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Abstract

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a prevalent form of chronic liver disease that can progress to the more advanced stage of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). NASH has been shown to alter drug transporter regulation and may have implications in the development of adverse drug reactions. Several experimental rodent models have been proposed for the study of NASH, but no single model fully recapitulates all aspects of the human disease. The purpose of the current study was to determine which experimental NASH model best reflects the known alterations in human drug transporter expression to enable more accurate drug disposition predictions in NASH. Both rat and mouse NASH models were utilized in this investigation and include: the methionine and choline deficient (MCD) diet model, atherogenic diet model, \textit{ob/ob} and \textit{db/db} mice, and \textit{fa/\textit{fa}} rats. Pathological scoring evaluations demonstrated that MCD and atherogenic rats, as well as \textit{ob/ob} and \textit{db/db} mice developed NASH. Liver mRNA and protein expression analyses of drug transporters showed that in general, efflux transporters were induced and uptake transporters were repressed in the rat MCD and the mouse \textit{ob/ob} and \textit{db/db} models. Lastly, concordance analyses suggest that both the mouse and rat MCD models as well as mouse \textit{ob/ob} and \textit{db/db} NASH models show the most similarity to human transporter mRNA and protein expression. These results suggest that the MCD rat and mouse model, as well as the \textit{ob/ob} and \textit{db/db} mouse models may be useful for predicting altered disposition of drugs with similar kinetics across humans and rodents.
Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a complex, multi-faceted disease that encompasses a spectrum of liver pathologies including simple fatty liver (hepatic steatosis) and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). NASH is the more pathologically advanced stage of the disease and is characterized by increased hepatocellular damage, chronic liver inflammation, and fibrosis (Feldstein, 2010; Ali & Cusi, 2009; Masuoka & Chalasani, 2013). Recently, NAFLD has quickly increased in prevalence and is now considered the most common form of chronic liver disease in Western Society (Ali & Cusi, 2009). Current epidemiological data estimate that NAFLD affects approximately 30-50% of the adult population, while the prevalence of NASH is predicted to be 5.7-17% (McCullough, 2006; Ali & Cusi, 2009; Lomonaco et al., 2013). Alarmingly, the prevalence of NAFLD can be as high as 90% in morbidly obese patients and with the continual rise in obesity and type II diabetes in the general population, NAFLD prevalence rates are expected to increase to near epidemic proportions in the future (Ali & Cusi, 2009; Lomonaco et al., 2013).

The development of oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and an increase in pro-inflammatory cytokine production are important features that characterize NASH pathology (McCullough, 2006). Consequently, hepatocellular damage is sustained throughout the progressive stages of NAFLD leading to perturbations in gene regulation and liver function (Lake et al., 2011; Lake et al., 2013). Among the many functions of the liver, it is a central mediator in governing the detoxication and elimination of both endo- and xenobiotics via a diverse array of biotransformation and transport mechanisms.
(Keogh, 2012). As a result of the significant hepatocellular damage caused by oxidative stress and chronic inflammation in NASH, hepatic biotransformation and transport mechanisms are dysregulated, which can potentially alter the absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion. (ADME) of xenobiotics and lead to altered drug exposure (Fisher et al., 2008; Fisher et al., 2009b; Hardwick et al., 2010; Hardwick et al., 2012). It is well established that drug transporter mRNA and protein expression alterations in NASH cause perturbations in the disposition of pharmaceutical agents and environmental toxicants (Canet et al., 2012; Hardwick et al., 2010; Hardwick et al., 2012; Hardwick et al., 2011; Fisher et al., 2009a; Lickteig et al., 2007). In the clinic, these NASH-associated changes in pharmacokinetics may impact drug efficacy and/or toxicity potentially requiring greater pharmacovigilance. Therefore, identifying experimental models that more accurately reflect the pharmacokinetic parameters of the human disease, such as transporter expression, is critical in predicting drug disposition in human NASH.

Due to ethical and practical limitations, rodent models are used to further understand and characterize the functional aberrations of xenobiotic disposition in NASH. Dietary models, where rodents are fed specialized diets, are the most common NASH models because they may accurately reproduce the clinical and/or histopathological features of the disease (Schattenberg & Galle, 2010; Hebbard & George, 2011). These models include the methionine and choline deficient (MCD) diet as well as a modified high fat diet with supplemented cholate and cholesterol (atherogenic diet). Both of these diets are capable of recapitulating the histopathological features of NASH; however the MCD diet, in contrast to the atherogenic diet, fails to fully capture the metabolic disorders that
frequently accompany NASH such as insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, and type II diabetes (Matsuzawa et al., 2007; Larter & Yeh, 2008). In addition to dietary models, genetically obese rodents that carry deficiencies in leptin signaling, such as ob/ob and db/db mice and fa/fa rats, are also used as NASH models. Due to their inherent leptin dysregulation, these animals are hyperphagic, obese, and develop insulin resistance and therefore are often considered as better models that provide a full spectrum of the clinical morbidities that frequently accompany NAFLD (Larter & Yeh, 2008; Takahashi et al., 2012).

