

Minireview

Carboxylesterase 1 and Precision Pharmacotherapy: Pharmacogenetics and Nongenetic Regulators

Lucy Her and Hao-Jie Zhu

Department of Clinical Pharmacy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

Received October 17, 2019; accepted December 16, 2019

ABSTRACT

Carboxylesterase (CES) 1 is the most abundant drug-metabolizing enzyme in human livers, comprising approximately 1% of the entire liver proteome. CES1 is responsible for 80%–95% of total hydrolytic activity in the liver and plays a crucial role in the metabolism of a wide range of drugs (especially ester-prodrugs), pesticides, environmental pollutants, and endogenous compounds. Expression and activity of CES1 vary markedly among individuals, which is a major contributing factor to interindividual variability in the pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamics (PD) of drugs metabolized by CES1. Both genetic and nongenetic factors contribute to CES1 variability. Here, we discuss genetic polymorphisms, including single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), and copy number variants and nongenetic contributors, such as developmental status, genders, and drug-drug interactions, that could influence CES1 functionality and the PK and PD of CES1 substrates. Currently, the loss-of-function SNP G143E (rs71647871) is the only clinically significant CES1 variant identified to date, and alcohol is the only

potent CES1 inhibitor that could alter the therapeutic outcomes of CES1 substrate medications. However, G143E and alcohol can only explain a small portion of the interindividual variability in the CES1 function. A better understanding of the regulation of CES1 expression and activity and identification of biomarkers for CES1 function in vivo could lead to the development of a precision pharmacotherapy strategy to improve the efficacy and safety of many CES1 substrate drugs.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

The clinical relevance of CES1 has been well demonstrated in various clinical trials. Genetic and nongenetic regulators can affect CES1 expression and activity, resulting in the alteration of the metabolism and clinical outcome of CES1 substrate drugs, such as methylphenidate and clopidogrel. Predicting the hepatic CES1 function can provide clinical guidance to optimize pharmacotherapy of numerous medications metabolized by CES1.

Introduction

Carboxylesterase (CES) 1 is a phase I drug-metabolizing enzyme (DME) responsible for 80%–95% of total hydrolytic activity in the liver (Imai et al., 2006); it metabolizes a wide range of drugs, pesticides, environmental pollutants, and endogenous compounds, including lipid esters (Table 1). CES1-mediated metabolism can lead to the biotransformation of a pharmacologically active drug into its inactive metabolite, as exemplified by methylphenidate hydrolysis in the liver. CES1 also plays an important role in activating prodrugs since most ester-containing prodrugs are exclusively dependent on CES1 for their activation. The clinical relevance of CES1 has been well demonstrated in various clinical

trials with oseltamivir, methylphenidate, and clopidogrel (Zhu et al., 2008; Tarkiainen et al., 2012; Lewis et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2016). Recent studies have also revealed that CES1 acts as a cholesteryl ester hydrolase in lipid metabolism in human macrophages and hepatocytes and suggest CES1 as a potential drug target for the treatment of metabolic diseases, such as diabetes and atherosclerosis (Dolinsky et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2007; Ghosh et al., 2010; Ross et al., 2010; Lian et al., 2018b).

Importance of CES1 in Drug Metabolism

CES1 plays an important role in metabolizing many clinically significant medications, especially the ester-prodrugs (Table 1). A prodrug refers to an inactive drug molecule that needs to be enzymatically biotransformed in vivo to its active metabolite to produce its intended pharmacological effect (Rautio et al., 2008). Prodrug design offers an attractive method to overcome the issue of low bioavailability for Biopharmaceutics Classifications System

This work was supported in part by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute [Grant R01HL126969].

The authors state no conflict of interests.

<https://doi.org/10.1124/dmd.119.089680>.

ABBREVIATIONS: AA, amino acid; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; ADP, adenosine diphosphate; AT, angiotensin; AUC, area under the curve; BCS, Biopharmaceutics Classification System; CBD, cannabidiol; CBN, cannabinol; CES, carboxylesterase; CI, confidence interval; CNV, copy number variation; CYP, cytochrome p450; DABE, dabigatran etexilate; DME, drug-metabolizing enzyme; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; ID, identification; LOF, loss-of-function; M1, dabigatran etexilate intermediate metabolite 1; M2, dabigatran etexilate intermediate metabolite 2; MAF, minor allele frequency; PAPI, Pharmacogenomics of Antiplatelet Intervention; PD, pharmacodynamics; PK, pharmacokinetics; PNPA, *p*-nitrophenyl acetate; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; THC, tetrahydrocannabinol; UGT1A, UDP-glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1; VASP-PRI, vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein-platelet reactivity index.

TABLE 1
List of CES1 substrates

ACE (Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme) Inhibitor	CNS (Central Nervous System) agents	Antihyperlipidemia agents
Enalapril ^a	Methylphenidate	Clofibrate
Imidapril ^a	Cocaine	Fenofibrate
Benzapril ^a	Heroin	
Quinapril ^a	Meperidine	
Ramipril ^a	Flumazenil	
Trandolapril ^a	Rufinamide	
Antiviral agents	Anticancer agents	Adrenal glucocorticoid
Oseltamivir ^a	Capecitabine ^a	Ciclesonide ^a
Sofosbuvir ^a	Irinotecan ^a	
Tenofovir alafenamide ^a	Telotristat etiprate ^a	
Endogenous Compounds	Antiplatelets/anticoagulants	Chemical warfare agents
Cholesterol	Clopidogrel	Sarin
Fatty acid ethyl esters	Dabigatran ^a	Soman
Angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor (ARNi)	Immunosuppressive agents	Tabun
Sacubitril ^a	Mycophenolate mofetil ^a	Pesticides
		Trans-permethrin
		Para-nitrophenyl valerate
		Others
		Dimethyl fumarate ^a
		Oxybutynin

^aProdrugs that need CES1 activation.

(BCS) class III drug molecules. Drug molecules can be categorized into four BCS classes based on permeability and solubility, and a BCS class III substance is a hydrophilic compound with low permeability and high solubility (Shah and Amidon, 2014). In particular, hydrophilic compounds with –OH or –COOH functional groups usually have difficulty being absorbed into the body, and drug developers often mask these functional groups using an ester-prodrug design. The prodrug market has been growing: 20% of drugs approved in 2015 were prodrugs compared with ~6% of all currently approved drugs (Rautio et al., 2017).

Two major assumptions behind the ester-prodrug design are that prodrugs are rapidly activated via unspecific esterases in the body and that the interindividual variability in activating a prodrug is clinically insignificant. These incorrect assumptions may have stemmed from the fact that many hydrolytic enzymes exist in the body, such as CES1, CES2, acetylcholinesterase, butyrylcholinesterase, paraoxonases, and arylesterase. However, these hydrolases differ in their tissue-specific expression, cellular localization, and, most importantly, substrate selectivity (Fukami and Yokoi, 2012). In humans, CES1 is highly abundant in the liver and expressed to a lesser extent in the lung and brain; CES1 expression is considered negligible in the human intestine, kidney, and plasma. CES1 is substrate-selective toward carboxyl esters with a large ethyl group and a small alcohol group. In comparison, CES2, another major carboxylesterase in humans, is highly expressed in the intestine, kidney, and liver and is more efficient at metabolizing compounds with a small ethyl group and a large alcohol group (Jewell et al., 2007). Numerous *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies have demonstrated the specificity of CES1, and many CES1 substrates cannot be metabolized by other esterases (Table 3).

CES1 expression and activity vary significantly among individuals (Wang et al., 2016b); this variability could result in treatment failure and unexpected adverse effects of CES1 substrate drugs. A better understanding of the genetic and nongenetic factors contributing to CES1 variability will improve the design and clinical use of many drugs that are metabolized (deactivated/activated) by CES1.

Pharmacogenetics of Drug-Metabolizing Enzymes

Traditionally, fixed-dose regimens have been used for most medications. However, different individuals taking the same dose of medication do not necessarily achieve the same drug exposure and, hence, drug response. More individualized, patient-centered dosing regimens have been developed based on a patient's characteristics, such as renal clearance, liver function, body weight, and surface area (DiPiro, 2017). In addition,

genetic polymorphisms of DMEs have been found to play an important role in the response to pharmacotherapy, and pharmacogenomics has been increasingly used in the clinic to improve the efficacy and safety of drug treatment. DMEs serve to primarily detoxify digested xenobiotics through four general mechanisms: hydrolysis (e.g., carboxylesterase), reduction (e.g., carbonyl reductase), oxidation (e.g., cytochrome P450), and conjugation (e.g., UDP-glucuronosyltransferase) (Foti and Dalvie, 2016). The expression and activity of DMEs vary significantly among individuals, and studying pharmacogenomics of DMEs is one means of better understanding interindividual variability in the pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamics (PD) of a drug. For example, the active metabolite of irinotecan, SN-38, is primarily metabolized by the enzyme UDP-glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1 [(UGT1A1) enzyme] (Ando et al., 2000). If a patient carries the common UGT1A1*28 polymorphism, the decrease it causes in UGT1A1 enzymatic activity would impede the metabolism of SN-38, leading to the accrual of toxic concentrations. Accordingly, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recommended that patients with UGT1A1*28/*28 start irinotecan at a lower dose (Innocenti et al., 2004). However, given that both genetic and environmental factors contribute to DME function, we should also pay close attention to nongenetic contributors when studying the variability of DMEs.

CES1 Pharmacogenetics

Although CES1 plays a critical role in the metabolism of many clinically important medications, CES1 pharmacogenetics is understudied relative to other major DMEs [e.g., cytochrome P450 (CYPs)]. CES1 is encoded by the *CES1* gene and consists of 14 exons located on chromosome 16q13-q22.1. *CES1* VAR is a variation of the *CES1* gene that differs in exon 1 DNA sequences and has an average minor allele frequency (MAF) of 17%. Although one study claimed that *CES1* VAR mRNA was undetectable (Fukami et al., 2008), an *in vitro* human liver study showed that the protein expressions of *CES1* and *CES1* VAR were not statistically different (Wang et al., 2016b). *CES1P1* is a pseudogene due to a premature stop codon in exon 4 and lies tail-to-tail with *CES1* (Fig. 1) (Wang et al., 2016b). Interestingly, a *CES1P1* variant named *CES1P1* VAR is a functional coding gene with a DNA sequence identical to *CES1* VAR. However, the transcription efficiency of *CES1P1* VAR is only 2% of that of *CES1* because of the transcription factor specificity protein 1 and the enhancer-binding protein, CCAAT-enhancer-binding protein α , preferring to bind to the *CES1* promoter over the *CES1P1* VAR promoter (Hosokawa et al., 2008; Yoshimura et al., 2008). Because of the existence of the *CES1* VAR and *CES1P1* VAR variants,

four *CES1/CES1P1* haplotypes can be formed (Fig. 1). In addition to these structural variations, there are over 7000 *CES1* single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) registered in the National Center for Biotechnology Information SNP database, and approximately 300 of them have MAFs over 1%. These common *CES1* variants (MAF >1%) are distributed in various regions of the gene, including 13 in 5′- and 3′-untranslated regions, 14 in exons, and 308 in introns. Of the exonic SNPs, 12 are nonsynonymous SNPs, and two are synonymous SNPs. In the following section, we discuss the clinical findings and mechanistic bases of functional *CES1* variants identified to date.

Pharmacogenetics of the First Loss-of-Function *CES1* Variant G143E (rs71647871)

In SNP notation, G143E indicates an amino acid change from glycine to glutamic acid at amino acid position 143. G143E is also termed 428G>A, indicating that the nucleotide guanine is changed to adenine at position 428 of the *CES1* mRNA (DiPiro, 2017). The MAF of G143E is 3.7%, 4.3%, and 2%, in White, Hispanic, and African American populations, respectively, whereas the SNP is extremely rare in Asian populations (Zhu et al., 2008; Suzaki et al., 2013a).

