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Abstract

The antipsychotic drug quetiapine is extensively metabolised by CYP3A4, but little is known about the possible influence of the polymorphic enzyme CYP3A5. This in vitro study investigated the relative importance of CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 in the metabolism of quetiapine and compared the metabolic pattern by the two enzymes, in presence or absence of cytochrome b5. CL_int of quetiapine was determined by the substrate depletion approach in CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 insect cell microsomes with or without coexpressed cytochrome b5.

Formation of the metabolites quetiapine sulfoxide, N-desalkylquetiapine, O-desalkylquetiapine and 7-hydroxyquetiapine by CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 were compared in the different microsomal preparations. CL_int of quetiapine by CYP3A5 was less than 35% relative to CYP3A4. CL_int was higher (3-fold) in CYP3A4 microsomes without cytochrome b5 compared to CYP3A4 microsomes with coexpressed cytochrome b5, whereas in CYP3A5 microsomes CL_int was similar for both microsomal preparations. Metabolism of quetiapine by CYP3A5 revealed a different metabolic pattern compared to CYP3A4. The results indicated that O-desalkylquetiapine constituted a higher proportion of the formed metabolites by CYP3A5 compared to CYP3A4. In conclusion, the present study indicates that CYP3A5 is of minor importance for the overall metabolism of quetiapine, regardless of the presence of cytochrome b5. However, a different metabolic pattern by CYP3A5 compared to CYP3A4 could possibly result in different pharmacological and/or toxicological effects of quetiapine in patients expressing CYP3A5.
Introduction

Quetiapine, a dibenzothiazepine derivative, is an atypical antipsychotic used for the treatment of schizophrenia and acute episodes of mania. Recently, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration also approved quetiapine for the treatment of depressive episodes associated with bipolar disorder.

Quetiapine is extensively metabolized in the liver by the cytochrome P450 (CYP) system, primarily by CYP3A (Grimm et al., 1997; Grimm et al., 2006). The major metabolic pathways of quetiapine are through sulfoxidation, N- and O-dealkylation, and, to a lesser degree, through 7-hydroxylation (Grimm et al., 1997) (Fig. 1). The pharmacologically inactive metabolite quetiapine sulfoxide is considered to be the main metabolite of quetiapine (DeVane and Nemeroff, 2001), whereas the most important active metabolite appears to be N-desalkylquetiapine (McIntyre et al., 2007). Studies indicate that N-desalkylquetiapine, unlike the parent compound, is a potent inhibitor of the noradrenergic transporter and has partial agonist activity at the serotonin 5-HT_{1A} receptor (Goldstein et al., 2007; Jensen et al., 2008). In addition, N-desalkylquetiapine was found to possess antidepressive-like activity in a mouse model (Jensen et al., 2008). This suggests that the antidepressive activity of quetiapine is, at least partly, mediated by N-desalkylquetiapine. The active metabolite 7-hydroxyquetiapine is formed by CYP2D6 in addition to by CYP3A (Grimm et al., 2006). Because of the low plasma concentration of this metabolite (Gefvert et al., 1998), genetic polymorphism in CYP2D6 is unlikely to be of importance for the \textit{in vivo} metabolism of quetiapine.

CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 comprise the two main CYP3A isoforms in adults. The individual variability in phenotype is substantial for both enzymes, but this variability is linked to genetic polymorphism only for CYP3A5 (Westlind-Johnsson et al., 2003). CYP3A5 is expressed in about 10-30% of Caucasians, 30% of Japanese and 60% of African Americans (Kuehl et al., 2001; Burk and Wojnowski, 2004). The relative contribution of CYP3A5 to
total hepatic CYP3A protein differs, but in some individuals CYP3A5 can constitute more than 50% of total CYP3A (Kuehl et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2002; Westlind-Johnsson et al., 2003). Individuals expressing CYP3A5 may have higher clearance and lower bioavailability of CYP3A substrates compared with individuals not expressing CYP3A5 (Kuehl et al., 2001). This has been demonstrated for both the immunosuppressive drug tacrolimus and for several HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) where patients expressing CYP3A5 need higher drug dosages to reach target serum concentration or to achieve sufficient lipid-lowering response, respectively (Hesselink et al., 2003; Zheng et al., 2003; Goto et al., 2004; Kivisto et al., 2004; Ferrareso et al., 2007).

CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 overlap in substrate specificity, but the relative importance of CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 in overall CYP3A-mediated metabolism differs between substrates (Lamba et al., 2002). The role of CYP3A5 in the metabolism of quetiapine is sparingly known. The objective of this in vitro study was therefore to investigate the relative importance of CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 in the metabolism of quetiapine, and to compare the metabolic pattern by the two enzymes. As the presence of cytochrome b<sub>5</sub> in the incubation assay has been shown to affect the metabolism of many drugs via CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 in vitro (Yamaori et al., 2003), the effect of cytochrome b<sub>5</sub> on quetiapine metabolism was also examined.
Materials and methods

Materials. Quetiapine and N-desalkylquetiapine were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals Inc. (North York, ON), quetiapine sulfoxide from Synthetica AS (Oslo, Norway) and promazine from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Baculovirus insect cell microsomes expressing human CYP3A enzymes, cytochrome P450 reductase and cytochrome b5 (Supersomes™) were purchased from BD Gentest (Woburn, MA), whereas similar CYP3A microsomes without coexpressed cytochrome b5 (Xenosomes™) were purchased from Larodan Fine Chemicals AB (Malmö, Sweden).

Experimental conditions. Preliminary metabolism studies of quetiapine using the metabolite formation method revealed a solubility problem of quetiapine, as the concentration of quetiapine in the buffer solutions was only about 30% of the nominal concentration. Organic solvents (dimethyl sulfoxide and methanol) were added to increase the solubility of quetiapine. The effect of 10% methanol on quetiapine metabolism was investigated, and an extensive inhibition (>40%) in the formation of the four metabolites by CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 was observed. Quetiapine metabolism was therefore instead examined by the substrate depletion approach, which requires low substrate concentrations (Jones and Houston, 2004). Quetiapine concentrations of 1 µM in CYP3A4 microsomes and 5 µM in CYP3A5 microsomes were well below \( K_m \) for all metabolites (data not shown). These concentrations resulted in linear formation of the metabolites and provided quantifiable metabolite amounts.

Incubation assays contained either CYP3A4 or CYP3A5, with or without coexpressed cytochrome b5. Quetiapine (1 µM for CYP3A4 and 5 µM for CYP3A5) was incubated at 37°C in 118 mM Tris-H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) (pH 7.5), 0.5 mM MgSO\(_4\) and 1.6 mM NADPH, incubation conditions optimized by Hermann et al. (2006). Microsomes were diluted in a solution (pH 7.4) consisting of 0.25 M sucrose, 10 mM Hepes and 2 mM EDTA. The reaction was initiated...
by adding preheated microsomes, and final enzyme concentration was 4 nM in the total incubation volume of 220 µl. Reactions were terminated after 0-60 min with 150 µl ice-cold acetonitrile including internal standard (promazine). The concentration of internal standard was 4 µM in each sample. After at least 30 min on ice, the samples were centrifuged at 4°C; 1600 g for 5 min (Universal 32R, Hettich zentrifugen, Tuttlingen, Germany), and 5 µl of the supernatant was injected into the LC-MS/MS instrument. For each of the four microsomal preparations studied, three separate experiments were performed. All incubations in each experiment were performed in duplicate.

**Determination of quetiapine and metabolites.** Quetiapine and metabolites were analyzed on a 2795 liquid chromatograph and a Micromass Quattro Micro tandem mass spectrometer (both from Waters Corporation, Milford, MA). The analytes were separated on an ACE 3AQ C18 analytical column (Advanced Chromatography Technologies, Aberdeen, Scotland) protected by an Atlantis dC18 guard column (Waters). The samples were eluted at a flow rate of 0.25 ml/min by a gradient consisting of 25-80% acetonitrile in ammonium acetate (10 mM, pH 4.5). Total run time was 15 min. The retention times were 2.8 min for 7-hydroxyquetiapine, 3.1 min for quetiapine sulfoxide, 5.6 min for N-desalkylquetiapine, 5.7 min for O-desalkylquetiapine, 6.0 min for quetiapine and 6.9 min for promazine. Detection was performed in the multiple reaction monitoring mode at the transitions: 400→269 for 7-hydroxyquetiapine, 400→221 for quetiapine sulfoxide, 296→210 for N-desalkylquetiapine, 340→253 for O-desalkylquetiapine, 384→253 for quetiapine and 285→212 for promazine. Processing was performed with QuanLynx software version 4.0. Quetiapine, quetiapine sulfoxide and N-desalkylquetiapine were quantified using quadratic standard curves with a 1/Y weighting. For quetiapine, quetiapine sulfoxide and N-desalkylquetiapine, the validation data for intra- and interrun accuracy and precision were ≤16% at the limit of quantification (LOQ, 0.1 µM for quetiapine, and 0.01 µM for quetiapine sulfoxide and N-
desalkylquetiapine), and ≤9% above LOQ. Reference substances of O-desalkylquetiapine and 7-hydroxyquetiapine were not available and these metabolites were therefore quantified using peak area ratio (metabolite/internal standard). Any deviation from linearity in MS-response will not be revealed using peak area ratio, implying more uncertainty in these results compared to the results based on standard curves.