The purpose of this current study is to determine which of the experimental NASH models best recapitulate the mRNA and protein expression profile of clinically relevant drug transporters altered in human NASH. The MCD and atherogenic diet were used as dietary NASH models and the ob/ob and db/db mice and fa/fa rat were used as genetic NASH models. Clinical biomarkers of metabolic syndrome were measured as well as histological analyses were conducted to confirm NASH. Additionally, rodent mRNA and protein expression of hepatic drug transporters were measured and compared to previously published human NASH expression profiles using concordance and effect size statistical analyses.
Materials and Methods

Materials. Tris-HCl, Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), sodium chloride (NaCl), glycerol, potassium phosphate (KPO₄), potassium chloride (KCl), sodium pyrophosphate (decahydrate), and Nonidet P-40 were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Neutral buffered formalin (10%) was obtained from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA).

Animals. Eight to ten week old, male, C57BL/6J, B6.Cg-Lep<ob>/J (ob/ob), and B6.BKS(D)-Lepr<db>/J (db/db) mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME). Eight to ten week old, male, Sprague Dawley and Crl:ZUC-Lepr<fa> fatty (fa/fa) rats were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA). All animals were acclimated in 12 hour light and dark cycles in a University of Arizona AAALAC-certified animal facility for at least one week prior to initiation of experiments and were given access to standard chow and water ad libitum. Housing and experimental procedures were in accordance with NIH guidelines for the care and use of experimental animals and were approved by the University of Arizona Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. To model NASH, C57BL/6J mice and Sprague Dawley rats (N=4-7) were fed either a methionine and choline deficient (MCD) diet (#518810) (Dyets, Inc., Bethlehem, PA), or an atherogenic diet (#D06061401) (Research Diets Inc., New Brunswick, NJ) for 8 weeks. As a control, C57BL/6J mice (N=4-7) and Sprague Dawley rats (N=4-7) were fed a methionine and choline re-supplemented diet (#518754) (Dyets, Inc., Bethlehem, PA). The ob/ob (N=4) and db/db (N=4-7) mice were fed a MCD diet for 4 weeks to induce NASH. The fa/fa rats were provided a modified high fat diet
Animals were weighed prior to diet start to record a baseline body weight.

_Tissue Harvesting._ At the conclusion of dietary feeding, the animals were weighed to record a final body weight then euthanized using CO₂ asphyxiation. Terminal blood was collected via cardiac puncture and plasma was extracted by centrifugation at 9,500 x g for 5 minutes using a tabletop centrifuge (4°C). The resulting plasma was stored at -20°C until analysis. The liver was immediately harvested, weighed, and a small portion was fixed for two days in 10% neutral buffered formalin (4°C), followed by tissue processing and paraffin-embedding at the University of Arizona Histology Core Facility. The remaining tissue was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for future analyses.

_Plasma Chemistries._ Rodent plasma samples were submitted to the pathology lab at University Animal Care facility, University of Arizona Health Science Center for determination of plasma ALT and glucose levels. Plasma insulin was determined using a rodent enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Millipore, St. Charles, MO) per the manufacturer’s protocol.

_Tissue Staining and Evaluations._ Hematoxylin and eosin (H & E) stains were performed on formalin fixed, paraffin-embedded liver sections at the University of Arizona Histology Core according to the facility’s common practice. Masson’s trichrome staining was performed using the Masson Trichrome Stain Kit (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO)
according to the manufacture’s protocol. H & E stained liver sections were submitted to the Arizona Health Sciences Center Animal Facility for pathological scoring evaluations according to a previously validated NASH scoring system (Kleiner et al., 2005). All samples were evaluated blindly and excluded disease and animal information.

**RNA Purification.** Total RNA was extracted and isolated from rat and mouse liver using RNAzol B reagent (Tel-Test Inc., Friendswood, TX) per the manufacturer's protocol. RNA concentrations were determined using UV spectrophotometry, and the integrity of the RNA was confirmed by ethidium bromide staining after agarose gel electrophoresis.

