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Abstract

Studies on therapeutic drug disposition in humans have shown significant alterations as the result of pregnancy. However, it is not known whether pesticide metabolic capacity changes throughout pregnancy, which could affect exposure of the developing brain. Here, we sought to determine the effect of pregnancy on the expression of hepatic enzymes involved in the metabolism of pesticides. Livers were collected from virgin and pregnant C57BL/6 mice at gestational days (GD) 7, GD11, GD14, GD17, and postpartum days (PD) 1, PD15 and PD30. Relative mRNA expression of several enzymes involved in the metabolism of pesticides, including hepatic cytochromes (Cyp) P450s, carboxylesterases (Ces) and paraoxonase 1 (Pon1), were assessed in mice during gestation and the postpartum period. Compared to virgin mice, alterations in the expression occurred at multiple time-points, with the largest changes observed on GD 14. At this timepoint, the expression of most of the Cyps involved in pesticide metabolism in the liver (Cyp1a2, Cyp2d22, Cyp2c37, Cyp2c50, Cyp2c54 and Cyp3a11) were downregulated by 30% or more. Expression of various Ces isoforms and Pon1 were also decreased along with Pon1 activity. These data demonstrate significant alterations in the expression of key enzymes that detoxify pesticides during pregnancy, which could alter exposure of developing animals to these chemicals.
Introduction

Pesticides are widely used to protect crops, cattle, gardens and households from infestations. In 2007, it was estimated that 93 million pounds of active ingredients were used in the US, with organophosphate (OP), carbamate, and pyrethroid (PYR) insecticides being the most commonly used (US EPA 2011). Although pesticides are an important tool for ensuring an adequate food supply and protecting public health, there is a risk of untoward effects in non-target species, including humans, because target and non-target species often possess a common molecular target of the pesticide. Of particular concern with insecticides, such as OPs and PYRs, is their targeting of the nervous system and the potential increased vulnerability of the developing brain to their neurotoxic effects (Jurewicz and Hanke, 2008; Shafer et al., 2005).

Several animal studies have consistently demonstrated that very young animals are more sensitive to the acute toxic effects of OPs and PYRs and that this increased sensitivity is likely the result of immature hepatic detoxication systems (Atterberry et al., 1997; Sheets, 2000; Anand et al., 2006; Timchalk et al., 2006). However, there has been much less focus on the role of maternal detoxication systems in the vulnerability of the developing brain during pregnancy. This is a particularly important area because several studies have reported the presence of OP and PYR metabolites in urine samples from pregnant women (Berkowitz et al., 2003; CDC 2009) as well as in meconium (Whyatt et al. 2009). A recent report of biomonitoring data in pregnant women from the 2003-2004 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) found that over 40% of the women had detectable levels of several metabolites of OP insecticides, which have been linked to neurobehavioral alterations (Eskenazi et al., 2007), and over 80% had detectable levels of the OP metabolite dimethyl thiophosphate (Woodruff et al., 2011). Similarly, data from the 1999-2002 NHANES found that 67% of pregnant women had
detectable levels of the PYR metabolite 3-phenoxybenzoic acid (Castorina et al., 2010). Thus, there is widespread exposure of pregnant women to OP and PYR pesticides.

Human pregnancy is known to cause a number of physiological changes that may alter the metabolism of drugs and toxicants, including changes that increase absorption and decrease plasma protein levels (Selevan et al., 2000). Indeed, elimination of drugs metabolized by several different isoforms of cytochrome P450 (CYP) is altered (Dempsey et al., 2002; Anderson, 2005; Hodge and Tracy, 2007). These metabolic changes occurring in pregnant women may similarly alter the fate of environmental contaminants, such as pesticides, because many of the drug metabolizing enzymes, such as the CYPs, carboxylesterases (CESs), and paraoxonase 1 (PON1), also function to metabolize pesticides. Thus, alteration of the activity of pesticide-metabolizing enzymes might prolong or increase exposure of the developing infant to pesticides, contributing to the increased susceptibility of the developing brain.