**Data analysis.** Substrate depletion data were fitted to a monoexponential decay model (eq. 1), with a 1/Y weighting using GraphPad Prism version 4.03.

\[ C_t = C_0 e^{-kt} \]  
(1)

In each experiment the velocity constant \( k \) (min\(^{-1}\)) for the substrate depletion was estimated and intrinsic clearance, \( CL_{int} \), calculated (eq. 2).

\[ CL_{int} = kV, \]  
(2)

where \( V \) is the incubation volume.

The substrate depletion curves were log-transformed to visualize how well the monoexponential decay model described the substrate depletion data. The log-transformed substrate depletion curves were linear in the entire time-range for all microsomal preparations, except for CYP3A4 microsomes without cytochrome b\(_5\) after 40 min incubation (data not shown). Substrate depletion was therefore studied for 0-40 min in all four microsomal preparations. The metabolic pattern by CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 was compared by assessment of relative formation of the four metabolites of quetiapine after 40 min incubation in the different microsomal preparations.

Unpaired t-test was used to determine any statistical difference in \( CL_{int} \) of quetiapine by CYP3A4 in microsomes with or without coexpressed cytochrome b\(_5\), and correspondingly for CYP3A5. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Results

Determination of CL_{int}. Substrate depletion studies with quetiapine by CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 showed monoexponential decay in microsomes with and without coexpressed cytochrome b_{5} (r^{2}>0.9 for CYP3A4 and r^{2}>0.5 for CYP3A5) (Fig. 2).

CL_{int} for CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 with coexpressed cytochrome b_{5} was 3.2 and 1.0 µl/min/pmol CYP, respectively (Table 1). CL_{int} of quetiapine by CYP3A5 was 32% (S.E.M. 7%) relative to CYP3A4. The corresponding CL_{int} values for CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 without coexpressed cytochrome b_{5} were 8.8 and 1.07 µl/min/pmol CYP, respectively (Table 1), and CL_{int} for CYP3A5 was 12% (S.E.M. 1%) compared to CYP3A4. CL_{int} of quetiapine by CYP3A4 was significantly different (p<0.0001) in microsomes with coexpressed cytochrome b_{5} compared to microsomes without cytochrome b_{5} (Table 1), whereas for CYP3A5 no difference in CL_{int} was observed (p=0.99).

Metabolic pattern. Measurement of the four metabolites after 40 min incubation revealed differences in metabolic pattern by CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 (Fig. 3, Table 2). The main differences between CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 were observed for the formation of quetiapine sulfoxide and O-desalkylquetiapine. The formation of quetiapine sulfoxide was more than 8-fold higher by CYP3A4 compared to CYP3A5 both in microsomes with and without cytochrome b_{5} (Table 2). The opposite was observed for O-desalkylquetiapine, the formation was about 1.5-fold and 2.5-fold higher by CYP3A5 compared to CYP3A4 in microsomes with or without cytochrome b_{5}, respectively (Table 2). The formation of N-desalkylquetiapine and 7-hydroxyquetiapine was higher by CYP3A4 compared to CYP3A5 both in presence or absence of cytochrome b_{5} (Table 2).
Discussion