**Branched Chain DNA (bDNA) Analysis.** bDNA analysis was used to determine mRNA transcript levels of transporter genes and has been previously shown to be highly specific method for mRNA quantification with a high degree of accuracy and reproducibility (Hardwick et al., 2010; Hardwick et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2008). Specific oligonucleotide probes for Mrp1–4, Mdr1a, Mdr1b, Bcrp, Oatp1a1, 1a4, 1b2, and 2b1 were diluted in lysis buffer supplied by the Quantigene HV Signal Amplification Kit (Genospectra, Fremont, CA). Substrate solution, lysis buffer, capture hybridization buffer, amplifier, and label probe buffer used in the analysis were all obtained from the Quantigene Discovery Kit (Genospectra). The assay was performed in 96-well format with 10 µg of total RNA added to the capture hybridization buffer and 50 µl of the diluted probe set. The total RNA was then allowed to hybridize to the probe set overnight at 53°C. Hybridization steps were performed per the manufacturer's protocol the following day. Luminescence of the samples was measured with a Quantiplex 320 bDNA
luminometer interfaced with Quantiplex Data Management Software, version 5.02 (Bayer, Walpole, MA).

**Protein Preparations.** Whole cell lysate preparations of mouse and rat liver were prepared from ~200 mg of tissue homogenized in NP-40 buffer (20 mM Tris HCl, 137 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% nonidet P-40, and 2 mM EDTA) with 1 Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablet (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) per 25mL at 4°C. Homogenized tissue was then agitated at 4°C for 2 hours, centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 30 minutes, and the supernatant transferred to a clean collection tube. Liver microsomal fractions were prepared from ~200 mg of frozen tissue. Briefly, tissue was homogenized in buffer A (50 mM Tris HCl pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, and 154 mM KCl) with added Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablet (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) per 25 ml at 4°C. The resulting homogenate was centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 30 minutes at 4°C and the supernatant was collected into ultra-centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 100,000 x g for 70 minutes at 4°C. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 600 µl of buffer B (100 mM sodium pyrophosphate pH 7.4 and 0.1 mM EDTA) and subjected to a second 100,000 x g centrifugation for 70 minutes at 4°C. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 100 µl of buffer C (10 mM KPO₄ pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, and 20% glycerol). Protein concentrations for both whole cell and microsomal fractions were determined using the Pierce BCA Protein Quantitation Assay (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) per the manufacturer’s protocol and stored at -80°C until further analysis.
**Immunoblot Protein Analysis.** Whole cell lysate or microsomal proteins (50 μg/well) were prepared in Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) with or without β-mercaptoethanol and heated at 37°C for 30 minutes prior to separation by SDS-PAGE on 7.5% gels. Resolved protein was transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes for 70 min at 350 mAmps at 4°C. Following transfer, the membranes were blocked in 5% nonfat dry milk diluted in phosphate-buffered saline-tween 20 for 1 hr at room temperature. To determine relative protein levels the following primary antibodies were used: Mrp2, sc-5770; Pgp, sc-8313; Mrp3, sc-5775; Oatp1b2, sc-376904 (rat) and sc-47270 (mouse) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA); Mrp4, ab15602 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA); Oatp1a4, OATP21-A (Alpha Diagnostics Intl., Inc., San Antonio, TX); Bcrp, MC-981 (Kamiya Biomedical Co., Seattle, WA). The blots were incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C with constant rocking. The following HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were used: anti-rat (sc-2065), anti-rabbit (sc-2004), anti-goat (sc-2350), and anti-mouse (sc-2005) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA). Quantification of relative protein expression was determined using image processing and analysis with Image J software (NIH, Bethesda, MD) and normalized to β-actin protein (whole cell lysate) (sc-47778, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA) or pan-cadherin (microsomal fraction) (Ab16505, Abcam, Cambridge, MA).

**mRNA and Protein Concordance Analysis Across Human and Rodent Models.** Concordance analyses to compare human and rodent mRNA and protein expression in NASH were performed by measuring the effect size of NASH vs. control for each gene.
The effect size (estimated by Glass’s $\Delta$) is the standardized mean difference between two populations and can be calculated using the following equation:

$$\Delta = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{S_p}$$

Where $\bar{x}_1$ and $\bar{x}_2$ are the sample means for two groups, and $S_p$ is the pooled standard deviation for both. Data from bDNA analysis were used for mRNA comparisons whereas normalized densitometry data were used for protein comparisons. All raw human data (mRNA and protein) used in this analysis has been previously published (Hardwick et al., 2011) (Clarke et al., unpublished). The analyses were performed using R version 3.0.2 (http://www.r-project.org/).