Although human studies provide important information regarding drug-specific alterations in metabolism, direct determination of the role of pregnancy-induced alterations of pesticide metabolism is not feasible for ethical reasons. Recent studies have investigated changes in rodent Cyp isoforms during pregnancy in mice (Zhang et al. 2008; Koh et al. 2011) and rats (He et al. 2005; Dickmann et al. 2008). However, data are lacking for some important Cyp isoforms involved in pesticide metabolism, and the regulation of hepatic Ces or Pon1 levels during pregnancy is not known. Here we sought to quantify hepatic expression of pesticide-metabolizing enzymes in mice during pregnancy and through the postpartum period and assess potential regulatory mechanisms underlying transcriptional changes.
Materials and Methods

Animals. Adult virgin C57Bl/6 mice (Charles River, Wilmington, MA) were mated overnight and separated the following day (designated gestational day (GD) 0). Dams and virgin (non-mated) mice were provided chow and water *ad libitum*. The room was maintained at a constant temperature, humidity and 12-hour light cycle. Tissues were collected on GD7, GD11, GD14 and GD17 and on postpartum days (PD) 1, PD15 and PD30. On each day a dam was sacrificed, an age-matched virgin mouse was also sacrificed as control resulting in 7 virgin mice and 7 dam groups (n = 3-4 mice per group per time-point). Offspring were weaned from lactating dams on PD21. Livers and other tissues were collected and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Animals were treated according to the Animal Care and Use Policy of the University of Kansas Medical Center and Rutgers University. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at both universities approved these studies.

Real-time Quantitative PCR (qPCR). qPCR was performed as described previously (Richardson et al., 2006; Yacovino et al., 2012). Genes were selected on the basis of their likeliness to be involved in the biotransformation of pesticides or their potential role in regulating phase 1 enzyme transcription. Primers for the phase 1 metabolizing enzymes and the transcription factors were designed using the NCBI primerblast application (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/index.cgi) and tested on cDNA prepared from female mouse hepatic RNA. All sequences are available upon request. In the event multiple splice variants existed, primers were designed to anneal within a common region when possible. Melting curve analysis was conducted and amplification products were run on an agarose gel for amplicon size confirmation. Relative gene expression was assessed using the SYBR green
technology (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad CA) on an ABI7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad CA). All samples were run in duplicates and Actin and Gapdh were monitored in parallel as normalizers for each gene, and gave similar results. Therefore, relative expression was calculated with the delta delta Ct (\(\Delta\Delta \text{Ct}\)) method using Gapdh as normalizing gene (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The gene isoforms, gene accession numbers and corresponding human genes are presented in Supplemental Table 1.

**Determination of Serum Pon1 Activities.** Pon1 enzyme activity towards paraoxon (paraoxonase) and chlorpyrifos-oxon (chlorpyrifosoxonase) was measured in serum from virgin and GD15 dams using spectrophotometric methods as described by Richter and Furlong (1999). Briefly, serum samples were diluted in 50 mM Tris-HCl containing 2 mM CaCl\(_2\) (pH 7.4) and incubated at 37\(^\circ\)C for 10 min in clear 96-well plates. The reaction was initiated by the addition of either 1.2 mM paraoxon or 320 \(\mu\)M chlorpyrifos-oxon and formation of p-nitrophenol or 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol were measured at 405 and 310 nm, respectively, for 5 minutes. Initial rates of hydrolysis measured in mOD/min were converted to U/L using molar extinction coefficients of 5.56 nmol/L and 3.03 nmol/L for paraoxon and chlorpyrifos-oxon, respectively. Data were expressed as percentage of virgin activities.

**Statistical analysis.** Relative expression (\(\Delta\Delta \text{Ct}\)) was computed in Excel (Microsoft Inc., 2003). The measures of central tendency, standard deviations and standard errors were also calculated in Excel and were used for graph building. Graphs were prepared with GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software Inc., 2007) and statistical analysis was conducted with SPSS 19 (SPSS Inc. 2010).
To assess the effect of gestational day for each gene, the ΔΔCt was calculated using the corresponding virgin group as a reference. To ensure there were no statistically significant differences between the different virgin groups across the time-points, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for each gene. Because we observed a significant effect of gestation day using ANOVA, unpaired t-tests were utilized to compare each dam group to the corresponding virgin mice group. For all comparisons, α was set at 0.05. A table of the statistical outcomes is presented as Supplemental Table 2.

