-gp substrates (11C-polar metabolites) using PKIN software (PMOD Technologies). An average value of human brain tissue blood volume (Vb; 0.044 ml/g) determined previously (Muzi et al., 2009) was incorporated as a fixed constant when estimating CBF and K1p (based on plasma data) and k2. Weights were set at 1/observed radioactivity2. The best model was determined by evaluating the Akaika information criterion (AIC), runs test, visual inspection of the residuals and model fits, and percent CV of the final estimates. To determine the brain extraction ratio (ER) of 11C-verapamil radioactivity (based on blood data), the ratio of K1p (K1p corrected for the individual blood-to-plasma ratio) and CBF was computed. The correlation (correlation coefficient) between K1p and AUCR values was determined using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Software, Redmond, WA).

**Statistical Analysis.** Data are expressed as the mean ± S.D. As pharmacokinetic data are typically log-normally distributed, the AUCR, K1pm, and ER data were logarithmically transformed and analyzed using a Student’s paired one-tail t test. The latter approach is justified as our a priori hypothesis was that quinidine or rifampin treatment would produce unidirectional changes, inhibition, or induction, respectively, to P-gp activity at the BBB. The primary endpoint of the study is the ER. The ratio of each endpoint in the presence and absence of the modulator (quinidine or rifampin) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were determined and transformed back to the original scale for presentation. All comparisons and corresponding confidence intervals were adjusted for the two comparisons (rifampin, verapamil) using the Bonferroni method. Significance level was set at P < 0.05.
Results

Ten volunteers participated in the study without any unexpected side effects. Of these 10 volunteers, for reasons unrelated to the treatments of the study, one did not have the quinidine verapamil PET images due to computer mechanical errors (missing verapamil attenuation scans), and one voluntarily withdrew from the study after the control and quinidine scans and did not complete the postrifampin scan. Therefore, nine sets of complete data sets were available for each treatment (quinidine or postrifampin).

Verapamil percentage unbound in the plasma was consistent with the literature-reported value of 10% (Brunton et al., 2011) and did not differ significantly between control versus quinidine (7.6 ± 0.7% vs. 9.1 ± 0.9%; \( P > 0.05 \)) or between control versus rifampin (8 ± 0.7% vs. 7 ± 0.9%; \( P > 0.05 \)). The blood-to-plasma ratio of \(^{11}C\)-verapamil during PET imaging was not significantly affected by quinidine (control 0.77 ± 0.06 vs. quinidine 0.81 ± 0.08; \( P > 0.05 \)) or rifampin (control 0.76 ± 0.05 vs. rifampin 0.77 ± 0.08; \( P > 0.05 \)). Similarly, quinidine or rifampin treatment did not significantly affect CBF, or the regional CBF difference between white matter and gray matter (Fig. 2). The absolute CBF values estimated in the control arm for the gray matter and white matter were consistent with historical data compiled from multiple published studies (Reich and Rusinek, 1989). However, the individual CBF values did vary significantly; therefore, the individual CBF values were used for all subsequent data analysis.

The accuracy and precision of the quinidine assay based on the three quality control samples were acceptable (<12% error and <5% CV). The average total pseudo steady-state quinidine plasma concentration during the second \(^{11}C\)-verapamil PET imaging session was 8.3 ± 1.4 μM (1.3 ± 0.3 μM unbound determined based on literature-reported quinidine plasma protein binding; Brunton et al., 2011) and was within the therapeutic range (8–15 μM).

As expected, quinidine (a potent CYP2D6 inhibitor) treatment had no significant (\( P > 0.05 \)) effect on \(^{11}C\)-verapamil metabolism (Fig. 3), which is primarily mediated by CYP2Cs and CYP3A4 to form the \(^{11}C\)-dealkylated metabolites (P-gp substrates) and \(^{11}C\)-N-demethylated metabolites (polar species and non-P-gp substrates), respectively (Tracey et al., 1999; Pauli-Magnus et al., 2000). Similar to our previous findings, at 5 and 10 minutes of the PET imaging sessions, ~85% of the total plasma radioactivity was attributed to P-gp substrates, with little contribution from non–P-gp substrates. Therefore, for the determination of quinidine’s impact on P-gp activity, all subsequent data analyses (including estimation of AUCR) were performed using data collected during the first 10 minutes of PET imaging.