Statistical Analysis. Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA to determine significant differences between model groups with a Tukey’s post-hoc analysis. A significance level of $p \leq 0.05$ was used for all analyses. All analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism software Version 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA).
 Results

Rodent Body Weights, Tissue Weights and Clinical Chemistries. To determine and confirm the clinical features that are normally associated with NASH, body and tissue weight as well as plasma chemistry profiles were measured. Body weight, tissue weight and liver to body weight ratios for rats and mice are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. No significant change in body weight compared to control was observed among the rat models, although the MCD rats trended towards a decrease whereas the *fa/fa* and atherogenic models trended towards an increase in body weight (Table 1). In contrast, the MCD and atherogenic mice had a significant reduction in body weight while the *ob/ob* mice had increased in body weight compared to control mice (Table 2).

To assess the magnitude of either weight gain or loss, change in body weight from start to finish of the study was measured. The magnitude of weight change between MCD and control rats was significantly different due to the loss in body weight in the MCD rats. The atherogenic and *fa/fa* rats tended to increase in weight more than controls but the magnitude of change was not statistically significant (Table 1). In contrast, the magnitude of weight change that occurred in the MCD, atherogenic, *ob/ob*, and *db/db* mice was significantly different from control mice (Table 2). Liver weight was measured and the atherogenic and *fa/fa* rats, as well as the *db/db* mice had increased liver mass compared to controls (Tables 1 and 2). Liver to body weight ratios indicate that the atherogenic rat model and *db/db* mice as having increased liver mass in relation to body mass.
NASH is clinically associated with a variety of metabolic disorders including hyperglycemia and diabetes. Therefore, blood glucose and insulin were measured to determine if these experimental NASH models parallel the conditions typically present in the human NASH condition. Of the rat models, only the \textit{fa/fa} rats developed hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia compared to controls (Table 1). In contrast, the rat MCD model demonstrated significantly reduced plasma glucose levels compared to control. No significant changes were identified in plasma glucose and insulin across the mouse models, although glucose levels tended to increase in the \textit{ob/ob} and \textit{db/db} mice (Table 2). Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) plasma levels were significantly increased in the rat MCD, atherogenic, \textit{fa/fa}, as well as the mouse MCD, \textit{ob/ob}, and \textit{db/db} models.

\textit{NASH Histology and Pathological Assessment in Rodent Models.} H & E stained liver sections as well as Masson’s trichrome staining from mouse and rat NASH animals are shown in Figure 1. Macrovesicular steatosis, a common pathological lesion that accompanies NASH, is clearly present in the livers of rat MCD as well as mouse MCD, \textit{ob/ob}, and \textit{db/db} models (Figures 1A and 1B, black arrowhead). To determine the extent of liver fibrosis, Masson’s trichrome staining was used on formalin fixed, paraffin embedded tissue samples. The results clearly show significant branching fibrosis (blue staining, arrow) in rat MCD liver (Figure 1C). Masson’s trichrome stain in mouse livers did not reveal any fibrotic tissue (Figure 1D).

In order to quantify the severity of NASH within each model, H & E stained samples were evaluated according to a previously validated NASH pathology scoring rubric. The
total sum of the scores measured for characteristic NASH lesions yields a total NASH activity score (NAS), with scores at or above 4 being defined as NASH (Table 3). This assessment shows that rat MCD and atherogenic as well as mouse \textit{db/db} models have NASH (Table 3). Interestingly, although the \textit{ob/ob} model has severe pathology (macrovesicular lipid deposits and inflammation) this model fails to fully develop advanced NASH due to lower levels of inflammation and the absence of fibrosis.

\textit{Hepatic mRNA Expression of Drug Transporters in Experimental NASH Models.}

Previous studies have shown that mRNA expression of drug transporters is altered in the liver of both human and MCD diet-induced rodent NASH (Hardwick et al., 2010; Hardwick et al., 2011; Fisher et al., 2009a). To determine if gene expression is altered in other experimental NASH models, the mRNA of clinically relevant drug transporters was measured via bDNA analysis (Figure 2). mRNA expression of the efflux transporters Mrp1, Mrp2, Mrp3, Mrp4, Bcrp, Mdr1a and Mdr1b were all significantly induced in the rat MCD model whereas only Mrp2 and Mdr1b were induced in the atherogenic rat model (Figure 2A). Of the mouse NASH models, the efflux transporters Mrp1 (\textit{db/db}), Mrp2 (MCD, athero, \textit{ob/ob}, and \textit{db/db}), Mrp3 (\textit{ob/ob} and \textit{db/db}), Mrp4 (MCD, \textit{ob/ob} and \textit{db/db}) and Mdr1a (MCD, \textit{ob/ob}, \textit{db/db}) were induced at a significant level (Figure 2B).