Results

Gestational and postpartum changes in hepatic Cyp mRNA expression

Changes in the relative expression of the Cyp isoforms during pregnancy and postpartum are shown in Figure 1. In pregnant dams, Cyp1a2 expression was decreased by about 50% at GD7, GD11 and GD14, before returning to levels similar to the virgin mice on GD17 (Fig. 1). Cyp2b10 mRNA was reduced by approximately 50% on GD 7, 11, and 14, but did not quite reach statistical significance (p = 0.08-0.1). However, expression was increased by 25% on GD17 and by 100% compared to virgin mice on PD1, remaining elevated through PD30. Cyp2d22 was lower at GD11 and 14 by about 45%, returning to near control levels by GD17 and PD1. Similarly, Cyp2c37 mRNA was lower at GD11, 14 and 17 by 45-73%, and returned to equivalent expression with the virgin mice on PD1. Cyp2c50 was reduced from GD7 to PD15 and Cyp2c54 mRNA was also significantly decreased by 40% at GD11, GD14 and GD17. Cyp3a11 mRNA levels were significantly decreased by 50% or more throughout the entire course of the study, lasting through PD30. Cyp3a13 expression started to rise on GD11 and was significantly higher than the corresponding virgin mice by about 75% on GD17, with expression returning to virgin levels by PD1. We also assessed the expression of the female-specific
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Cyp3a41a/b, Cyp3a44 and juvenile-expressed Cyp3a16, which were increased by 10 to more than 100 times, respectively, throughout the time-course of the experiment (Supplemental Figure 1).

Gestational and postpartum changes in hepatic Ces and Pon1 mRNA expression and Pon1 Activities

In humans, there are 3 major isoforms of CES, CES1, CES2, and CES3, with several isoforms contributing to these families in mice (Holmes et al., 2010). Changes in the hepatic expression of Ces isoforms and Pon1 during pregnancy are shown in Figure 2. Ces1c expression was not significantly altered at any point of the study, although it was decreased by 30% on GD11 (p = 0.08). Ces1d was significantly reduced on GD11 by about 30% and by a similar amount on GD14, but this also did not quite reach statistical significance (p = 0.1). Ces1e was significantly reduced on GD7 by 40%. A trend was observed for the other isoforms although not significant. Ces2a was downregulated from GD7 to GD14 whereas Ces2e was reduced at PD1. Ces2c was not affected by pregnancy in a statistically significant manner. Pon1 mRNA was decreased on GD14, but this did not quite reach statistical significance (p=0.08). To determine whether changes in Pon1 mRNA were associated with changes in activity, serum Pon1 activity was determined with the substrates paraoxon and chlorpyrifos-oxon. On GD14, serum Pon1 activity towards paraoxon and chlorpyrifos-oxon was decreased by 43 and 37%, respectively.

Gestational changes in hepatic nuclear receptors and transcription factors mRNA expression

In general, the expression of nuclear receptors and transcription factors involved in regulating expression of these phase 1 enzymes did not appear to be affected by gestation or lactation in a...
statistically significant manner (Table 1). However, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (Ppara) mRNA tended to be reduced by 23-45% from GD7-PD1, and was significantly reduced at GD17 and PD1. Although not statistically significant (p = 0.054-0.075), Car was consistently reduced through the course of pregnancy by 25-58%, but did not quite reach statistical significance (Supplemental Table 2).