This study demonstrates that quetiapine is metabolized by CYP3A5, but to a lesser degree than by CYP3A4. $CL_{int}$ for quetiapine by CYP3A5 was less than 35% relative to CYP3A4 both in microsomes with and without coexpressed cytochrome b$_5$. Since CYP3A5 might constitute approximately 50% of total hepatic CYP3A protein in some individuals expressing CYP3A5 (Kuehl et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2002), theoretical clearance of quetiapine by CYP3A5 in vivo could accordingly be less than 35% relative to CYP3A4 in these individuals. Hence, this study indicates that CYP3A5 is of minor importance for the overall metabolism of quetiapine in vivo. A previous in vitro study by Lin et al. (2004) also implied similar results, as they reported the metabolism of quetiapine by CYP3A5 to be approximately 10% compared to CYP3A4. However, this result is somewhat uncertain since Lin et al. compared quetiapine metabolism in CYP3A5 microsomes not expressing cytochrome b$_5$ with CYP3A4 microsomes coexpressing cytochrome b$_5$.

$CL_{int}$ for quetiapine by the two enzymes varied dependent on the microsomal system studied. The metabolism of quetiapine was significantly different in CYP3A4 microsomes with coexpressed cytochrome b$_5$ compared to in CYP3A4 microsomes without cytochrome b$_5$, whereas in CYP3A5 microsomes quetiapine was equally well metabolized independent of the presence of cytochrome b$_5$. Interestingly, the metabolism of quetiapine was more extensive in CYP3A4 microsomes without cytochrome b$_5$; $CL_{int}$ was almost 3-fold higher in these microsomes. However, the opposite has been reported in several studies, where a considerably increased metabolism in microsomal systems including cytochrome b$_5$ has been demonstrated. For instance, Klees et al. (2005) reported a 5- to 7-fold increase in $CL_{int}$ of alfentanil in CYP3A4 microsomes coexpressed with cytochrome b$_5$. Furthermore, the formation of the major metabolite of vincristine through CYP3A5 was stimulated (3-fold) by the presence of coexpressed cytochrome b$_5$ (Dennison et al., 2006). The conflicting results...
indicate a substrate dependent impact of cytochrome b_5, and this should be further evaluated for more substrates. Additionally, it would also be relevant to study if possible differences in composition of microsomal preparations, such as expression of cytochrome P450 reductase, may contribute to the conflicting data on the metabolic impact of cytochrome b_5 \textit{in vitro}.

The metabolic pattern was different for metabolism of quetiapine by CYP3A5 compared to CYP3A4. The most important difference was observed for O-desalkylquetiapine where the formation of O-desalkylquetiapine was higher by CYP3A5 compared to CYP3A4. Concurrently, CL_{int} of quetiapine was lower by CYP3A5 than by CYP3A4. These results indicate that O-desalkylquetiapine constituted a higher proportion of the formed metabolites by CYP3A5 compared to CYP3A4. Several studies of other substrates have also reported differences in metabolic pattern by the two enzymes. For instance, Huang et al. (2004) found a higher formation ratio of major metabolite to minor metabolite of midazolam by CYP3A5 than by CYP3A4. A difference in the metabolic pattern of quetiapine by CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 may theoretically affect the clinical outcome associated to CYP3A5 genotype, despite a limited impact of CYP3A5 on overall metabolic clearance of quetiapine. The results of the present study indicate a possible higher serum concentration of O-desalkylquetiapine in patients expressing CYP3A5. However, the pharmacological and toxicological activity of O-desalkylquetiapine is not known, nor is the serum concentration range of this metabolite following therapeutic use of quetiapine.

The substrate depletion method was applied in this study because of solubility problems of quetiapine with the traditional metabolite formation method. Substrate depletion has some disadvantages compared to typical kinetic estimations based on metabolite formation with increasing substrate concentrations. At least 20% of the substrate should be metabolized within the incubation period to distinguish substrate depletion from baseline variability (Jones and Houston, 2004). Consequently, longer incubation times and higher enzyme
concentrations are generally required (Jones and Houston, 2004). The depletion of quetiapine was less than 20% within the incubation period of 40 minutes in the CYP3A5 microsomes. However, longer incubation times (60 min) resulted in deviation from linearity of the log-transformed substrate depletion curves for CYP3A4 without cytochrome b5. Additionally, the enzyme concentration was kept at a low level to reduce the risk of non-specific binding.