On the other hand, rifampin, a selective pregnane X receptor (PXR) ligand and a potent inducer of PXR-regulated cytochrome P450 genes (e.g., CYP3A), did induce hepatic \(^{11}C\)-verapamil metabolism. This manifested as a significant increase (~2-fold compared with control; \( P < 0.05 \)) in the 10-minute plasma content of CYP3A-formed \(^{11}C\)-po lar metabolites (non–P-gp substrates) of \(^{11}C\)-verapamil (Fig. 4). However, after rifampin treatment, no significant change was observed in the plasma content of the \(^{11}C\)-dealkylated metabolites (P-gp substrates) of \(^{11}C\)-verapamil formed by CYP2Cs. In contrast, over the shorter time frame of 5 minutes, the \(^{11}C\)-radioactivity composition in plasma in the control arm or postrifampin treatment was not significantly different (\( P > 0.05 \)). Based on this minimal metabolism of \(^{11}C\)-verapamil and significant \(^{11}C\)-radioactivity distribution into the brain during the first 5 minutes of \(^{11}C\)-verapamil PET imaging, this shorter time frame was chosen as the time interval for all subsequent data analyses (including estimation of AUCR). Furthermore, as indicated later, analysis over a longer time frame (10 or 20 minutes) did not change our conclusion.

Quinidine significantly increased the brain tissue radioactivity concentration, as illustrated in Fig. 5. The distributions of \(^{11}C\)-verapamil radioactivity (as measured by the AUCR over 10 minutes) into the brain, expressed as a ratio of AUCR in the presence and absence of quinidine (95% CI), were as follows: white matter, 1.58 (1.28–1.96); gray matter, 1.57 (1.27–1.95); or white matter, 1.63 (1.30–2.03) (Fig. 6A, shown with absolute untransformed values and \( P \) values). The AUCR was significantly greater for the gray matter than for the white matter in the control arm versus in the presence of quinidine.

Consistent with our previous finding (Muzi et al., 2009), a 1-TC model using the single input function consisting of total plasma radioactivity (10-minute data: AIC value of 19 vs. 20-minute data: AIC value of 20) for estimating \( K_{1b} \) and \( k_2 \) of \(^{11}C\)-verapamil radioactivity in the control arm versus in the presence of quinidine was equivalent to or better than using other input functions [\(^{11}C\)-verapamil radioactivity contributed by P-gp substrates (10-minute data: AIC value of 24 vs. 20-minute data: AIC value of 23) or dual input function of \(^{11}C\)-verapamil radioactivity contributed by P-gp substrates or non–P-gp substrates (10-minute data: AIC value of 21 vs. 20-minute data: AIC value of 19)]. To minimize the potential for \(^{11}C\)-verapamil metabolites confounding our results, we limited our data analysis to the first 10 minutes. Quinidine significantly increased \( K_{1b} \) of \(^{11}C\)-verapamil radioactivity into the brain, expressed as a ratio of \( K_{1b} \).
presence and absence of quinidine (95% CI), as follows: whole brain, 1.37 (1.21–1.55); gray matter, 1.35 (1.20–1.51); and white matter, 1.48 (1.27–1.73) (Fig. 6B). However, quinidine treatment did not affect $k_2$ (e.g., gray matter, control: 0.1 ± 0.01 minute$^{-1}$; quinidine: 0.1 ± 0.02 minute$^{-1}$). Additionally, quinidine significantly increased the ER of $^{11}$C-verapamil radioactivity by the brain, expressed as a ratio of ER in the presence and absence of quinidine (95% CI), as follows: whole brain, 1.56 (1.01–2.42); gray matter, 1.53 (0.98–2.37); or white matter, 1.71 (1.10–2.68) (Fig. 6C). After CBF normalization (reported as ER), the large regional difference observed in the $K_{1b}$ of $^{11}$C-verapamil radioactivity between gray matter and white matter in the control arm and in the presence of quinidine was greatly diminished (Fig. 6C), again emphasizing the utility of ER to measure P-gp activity at the human BBB. Additionally, the larger variability associated with CBF increased the intersubject variability in P-gp inhibition after CBF normalization. This variability could not be attributed to differences in plasma concentration of quinidine. Last, the $K_{1b}$ and AUCR of $^{11}$C-verapamil in the presence and absence of rifampin treatment (95% CI) was as follows: whole brain, 1.01 (0.78–1.31); gray matter, 1.00 (0.79–1.28); or white matter, 0.98 (0.69–1.40) (Fig. 7A). Similar to the regional CBF differences, the distribution of $^{11}$C-verapamil radioactivity (as measured by AUCR) into gray matter was significantly greater than that into white matter in the control arm or after rifampin treatment.