Discussion

The goal of the present study was to determine whether pregnancy and lactation in mice altered the mRNA expression of hepatic enzymes involved in pesticide metabolism, particularly for organophosphate (OP) and pyrethroid (PYR) insecticides. In general, the expression of several P450 isoforms was reduced; particularly during the time of the brain growth spurt in utero (GD11-17). Likewise, there was also a general reduction in expression of most of the Ces isoforms during this period and a decrease in Pon1 expression and activity on GD14. Overall, the results of the present study suggest a lower hepatic metabolic capacity for insecticide metabolism in dams during the period corresponding to the murine embryonic development phase. Although it remains to be established whether these changes result in alteration of pesticide disposition during pregnancy in mice, these data suggest that there may be a reduced clearance of pesticides which would translate into higher internal exposure for the dam and fetuses.

CYP-mediated oxidative metabolism of OPs and PYRs is complex and involves several pathways, as different CYP isoforms can metabolize the same compound (e.g. chlorpyrifos) and different compounds (e.g. deltamethrin) to different degrees (Mutch and Williams 2006; Godin et al. 2007; Scollon et al. 2009). However, when the relative abundance of the different isoforms is taken into account; the predominant hepatic Cyp enzymes (Cyp1a2, Cyp2c50 and Cyp3a11)
are all considerably reduced during the course of gestation, especially at GD14. The findings with Cyp1a2 and 3a11 are in agreement with two other studies (Koh et al., 2011; Walker et al., 2011), but also extend them by demonstrating decreased expression of Cyp3a11 out to PD30. Functional data in humans indicate the human orthologs of Cyp1a2 (CYP1A2) and Cyp2c50 and 54 (CYP2C19) enzymes are also likely decreased during pregnancy, which parallels our findings (Anderson 2005). However, our data and that from Koh and co-workers (2011) found that Cyp2d22 is decreased throughout gestation, in contrast to the increased metabolism of CYP2D6 substrates in pregnant women (Anderson, 2005; Hodge and Tracy, 2007). This discrepancy might be explained by the fact that there are several isoforms in mice that are orthologous with CYP2D6 (Nelson et al., 2004), which we did not measure. Alternatively, it could suggest that the pregnant mouse is not a good model for human pregnancy and compounds metabolized by CYP2D6. Additional functional experiments will be required to address this issue.

We also found that Cyp2b10 mRNA is decreased during early and mid-gestation and increases expression over that of virgin mice starting at GD17 and continuing through PD15. This pattern is consistent with the decreased Car expression observed on GD11 and 14. To our knowledge, data regarding the regulation of the human ortholog CYP2B6 during pregnancy are still lacking. However, two recent papers found that high concentrations of estradiol induce 2B6 expression and activity in hepatocytes (Dickmann et al., 2012; Koh et al., 2012). Given this finding and the fact that estradiol levels are the highest in mice at 18-19 days of gestation (McCormack and Greenwald, 1974), this may provide a plausible mechanism for the increased 2b10 expression observed at PD1. The estrogen surge may also be relevant to the induction of female-specific Cyp3a41a/b and Cyp3a44 as well as the juvenile Cyp3a16 isoform we observed, confirming results previously reported (Zhang et al. 2008), although glucocorticoids and growth
hormone may also play a role in the induction of these isoforms (Sakuma et al., 2008). Cyp3a11, Cyp3a16, Cyp3a41a/b and Cyp3a44 are orthologs of human CYP3A4 and 3A7. Thus, although we observed a profound decrease in Cyp3a11, it is possible that these other isoforms are responsible for the observed increased metabolism of CYP3A4 substrates in humans (Anderson, 2005) and mice (Zhang et al., 2008). Because of these multiple Cyp3A orthologs in rodents and the shift from constitutive to inducible forms (female-specific and juvenile), it will be important in the future to determine functionally whether hepatic P450-mediated metabolism of OPs and PYRs, is increased or decreased during pregnancy in the mouse. However, the potential alterations of Ces and Pon1 are also likely to play an important role in the disposition of OPs and PYRs in pregnancy.