G143E is a nonconservative amino acid substitution located near the active-site triad residues of *CES1* (serine 221, glutamic acid 354, and histidine 468). Serine hydrolases share similar catalytic mechanism involving 1) nucleophilic attack from oxygen in the serine residue on a substrate ester bond, 2) formation of a tetrahedral intermediate wherein the deprotonated oxygen is stabilized via an oxyanion hole, 3) formation of an acyl-enzyme intermediate, and 4) water-catalyzed hydrolysis (Sato and Hosokawa, 2006). For *CES1* to maintain its enzymatic function, the catalytic triad and oxyanion hole need to be conserved (Zhu et al., 2008; Arena de Souza et al., 2015). The change from glycine (hydrophobic residue) to glutamic acid (electrostatic residue) at codon 143 disrupts the hydrophobicity needed for the oxyanion hole (Gly 141-131), resulting in a complete loss of function of *CES1*. The G143E is only *CES1* SNP that has been subjected to in vitro kinetics studies in which the variant exhibited null catalytic activity on all tested *CES1* substrates except for oseltamivir (Table 2). The V_{\max} of G143E on oseltamivir hydrolysis was 37 nmol/min per milligram with catalytic efficiency of 17.2 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$ per milligram protein—this was approximately 16% of wild-type *CES1* catalytic efficiency (Zhu and Markowitz, 2009).

Discovery of G143E and Its Impacts on Methylphenidate PK and PD. G143E is the first loss-of-function (LOF) variant known for *CES1* and was originally discovered in a methylphenidate (Ritalin) PK study in healthy volunteers. Methylphenidate is a central nervous system stimulant and the most commonly prescribed medication for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) treatment. Methylphenidate has high abuse potential when used with alcohol (COTEMPLA XR-ODT(TM), 2017). Its drug product comes as a racemic mixture of *d*- and *l*-methylphenidate hydrochloride; *d*-methylphenidate is approximately 10 times more pharmacologically potent than *l*-methylphenidate (Heal and Pierce, 2006).

Methylphenidate is metabolized by de-esterification via *CES1* to ritalinic acid, an inactive metabolite that accounts for approximately 80% of the recovered dose in human urine (Fig. 2) (Laizure et al., 2013; COTEMPLA XR-ODT(TM), 2017). In 2007, a prospective single-dose (0.3 mg/kg) PK study was conducted in 20 healthy volunteers to examine the drug-drug interaction (DDI) between methylphenidate and alcohol (Patrick et al., 2007). During this study, the researchers unexpectedly found a participant that showed significantly elevated pharmacokinetic parameters [e.g., area under the curve (AUC), C_{\max}] of methylphenidate. Specifically, *dl*-methylphenidate C_{\max} was seven times higher and *l*-methylphenidate C_{\max} was 100-fold higher in this poor metabolizer compared with the rest of the

participants. Later analysis found that this poor metabolizer carried the G143E polymorphism in *CES1* and the D260fs polymorphism in *CES1P1* (Zhu et al., 2008). This study also concluded that though *CES1* metabolism is substantially stereoselective toward *l*-methylphenidate, *d*-methylphenidate metabolism is also significantly impacted by *CES1* dysfunction.

Following the discovery of the G143E variant, a retrospective study was conducted to examine the methylphenidate response in Hungarian patients with ADHD; G143E ($n = 7$) carriers and noncarriers ($n = 115$) were compared. Even though the *CES1* genotype could not explain the entire interindividual variability between responders ($n = 90$) and nonresponders ($n = 32$), the study demonstrated an association between G143E polymorphism and methylphenidate dose reduction: five responders who had the G143E polymorphism required lower doses of methylphenidate for symptom reduction (0.410 vs. 0.572 mg/kg, $P = 0.022$) (Nemoda et al., 2009). In 2017, a healthy volunteer study confirmed the significance of G143E in the PK of methylphenidate. In this open-label, prospective clinical trial ($n = 22$), study participants carrying the G143E SNP ($n = 6$) had approximately 152.4% higher median AUC of *d*-methylphenidate ($53.3 \text{ ng} \times \text{mL}^{-1} \times \text{h}^{-1}$) than the noncarrier group ($21.4 \text{ ng} \times \text{mL}^{-1} \times \text{h}^{-1}$) ($P < 0.0001$) (Stage et al., 2017a).

The above studies suggest that G143E carriers may be at high risk of being exposed to a toxic methylphenidate concentration. This result is clinically impactful because methylphenidate is considered as the first-line pharmacotherapy for ADHD, with approximately 40 million prescriptions dispensed every year (Schubert et al., 2010). This result could potentially explain why many patients have an unsatisfactory response to the treatment. Further clinical studies in patients with ADHD with larger sample sizes are needed to fully understand the effect of *CES1* variants on the efficacy and toxicity of methylphenidate, and how methylphenidate doses should be adjusted based on a patient's *CES1* genotypes.

G143E and Clopidogrel (Plavix). Clopidogrel is a P2Y₁₂ inhibitor and has several clinical indications, including myocardial infarction prophylaxis, cerebrovascular accident prophylaxis, and peripheral arterial occlusive disease prophylaxis. Clopidogrel is usually considered as the first-line antiplatelet agent because of its proven efficacy and cost-effectiveness (Wiviott et al., 2007; Wallentin et al., 2009; Roe et al., 2012). Clopidogrel is a non-ester-prodrug that needs to be activated by two oxidation reactions via several CYPs (Fig. 3). CYP2C19 pharmacogenetics and its impact on clopidogrel activation have been extensively studied. The Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guidelines and the FDA both recommend intermediate and poor metabolizers of CYP2C19 to use an alternative antiplatelet agent, such as ticagrelor or prasugrel (Scott et al., 2013). Clopidogrel and its intermediate and active metabolites are all *CES1* substrates and metabolized by *CES1* to inactive hydrolytic metabolites (Fig. 3). Approximately 85% of clopidogrel is hydrolyzed by *CES1*, and only 15% clopidogrel enters the CYPs-mediated activation pathway (Zhu et al., 2013). Thus, patients with *CES1* dysfunction would have a higher concentration of clopidogrel active metabolite compared with normal *CES1* metabolizers when taking the same dose. However, the impact of *CES1* on the PK and PD of clopidogrel is less studied than the impacts of CYPs.

Two clinical trials support that *CES1* G143E carriers have significantly higher plasma concentrations of clopidogrel active metabolite compared with noncarriers. A retrospective subanalysis was performed on participants of the Pharmacogenomics of Antiplatelet Intervention (PAPI) Study ($n = 506$) and on patients who were treated with clopidogrel at Sinai Hospital ($n = 350$) to examine the effect of *CES1* G143E on clopidogrel metabolism. Study participants received a 300-mg loading dose of clopidogrel followed by a 75-mg maintenance dose for 6 days, and platelet aggregation was measured as a PD marker. A 50% higher active metabolite concentration was observed in G143E




Fig. 1. *CES1* gene structure and haplotypes. *CES1* gene consists of 14 exons located on chromosome 16q13-q22.1, and *CES1P1* is a pseudogene, lying tail-to-tail with *CES1*. *CES1*, *CES1P1*, and their variants *CES1 VAR* and *CES1P1 VAR* form four major haplotypes. Red represents where stop codon is located. Transcription efficiency of *CES1P1 VAR* is approximately 2% of *CES1*.

carriers ($n = 7$, 30.3 ng/ml) compared with noncarriers ($n = 499$, 19.0 ng/ml) ($P = 0.001$). In addition, the inhibition of adenosine diphosphate (ADP)-induced platelet aggregation was 24% higher in G143E carriers (reduced to 71% from baseline) relative to noncarriers (reduced to 57% from baseline) ($P = 0.003$) (Lewis et al., 2013; Bozzi et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2016). Another prospective, single-dose, healthy volunteer ($n = 22$) clinical study was conducted by Tarkiainen et al. (2015a) to

determine the effect of CES1 G143E on clopidogrel metabolism. The authors found that the $AUC_{0-\infty}$ ratio of clopidogrel carboxylic acid [inactive metabolite (1) in Fig. 3] to clopidogrel was 53% less in G143E carriers ($n = 10$) than noncarriers ($n = 12$) ($P = 0.009$). The G143E carriers also exhibited significantly higher plasma concentrations of the parent compound clopidogrel ($P = 0.004$) and its active metabolite ($P = 0.009$) compared with noncarriers. In agreement with the PK

TABLE 2
In vitro kinetics of wild-type CES1 in human liver S9 fractions (HLS9)

CES1 Substrates	HLS9			Reference
	Vmax (pmol/min per milligram protein)	Km (μ M)	Catalytic Efficiency (Vmax/Km, μ l/min per milligram protein)	
Clopidogrel	3558.6	62.7	56.8	Zhu et al., 2013
2-oxoclopidogrel (clopidogrel intermediate)	158.1	2.4	65.9	
Enalapril	67.5	60.1	1.1	Wang et al., 2016b
Ramipril	18,100	690.4	26.2	
Perindopril	18,100	1767	23.3	
Moexipril	4400	1457	12.7	
Fosinopril	1400	471.3	3.0	
<i>l</i> -methylphenidate	1701	775.7	2.2	Zhu et al., 2008
<i>d</i> -methylphenidate	177.2	663.5	0.3	
Oseltamivir	145,000	1380	105.1	Zhu and Markowitz, 2009
Trandolapril	103,600	639.9	161.9	Zhu et al., 2009b
Dabigatran	1174	33.5	35.0	Laizure et al., 2014




Fig. 2. *D*-methylphenidate comes as a single active ingredient (Focalin) or in combination with *l*-methylphenidate (racemic mixture) (Ritalin). *D*-methylphenidate is approximately 10 times more pharmacologically potent than *l*-methylphenidate, whereas *l*-methylphenidate is a better CES1 substrate. Ethylphenidate can be formed via transesterification with ethanol.

findings, the average inhibition of P2Y₁₂-mediated platelet aggregation in the carriers was 19% points higher than in noncarriers ($P = 0.036$) (Zhu et al., 2013; Tarkiainen et al., 2015a). The findings of the above two studies are especially important for patients on triple antithrombotic therapy with a high bleeding risk (Mehta et al., 2001; Steinhubl et al., 2002; Shmyr et al., 2017). Clopidogrel dose adjustment may be necessary to prevent potential toxicity (i.e., bleeding) in patients with CES1 dysfunction.

G143E and Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) are generally considered to be the first-line therapy for heart failure and hypertension, and approximately 150 million ACEI prescriptions are filled in the United States annually (Mahmoudpour et al., 2015). Currently, 8 out of 10 FDA-approved ACEIs are ester-containing prodrugs, and all ACEI prodrugs

need to be activated by CES1 to exert their intended therapeutic effects (Chaturvedi, 2004; Yancy et al., 2017). The activation is essential for the pharmacological effects because the active metabolites are 10–1000 times more potent than their prodrug forms (Foye et al., 2013). Therefore, patients with CES1 dysfunction would have a lower concentration of the ACEI active metabolite relative to normal CES1 metabolizers (Fig. 4).

A prospective, single-dose pharmacokinetic clinical study was conducted in healthy volunteers to examine the effect of the G143E variant on the activation of the ACEI prodrugs enalapril and quinapril. The AUC_{0–∞} of the enalapril active metabolite enalaprilat was found to be 20% lower in the G143E carriers ($n = 10$) than in noncarriers ($n = 12$) ($P = 0.049$) (Tarkiainen et al., 2015b). This finding is consistent with an in vitro study that showed that enalapril activation was impaired in liver samples carrying the G143E variant (Wang et al., 2016b). However, the AUC_{0–∞} of the quinapril and its active metabolite (quinaprilat) were not significantly different between carriers and noncarriers ($P = 0.114$). Further investigations are warranted to fully understand the effect of *CES1* variants on the PK and PD of ACEI prodrugs.