Hepatic Oatp transporter mRNA expression was measured and shown in Figure 2C and 2D. Oatp1a1 was significantly down-regulated in the \textit{fa/fa} rat model as well as the mouse MCD, \textit{ob/ob}, and \textit{db/db} models, whereas the MCD rat and \textit{db/db} mouse models had a significant down-regulation of the Oatp1b2 isoform (Figure 2C and 2D). Conversely,
hepatic Oatp1a4 displayed an opposite effect and was significantly induced in the MCD and atherogenic rat models as well as the MCD and db/db mice.

**Hepatic Protein Expression of Drug Transporters in Experimental NASH Models.** To verify whether the observed alterations to transporter mRNA expression translates to altered protein expression, Western Blot analyses were performed to determine relative protein expression levels of select hepatic transporters across the NASH models (Figure 3). Protein expression of Mrp2, Mrp3, Mrp4, and Pgp were all up-regulated in the MCD rat model, (Figure 3A and 3B). In contrast, hepatic Oatp1a4 protein expression was down-regulated in all models whereas Oatp1b2 protein expression was down-regulated in the MCD and atherogenic models but induced in fa/fa rats.

Among the mouse models, Mrp3 and P-gp were significantly induced in the ob/ob mice whereas Mrp4 was significantly induced in the MCD mouse model (Figure 3C and 3D). The ob/ob and db/db mice trended towards an induction of Mrp4 protein, but this did not reach statistical significance. Alternatively, Oatp1b2 was down-regulated in the MCD, ob/ob and db/db models. No change in Bcrp protein expression was observed across all rodent models.

**Concordance Analysis Across Human and Rodent mRNA and Protein Expression in NASH.** To determine how well the rodent NASH models recapitulate human NASH mRNA and protein expression changes, a concordance analysis was performed using the
data derived from this study with previously published data from human NASH mRNA and protein expression data (Hardwick et al., 2011)(Clarke et al., unpublished). Due to the lack of orthology in human OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 to rodents, rodent Oatp1b2 was compared to both human OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 separately. Additionally, human MDR1 (P-gp) mRNA expression was compared to rodent Mdr1a and Mdr1b orthologs separately. Figures 4 and 5 show human mRNA and protein data as a function of rodent mRNA and protein effect sizes, respectively. Effect sizes in the same direction (positive or negative) represent similar trends in the direction of gene expression whereas the magnitude of change corresponds to the statistical power in detecting a difference in expression in NASH versus control. The rat and mouse MCD model, as well as mouse ob/ob and db/db have the most abundant transporter genes that share a positive effect size across both human and rodent (top right quadrant of graphs, Figure 4A and 4B). Human OATP1B3 shares a negative effect size (down-regulation), which is also present in rat and mouse MCD, along with mouse ob/ob and db/db models (lower left quadrant of graphs). In contrast, the mouse and rat atherogenic models along with the rat fa/fa model show opposite effect sizes compared to human mRNA expression for several transporter genes, including Mdr1a (rat atherogenic), Mrp2 (fa/fa), Mrp4 (fa/fa), and Mrp1 (mouse atherogenic). (Figure 4A and 4B).

Figure 5 shows the effect sizes of human protein as a function of rat (Figure 5A) and mouse (Figure 5B) transporter protein expression. The rat MCD model shows a similar effect size distribution compared to human for all transporters except OATP1B1, which is up-regulated in human but Oatp1b2 is down-regulated in rat MCD (Figure 5A).
Similarly, the mouse *ob/ob* model shares positive effect size changes compared to human for all transporters except for OATP1B1 which is induced in human NASH and down-regulated in the *ob/ob* model (Figure 5B). The mouse *db/db* and MCD models do share a similar effect change to human protein expression for all transporters except for Bcrp, which shows a negative effect size in these rodent models whereas a positive effect size is observed in human NASH (Figure 5B). Similar to the mRNA effect size comparison, rodent atherogenic, as well as *fa/fa* rats do not share similar protein effect size changes to human NASH across all transporters investigated. For a list of raw effect size data see supplemental Tables 1-4.
Discussion