After evaluating the goodness of fit of the 1-TC model, using different plasma radioactivity input functions and data of different imaging durations (5, 10, or 20 minutes), the best model for the data was when the total plasma $^{11}$C-radioactivity was used as the input function (AIC values for control and postrifampin treatment of 19 and 13, respectively, vs. AIC values of 23 and 17 using other input functions). This is consistent with our previous findings from the CsA-$^{11}$C-verapamil PET study (Sasongko et al., 2005). Using this input function, we found that the $K_{1p}$ estimates were similar, irrespective of the duration of data used (5, 10, or 20 minutes). However, since the $^{11}$C-verapamil plasma metabolite content at 5 minutes was the least and not significantly affected by rifampin treatment, to minimize the contribution of these metabolites from confounding our data interpretation, we limited all our modeling analysis to the first 5 minutes of the $^{11}$C-verapamil PET imaging. However, as indicated later, analysis over a longer time frame (10 or 20 minutes) did not change our conclusions. Our results showed that, after chronic rifampin treatment, the ratio of $K_{1b}$ in the presence and absence of rifampin treatment (95% CI) was as follows: whole brain, 1.09 (0.81–1.46); gray matter, 1.08 (0.80–1.45); or white matter, 1.09 (0.79–1.49) (Fig. 7A). Similar to the regional CBF differences, the distribution of $^{11}$C-verapamil radioactivity (as measured by AUCR) into gray matter was significantly greater than that into white matter in the control arm or after rifampin treatment.

Fig. 4. Plasma $^{11}$C-verapamil polar metabolite (formed by CYP3A) contents at 5 minutes after $^{11}$C-verapamil administration during baseline and postrifampin PET imaging sessions were not significantly different, whereas those at 10 minutes were. In contrast, the plasma contents of $^{11}$C-dealkylated metabolites (formed by CYP2C) during baseline and postrifampin PET imaging session were not significantly different at 5 or 10 minutes. Data are expressed as the mean ± S.D. ($n = 9$).
matter: 0.12 et al., 2008) apply to the in vivo inhibition of P-gp at the human BBB. The calculated average plasma quinidine unbound concentration (\(C_u = 1.3 \mu M\)) observed in our study.

To investigate whether the quinidine-\(^{11}C\)-verapamil DDI at the human BBB could be equally well predicted from preclinical studies as the CsA-\(^{11}C\)-verapamil DDI, we evaluated the ability of two animal models, the rat and the macaque, in combination with in vitro data in MDR1-expressing cells to predict the magnitude of P-gp inhibition by quinidine at the human BBB. Based on the maximal increase in the distribution of \(^{11}C\)-verapamil radioactivity into the animal brains (Hsiao et al., 2006; Eyal et al., 2009) when P-gp was completely inhibited (macaque: 3.4-fold, rat: 9.2-fold), the fraction transported (\(f_t\)) by P-gp observed for \(K_{1b}\) was greatly diminished, as shown by similar ER values in the control arm (gray matter: 0.16 ± 0.05, white matter: 0.13 ± 0.05) or postrifampin treatment (gray matter: 0.16 ± 0.08, white matter: 0.12 ± 0.06).

Fig. 5. Dose-normalized mean whole-brain radioactivity concentration versus time profiles (total \(^{11}C\)-radioactivity) in the absence (control) and presence of quinidine. Data are expressed as the mean ± S.D. (n = 9).