Ces stoichiometrically degrade active OP metabolites, including chlorpyrifos-oxon, while Pon1 actively hydrolyzes chlorpyrifos-oxon (Pond et al., 1995). Thus, the general decreased expression of some of the Ces isoforms during early gestation observed here may increase exposure to chlorpyrifos-oxon. Although the expression of CES has not been assessed in pregnancy, bioactivation of the antiviral drug oseltamivir, a CES1 substrate, is decreased in pregnant women, suggesting reduced CES1 activity (Beigi et al. 2011). We also observed reduced expression of Pon1 at GD14, but this did not quite reach statistical significance. However, PON1 activity has been documented to decrease through the course of pregnancy in humans (Ferre et al. 2006). To address this issue, we measured Pon1 activity in the serum towards two substrates, paraoxon and chlorpyrifos-oxon. Similar to that observed in humans (Ferre et al., 2006), we measured significant decreases in serum Pon1 activity towards these two substrates on GD14 compared to virgin mice. This decreased activity is consistent with the observation of increased parathion toxicity in pregnant mice (Weitman et al., 1983) and
demonstrates a functional correlation between hepatic mRNA expression of Pon1 and enzymatic activity.

The effects of pregnancy on the Cyps and Ces is also likely to have a profound influence on the metabolism of pyrethroid insecticides, as metabolism by CYPs and CESs serve to inactivate PYR pesticides (Soderlund et al., 2002). PYR use has increased significantly over the past 10 years, particularly for residential purposes (Horton et al., 2011). Although there are limited data (Shafer et al., 2005), there is increasing concern over the potential developmental neurotoxicity of PYRs, since developing animals are more sensitive to their neurotoxic effects (Sheets et al., 2000). As with chlorpyrifos, this increased susceptibility is hypothesized to be the result of immature detoxication enzymes, including various CYPs and CESs (Tornero-Velez et al., 2010). Various CYP isoforms are capable of contributing to the metabolism of a wide variety of PYR, including CYP2C19, which was the most active human isoform against several PYR (Godin et al., 2006). Based on the data presented here, the mouse orthologs of CYP2C19, 2c50 and 2c54, were decreased significantly throughout pregnancy, which might suggest that pregnant women may have reduced capacity to metabolize certain PYRs. Hydrolysis of PYRs by CES enzymes has been demonstrated by the urinary metabolite profile following low-dose administration in human volunteers (Eadsforth et al. 1988, Woollen et al. 1992) and in human purified CES (Godin et al., 2006). Human CES1 and 2 hydrolyze the central ester bond to produce two moieties each of which has no known neurotoxic properties (Gaughan et al. 1976). In our study, the mRNA expression of Ces 1d, 1e, and 1g were decreased 25 to 30% from GD7-GD14, although most of the results did not quite reach statistical significance. Likewise, Ces2a was significantly reduced at early time-points whereas Ces2e decreased later in pregnancy. The lack of statistical significance may reflect the low number of mice per group and variability in
Ces expression in the virgin mice. Additional studies to determine the functional relevance of these changes during pregnancy on the metabolism of PYRs are currently ongoing.

Overall, our results suggest that gestation in mice generally decreases the expression of a number of pesticide-metabolizing enzymes, which may increase maximal insecticide concentration and duration of exposure. Most of the changes in phase 1 enzymes reported in the present study are consistent with those presented elsewhere (Koh et al. 2011) as well as with the functional studies conducted in humans (Anderson, 2005). Additionally, this study provides novel information regarding the expression of Ces isoforms, Pon1, Cyp2c50, and Cyp2c54, during pregnancy in mice, which has not previously been reported. However, additional studies of OP and PYR metabolism in pregnant mice are needed to determine the functional relevance of altered mRNA expression. In turn, these data will provide important information to properly quantify the risks for the pregnant women and their unborn children.
Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. Curtis Klaassen for providing livers for the mRNA time-course experiments.
Authorship Contributions

Participated in research design: Fortin, Aleksunes, Richardson

Conducted experiments: Fortin, Richardson

Performed data analysis: Fortin, Richardson

Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Fortin, Aleksunes, Richardson
References


mammalian carboxylesterase gene families: human, mouse, and rat genes and proteins.  