G143E and Oseltamivir (Tamiflu). Oseltamivir is an antiviral drug that has an FDA indication for influenza types A and B infections. Even though oseltamivir is rarely effective because of its specific administration requirement (i.e., this medication should be taken within 2 days of




Fig. 3. Clopidogrel metabolic pathway. Clopidogrel is a non-ester-prodrug that needs to be activated by two oxidation reactions via CYPs. Clopidogrel and its intermediate and active metabolites are all metabolized (deactivated) by CES1.




Fig. 4. ACE inhibitors (enalapril and trandolapril) metabolism. Enalapril and trandolapril are ester-prodrugs that need to be activated by CES1.

onset of symptoms to reduce flu duration by approximately 1 day), oseltamivir remains one of the most prescribed drug products because of flu epidemics (Singh et al., 2003; Dahlgren et al., 2018). As an ester-prodrug, oseltamivir needs to be activated by CES1 into its active metabolite, oseltamivir carboxylate (Shi et al., 2006). An in vitro study based on cell lines stably transfected with CES1 variants suggested the G143E SNP markedly impaired CES1 activity in oseltamivir activation (Zhu and Markowitz, 2009).

To examine the effect of G143E on oseltamivir PK and activation, a prospective, single-dose pharmacokinetic clinical study was conducted in healthy volunteers consisting of nine G143E heterozygotes, one G143E homozygote, and 12 noncarriers. The $AUC_{0-\infty}$ ratio of oseltamivir carboxylate (active metabolite) to oseltamivir (parent molecule) was 23% lower in G143E heterozygotes compared with noncarriers ($P = 0.006$). The one G143E homozygous individual had an $AUC_{0-\infty}$ of oseltamivir that was approximately 360% greater than that of the noncarriers, indicating that loss of CES1 activity could profoundly impair oseltamivir activation (Tarkiainen et al., 2012).

G143E and Dabigatran and Sacubitril. Dabigatran and sacubitril are both prodrugs that need to be activated by CES1 in the liver (Fig. 5). In vitro studies showed that the formation rates of the active metabolites of dabigatran and sacubitril were significantly lower in human livers carrying the G143E variant than in noncarrier samples (Shi et al., 2016b,c). However, it remains undetermined whether the variant can affect the activation and therapeutic response of these two drugs in patients.

Pharmacogenetics of Other CES1 Genetic Variants

In addition to G143E, many other *CES1* variants have been studied for their effects on the PK and PD of CES1 substrate drugs. However, the results were generally inconclusive, and further studies are needed to determine the clinical significance of these variants.

E220G (rs200707504). A nonsynonymous variant E220G, commonly referred to as c.662A>G, was suggested to decrease CES1 enzymatic activity in an in silico analysis (Oh et al., 2017). In agreement with that prediction, an in vitro study on transfected cell lines found E220G markedly decreased CES1 activity and the metabolisms of several CES1 substrates, including enalapril, clopidogrel, and sacubitril (Wang et al., 2017). Notably, E220G has a MAF of 0.55% in East Asians but is rare in other populations. To determine the clinical impact of E220G on the PK of a CES1 substrate, a single-dose oseltamivir (75 mg) PK study was conducted in 20 healthy Korean volunteers. In this study, the variant was observed to have a marginal effect on the PK of oseltamivir and its active metabolite (oseltamivir carboxylate); however, the differences were statistically insignificant. In the E220G carriers ($n = 8$), the $AUC_{0-48\text{ h}}$ of oseltamivir was increased by 10% ($P = 0.334$), and the $AUC_{0-48\text{ h}}$ of oseltamivir carboxylate was decreased by 5% ($P = 0.513$) relative to the noncarriers ($n = 12$) (Oh et al., 2017).

S75N (rs2307240). S75N is one of the most common CES1 nonsynonymous SNPs, with MAFs ranging from 2% to 7% in different populations. A retrospective pharmacodynamics analysis was conducted to examine the effect of *CES1* S75N on the outcome of clopidogrel therapy in patients with the coronary syndrome ($n = 851$). The result showed that CES1 S75N carriers ($n = 372$) had higher incidence of cerebrovascular events ($P < 0.001$), acute myocardial infarction ($P < 0.001$), and unstable angina ($P < 0.001$) compared with noncarriers. The study also found that the S75N polymorphism was more frequent in patients with acute coronary syndrome (MAF 22%) than in the general population (MAF 5%). The authors concluded that there was a significant association between the S75N polymorphism and the outcome of clopidogrel therapy (Xiao et al., 2017). However, this result conflicts with another study that found the S75N variant to be not associated with the outcomes of patients treated with methylphenidate (Johnson et al., 2013).




Fig. 5. Dabigatran metabolic pathway. Dabigatran is a prodrug that activated by both CES1 and CES2.

Furthermore, an in vitro study showed the S75N variant did not significantly alter the expression and activity of CES1 in transfected cells and human livers (Wang et al., 2017).

-816A>C (rs3785161). The -816A>C polymorphism is located in the promoter region of *CES1P1* VAR and has been suggested as a potential upregulator of *CES1P1* VAR expression (Yoshimura et al., 2008). A prospective clinical study was conducted to examine the impact of -816A>C on the outcome of the ACEI prodrug (imidapril) therapy in patients with hypertension ($n = 105$). The study found that after 8 weeks of imidapril therapy, -816A>C homozygotes and heterozygotes ($n = 47$) had greater systolic blood pressure reduction (24.1 mm Hg) compared with noncarriers (17.6 mm Hg) ($P = 0.0184$), indicating increased CES1 functionality in the carriers. The follow-up in vitro study claimed that the -816A>C SNP may have enhanced transcription of the *CES1P1* VAR gene (Geshi et al., 2005). The -816A>C SNP was also evaluated for its impact on the outcomes of dual antiplatelet therapy (i.e., aspirin and clopidogrel) in patients with coronary heart diseases ($n = 162$). The -816A>C carriers ($n = 75$) had decreased vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein-platelet reactivity index (VASP-PRI) ($P = 0.014$), indicating increased CES1 function in the carriers (Xie et al., 2014).

However, conflicting findings were reported by other studies. In a study involving the outcome of clopidogrel treatment in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention, -816A>C carriers showed a lower ADP-induced maximum platelet aggregation (21.5%, $n = 125$) compared with noncarriers (31.7%, $n = 124$) ($P = 0.001$), indicating decreased CES1 function (Zou et al., 2014). Zhu et al. (2014) also performed a retrospective pharmacogenetic analysis of the INternational VErapamil SR Trandolapril study ($n = 486$) and did not find an association between -816A>C and the blood pressure-lowering effect of trandolapril. The follow-up in vitro study also showed -816A>C genotype was not significantly associated with CES1 protein expression and trandolapril activation in human liver samples ($n = 100$) (Zhu et al., 2016). Other researchers also noted that the *CES1P1* VAR gene, which contains -816A>C, is considered functionally insignificant because of its low transcription efficiency (Tanimoto et al., 2007; Hosokawa et al., 2008).

-75G>T (rs3815583). The -75G>T SNP is located in the promoter region of *CES1* and was suspected to alter CES1 expression in the liver; however, the findings are conflicted. A study was performed to determine the association between the variant and appetite reduction (a side effect of methylphenidate) in children with ADHD ($n = 213$). Appetite reduction was measured by the Barkley Stimulant Side Effect Rating Scale, and methylphenidate dose was titrated up for 3 months as tolerable. The carrier group ($n = 129$) had worse appetite reduction compared with noncarriers ($n = 76$) (41% vs. 77%, $P = 0.01$), indicating that the variant was associated with decreased CES1 function (Bruxel et al., 2013). A study in patients treated with irinotecan, however, showed a contrary finding, suggesting that the -75G>T variant confers greater CES1 function (Sai et al., 2010). CES1 is involved in the conversion of the prodrug irinotecan to its active metabolite, SN-38, and then is further metabolized by UGT1As to inactive SN-38G. Following irinotecan treatment, patients who carried the T allele of this variant had higher plasma (SN-38 + SN-38G)/irinotecan AUC ratios relative to noncarriers ($P = 0.027$) following irinotecan treatment (Sai et al., 2010).

Other CES1 substrates, isoniazid, and ACEI prodrugs were also studied in the context of -75G>T; however, no significant relationships were found between the variant and the medication responses. In one such study, the variant was evaluated for its effect on the outcomes of ACEI prodrugs in patients with congestive heart failure ($n = 200$) who underwent ACEI prodrug dose titrations. The study reported -75G>T did not significantly impact plasma angiotensin (AT) II/ATI ratios, and furthermore, the -75G>T variant was not significantly associated with fatal outcomes (i.e., cardiovascular death and all-cause death) (Nelveg-Kristensen et al., 2016). The study with isoniazid had similar results showing no significant association between the variant and isoniazid-induced hepatotoxicity ($n = 170$) (Yamada et al., 2010).

1168-33C>A (rs2244613). Dabigatran (Pradaxa) is a prodrug that needs to be activated by both CES1 and CES2 to exert its anticoagulant effect (Fig. 5). Paré and associates (2013) conducted a genome-wide association study of dabigatran in participants ($n = 2944$) of the Randomized Evaluation of Long-term Anticoagulation Therapy clinical trial. The researchers concluded the CES1 intronic variant 1168-33C>A (rs2244613) is associated with lower trough concentrations of the active metabolite [15% decrease per allele; 95% confidence interval (CI) 10%–19%] and a lower risk of any bleeding (odds ratio, 0.67; 95% CI 0.55–0.82) compared with noncarriers (Paré et al., 2013). However, an in vitro study did not find the variant to be associated with CES1 protein expression and dabigatran metabolism in human livers (Shi et al., 2016b). A prospective study also examined the impact of 1168-33C>A in patients with ADHD that were treated with methylphenidate. The study found the variant to be associated with the occurrence of sadness, a side effect of short-acting methylphenidate. However, researchers

concluded this might be due to linkage disequilibrium with two SNPs of the noradrenaline transporter gene (Johnson et al., 2013).

Copy Number Variation (i.e., *CES1P1/CES1P1* VAR). Many researchers have studied the impact of copy number variations (CNVs) on CES1 functionality; however, the results are conflicted. Stage et al. (2017a) found that participants with four functional copies of CES1 ($n = 5$) had an increased AUC of *d*-methylphenidate relative to the control group with two functional copies of CES1 ($n = 17$) (61% increase, $P = 0.011$); participants with three copies of CES1 ($n = 2$) had 45% increased AUC compared with the control group ($P = 0.028$). Stage et al. (2017b) conducted a similar study with enalapril ($n = 43$); however, they could not find a statistically significant correlation between CNV and enalapril PK. When Sai et al. (2010) examined the effect of CNV on the irinotecan exposure, they found patients with multiple CES1 copies (i.e., three or four) to have 1.24-fold higher irinotecan AUC relative to patients with two copies of CES1 ($P = 0.0134$). Many researchers, however, did not find the relationship between CNVs and CES1 function. Suzaki et al. (2013b) evaluated the relationship between CNVs of *CES1* and oseltamivir PK parameters but did not find any correlation. Nelveg-Kristensen et al. (2016) studied the relationship between CNV and ACEI prodrugs, and again, no association was found. Moreover, an in vitro study showed CES1 protein expression levels to be comparable among human livers with different copy numbers of functional *CES1* gene (Wang et al., 2016b).