With the increasing dependency on pharmacotherapy to manage symptoms associated with disease, adverse drug reactions (ADRs) have become a significant cause for morbidity and mortality worldwide. In the United States alone, ADRs are one of the top ten causes of death, accounting for ~100,000 deaths annually and over 700,000 hospitalizations per year (Wooten, 2010; Lazarou et al., 1998; Valente & Murray, 2011). The causes for ADRs are multi-faceted and include idiosyncratic drug reactions as well as inter-individual variations in the metabolism and elimination of drugs (Shepherd et al., 2012; Valente & Murray, 2011). It is well established that genetic polymorphisms that exist within drug transporters and drug metabolizing enzymes have a role in determining the pharmacokinetics of drugs, thereby impacting the development of clinical ADRs (Daly, 2012; Yiannakopoulou, 2013; Clarke & Cherrington, 2012). However, genetic polymorphisms within genes that mediate ADME processes are estimated to account for less than 20% of ADRs suggesting that other host factors, such as diseases, may be significant in the development of ADRs (Ingelman-Sundberg & Rodriguez-Antona, 2005). Therefore, it is important to investigate patients with diseases such as NASH as being an at-risk population for developing drug-induced ADRs.

The purpose of this study was to examine experimental models of NASH and determine which of these models accurately represents the expression patterns of hepatic drug transporters in human NASH. This information will allow for meaningful predictions of drug disposition in NASH that could identify potential ADRs in preclinical studies. However, rodent NAFLD models vary dramatically in their ability to reproduce both the
clinical and histopathological features of the disease, making selection of the appropriate model difficult. For example, the MCD diet model is criticized for failing to recapitulate the natural progression of the disease along with lacking common aberrant clinical features such as obesity and hyperglycemia (Tahan et al., 2004; Rinella & Green, 2004). Our data confirm that mice and rats fed a MCD diet fail to develop metabolic aberrations such as obesity and hyperglycemia suggesting that metabolic alterations are not a consequence of MCD feeding. However, both rats and mice fed a MCD diet develop histopathological features associated with NASH such as lobular inflammation, macrovesicular steatosis and varying degrees of fibrosis, which is consistent with previous findings (Leclercq et al., 2000; Fisher et al., 2009a). Interestingly, however, our results suggest that rats are more sensitive to the effects of MCD feeding than mice. MCD rats scored higher in steatosis and fibrosis grades compared to mice in addition to histological evaluations confirming these findings by the appearance of a greater number of macrovesicular lipid and collagen deposits. A previous study showed that Wistar rats develop more pronounced steatotic deposits in the liver compared to the C57BL/6 mouse strain (Kirsch et al., 2003). Our results are in agreement with these findings, but in contrast to our observation that rats developed more severe NASH, Kirsch et. al. reported that mice were more sensitive to the MCD diet. A possible explanation for this discrepancy may lie in the differences in pathological markers measured (NAS scoring versus lipid peroxidation bi-products and mitochondrial injury) or the duration of MCD feeding (4 weeks versus 8 weeks). Certainly, the longer diet regimen used in our study may drive NASH to a more advanced pathology that resembles human disease.
In response to the criticism that the MCD diet model fails to represent the spectrum of clinical features of NASH, several other rodent NASH models have been developed and investigated. Genetically obese rodents having dysregulated leptin signaling, such as the fa/fa rats as well as the ob/ob and db/db mice have increased in popularity due to their inherent nature in developing clinical features associated with the metabolic syndrome including obesity, dyslipidemia, and hyperglycemia (Bray & York, 1979; Schattenberg & Galle, 2010; Carmiel-Haggai et al., 2005). However, ob/ob and db/db mice do not develop NASH spontaneously and must be exposed to a ‘second hit’ such as short term MCD feeding to propagate the manifestation of NASH (Takahashi et al., 2012). In our study, ob/ob and db/db mice fed a MCD diet for four weeks develop histopathological features consistent with NASH such as macrovesicular steatosis and inflammation. In addition, the db/db mice had enlarged livers, increased liver to body weight ratios and were obese despite a reduction in body weight. As expected, MCD feeding failed to maintain the metabolic disturbances seen in these strains such as hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia. These observations are consistent with previous findings and are a negative consequence of the MCD diet (Sahai et al., 2004; Yamaguchi et al., 2007). Interestingly, despite a previous report of fa/fa rats developing NASH upon high fat diet feeding (Carmiel-Haggai et al., 2005), our study did not find the full development of NASH in these animals. While these rats are significantly obese and clinical markers are suggestive of the presence of the metabolic syndrome, the histopathological analysis reveals a lack of NASH diagnostic markers present despite having hepatic steatosis.
Despite the differences in NASH manifestations, the ability to recapitulate human gene expression in the liver is most valuable in translational research in the ADME of pharmaceuticals and toxicants. Membrane drug transporters are important mediators of xenobiotic disposition (Klaassen & Aleksunes, 2010) and therefore alterations in the expression and/or function of transporters can impact the pharmacokinetics of drugs, potentially increasing the likelihood of developing ADRs. In the present investigation, we report both mRNA and protein expression profiles of clinically important hepatic drug transporters across several NASH rodent models. Our results suggest that the rat and mouse MCD, along with the db/db and ob/ob mouse models significantly alter the expression profiles of both uptake and efflux transporters in the liver that is consistent with human NASH. Specifically, efflux transporters belonging to the ATP-binding cassette family of transporters are generally induced whereas uptake transporters belonging to the solute carrier family of transporters are repressed, which is consistent with previous analyses conducted in MCD-fed rodents (Fisher et al., 2009a; Lickteig et al., 2007; Hardwick et al., 2012). It is interesting to note that Oatp1a4 mRNA expression is induced in NASH, whereas protein expression is down-regulated in MCD rats but for the purpose of this investigation, protein expression will be taken into greater consideration since protein levels will have a functional impact on ADME processes. Taken together, these uniform responses to hepatic injury by NASH suggest a coordinated response in the regulation of hepatic drug transporters similar to what is observed in human NASH (Hardwick et al., 2011; Lake et al., 2011). These results are consistent with previous findings suggesting that this may be a protective mechanism that limits further xenobiotic exposure to the liver by decreasing uptake and facilitating efflux
(Lake et al., 2011). Interestingly, the MCD, \textit{ob/ob}, and \textit{db/db} models all share histopathological features that are consistent with NASH but lack clinical aberrations associated with the metabolic syndrome. This suggests that the pathological lesions sustained by the liver in NASH, rather than the metabolic aberrations, may be the major driving force in regulating the transporter gene expression changes observed in the disease, although this needs to be examined further.