To evaluate P-gp modulation by quinidine or rifampin, we used two approaches in analyzing our data, compartmental and noncompartmental analyses. The compartmental approach allows one to discern the influence of CBF or \(^{11}C\)-verapamil metabolites on the brain distribution of \(^{11}C\)-radioactivity, and dissect mechanistically which parameter, \(K_{1b}\) or \(k_2\), is affected when P-gp is modulated. Then, \(K_{1b}\) is used to derive ER (\(K_{1b}/CBF\)), which is a measure of P-gp activity at the BBB unencumbered by any changes in CBF (Eyal et al., 2010). The endpoint, ER, accounts for intertreatment variability in CBF when P-gp modulation is measured using a highly permeable and lipophilic PET ligand such as verapamil. Therefore, consistent with Liow et al. (2009) and our previous paper (Eyal et al., 2010), we used ER, rather than \(K_{1b}\) and AUCR, as a primary measure of P-gp activity and its modulation at the BBB.

Analysis of our data by compartmental modeling showed that the 1-TC compartment model using the single input function of total plasma radioactivity provides the best fit to the first 5 and 10 minutes of \(^{11}C\)-verapamil PET imaging data from the rifampin and quinidine studies, respectively. As we hypothesized, these data suggest that the \(^{11}C\)-dealkylated metabolites behaved like \(^{11}C\)-verapamil with respect to their distribution into the brain, and the \(^{11}C\)-polar metabolites exerted minimal impact on the estimation of \(K_{1p}\). Mechanistically, P-gp substrates can be efficiently extracted by P-gp from the membrane bilayer while in transit through the membrane, and/or effluxed out by P-gp after entering the intracellular compartment (Aller et al., 2009). Both mechanisms should result in increased ER (and \(K_{1b}\)) of P-gp substrates into the brain when P-gp, the gatekeeper at the BBB, is modulated. Indeed, quinidine inhibition of P-gp significantly increased \(K_{1b}\) into the brain (e.g., 35% for gray matter) without any significant change in \(k_2\) (e.g., 3% for gray matter). This is consistent with previous results using the same or different P-gp PET ligands (Bankstahl et al., 2008; Liow et al., 2009; Kreisl et al., 2010; Bauer et al., 2012).

The noncompartmental or the AUCR approach, however, is useful in that it is nonparametric and therefore free of any compartmental model assumptions. In the present study, the AUCR is reflective of the distribution clearance or initial uptake of \(^{11}C\)-radioactivity into the brain, as it is unlikely that \(^{11}C\)-radioactivity achieved brain:plasma pseudo equilibrium within the 5- to 10-minute time frame. At the average plasma quinidine unbound concentration achieved during the second \(^{11}C\)-verapamil PET imaging session, AUCR increased by ~60% for all brain regions studied. Altogether, AUCR reflected the increased \(K_{1b}\) of \(^{11}C\)-verapamil radioactivity into the brain as shown by the excellent correlation between the two parameters.

In an abstract, Passchier et al. (2008) reported a lack of DDI between quinidine and \(^{11}C\)-loperamide at the human BBB. However, in that study, the dosing regimen and route of quinidine administration were not provided, nor were the quinidine plasma concentrations measured. If a single dose of oral quinidine were administered (approximately 600-mg quinidine base), the quinidine peak plasma concentration achieved, which is transient following a single dose, is approximately 2.5 mg/l (7.4 \(\mu M\); Frigo et al., 1977). The calculated average plasma concentration over the dose interval is approximately 1.2 mg/l (3.7 \(\mu M\); Frigo et al., 1977). Based on our data, these concentrations are unlikely to significantly inhibit P-gp at the human BBB.
The observed modest magnitude of P-gp inhibition by quinidine (ER in the presence of quinidine is much smaller than 1) was likely due to incomplete inhibition of P-gp. This can be determined via further evaluation of ER (but not K1b, again highlighting the advantage of the use of ER). The ER value enables estimation of the maximum possible magnitude of increase in the CNS delivery of drugs when P-gp is completely inhibited (i.e., maximum liability of DDI) and when only the control ER is available through PET studies (the usual case). For a lipophilic and highly permeable drug, when P-gp is completely inhibited, the extraction of the drug by the brain may be so efficient as to be limited by CBF, and the ER = 1. Indeed, we confirmed this by demonstrating that the ER of 11C-verapamil radioactivity in the pituitary gland (outside the BBB and lacks P-gp; Nussey and Whitehead, 2001) was close to unity (1.13 ± 0.02; Eyal et al., 2010). Using a similar approach and our current data, we estimated this maximum change in the ER to be ~6-fold. This estimate is reasonable, as the macaque or human 11C-verapamil brain uptake increased by ~3- to 4-fold in the presence of CsA or tariquidar, respectively (Bauer et al., 2012; Eyal et al., 2009). It is important to note that the magnitude of change in drug distribution into the brain due to P-gp inhibition is substrate-dependent, and may be considerably greater for substrates (e.g., N-desmethyl-loperamide or nelfinavir) (Kaddoumi et al., 2007; Seneca et al., 2009), where P-gp plays an even greater role in excluding them from the brain, i.e., when the fraction transported (ft) by P-gp is even larger than that for verapamil (Hsiao and Unadkat, 2014). Consequently we conclude that, similar to CsA, quinidine is not a suitable drug to markedly inhibit P-gp at the human BBB to increase CNS delivery of drugs.