Other CES1 SNPs. In addition to the polymorphisms discussed above, sporadic reports have stated several CES1 SNPs to be associated with the outcomes of CES1 substrate medications. For example, the SNP 1315 + 2025A>C (rs8192950) was associated with a decreased risk of ischemic events in patients ($n = 64$) having symptomatic extracranial or intracranial stenosis and receiving dual antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel for a minimum of 5 days (Zhao et al., 2016). Another retrospective subanalysis of a capecitabine clinical study identified associations of 1168-41C>T (rs2244614), 690 + 129del (rs3217164), 95346T>C (rs7187684), -1232A>G (rs1186118) with severe early onset of capecitabine-induced toxicity (Hamzic et al., 2017). None of these findings have been validated independently.

A rare LOF variant, D260fs (c.70DeIT), was reported in a clinical study (Zhu et al., 2008). D260fs causes a deletion in exon 6, resulting in a frameshift and premature truncation. Moreover, an in vitro study with *CES1* variant-transfected cell lines examined the SNPs proximate to the CES1 active site and identified four LOF nonsynonymous SNPs: G142E, G147C, Y170D, and R171C. However, these variants appear to be clinically insignificant because of their low MAFs (<0.4%) (Wang et al., 2017).

The above-mentioned *CES1* SNPs and their impacts on the PK and PD of CES1 substrate medications are summarized in Table 3.

Nongenetic Factors Affecting CES1 Expression and Activity

Developmental Expression of CES1

The developmental expression patterns of CES1 in human and mouse livers were similar, and many in vitro studies have suggested that hepatic CES1 protein expression increases with age (Zhu et al., 2009a; Hines et al., 2016; Boberg et al., 2017). An in vitro study with human liver samples ($n = 104$) demonstrated the adult group (≥ 18 years of age) to have had higher CES1 expression than children (0 days–10 years); meanwhile, child group had higher CES1 expression than fetuses (82–224 gestation days). A follow-up study with liver microsomes showed that, in parallel with expression level, CES1 activity on hydrolyzing its substrate oseltamivir was also positively correlated with age (Yang et al., 2009). The same group did a similar in vitro human liver study with a slightly different age bracket, in which the

TABLE 3
CES1 SNPs and their impacts on the PK and PD of CES1 substrate medications

AA/Nucleotide Change (db SNP ID)	Citation	Treatment	Population	Design/Outcome	Result	Conclusion
G143E (rs71647871)	Patrick et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2008	Methylphenidate Single dose of 0.3 mg/kg	$n = 20$ (with one carrier) Healthy volunteers	Prospective study Aim: to examine the interaction between methylphenidate and alcohol	The study unexpectedly found one volunteer with elevated PK parameters of methylphenidate; C_{max} of <i>l</i> -methylphenidate was 100-fold higher (62 ng/ml) compared with the rest of participants	The later analysis found this volunteer had G143E and D260fs SNPs, which resulted in elevated plasma concentration of methylphenidate
	Nemoda et al., 2009	Methylphenidate Dose adjusted based on symptom reduction \times 1 mo	$n = 122$ (with seven carriers) Hungarian patients with ADHD	Retrospective study Outcome: methylphenidate dose reduction	G143E carriers needed lower doses of methylphenidate for symptom reduction compared with noncarriers (0.410 vs. 0.572 mg/kg, $P = 0.022$)	G143E impaired methylphenidate metabolism in vivo
	Stage et al., 2017a	Methylphenidate Single dose 10 mg	$n = 22$ (with six carriers) Healthy Danish Volunteers	Open labeled, prospective, PK study	G143E carriers showed 152.4% higher AUC ($53.3 \text{ ng} \times \text{ml}^{-1} \times \text{h}^{-1}$) compared with the noncarrier group ($21.4 \text{ ng} \times \text{ml}^{-1} \times \text{h}^{-1}$) ($P < 0.0001$)	G143E carriers had higher exposure to methylphenidate compared with noncarriers
	Lewis et al., 2013	Clopidogrel 1) Patients in PAPI received 300 mg loading dose (LD) with 75 mg maintenance dose (MD) 2) Patients from Sinai Hospital received either 300 or 600 mg LD ($n = 204$) with MD, or just received 75 mg MD	$n = 506$ (with seven carriers) $n = 350$ (with six carriers)	Retrospective subanalysis of two clinical studies: 1) PAPI Study 2) Patients who were clopidogrel-treated at Sinai Hospital Outcome: 1) PK parameter: Clopidogrel and its active metabolite concentration 2) PD parameter: ADP-stimulated platelet aggregation	1) A 50% higher active metabolite concentration was observed in G143E carriers ($n = 7$, 30.3 ng/ml) compared with noncarriers ($n = 499$, 19.0 ng/ml) ($P = 0.001$) 2) The inhibition of ADP-induced platelet aggregation effect was 24% higher in G143E carriers (reduced to 71% from baseline) compared with noncarriers (reduced to 57% from baseline) ($P = 0.003$)	G143E carriers had higher plasma concentrations of clopidogrel active metabolites and consequently had a higher antiplatelet effect
	Tarkiainen et al., 2015a	Clopidogrel Single dose 600 mg	$n = 22$ (with 10 carriers) Healthy volunteers	Prospective, PK/PD study PD outcome: inhibition of P2Y12-mediated platelet aggregation	1) AUC $_{0-\infty}$ ratios of the clopidogrel carboxylic acid to clopidogrel was 53% less in G143E carriers ($P = 0.009$) 2) Average inhibition of P2Y12-mediated platelet aggregation in the carriers was 19 percentage points higher in noncarriers ($P = 0.036$)	G143E carriers had higher exposure to clopidogrel active metabolite, and consequently had a higher antiplatelet aggregation effect

(continued)

TABLE 3—Continued

AA/Nucleotide Change (db SNP ID)	Citation	Treatment	Population	Design/Outcome	Result	Conclusion
	Tarkiainen et al., 2015b	Enalapril, Quinapril	$n = 22$ (with 10 carriers)	Prospective PK study	1) $AUC_{0-\infty}$ of the enalapril active metabolite enalaprilat was 20% lower in the G143E carriers ($n = 10$) compared with noncarriers ($n = 12$) ($P = 0.049$) 2) $AUC_{0-\infty}$ of the quinapril and its active metabolite (i.e., quinaprilat) were not significantly different between the noncarriers and carriers ($P = 0.114$)	G143E carriers had a lower enalaprilat exposure compared with noncarriers
		Single dose 10 mg Enalapril or Quinapril	Healthy volunteers			
	Tarkiainen et al., 2012	Oseltamivir	$n = 22$ (with nine G143E heterozygotes, 1 G143E homozygote)	Prospective PK Study	1) The $AUC_{0-\infty}$ ratio of oseltamivir carboxylate (active metabolite) to oseltamivir (parent molecule) was 23% lower in G143E heterozygotes compared with noncarriers ($P = 0.006$) 2) The one G143E homozygous individual had an $AUC_{0-\infty}$ of oseltamivir that was approximately 360% greater than the noncarriers	G143E carriers had less exposure to oseltamivir active metabolite compared with noncarriers
		Single dose 75 mg	Healthy volunteers			
	Shi et al., 2016c	Dabigatran	$n = 102$ human liver samples	In vitro study with human liver samples	The activation rates of DABE, M1, and M2 in G143E carriers were 53% ($P = 0.018$), 43% ($P = 0.004$), and 37% ($P = 0.001$) of normal carriers (after normalized by CES1 expression)	G143E carriers had a lower dabigatran activation rate, potentially resulting in a lower dabigatran active metabolite concentration in the carriers
	Shi et al., 2016b	Sacubitril	$n = 53$ (with five carrier) human liver samples	In vitro study with human liver samples	The activation rates of sacubitril were lower in the carriers compared with the noncarriers (4.2 vs. 7.2 nmol/mg protein/min, $P = 0.025$)	G143E carriers had a lower sacubitril activation rate, potentially resulting in a lower sacubitril active metabolite plasma concentration in the carriers
E220G (rs200707504)	Oh et al., 2017	Oseltamivir 75 mg single dose	$n = 20$ (with eight carriers)	Prospective, PK study	$AUC_{0-48\text{ h}}$ of oseltamivir was increased by 10% ($P = 0.334$) and $AUC_{0-48\text{ h}}$ of oseltamivir carboxylate was decreased by 5% ($P = 0.513$) in carriers	E220G appears to have no significant impact on oseltamivir activation in humans
S75N (rs2307240)	Xiao et al., 2017	Clopidogrel 75 mg \times 1 y	$n = 851$ (with 372 carriers)	Retrospective PD analysis Outcome: cerebrovascular events, acute myocardial infarction, and unstable angina	CES1 S75N carriers ($n = 372$) had more cerebrovascular events ($P < 0.001$), acute myocardial infarction ($P < 0.001$), and unstable angina ($P < 0.001$) compared with noncarriers	S75N appears to increase the function of CES1, resulting in the decreased efficacy of clopidogrel

(continued)

TABLE 3—Continued

AA/Nucleotide Change (db SNP ID)	Citation	Treatment	Population	Design/Outcome	Result	Conclusion
	Johnson et al., 2013	Methylphenidate Weight based dosing \times 6 wk	$n = 44$ (with 2 carriers) Children with ADHD	Naturalistic, prospective study Outcome: side effect reported via behavioral questionnaires	No significant differences in methylphenidate side effect were found between carriers and noncarriers ($P = 1$)	S75N does not appear to affect the function of CES1
	Wang et al., 2017	Enalapril	$n = 36$ (with three carriers)	In vitro study with human liver samples	No statistical difference in enalapril activation rate or CES1 protein expression level between carriers and noncarriers	S75N does not appear to affect the function of CES1
–816A>C (rs3785161)	Geshi et al., 2005	Imidapril 5–10 mg \times 8 wk	$n = 105$ (with 47 carriers) Patients with hypertension	Prospective clinical study	Greater systolic blood pressure reduction (24.1 mm Hg) was observed compared with noncarriers (17.6 mm Hg) after 8 wk of imidapril therapy ($P = 0.0184$)	–816A>C appears to up-regulate the CES1P1 VAR expression
	Xie et al., 2014	Clopidogrel 300 or 600 mg (LD) or 75 mg (MD) for minimum 5 days	$n = 162$ (with 75 carriers) Patient on dual antiplatelet therapy (i.e., aspirin and clopidogrel) with coronary heart diseases $n = 249$ (with 108 heterozygous carrier, 17 homozygous carrier) Patient going through PCI	Retrospective PD analysis Outcome: VASP-PRI to measure platelet reactivity	The carriers had decreased VASP-PRI (45.93 vs. 53.18%) ($P = 0.014$)	–816A>C appears to up-regulate the CES1P1 VAR expression
	Zou et al., 2014	Clopidogrel 300 mg LD + 75 mg MD \times 3 day	$n = 249$ (with 108 heterozygous carrier, 17 homozygous carrier) Patient going through PCI	Retrospective PD analysis Outcome: maximum platelet aggregation (MPA)	A lower ADP-induced maximum platelet aggregation (21.5%, $n = 125$) was observed compared with noncarriers (31.7%, $n = 124$) ($P = 0.0001$)	–816A>C appears to down-regulate the CES1P1 VAR expression
	Zhu et al., 2016	Trandolapril 2–4 mg \times 104 wk	1) $n = 486$ (with 109 heterozygous carriers, 10 homozygous carriers) 2) $n = 100$ (in vitro study) (26 heterozygous carriers, three homozygous carriers)	1) Retrospective analysis of the International Verapamil SR Trandolapril Study 2) In vitro study with human liver samples Outcome: blood pressure	1) No association between the –816A>C and the blood pressure—lowering effect of trandolapril 2) Not associated with CES1 protein expression and trandolapril activation in human liver samples	–816A>C does not appear to be associated with overall CES1 function
–75G>T (rs3815583)	Bruxel et al., 2013	Methylphenidate Dose titrated up \times 3 mo as tolerable	$n = 205$ (with 129 carriers)	Retrospective PD analysis Outcome: appetite reduction - the side effect of methylphenidate	The carriers had worse appetite reduction compared with noncarriers (41% vs. 77%, $P = 0.01$)	–75G>T appears to be associated with decreased CES1 function
	Sai et al., 2010	Irinotecan 100 mg m ⁻² weekly or 150 mg m ⁻² biweekly	$n = 177$ 177 Patients who were Japanese with cancer	Retrospective PK analysis	The carriers had higher plasma (SN-38 + SN-38G)/irinotecan AUC ratios relative to noncarriers ($P = 0.027$)	–75G>T appears to be associated with higher CES1 function
	Nelveg-Kristensen et al., 2016	ACEI	$n = 200$ Patients with congestive heart failure	Retrospective PD analysis	The –75G>T genotypes did not significantly impact the	–75G>T was not associated with CES1 function