Using our previously published mRNA and protein expression data from human livers diagnosed as healthy or NASH, we performed a statistical analysis comparing the mean effect size of gene expression changes between each of the rodent models investigated and our published human data. The results from this analysis suggest that the rat and mouse MCD models as well as the mouse \textit{ob/ob} and \textit{db/db} models had the highest power in detecting gene expression changes that reflect the alterations in human transporters. The atherogenic models, as well as the rat \textit{fa/fa} model share similar changes in transporter expression with human NASH in both direction and magnitude, but other transporters are inconsistent and fail to parallel human NASH transporter expression. Interestingly, the models that utilized MCD feeding share the most similarity to drug transporter expression in human NASH. It is well known that MCD feeding causes significant induction of oxidative stress as well as the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, both of which are mediators of drug transporter gene regulation (Leclercq et al., 2000; Chowdhry et al., 2010; Cherrington et al., 2013; Ikemura et al., 2013). Additionally, hepatic oxidative stress is increased in humans with NASH leading to aberrations in oxidative stress-mediated gene regulation (Hardwick et al., 2010). These
observations further suggest that the pathological consequences sustained throughout the progressive stages of NASH likely play a major role in the dysregulation of ADME in NASH, whereas metabolic perturbations are less influential.

In conclusion, the rat and mouse MCD as well as the mouse ob/ob and db/db NASH models best represent the drug transporter expression changes seen in the livers of humans with NASH. Future investigations into the effects of NASH on the disposition of xenobiotics that share similar transporter kinetic profiles across humans and rodents are encouraged to use these models. However, a better understanding on global gene expression changes across these models is still warranted for more accurate predictions on translating drug disposition changes in humans with NASH.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Liver Histopathology of Rodent NASH Models. Representative hematoxylin and eosin stained liver sections from rat (A) and mouse (B) NASH models. Macrovesicular steatotic deposits, a distinguishable lesion seen in NASH, are shown by the black arrowhead. Masson’s trichrome staining in rat (C) and mouse (D) NASH models. Branching fibrosis is indicated by the black arrow. Images were taken at 20x magnification.

Figure 2. Liver mRNA Expression of Drug Transporters in Rodent NASH. mRNA expression of rat efflux (A) and uptake (C) transporters as well as mouse efflux (B) and uptake (D) transporters in rodent NASH models via branched DNA gene analysis. Data represent the mean ± S.E.M from 4 animals. * p ≤ 0.05 versus control within each group.

Figure 3. Liver Protein Expression of Drug Transporters in Rodent NASH. Representative Western blot of rat (A) and mouse (C) transporters in rodent NASH models. Densitometry analysis of Western blot data of rat (B) and mouse (D) blots is shown. β-actin was used a loading control for whole cell lysates, whereas pan-cadherin was used for microsomal preparations. Data represent the mean ± S.E.M from 4 animals. * p ≤ 0.05 versus control within each group.