DMD #49395


Footnotes

This work was supported in part by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases [Grants DK080774] and the National Institutes of Health Environmental Health Sciences [Grants ES015991, ES020522, ES007148, and ES005022], components of the National Institutes of Health. The content of this manuscript does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases or the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

Reprint requests:

Jason R. Richardson Ph.D
Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences Institute
170 Frelinghuysen Road
Piscataway, NJ 08854
jricha3@eohsi.rutgers.edu
Figure Legends

Figure 1: Relative mRNA expression of Cytochromes P450. Mean relative mRNA ± SE (n=3 or 4) at different time points during gestation (GD; grey bars) and postpartum periods (PD; black bars). mRNA data were normalized to Gapdh and compared to virgin mice by paired t-tests. An asterisk “*” indicates a statistically significant (p<0.05) difference between the pregnant or postpartum mice group and the corresponding age-matched control virgin mice. The lines at 1.0 represent the normalized virgin data.

Figure 2: Relative mRNA expression of Carboxylesterases and Paraoxonase 1. Mean relative mRNA ± SE (n=3 or 4) at different time points during gestation (GD; grey bars) and postpartum periods (PD; black bars). mRNA data normalized to Gapdh and serum Pon1 activities, as percent of virgin activities, were compared to virgin mice by paired t-tests. An asterisk “*” indicates a statistically significant (p<0.05) difference between the pregnant or postpartum mice group and the corresponding age-matched control virgin mice. The lines at 1.0 represent the normalized virgin data.
Table 1. Hepatic transcription factor and nuclear receptor relative mRNA expression during gestation and postpartum in dams compared to age-matched virgin mice (relative expression (se))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclear Receptor</th>
<th>Virgins</th>
<th>GD7</th>
<th>GD11</th>
<th>GD14</th>
<th>GD17</th>
<th>PD1</th>
<th>PD15</th>
<th>PD30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hnf4α</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.14(0.11)</td>
<td>1.28(0.16)</td>
<td>1.13(0.26)</td>
<td>1.34(0.21)</td>
<td>1.02(0.11)</td>
<td>1.20(0.07)</td>
<td>1.10(0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ppara</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.77(0.09)</td>
<td>0.73(0.07)</td>
<td>0.78(0.07)</td>
<td><strong>0.68(0.06)</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.56(0.09)</strong></td>
<td>0.83(0.10)</td>
<td>0.97(0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.08(0.14)</td>
<td>0.90(0.14)</td>
<td>1.38(0.36)</td>
<td>0.96(0.10)</td>
<td>1.07(0.06)</td>
<td>0.65(0.10)</td>
<td>1.08(0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pxr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.16(0.19)</td>
<td>0.86(0.07)</td>
<td>1.11(0.15)</td>
<td>1.04(0.13)</td>
<td>0.83(0.03)</td>
<td>1.02(0.08)</td>
<td>0.74(0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.10(0.20)</td>
<td>0.48(0.09)</td>
<td>0.42(0.07)</td>
<td>0.70(0.09)</td>
<td>0.75(0.21)</td>
<td>0.85(0.07)</td>
<td>0.87(0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.42(0.32)</td>
<td>1.21(0.22)</td>
<td>0.86(0.05)</td>
<td>1.29(0.20)</td>
<td>0.81(0.05)</td>
<td>0.84(0.03)</td>
<td>0.96(0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rxra</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.08(0.11)</td>
<td>1.11(0.08)</td>
<td>0.77(0.15)</td>
<td>0.98(0.04)</td>
<td>1.03(0.15)</td>
<td>0.87(0.08)</td>
<td>1.00(0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fxr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.85(0.33)</td>
<td>1.04(0.16)</td>
<td>0.86(0.12)</td>
<td>1.05(0.04)</td>
<td>0.91(0.18)</td>
<td>0.44(0.05)</td>
<td>1.24(0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lxr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.12(0.14)</td>
<td>0.97(0.09)</td>
<td>0.94(0.10)</td>
<td>0.81(0.04)</td>
<td>1.04(0.05)</td>
<td>0.63(0.09)</td>
<td>0.92(0.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates p<0.05
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