(continued)

TABLE 3—Continued

AA/Nucleotide Change (db SNP ID)	Citation	Treatment	Population	Design/Outcome	Result	Conclusion
1168-33C>A (rs2244613)	Paré et al., 2013	Dabigatran	$n = 2944$ (with 587 carriers)	Retrospective Genome-Wide Association Study (GWAS) of Randomized Evaluation of Long-term Anticoagulation Therapy clinical trial	plasma ATII/ATI ratios in the study subjects or fatal outcomes (i.e., cardiovascular death and all-cause death)	1168-33C>A appears to be associated with lower CES1 function
		110 or 150 mg twice daily	Patients with atrial fibrillation (within 6 mo) and additional risk factors for stroke	Outcome: trough concentrations of dabigatran, bleeding risk	The carriers had lower trough concentrations of the active metabolite (15% decrease per allele; $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-8}$) and a lower risk of any bleeding (odds ratio, 0.67; $P = 7 \times 10^{-5}$) compared with noncarriers	
	Shi et al., 2016b	Dabigatran	$n = 102$ (with 29 heterozygous carriers and five homozygous carriers)	In vitro study with human liver samples	No association between 1168-33C>A and dabigatran activation	1168-33C>A appears to be not associated with CES1 function

liver samples were divided into five age groups: 1–31 days old (group 1), 35–70 days old (group 2), 89–119 days old (group 3), 123–198 days old (group 4), and over 18 years old (group 5). Neonates (group 1) had 10% of the CES1 expression and hydrolysis levels compared with the adult group (group 5); pediatric groups (Group 2–4) had approximately 50% of the CES1 expression and hydrolysis levels compared with an adult (Shi et al., 2011). Lastly, a similar in vitro study quantified CES1 protein levels in human liver samples of various ages ($n = 165$). CES1 expression levels were 4.76 pmol/mg from birth to 3 weeks ($n = 36$); 15.8 pmol/mg for those aged 3 weeks to 6 years ($n = 90$); and 16.6 pmol/mg for ages 6–18 years ($n = 36$). The study team concluded that the median CES1 expression level is directly correlated with age ($P < 0.001$) (Hines et al., 2016). Overall, CES1 expression and activity levels are lower in neonates and pediatric cohorts; further studies are warranted to investigate the potential effect of CES1 maturation on the treatment outcome of CES1 substrate medications in patients in the early stages of development.

Sex Difference of CES1 Expression

Both in vitro and clinical studies have suggested that CES1 expression is higher in females than in males (Patrick et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2009a; Shi et al., 2016b). A PK study on healthy volunteers revealed that males had significantly higher exposure to d-methylphenidate than females (Patrick et al., 2007). Nonetheless, females experienced a more pronounced stimulant effect despite their lower exposure. Shi et al. (2016b) observed significantly higher CES1 activity in female human liver samples ($n = 56$) compared with male samples ($n = 46$). A follow-up in vitro study with dabigatran suggested CES1 activity was higher in females than males (Shi et al., 2016b). However, such difference was not observed in another in vitro study using human liver samples ($n = 32$) and mouse liver samples ($n = 9$) (Zhu et al., 2009a). Further study is needed to examine the impact of sex on the CES1 expression level and the PK and PD of CES1 substrates.

Drug-Drug Interactions

CES1 Inhibitor—Alcohol. To date, ethanol is the only known CES1 inhibitor that has been confirmed in multiple in vivo and in vitro studies. The impact of ethanol on the metabolism of the CES1 substrate, methylphenidate, was tested in healthy volunteers ($n = 14$) (Zhu et al., 2017). *D*-methylphenidate comes as a single active ingredient (Focalin) or in combination with *l*-methylphenidate (racemic mixture, Ritalin). *D*-methylphenidate is approximately 10 times more pharmacologically potent than *l*-methylphenidate, whereas *l*-methylphenidate is a more efficient CES1 substrate (Fig. 2). This clinical study used a pulsatile dosing regimen with methylphenidate (*dl*-methylphenidate 40 mg or *d*-methylphenidate 20 mg) and ethanol (0.6 g/kg, 4 hours after methylphenidate dose) to eliminate any potential confounding effect of ethanol on methylphenidate absorption because the methylphenidate drug products (i.e., Ritalin and Focalin) might undergo faster gastric dissolution in the stomach if administered with alcohol. When alcohol and d-methylphenidate (Focalin) were coadministered, the C_{max} of *d*-methylphenidate was elevated by 27% ($P = 0.001$), and the $AUC_{4-8\text{ h}}$ was elevated by 20% ($P < 0.01$); when alcohol and *dl*-methylphenidate (Ritalin) were coadministered, the C_{max} of *d*-methylphenidate was elevated by 35% ($P < 0.01$), and the $AUC_{4-8\text{ h}}$ was elevated by 25% ($P < 0.05$) (Zhu et al., 2017). These results are consistent with the previous clinical trial by Patrick et al. (2013). In that study, when alcohol and *d*-methylphenidate (Focalin) were coadministered, the *d*-methylphenidate AUC was increased by 14%; when alcohol and *dl*-methylphenidate (Ritalin) were coadministered, the *d*-methylphenidate AUC was increased by 21% (Patrick et al., 2013). Patrick and colleagues (2007) also showed

TABLE 4
Drug-drug interaction summary

CES1 Inhibitors	CES1 Substrates	Interaction Summary
Alcohol	Methylphenidate	Many in vitro and in vivo studies confirmed alcohol inhibits CES1 and mediates biotransformation of methylphenidate to ethylphenidate; methylphenidate plasma concentrations were increased when patients took methylphenidate with alcohol (Griffin et al., 2010, 2013; Bell et al., 2011a,b; Zhu et al., 2011, 2017; Patrick et al., 2013; Parker et al., 2015).
Alcohol	Oseltamivir	When alcohol was administered with oseltamivir in humans, the AUC of oseltamivir increased by 37% (Hu et al., 2014).
Cannabis	Oseltamivir	In vitro study with CES1-transfected cells suggested THC, CBD, and CBN to be the potent CES1 inhibitors. The inhibition constant (K_i) values for THC, CBD, and CBN were 0.541, 0.974, and 0.263 μ M (0.170, 0.306, and 0.0817 μ g/ml) (Qian et al., 2019).
Protease Inhibitors	Methylphenidate, PNPA and <i>p</i> -nitrophenol (PNP)	In vitro study showed that protease inhibitors (i.e., nelfinavir, amprenavir, atazanavir, ritonavir, and saquinavir) inhibited the catalytic activity of CES1 ($P < 0.01$). Among protease inhibitors, nelfinavir had a significantly higher inhibitory effect compared with other agents (Rhoades et al., 2012).
Aripiprazole	Methylphenidate, PNPA	In vitro study suggested aripiprazole, perphenazine, thioridazine, and fluoxetine to be potent inhibitors of CES1. Among the medications tested, aripiprazole was the most potent inhibitor of CES1, and an in vivo study with FVB mouse confirmed this result (Zhu et al., 2010).
Isradipine/ Tacrolimus	PNPA, trandolapril	In vitro study with human liver microsomes suggested isradipine [dihydropyridine calcium antagonist (DHP)] and tacrolimus (immunosuppressive agent) to be potent CES1 inhibitors (Thomsen et al., 2014).
Valproate	Rufinamide	In vitro study suggested valproate could inhibit CES1 function and affect rufinamide metabolism (Williams et al., 2011).
ACEI	Clopidogrel	ACEIs and clopidogrel are often administered together as both of them are cardiovascular medications; both ACEIs and clopidogrel are suggested to be inhibitors of CES1. A clinical study with patients with myocardial infarction ($n = 70,934$) demonstrated concomitant use of ACEIs increased the rate of clinically significant bleeding compared with the clopidogrel monotherapy ($P = 0.002$) (Kristensen et al., 2014). Another clinical study with patients with myocardial infarction ($n = 45,918$) with clopidogrel showed that concomitant use of clopidogrel and ACEI (perindopril and ramipril) was not associated with the re-infarction, heart failure or death (Cressman et al., 2015).

that the coadministration of alcohol 30 minutes before or 30 minutes after methylphenidate had a similar impact on methylphenidate exposure. Both authors concluded that alcohol is a strong inhibitor of CES1, and the impact of CES1 inhibition is greater for *dl*-methylphenidate (Ritalin) than for *d*-methylphenidate (Focalin). Additionally, the DDI between methylphenidate and ethanol produced the transesterification metabolites *d*-ethylphenidate and *l*-ethylphenidate, and the plasma concentrations of *l*-ethylphenidate were much higher than *d*-ethylphenidate because of *l*-ethylphenidate being a more efficient CES1 substrate (Zhu et al., 2011, 2017). Other in vivo studies with mice demonstrated similar results (Griffin et al., 2010, 2013; Bell et al., 2011b).

The impact of alcohol on the CES1 function was also examined in the context of a different CES1 substrate, oseltamivir. A prospective health volunteer PK study ($n = 18$) examined the interaction between oseltamivir 150 mg (a recommended daily dose for the treatment of influenza) and alcohol. Alcohol increased the oseltamivir $AUC_{0-6\text{ h}}$ by 27% ($P = 0.011$) and decreased the $AUC_{0-6\text{ h}}$ ratio of the active metabolite oseltamivir carboxylate to the parent compound oseltamivir by 34% ($P < 0.001$) (Parker et al., 2015). However, coadministration of alcohol did not significantly affect the $AUC_{0-24\text{ h}}$ of oseltamivir carboxylate. These results are consistent with in silico analysis of the DDI between alcohol and oseltamivir (Hu et al., 2014).

Other CES1 Inhibitors: Cannabis, Protease Inhibitors, Aripiprazole, Isradipine, Tacrolimus, Valproate. Besides alcohol, many drug products on the market have been suggested to be potent inhibitors of CES1 mainly by in vitro investigations (Table 4). A further clinical study with a validated CES1 substrate is needed to determine the clinical significance of these CES1 inhibitors.

An in vitro study with CES1-transfected cells suggested that cannabis [i.e., tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), cannabidiol (CBD), and cannabinol (CBN)] can act as a potential CES1 inhibitor. The inhibition constant (K_i) values for THC, CBD, and CBN were 0.541, 0.974, and 0.263 μ M (0.170, 0.306, and 0.0817 μ g/ml), respectively (Qian et al., 2019). This result could be clinically impactful because the use of cannabis is expected to increase in the next few years (Hasin, 2018).

Several protease inhibitors (i.e., nelfinavir, amprenavir, atazanavir, ritonavir, and saquinavir) were identified as CES1 inhibitors by an in silico analysis and later confirmed by an in vitro incubation study. Among those, nelfinavir had a significantly higher inhibitory effect than the other agents. The relative CES1 activity toward *p*-nitrophenyl acetate (PNPA) (a CES1 substrate) was 5.2%, 74.2%, 51.7%, 76.9%, and 67.8% of the control after incubation with nelfinavir, ritonavir, amprenavir, saquinavir, and atazanavir, respectively (Rhoades et al., 2012).