The interindividual variability in serum concentration of quetiapine is substantial (Gerlach et al., 2007), but the results of the current study indicate that other factors besides genetic polymorphism in CYP3A5 are determinant for this variability. However, discrepancies between in vitro and in vivo data are well-known for many substrates and could be caused by experimental conditions, interindividual variability, extrahepatic metabolism or nonlinear kinetics (Tucker et al., 2001; Donato and Castell, 2003). For instance, polymorphism in CYP3A5 is shown to influence the systemic exposure and lipid-lowering response to simvastatin (Kivisto et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2007), although CYP3A4 possessed a 3- to 9-fold higher affinity than CYP3A5 for the formation of three major metabolites of simvastatin in vitro (Prueksaritanont et al., 1997). Consequently, clinical studies are necessary to elucidate whether genetic polymorphism in CYP3A5 is a factor involved in the interindividual variability in serum concentration of quetiapine.

In conclusion, this in vitro study indicates that CYP3A5 is of minor importance for the overall metabolism of quetiapine, independent of the presence of cytochrome b5 in the microsomal preparation. However, discrepancies between in vitro and in vivo data are common, and clinical studies are therefore necessary to exclude a possible impact of CYP3A5 on the metabolism of quetiapine in vivo. The metabolic pattern of quetiapine was different for metabolism by CYP3A5 compared to CYP3A4. This could possibly result in different pharmacological and/or toxicological effects of quetiapine in patients expressing CYP3A5.
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Figure legends

FIG. 1. Metabolism of quetiapine in vitro

FIG. 2. Substrate depletion curves for quetiapine in CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 microsomes with or without coexpressed cytochrome b₅ (cyt b₅). The initial concentration of quetiapine was 1 µM in CYP3A4 microsomes and 5 µM in CYP3A5 microsomes. Data are presented as mean ± S.E.M. (n=3)

FIG. 3. Formation of quetiapine sulfoxide, N-desalkylquetiapine, O-desalkylquetiapine and 7-hydroxyquetiapine in CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 microsomes with or without coexpressed cytochrome b₅ (cyt b₅). Data for quetiapine sulfoxide and N-desalkylquetiapine are metabolite concentration (µM), whereas data for O-desalkylquetiapine and 7-hydroxyquetiapine are arbitrary (peak area ratio). The metabolite formation data is divided with quetiapine concentration (1 µM for CYP3A4 and 5 µM for CYP3A5); correspondingly the data for CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 are comparable. Data are presented as mean metabolite formation ± S.E.M. (n=3) in each of the four microsomal preparations.
# Tables

**TABLE 1.**

*CL*$_{int}$ for substrate depletion of quetiapine in CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 microsomes with or without coexpressed cytochrome b$_5$

Data are presented as mean ± S.E.M. (n=3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microsomal preparation</th>
<th><em>CL</em>$_{int}$ (µl/min/pmol CYP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYP3A4 + cyt b$_5$</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP3A5 + cyt b$_5$</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP3A5</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significantly different *CL*$_{int}$ (p<0.0001)
TABLE 2.

Metabolite formation in CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 microsomes with or without coexpressed cytochrome b₅ after 40 min incubation of quetiapine

The metabolite formation data are divided with quetiapine concentration (1 µM for CYP3A4 and 5 µM for CYP3A5); correspondingly the data for CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 are comparable. Data for quetiapine sulfoxide and N-desalkylquetiapine are metabolite concentration (µM), whereas data for O-desalkylquetiapine and 7-hydroxyquetiapine are arbitrary (peak area ratio). Data are presented as mean ± S.E.M. (n=3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>CYP3A4 + cyt b₅</th>
<th>CYP3A4</th>
<th>CYP3A5 + cyt b₅</th>
<th>CYP3A5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quetiapine sulfoxide</td>
<td>0.233 ± 0.018</td>
<td>0.304 ± 0.017</td>
<td>0.028 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.0376 ± 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-desalkylquetiapine</td>
<td>0.072 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.157 ± 0.018</td>
<td>0.0158 ± 0.0002</td>
<td>0.025 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-desalkylquetiapine</td>
<td>0.049 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.057 ± 0.013</td>
<td>0.068 ± 0.015</td>
<td>0.142 ± 0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-hydroxyquetiapine</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.018 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>