Figure 4. Effect Size Analysis of Human and Rodent NASH mRNA Expression of Drug Transporters. Effect size of human transporter mRNA expression as a function of rat (A) and mouse (B) mRNA expression in NASH. Positive effect changes reflect induction of
gene expression whereas negative effect changes reflect down-regulation of gene expression. The magnitude of the effect change (positive or negative) reflects the power of the disease (human or rodent model) to detect a change in gene expression over control. Values were calculated by the method described in the materials and methods section.

Figure 5. Effect Size Analysis of Human and Rodent NASH Protein Expression of Drug Transporters. Effect size of human transporter protein expression as a function of rat (A) and mouse (B) protein expression in NASH is shown. Positive effect changes reflect induction of protein expression whereas negative effect changes reflect repression in protein expression. The magnitude of the effect change (positive or negative) reflects the power of the disease (human or rodent model) to detect a change in protein expression over control. Values were calculated by the method described in the materials and methods section.
Table 1: Rat Body Weight, Liver Weight and Plasma Chemistries

Body weight, weight gain, liver weight, liver to body weight ratios and plasma chemistries of rat NASH models. ALT; alanine aminotransferase. Data represent the mean ± S.E.M. from 3-7 rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>CONTROL</th>
<th>MCD</th>
<th>AHERO</th>
<th>fa/fa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Body Weight (g, N=3)</td>
<td>522.7 ± 9.0</td>
<td>308.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>594.0 ± 24.2</td>
<td>733.3 ± 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight Gain (g, N=3)</td>
<td>+169.3 ± 7.5</td>
<td>-47.0 ± 6.3*</td>
<td>+270.7 ± 18.0</td>
<td>+205.0 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver Weight (g, N=3)</td>
<td>21.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>43.6 ± 1.9*</td>
<td>38.3 ± 0.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver / Body Weight (%)</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.0*</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANALYTE</th>
<th>CONTROL</th>
<th>MCD</th>
<th>AHERO</th>
<th>fa/fa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl, N=4)</td>
<td>157.5 ± 4.7</td>
<td>112.1 ± 7.3*</td>
<td>159.3 ± 10.5</td>
<td>199.8 ± 11.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (ng/ml, N=3)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>9.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td>28.6 ± 1.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/L, N=7)</td>
<td>19.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>136.1 ± 15.0*</td>
<td>51.9 ± 5.5*</td>
<td>53.5 ± 4.9*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p ≤ 0.05 versus control rats

+ weight gain

- weight loss
Table 2: Mouse Body Weight, Liver Weight and Plasma Chemistries

Body weight, liver weight, liver to body weight ratios and plasma chemistries of mouse NASH models. ALT; alanine aminotransferase Data represent the mean ± S.E.M. from 3-7 mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>CONTROL</th>
<th>MCD</th>
<th>AHERO</th>
<th>ob/ob</th>
<th>db/db</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Body Weight (g, N=3)</td>
<td>35.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>17.5 ± 0.6*</td>
<td>26.8 ± 1.2*</td>
<td>45.8 ± 1.2*</td>
<td>39.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight Gain (g, N=3)</td>
<td>+9.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>-10.8 ± 1.7*</td>
<td>+1.8 ± 0.1*</td>
<td>-5.8 ± 1.4*</td>
<td>-6.7 ± 0.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver Weight (g, N=3)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.0*</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver / Body Weight (%) , N=3</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.4*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANALYTE</th>
<th>CONTROL</th>
<th>MCD</th>
<th>AHERO</th>
<th>ob/ob</th>
<th>db/db</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl, N=3)</td>
<td>121.1 ± 56.5</td>
<td>53.1 ± 27.7</td>
<td>111.8 ± 59.3</td>
<td>182.9 ± 6.4</td>
<td>137.4 ± 19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (ng/ml, N=3)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/L, N=7)</td>
<td>46.02 ± 7.7</td>
<td>211.9 ± 15.2*</td>
<td>90.14 ± 22.4</td>
<td>274.99 ± 48.4*</td>
<td>343.457 ± 33.0*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p ≤ 0.05 versus control mice

+ weight gain

- weight loss
Table 3: Liver Pathology Scoring of NASH Rodent Models

NASH Activity Scores (NAS) were tabulated by summing the numerical grades of steatosis (0-3), inflammation (0-2), hepatocyte ballooning (0-2), and fibrosis (0-4) present within the liver. A total NAS score above four is a positive NASH diagnosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Steatosis</th>
<th>Inflammation</th>
<th>Fibrosis</th>
<th>Ballooning</th>
<th>Total NAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rats</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athero</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 ± 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fa/fa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mice</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 ± 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.25 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athero</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ob/ob</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>db/db</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE 1