An in vitro study suggested aripiprazole, perphenazine, thioridazine, and fluoxetine to be potent inhibitors of CES1, and a complementary animal study ($n = 10$) with FVB mice demonstrated that coadministration of aripiprazole and methylphenidate (CES1 substrate) significantly increased the plasma concentrations of *dl*-methylphenidate ($P < 0.01$) (Zhu et al., 2010).

Moreover, a total of 27 cardiovascular, antiplatelet, anticoagulant, and immunosuppressant drugs have been tested for CES1 inhibition using human liver microsomes and recombinant CES1. The results suggested isradipine (a dihydropyridine calcium antagonist) and tacrolimus (an immunosuppressive agent) to be potent CES1 inhibitors. CES1 activity toward PNPA was decreased to 17.6% with isradipine and 28.4% with tacrolimus (Thomsen et al., 2014).

An in vitro study suggested valproate could inhibit CES1 function and affect rufinamide metabolism in both microsomes and cytosol. This result could be clinically significant because the two antiepileptic medications are often prescribed together when monotherapy is ineffective (Williams et al., 2011).

A combined ensemble docking and machine learning approach was used to identify potential CES1 inhibitors from 1114 FDA-approved drugs. Among the identified inhibitor candidates, four drugs including diltiazem, benztropine, iloprost, and treprostinil were found to inhibit CES1 activity in vitro with IC_{50} values ranging from 13.9 to 391.6 μ M (Briand et al., 2019).

Lastly, an in vitro study suggested that some naturally occurring oxysterols and fatty acids might significantly inhibit CES1 activity with IC_{50} values within the micromolar range (Crow et al., 2010). These compounds

could potentially affect CES1-mediated detoxification and drug metabolism in vivo.

CES1 Inducers. Overall, CES1 inducers are understudied relative to its inhibitors. Evidence suggests that various nuclear receptors might be involved in the regulation of CES1 expression (Staudinger et al., 2010). For example, several agonists of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors induced the mRNA expressions of several *CES1* isoforms in mouse livers (Jones et al., 2013). A moderate increase of *CES1* expression was observed in human hepatocytes treated with rifampicin, a prototypical human pregnane X receptor-activating agent (Shi et al., 2008). An in vivo study with mice suggested that glucose could induce hepatic CES1 expression by stimulating *CES1* promoter activity and increasing acetylation of histone 3 and histone 4 in the CES1 chromatin, indicating a potential role of CES1 in glucose homeostasis (Xu et al., 2014). Moreover, phenobarbital induced CES1 expression in mouse livers, and the inducibility was more prominent in neonatal mice relative to adult mice (Xiao et al., 2012). Again, a further clinical investigation is needed to determine the impacts of *CES1* inducers on the PK and PD of CES1 substrate medications.

Drug-Drug Interactions between CES1 Substrates. In addition to CES1 inhibitors and inducers, concomitant use of multiple CES1 substrate drugs can theoretically impact the substrate metabolism by competitively inhibiting the CES1. This hypothesis has been tested in several studies. An in vitro study suggestedtrandolapril and enalapril might increase clopidogrel activation (Kristensen et al., 2014). Consistent with the in vitro study, a follow-up retrospective clinical study reported the concomitant use of ACEI prodrugs and clopidogrel increases the risk of clinically important bleeding in patients with myocardial infarction ($n = 70,934$) ($P = 0.002$). The clinical significance of this finding is, however, debatable because the hazard ratio of clinically significant bleeding for patients on concomitant therapy was 1.10 (95% CI 0.97–1.25) (Kristensen et al., 2014). Another clinical study with the similar design did not report a significant association between the composite cardiovascular outcome and the concomitant use of ACEI prodrugs and clopidogrel in patients with myocardial infarction ($n = 45,918$). The adjusted odds ratios were 0.94 (95% CI 0.76–1.16) for the perindopril and 0.97 (95% CI 0.80–1.18) for ramipril, relative to lisinopril, an ACEI not metabolized by CES1 (Cressman et al., 2015).

Disease States Related to CES1

A prospective clinical study was conducted in monozygotic and dizygotic twin subjects (62–83 years) with ($n = 48$) or without ($n = 247$) type 2 diabetes mellitus to examine the association of CES1 with adiposity and metabolic function. CES1 mRNA expression level in adipose tissue was positively associated with body mass index ($P < 0.001$), fasting glucose level ($P = 0.002$), insulin ($P = 0.006$), and triglycerides ($P = 0.003$) (Friedrichsen et al., 2013). Recent studies have also found that CES1 function was positively correlated with increased liver lipid storage and plasma lipid concentrations, indicating that CES1 might be heavily involved in lipid metabolism and is a potential drug target for the treatment of human metabolic disorders (Kaddurah-Daouk et al., 2018; Lian et al., 2018a,b).

Conclusion and Future Directions

In sum, G143E (rs71647871) is the only clinically significant LOF *CES1* variant identified to date, and alcohol is the only potent CES1 inhibitor that significantly affect CES1-mediated drug metabolism both in vivo and in vitro. However, G143E (MAF 2%–4%, carrier frequency 4%–8%) and alcohol-induced DDI are only able to explain a small

portion of the interindividual variability in the CES1 function. Previous in vitro studies have demonstrated marked variability of CES1 activity and expression in human liver samples not carrying G143E (Shi et al., 2016a; Wang et al., 2016b). In fact, analysis of the correlation between CES1 expression and activity revealed that the majority of interindividual variability in the CES1 function is due to variation in CES1 protein expression (Wang et al., 2016b).

Unfortunately, the mechanism by which CES1 protein expression is regulated remains largely unexplored. Notably, most of the existing gene expression regulation studies were based upon the measurement of mRNA expression levels. However, increasing evidence suggests that mRNA expression correlates poorly with protein expression for many genes, including CES1 and most DMEs, which could result in false identification of gene expression regulators (Ohtsuki et al., 2012). Recent advances in liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry-based proteomics have allowed for accurate CES1 protein quantification. The application of CES1 proteomics in a large set of clinical samples (e.g., human livers) is expected to uncover important factors influencing CES1 expression, such as genetic polymorphisms, disease conditions, inducers, and post-transcriptional modification (Wang et al., 2016a; He et al., 2019); the findings from such research will lead to the development of an individualized pharmacotherapy approach for improving the efficacy and safety of many medications metabolized by CES1.

Authorship Contributions

Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Her, Zhu.

References

- Ando Y, Saka H, Ando M, Sawa T, Muro K, Ueoka H, Yokoyama A, Saitoh S, Shimokata K, and Hasegawa Y (2000) Polymorphisms of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase gene and irinotecan toxicity: a pharmacogenetic analysis. *Cancer Res* 60:6921–6926.
- Arena de Souza V, Scott DJ, Nettleship JE, Rahman N, Charlton MH, Walsh MA, and Owens RJ (2015) Comparison of the structure and activity of glycosylated and aglycosylated human carboxylesterase 1. *PLoS One* 10:e0143919.
- Bell GH, Griffin WC III, and Patrick KS (2011a) Oral and transdermal DL-methylphenidate-ethanol interactions in C57BL/6J mice: potentiation of locomotor activity with oral delivery. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 100:264–270.
- Bell GH, Novak AJ, Griffin WC III, and Patrick KS (2011b) Transdermal and oral dl-methylphenidate-ethanol interactions in C57BL/6J mice: transesterification to ethylphenidate and elevation of d-methylphenidate concentrations. *J Pharm Sci* 100:2966–2978.
- Boberg M, Vrana M, Mehrotra A, Pearce RE, Gaedigk A, Bhatt DK, Leeder JS, and Prasad B (2017) Age-dependent absolute abundance of hepatic carboxylesterases (CES1 and CES2) by LC-MS/MS proteomics: application to PBPK modeling of oseltamivir in vivo pharmacokinetics in infants. *Drug Metab Dispos* 45:216–223.
- Bozzi LM, Mitchell BD, Lewis JP, Ryan KA, Herzog WR, O'Connell JR, Horenstein RB, Shuldiner AR, and Yerges-Armstrong LM (2016) The pharmacogenomics of anti-platelet intervention (PAPI) study: variation in platelet response to clopidogrel and aspirin. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 14:116–124.
- Briand E, Thomsen R, Linnet K, Rasmussen HB, Brunak S, and Taboureaux O (2019) Combined ensemble docking and machine learning in identification of therapeutic agents with potential inhibitory effect on human CES1. *Molecules* 24:2747.
- Bruxel EM, Salatino-Oliveira A, Genro JP, Zeni CP, Polanczyk GV, Chazan R, Rohde LA, and Hutz MH (2013) Association of a carboxylesterase 1 polymorphism with appetite reduction in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder treated with methylphenidate. *Pharmacogenomics J* 13:476–480.
- Chaturvedi S (2004) The seventh report of the joint national committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure (JNC 7): is it really practical? *Natl Med J India* 17:227.
- COTEMPLA XR-ODT(TM) (2017) Product Information: COTEMPLA XR-ODT(TM) oral extended-release disintegrating tablets, methylphenidate oral extended-release disintegrating tablets. Neos Therapeutics IGP, Grand Prairie, TX.
- Cressman AM, Macdonald EM, Fernandes KA, Gomes T, Paterson JM, Mamdani MM, and Juurlink DN; Canadian Drug Safety Effectiveness Research Network (CDSErn) (2015) A population-based study of the drug interaction between clopidogrel and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 80:662–669.
- Crow JA, Herring KL, Xie S, Borazjani A, Potter PM, and Ross MK (2010) Inhibition of carboxylesterase activity of THP1 monocytes/macrophages and recombinant human carboxylesterase 1 by oxysterols and fatty acids. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1801:31–41.
- Dahlgren FS, Shay DK, Izurieta HS, Forshee RA, Wernecke M, Chillarige Y, Lu Y, Kelman JA, and Reed C (2018) Evaluating oseltamivir prescriptions in Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services medical claims records as an indicator of seasonal influenza in the United States. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* 12:465–474.
- DiPiro JT (2017) *Pharmacotherapy: A Pathophysiologic Approach*, McGraw-Hill Education, New York.
- Dolinsky VW, Gilham D, Alam M, Vance DE, and Lehner R (2004) Triacylglycerol hydrolase: role in intracellular lipid metabolism. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 61:1633–1651.