The macaque and not the rat, in combination with in vitro data in MDR1-expressing cells, was better able to predict the magnitude of

![Fig. 6. Quinidine significantly (P < 0.05) increased the mean distribution of 11C-verapamil radioactivity into the whole brain, gray matter, or white matter as measured by the AUCR (A), distribution clearance (K1b) (B), or ER (C). Of note, the AUCR and K1b of 11C-verapamil radioactivity were significantly greater for the gray matter than the white matter (at control and in the presence of quinidine). These regional differences were considerably reduced when the ER for these regions was computed. Both individual (n = 9) and mean (expressed as the mean ± S.D.) are shown.](image)
P-gp inhibition by quinidine at the human BBB. This discrepancy between the two predictions could be due to either the MDR1 cells not accurately reporting the in vivo inhibitory characteristics (EC50 and γ) of quinidine or the dynamic range of P-gp inhibition in the rat over-predicting the corresponding dynamic range in humans. The latter is possible as CBF in the rat is approximately twice that in humans (Yuen et al., 2008), and P-gp protein expression at the macaque and human BBB is similar, but ~2-fold lower than that at the rat BBB (Ito et al., 2011; Shawahna et al., 2011; Uchida et al., 2011). These explanations are not completely satisfactory, as the CsA–11C-verapamil DDI at the human BBB was well predicted by the rat data (Hsiao et al., 2006). On the other hand, this discordance is unsurprising since P-gp exhibits allosteryism with multiple ligand binding sites, such that the magnitude of P-gp–based DDI may be dependent on both the inhibitor and substrate.

To date, in vivo induction of P-gp at the human BBB has never been studied. Here, we investigated for the first time whether rifampin, at doses that induce intestinal P-gp activity, can induce P-gp activity at the human BBB. We chose rifampin as our prototypic P-gp–inducing agent because it is the most potent Food and Drug Administration–approved P-gp inducer. Numerous healthy volunteer studies have shown that oral administration of rifampin (600 mg once daily for as few as 6 days) induces intestinal P-gp as measured by the increased oral clearance and decreased plasma AUC of digoxin, fexofenadine, or talinolol (Hamman et al., 2001; Niemi et al., 2003; Gurley et al., 2008). The same rifampin dosing regimen induces intestinal CYP3A and P-gp expression to a similar extent. Therefore, we followed this standard P-gp induction protocol and instructed all human volunteers to take rifampin orally once a day (600 mg) for 11–29 days to determine if P-gp activity at the

Fig. 7. After rifampin treatment (600 mg once daily at night), no significant change was observed in the AUCR (A), K1b (B), or ER (C), indicating that P-gp activity at the human BBB was not induced. Of note, the AUCR and K1b of 11C-verapamil radioactivity were significantly greater for the gray matter than the white matter (in the control and postrifampin arm). These regional differences were eliminated when the ER for these regions was computed. Both individual (n = 9) and mean (expressed as the mean ± S.D.) are shown.
human BBB can be induced. Furthermore, because rifampin is also a P-gp substrate and inhibitor (Kim et al., 2002), to prevent it from confounding the interpretation of our data, subjects were instructed to take rifampin nightly so that during the PET imaging study on the following day (~17 hours or ~6 rifampin half-lives after the last rifampin dose), no rifampin was expected to remain in the systemic circulation.