- Foti RS and Dalvie DK (2016) Cytochrome P450 and non-cytochrome P450 oxidative metabolism: contributions to the pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy of xenobiotics. *Drug Metab Dispos* **44**:1229–1245.
- Foye WO, Lemke TL, and Williams DA (2013) *Foye's Principles of Medicinal Chemistry*. Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia.
- Friedrichsen M, Poulsen P, Wojtaszewski J, Hansen PR, Vaag A, and Rasmussen HB (2013) Carboxylesterase 1 gene duplication and mRNA expression in adipose tissue are linked to obesity and metabolic function. *PLoS One* **8**:e56861.
- Fukami T, Nakajima M, Maruichi T, Takahashi S, Takamiya M, Aoki Y, McLeod HL, and Yokoi T (2008) Structure and characterization of human carboxylesterase 1A1, 1A2, and 1A3 genes. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* **18**:911–920.
- Fukami T and Yokoi T (2012) The emerging role of human esterases. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet* **27**:466–477.
- Geshi E, Kimura T, Yoshimura M, Suzuki H, Koba S, Sakai T, Saito T, Koga A, Muramatsu M, and Katagiri T (2005) A single nucleotide polymorphism in the carboxylesterase gene is associated with the responsiveness to imidapril medication and the promoter activity. *Hypertens Res* **28**:719–725.
- Ghosh S, Zhao B, Bie J, and Song J (2010) Macrophage cholesteryl ester mobilization and atherosclerosis. *Vascu Pharmacol* **52**:1–10.
- Griffin WC III, McGovern RW, Bell GH, Randall PK, Middaugh LD, and Patrick KS (2013) Interactive effects of methylphenidate and alcohol on discrimination, conditioned place preference and motor coordination in C57BL/6J mice. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **225**:613–625.
- Griffin WC III, Novak AJ, Middaugh LD, and Patrick KS (2010) The interactive effects of methylphenidate and ethanol on ethanol consumption and locomotor activity in mice. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **95**:267–272.
- Hamzic S, Kummer D, Milesi S, Mueller D, Joergler M, Aebi S, Amstutz U, and Largiadier CR (2017) Novel genetic variants in carboxylesterase 1 predict severe early-onset capecitabine-related toxicity. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **102**:796–804.
- Hasin DS (2018) US epidemiology of cannabis use and associated problems. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **43**:195–212.
- He B, Shi J, Wang X, Jiang H, and Zhu HJ (2019) Label-free absolute protein quantification with data-independent acquisition. *J Proteomics* **200**:51–59.
- Heal DJ and Pierce DM (2006) Methylphenidate and its isomers: their role in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder using a transdermal delivery system. *CNS Drugs* **20**:713–738.
- Hines RN, Simpson PM, and McCarver DG (2016) Age-dependent human hepatic carboxylesterase 1 (CES1) and carboxylesterase 2 (CES2) postnatal ontogeny. *Drug Metab Dispos* **44**:959–966.
- Hosokawa M, Furihata T, Yaginuma Y, Yamamoto N, Watanabe N, Tsukada E, Ohhata Y, Kobayashi K, Satoh T, and Chiba K (2008) Structural organization and characterization of the regulatory element of the human carboxylesterase (CES1A1 and CES1A2) genes. *Drug Metab Pharmacokinet* **23**:73–84.
- Hu ZY, Edginton AN, Laizure SC, and Parker RB (2014) Physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling of impaired carboxylesterase-1 activity: effects on oseltamivir disposition [published correction appears in *Clin Pharmacokinet* (2014) 53:959]. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **53**:825–836.
- Imai T, Taketani M, Shii M, Hosokawa M, and Chiba K (2006) Substrate specificity of carboxylesterase isozymes and their contribution to hydrolase activity in human liver and small intestine. *Drug Metab Dispos* **34**:1734–1741.
- Innocenti F, Undevia SD, Iyer L, Chen PX, Das S, Kocherginsky M, Karrison T, Janisch L, Ramirez J, Rudin CM, et al. (2004) Genetic variants in the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A1 gene predict the risk of severe neutropenia of irinotecan. *J Clin Oncol* **22**:1382–1388.
- Jewell C, Bennett P, Mutch E, Ackermann C, and Williams FM (2007) Inter-individual variability in esterases in human liver. *Biochem Pharmacol* **74**:932–939.
- Jiang XL, Samant S, Lewis JP, Horenstein RB, Shuldiner AR, Yerges-Armstrong LM, Peletier LA, Lesko LJ, and Schmidt S (2016) Development of a physiology-directed population pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic model for characterizing the impact of genetic and demographic factors on clopidogrel response in healthy adults. *Eur J Pharm Sci* **82**:64–78.
- Johnson KA, Barry E, Lambert D, Fitzgerald M, McNicholas F, Kirley A, Gill M, Bellgrove MA, and Hawi Z (2013) Methylphenidate side effect profile is influenced by genetic variation in the attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder-associated CES1 gene. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol* **23**:655–664.
- Jones RD, Taylor AM, Tong EY, and Repa JJ (2013) Carboxylesterases are uniquely expressed among tissues and regulated by nuclear hormone receptors in the mouse. *Drug Metab Dispos* **41**:40–49.
- Kaddurah-Daouk R, Hankemeier T, Scholl EH, Baillie R, Harms A, Stage C, Dalhoff KP, Jürgens G, Taboureau O, Nzabonimpa GS, et al.; INDICES Consortium; ; Pharmacometabolomics Research Network (2018) Pharmacometabolomics informs about pharmacokinetic profile of methylphenidate. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol* **7**:525–533.
- Kristensen KE, Zhu HJ, Wang X, Gislason GH, Torp-Pedersen C, Rasmussen HB, Markowitz JS, and Hansen PR (2014) Clopidogrel bioactivation and risk of bleeding in patients cotreated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors after myocardial infarction: a proof-of-concept study. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **96**:713–722.
- Laizure SC, Herring V, Hu Z, Witbrodt K, and Parker RB (2013) The role of human carboxylesterases in drug metabolism: have we overlooked their importance? *Pharmacotherapy* **33**:210–222.
- Laizure SC, Parker RB, Herring VL, and Hu ZY (2014) Identification of carboxylesterase-dependent dabigatran etexilate hydrolysis. *Drug Metab Dispos* **42**:201–206.
- Lewis JP, Horenstein RB, Ryan K, O'Connell JR, Gibson G, Mitchell BD, Tanner K, Chai S, Bliden KP, Tantry US, et al. (2013) The functional G143E variant of carboxylesterase 1 is associated with increased clopidogrel active metabolite levels and greater clopidogrel response. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* **23**:1–8.
- Lian J, Bahitham W, Panigrahi R, Nelson R, Li L, Watts R, Thiesen A, Lemieux MJ, and Lehner R (2018a) Genetic variation in human carboxylesterase CES1 confers resistance to hepatic steatosis. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids* **1863**:688–699.
- Lian J, Nelson R, and Lehner R (2018b) Carboxylesterases in lipid metabolism: from mouse to human. *Protein Cell* **9**:178–195.
- Mahmoudpour SH, Asselbergs FW, de Keyser CE, Souverein PC, Hofman A, Stricker BH, de Boer A, and Maitland-van der Zee AH (2015) Change in prescription pattern as a potential marker for adverse drug reactions of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors. *Int J Clin Pharm* **37**:1095–1103.
- Mehta SR, Yusuf S, Peters RJ, Bertrand ME, Lewis BS, Natarajan MK, Malmberg K, Rupprecht H, Zhao F, Chrolavicius S, et al.; Clopidogrel in Unstable angina to prevent Recurrent Events trial (CURE) Investigators (2001) Effects of pretreatment with clopidogrel and aspirin followed by long-term therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the PCI-CURE study. *Lancet* **358**:527–533.
- Nelvig-Kristensen KE, Madsen MB, Torp-Pedersen C, Køber L, Egfrjord M, Hansen T, Pedersen O, Rasmussen HB, and Hansen PR (2016) Prognostic impact of carboxylesterase 1 gene variants in patients with congestive heart failure treated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* **26**:169–177.
- Nemoda Z, Angyal N, Tarnok Z, Gadoros J, and Sasvari-Szekely M (2009) Carboxylesterase 1 gene polymorphism and methylphenidate response in ADHD. *Neuropharmacology* **57**:731–733.
- Oh J, Lee S, Lee H, Cho JY, Yoon SH, Jang JJ, Yu KS, and Lim KS (2017) The novel carboxylesterase 1 variant c.662A>G may decrease the bioactivation of oseltamivir in humans. *PLoS One* **12**:e0176320.
- Ohtsuki S, Schaefer O, Kawakami H, Inoue T, Liehner S, Saito A, Ishiguro N, Kishimoto W, Ludwig-Schwellinger E, Ebner T, et al. (2012) Simultaneous absolute protein quantification of transporters, cytochromes P450, and UDP-glucuronosyltransferases as a novel approach for the characterization of individual human liver: comparison with mRNA levels and activities. *Drug Metab Dispos* **40**:83–92.
- Paré G, Eriksson N, Lehr T, Connolly S, Eikelboom J, Ezekowitz MD, Axelsson T, Haertler S, Oldgren J, Reilly P, et al. (2013) Genetic determinants of dabigatran plasma levels and their relation to bleeding. *Circulation* **127**:1404–1412.
- Parker RB, Hu ZY, Meibohm B, and Laizure SC (2015) Effects of alcohol on human carboxylesterase drug metabolism. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **54**:627–638.
- Patrick KS, Straughn AB, Minhinnett RR, Yeatts SD, Herrin AE, DeVane CL, Malcolm R, Janis GC, and Markowitz JS (2007) Influence of ethanol and gender on methylphenidate pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **81**:346–353.
- Patrick KS, Straughn AB, Reeves OT III, Bernstein H, Bell GH, Anderson ER, and Malcolm RJ (2013) Differential influences of ethanol on early exposure to racemic methylphenidate compared with dexmethylphenidate in humans. *Drug Metab Dispos* **41**:197–205.
- Qian Y, Wang X, and Markowitz JS (2019) In vitro inhibition of carboxylesterase 1 by major cannabinoids and selected metabolites. *Drug Metab Dispos* **47**:465–472.
- Rautio J, Kärkkäinen J, and Sloan KB (2017) Prodrugs - recent approvals and a glimpse of the pipeline. *Eur J Pharm Sci* **109**:146–161.
- Rautio J, Kumpulainen H, Heimbach T, Oliyari R, Oh D, Järvinen T, and Savolainen J (2008) Prodrugs: design and clinical applications. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **7**:255–270.
- Rhoades JA, Peterson YK, Zhu HJ, Appel DI, Peloquin CA, and Markowitz JS (2012) Prediction and in vitro evaluation of selected protease inhibitor antiviral drugs as inhibitors of carboxylesterase 1: a potential source of drug-drug interactions. *Pharm Res* **29**:972–982.
- Roe MT, Armstrong PW, Fox KAA, White HD, Prabhakaran D, Goodman SG, Cornel JH, Bhatt DL, Clemmensen P, Martinez F, et al.; TRILOGY ACS Investigators (2012) Prasugrel versus clopidogrel for acute coronary syndromes without revascularization. *N Engl J Med* **367**:1297–1309.
- Ross MK, Streit TM, and Herring KL (2010) Carboxylesterases: dual roles in lipid and pesticide metabolism. *J Pestic Sci* **35**:257–264.
- Sai K, Saito Y, Tatewaki N, Hosokawa M, Kaniwa N, Nishimaki-Mogami T, Naito M, Sawada J, Shirao K, Hamaguchi T, et al. (2010) Association of carboxylesterase 1A genotypes with irinotecan pharmacokinetics in Japanese cancer patients. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **70**:222–233.
- Satoh T and Hosokawa M (2006) Structure, function and regulation of carboxylesterases. *Chem Biol Interact* **162**:195–211.
- Schubert I, Köster I, and Lehmkuhl G (2010) The changing prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and methylphenidate prescriptions: a study of data from a random sample of insureds of the AOK Health Insurance Company in the German State of Hesse, 2000–2007. *Deut Arztebl Int* **107**:615–621.
- Scott SA, Sangkuhl K, Stein CM, Hulot JS, Mega JL, Roden DM, Klein TE, Sabatine MS, Johnson JA, and Shuldiner AR; Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (2013) Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guidelines for CYP2C19 genotype and clopidogrel therapy: 2013 update. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **94**:317–323.
- Shah VP and Amidon GL (2014) G.L. Amidon, H. Lennernas, V.P. Shah, and J.R. Crison. A theoretical basis for a biopharmaceutic drug classification: the correlation of in vitro drug product dissolution and in vivo bioavailability. *Pharm Res* **12**, 413–420, 1995—backstory of BCS. *AAPS J* **16**:894–898.
- Shi D, Yang D, Prinsens EP, Davies BE, and Yan B (2011) Surge in expression of carboxylesterase 1 during the post-neonatal stage enables a rapid gain of the capacity to activate the anti-influenza prodrug oseltamivir. *J Infect Dis* **203**:937–942.
- Shi D, Yang J, Yang D, LeCluyse EL, Black C, You L, Akhlaghi F, and Yan B (2006) Anti-influenza prodrug oseltamivir is activated by carboxylesterase human carboxylesterase 1, and the activation is inhibited by antiplatelet agent clopidogrel. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **319**:1477–1484.
- Shi D, Yang J, Yang D, and Yan B (2008) Dexamethasone suppresses the expression of multiple rat carboxylesterases through transcriptional repression: evidence for an involvement of the glucocorticoid receptor. *Toxicology* **254**:97–105.
- Shi J, Wang X, Eyer RF, Liang Y, Liu L, Mueller BA, and Zhu HJ (2016a) Association of oseltamivir activation with gender and carboxylesterase 1 genetic polymorphisms. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* **119**:555–561.
- Shi J, Wang X, Nguyen JH, Bleske BE, Liang Y, Liu L, and Zhu HJ (2016b) Dabigatran etexilate activation is affected by the CES1 genetic polymorphism G143E (rs71647871) and gender. *Biochem Pharmacol* **119**:76–84.
- Shi J, Wang X, Nguyen JH, Bleske BE, Liang Y, Wu AH, Bleske BE, and Zhu HJ (2016c) Sacubitril is selectively activated by carboxylesterase 1 (CES1) in the liver and the activation is affected by CES1 genetic variation. *Drug Metab Dispos* **44**:554–559.
- Shmyr D, Van der Merwe V, Yakiwchuk E, Barry A, and Kosar L (2017) Triple antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation and coronary stents. *Can Fam Physician* **63**:375–381.
- Singh S, Barghoorn J, Bagdonas A, Adler J, Treanor J, Kinnersley N, and Ward P (2003) Clinical benefits with oseltamivir in treating influenza in adult populations: results of a pooled and subgroup analysis. *Clin Drug Invest* **23**:561–569.
- Stage C, Jürgens G, Guski LS, Thomsen R, Bjerre D, Ferrero-Miliani L, Lyauk YK, Rasmussen HB, and Dalhoff K; INDICES Consortium (2017a) The impact of CES1 genotypes on the pharmacokinetics of methylphenidate in healthy Danish subjects. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **83**:1506–1514.

- Stage C, Jürgens G, Guski LS, Thomsen R, Bjerre D, Ferrero-Miliani L, Lyauk YK, Rasmussen HB, and Dalhoff K; INDICES Consortium (for members of this consortium-see Supplementum) (2017b) The pharmacokinetics of enalapril in relation to CES1 genotype in healthy Danish volunteers. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* **121**:487–492.
- Staudinger JL, Xu C, Cui YJ, and Klaassen CD (2010) Nuclear receptor-mediated regulation of carboxylesterase expression and activity. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* **6**:261–271.
- Steinhilb SR, Berger PB, Mann JT III, Fry ET, DeLago A, Wilmer C, and Topol EJ; CREDO Investigators. Clopidogrel for the Reduction of Events During Observation (2002) Early and sustained dual oral antiplatelet therapy following percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial [published correction appears in *JAMA* (2003) 289:987]. *JAMA* **288**: 2411–2420.
- Suzaki Y, Uemura N, Hosokawa M, and Ohashi K (2013a) Gly143Glu polymorphism of the human carboxylesterase1 gene in an Asian population. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **69**:735–736.
- Suzaki Y, Uemura N, Takada M, Ohya T, Itohda A, Morimoto T, Imai H, Hamasaki H, Inano A, Hosokawa M, et al. (2013b) The effect of carboxylesterase 1 (CES1) polymorphisms on the pharmacokinetics of oseltamivir in humans. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **69**:21–30.
- Tanimoto K, Kaneyasu M, Shimokuni T, Hiyaama K, and Nishiyama M (2007) Human carboxylesterase 1A2 expressed from carboxylesterase 1A1 and 1A2 genes is a potent predictor of CPT-11 cytotoxicity in vitro. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* **17**:1–10.
- Tarkiainen EK, Backman JT, Neuvonen M, Neuvonen PJ, Schwab M, and Niemi M (2012) Carboxylesterase 1 polymorphism impairs oseltamivir bioactivation in humans. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **92**:68–71.
- Tarkiainen EK, Holmberg MT, Tornio A, Neuvonen M, Neuvonen PJ, Backman JT, and Niemi M (2015a) Carboxylesterase 1 c.428G>A single nucleotide variation increases the antiplatelet effects of clopidogrel by reducing its hydrolysis in humans. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **97**:650–658.
- Tarkiainen EK, Tornio A, Holmberg MT, Launiainen T, Neuvonen PJ, Backman JT, and Niemi M (2015b) Effect of carboxylesterase 1 c.428G > A single nucleotide variation on the pharmacokinetics of quinapril and enalapril. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **80**:1131–1138.
- Thomsen R, Rasmussen HB, and Linnet K; INDICES Consortium (2014) In vitro drug metabolism by human carboxylesterase 1: focus on angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. *Drug Metab Dispos* **42**:126–133.
- Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, Cannon CP, Emanuelsson H, Held C, Horrow J, Husted S, James S, Katus H, et al.; PLATO Investigators (2009) Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* **361**:1045–1057.
- Wang X, Liang Y, Liu L, Shi J, and Zhu HJ (2016a) Targeted absolute quantitative proteomics with SILAC internal standards and unlabeled full-length protein calibrators (TAQSI). *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* **30**:553–561.
- Wang X, Rida N, Shi J, Wu AH, Bleske BE, and Zhu HJ (2017) A comprehensive functional assessment of carboxylesterase 1 nonsynonymous polymorphisms. *Drug Metab Dispos* **45**:1149–1155.
- Wang X, Wang G, Shi J, Aa J, Comas R, Liang Y, and Zhu HJ (2016b) CES1 genetic variation affects the activation of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. *Pharmacogenomics J* **16**:220–230.
- Williams ET, Carlson JE, Lai WG, Wong YN, Yoshimura T, Critchley DJ, and Narurkar M (2011) Investigation of the metabolism of rufinamide and its interaction with valproate. *Drug Metab Lett* **5**:280–289.
- Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Montalescot G, Ruzyllo W, Gottlieb S, Neumann FJ, Ardissino D, De Servi S, Murphy SA, et al.; TRITON-TIMI 38 Investigators (2007) Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* **357**: 2001–2015.
- Xiao D, Chen YT, Yang D, and Yan B (2012) Age-related inducibility of carboxylesterases by the antiepileptic agent phenobarbital and implications in drug metabolism and lipid accumulation. *Biochem Pharmacol* **84**:232–239.
- Xiao FY, Luo JQ, Liu M, Chen BL, Cao S, Liu ZQ, Zhou HH, Zhou G, and Zhang W (2017) Effect of carboxylesterase 1 S75N on clopidogrel therapy among acute coronary syndrome patients. *Sci Rep* **7**:1–6.
- Xie C, Ding X, Gao J, Wang H, Hang Y, Zhang H, Zhang J, Jiang B, and Miao L (2014) The effects of CES1A2 A(-816)C and CYP2C19 loss-of-function polymorphisms on clopidogrel response variability among Chinese patients with coronary heart disease. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* **24**:204–210.
- Xu J, Yin L, Xu Y, Li Y, Zalala M, Cheng G, and Zhang Y (2014) Hepatic carboxylesterase 1 is induced by glucose and regulates postprandial glucose levels. *PLoS One* **9**:e109663.
- Yamada S, Richardson K, Tang M, Halaschek-Wiener J, Cook VJ, Fitzgerald JM, Elwood K, Marra F, and Brooks-Wilson A (2010) Genetic variation in carboxylesterase genes and susceptibility to isoniazid-induced hepatotoxicity. *Pharmacogenomics J* **10**:524–536.
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE Jr, Colvin MM, Drazner MH, Filippatos GS, Fonarow GC, Givertz MM, et al. (2017) 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. *Circulation* **136**:e137–e161.
- Yang D, Pearce RE, Wang X, Gaedigk R, Wan YJY, and Yan B (2009) Human carboxylesterases HCE1 and HCE2: ontogenic expression, inter-individual variability and differential hydrolysis of oseltamivir, aspirin, deltamethrin and permethrin. *Biochem Pharmacol* **77**:238–247.
- Yoshimura M, Kimura T, Ishii M, Ishii K, Matsuura T, Geshi E, Hosokawa M, and Muramatsu M (2008) Functional polymorphisms in carboxylesterase1A2 (CES1A2) gene involves specific protein 1 (Sp1) binding sites. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **369**:939–942.
- Zhao B, Song J, St Clair RW, and Ghosh S (2007) Stable overexpression of human macrophage cholesteryl ester hydrolase results in enhanced free cholesterol efflux from human THP1 macrophages. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* **292**:C405–C412.
- Zhao Z, Li X, Sun S, Mei S, Ma N, Miao Z, Zhao M, and Peng S (2016) Impact of genetic polymorphisms related to clopidogrel or acetylsalicylic acid pharmacology on clinical outcome in Chinese patients with symptomatic extracranial or intracranial stenosis. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **72**:1195–1204.
- Zhu HJ, Appel DI, Jiang Y, and Markowitz JS (2009a) Age- and sex-related expression and activity of carboxylesterase 1 and 2 in mouse and human liver. *Drug Metab Dispos* **37**:1819–1825.
- Zhu HJ, Appel DI, Johnson JA, Chavin KD, and Markowitz JS (2009b) Role of carboxylesterase 1 and impact of natural genetic variants on the hydrolysis of trandolapril. *Biochem Pharmacol* **77**: 1266–1272.
- Zhu HJ, Appel DI, Peterson YK, Wang Z, and Markowitz JS (2010) Identification of selected therapeutic agents as inhibitors of carboxylesterase 1: potential sources of metabolic drug interactions. *Toxicology* **270**:59–65.
- Zhu HJ, Langae TY, Gong Y, Wang X, Pepine CJ, Cooper-DeHoff RM, Johnson JA, and Markowitz JS (2016) CES1P1 variant -816A>C is not associated with hepatic carboxylesterase 1 expression and activity or antihypertensive effect of trandolapril. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* **72**:681–687.
- Zhu HJ and Markowitz JS (2009) Activation of the antiviral prodrug oseltamivir is impaired by two newly identified carboxylesterase 1 variants. *Drug Metab Dispos* **37**:264–267.
- Zhu HJ, Patrick KS, and Markowitz JS (2011) Enantiospecific determination of DL-methylphenidate and DL-ethylphenidate in plasma by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry: application to human ethanol interactions. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* **879**:783–788.
- Zhu HJ, Patrick KS, Straughn AB, Reeves OT III, Bernstein H, Shi J, Johnson HJ, Knight JM, Smith AT, Malcolm RJ, et al. (2017) Ethanol interactions with dexamethylphenidate and dl-methylphenidate spheroidal oral drug absorption systems in healthy volunteers. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* **37**:419–428.
- Zhu HJ, Patrick KS, Yuan HJ, Wang JS, Donovan JL, DeVane CL, Malcolm R, Johnson JA, Youngblood GL, Sweet DH, et al. (2008) Two CES1 gene mutations lead to dysfunctional carboxylesterase 1 activity in man: clinical significance and molecular basis. *Am J Hum Genet* **82**:1241–1248.
- Zhu HJ, Wang X, Gawronski BE, Brinda BJ, Angiolillo DJ, and Markowitz JS (2013) Carboxylesterase 1 as a determinant of clopidogrel metabolism and activation. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **344**:665–672.
- Zou J-J, Chen S-L, Fan H-W, Tan J, He B-S, and Xie H-G (2014) CES1A -816C as a genetic marker to predict greater platelet clopidogrel response in patients with percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* **63**:178–183.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Hao-Jie Zhu, Department of Clinical Pharmacy, University of Michigan College of Pharmacy, 428 Church Street, Room 4565 NUB, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1065. E-mail: hjzhu@med.umich.edu