However, the ER (or AUCR, K1a) of 11C-radioactivity in different regions of the brain, in the presence and absence of rifampin treatment, was not significantly different. To account for possible type II error, we computed the 95% CI for ER (and AUCR, K1a) postrifampin treatment versus control. For example, based on ER in brain matter, the CI was 0.59–1.50, thus we can conclude that rifampin does not induce P-gp activity at the human BBB that would result in a decrease in the whole brain ER by more than 41%.

We confirmed that the lack of clinically significant P-gp induction at the human BBB by rifampin was not due to potential confounders such as rifampin-induced increase in verapamil plasma protein binding, changes in verapamil metabolism, or CBF. Additionally, verapamil is an excellent P-gp substrate, its application and validation as a P-gp PET tracer (11C-verapamil) has been extensively studied in several experimental setups (Hendrikse et al., 1998), and its human use was first validated by Sasonkog et al. (2005). Although xenobiotic induction of P-gp at the human BBB had never been studied until the present study, others have studied the “induction” of P-gp by epilepsy. Langer et al. (2007) and Bauer et al. (2014) used 11C-verapamil as the PET ligand to detect seizure-induced regional increases in P-gp activity. Thus, 11C-verapamil PET imaging has been able to detect an increase in P-gp activity at the human BBB due to disease and therefore should be able to detect an increase in P-gp activity at the human BBB due to xenobiotic induction.

There are several possible explanations for why the P-gp induction at the human BBB is not clinically significantly (41% decrease in whole brain ER). First, PXR expression at the human BBB may be too low (or absent) to induce P-gp activity despite sufficient rifampin exposure. The mRNA expression of PXR, constitutive androstane receptor (CAR), and aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) has been previously evaluated in human brain microvessels (isolated from epilepsy patients). Transcripts of PXR or CAR were not detected, but those of AhR were (Dauchy et al., 2008). Second, rifampin concentration achieved within the brain microvessel endothelial cells may not be high enough to induce P-gp. After daily oral rifampin administration, the unbound intestinal plasma rifampin concentrations will be much higher than those in the systemic circulation, and therefore it is not surprising that intestinal P-gp expression and activity are induced by rifampin.

Rifampin exposure to the intracerebral milieu of the brain endothelial cells will be further reduced by P-gp efflux from these cells. Third, P-gp at the human BBB may already be maximally induced by environmental or endogenous factors. Therefore, further induction may not be possible.

In conclusion, our findings showed that quinidine, at its therapeutic concentrations, inhibits P-gp activity at the human BBB to result in ~60% increase in the brain ER of 11C-verapamil radioactivity, which was greater than the 40% inhibition previously observed with supra-therapeutic blood concentrations of CsA (Muzi et al., 2009). However, the magnitudes of inhibition by both drugs make neither drug suitable to deliberately inhibit P-gp at the human BBB to sufficiently enhance CNS delivery of drugs. These findings, as well as our previous findings on the 11C-verapamil–CsA drug interaction at the human BBB, have been echoed by Kalvass et al. (2013). Although the magnitude of quinidine–11C-verapamil DDI was quantitatively predicted by data from the macaque and cells expressing MDR1, additional studies are required to determine the best preclinical species for predicting P-gp–based DDI at the human BBB. Furthermore, our study showed that rifampin is unlikely to induce P-gp at the BBB in a clinically significant manner and produce P-gp drug interactions at the BBB. However, this does not imply that P-gp at the human BBB cannot be induced by xenobiotics or other P-gp expression regulatory pathways. In addition to PXR, CAR, and AhR, other nuclear receptors such as glucocorticoid or vitamin D receptors have been reported to regulate P-gp expression (Alba et al., 2005; Reschly et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2008; Miller et al., 2010), thus their expression in the brain endothelial cells should be determined. Drugs that are ligands of these receptors should also be tested to determine if they can clinically significantly induce in vivo P-gp activity at the human BBB.
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