

DMD # 65425

**Prediction of the clinical risk of drug-induced cholestatic liver injury using an *in vitro* sandwich cultured hepatocyte assay**

Takeshi Susukida, Shuichi Sekine, Mayuka Nozaki, Mayuko Tokizono, and Kousei Ito

Laboratory of Biopharmaceutics, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences,  
Chiba University, Inohana 1-8-1, Chuo-ku, Chiba, 260-8675, Japan

DMD # 65425

**Running title:** Prediction of cholestatic DILI risk

**Address correspondence to:**

Kousei Ito, Ph.D.

Laboratory of Biopharmaceutics

Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chiba University

Inohana 1-8-1, Chuo-ku, Chiba, 260-8675, Japan

Tel.: 81-43-226-2886

Fax: 81-43-226-2886

E-mail: [itokousei@chiba-u.jp](mailto:itokousei@chiba-u.jp)

Text pages: 44

Tables: 4

Figures: 13 (5 for main body, 8 in supplement)

References: 37

Words in Abstract: 195 (250)

Words in Introduction: 743 (750)

Words in Discussion: 1800 (1500)

**Abbreviations:** ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; BA, bile acid; BSEP, bile salt export pump;  $C_{\max}$ , maximum plasma concentration;  $C_{\max,u}$ , maximum unbound plasma concentration;  $C_{ss}$ , steady state plasma concentration; DILI, drug-induced liver injury;  $\gamma$ GT, gamma glutamyltranspeptidase;  $IC_{50}$ , half-maximal inhibitory concentration; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MRP, multidrug resistance-associated protein; PMDA, Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency; PK, pharmacokinetic; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; SCH, sandwich cultured hepatocyte; SEM, standard error of mean; TC, taurocholate; WME, Williams' Medium E

DMD # 65425

## Abstract

Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is of concern to the pharmaceutical industry and reliable preclinical screens are required. Previously, we established an *in vitro* bile acid-dependent hepatotoxicity assay that mimics cholestatic DILI *in vivo*. Here, we confirmed that this assay can predict cholestatic DILI in clinical situations by comparing *in vitro* cytotoxicity data with *in vivo* risk. For 38 drugs, the frequencies of abnormal increases in serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP), transaminases, gamma glutamyltranspeptidase ( $\gamma$ GT), and bilirubin were collected from interview forms. Drugs with frequencies of serum marker increases higher than 1% were classified as high DILI risk compounds. *In vitro* cytotoxicity was assessed by monitoring lactate dehydrogenase release from rat and human sandwich cultured hepatocytes (SCRHs and SCHHs) incubated with the test drugs (50  $\mu$ M) for 24 h in the absence or presence of a bile acids mixture. Receiver operating characteristic analyses gave an optimal cutoff toxicity value of 19.5% and 9.2% for ALP and transaminases in SCRHs, respectively. Using this cutoff, high and low risk drugs were separated with 65.4–78.6% sensitivity and 66.7–79.2% specificity. Good separation was also achieved using

DMD # 65425

SCHHs. In conclusion, cholestatic DILI risk can be successfully predicted using a  
  
SCH-based assay.

DMD # 65425

## Introduction

Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is a potentially serious adverse reaction that leads to the dropout of candidate compounds from drug development processes and the withdrawal of pharmaceuticals from clinical use (Kaplowitz, 2013). DILI can severely damage the liver, resulting in liver transplantation in worst case scenarios; hence, it is essential to identify, remove, and/or assign alerts for possible DILI risk compounds at all stages of the drug development process.

The accumulation of bile acids (BAs) within hepatocytes has been suggested as an underlying mechanism of cholestatic DILI (Stieger et al., 2000; Fattinger et al., 2001; Byrne et al., 2002; Kostrubsky et al., 2006). The bile salt export pump (human BSEP/rat Bsep), which is localized on the apical side of the hepatocyte plasma membrane, plays a major role in the excretion of BAs from the liver to the bile (Meier and Stieger, 2002). Several genetic mutations of BSEP are associated with progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis type 2, and cause severe intracellular accumulation of BAs within the liver (Strautnieks et al., 1998). A similar phenotype also occurs if BSEP function is inhibited or its expression is suppressed by drugs, leading to cholestatic or mixed type DILI (Roman et al., 2003; Dawson et al., 2012).

DMD # 65425

This concept is widely accepted; however, it is still difficult to detect cholestatic DILI risk using preclinical animals. Notably, *Bsep* knockout mice develop severe cholestasis when fed a BA-enriched diet, but display only mild cholestasis when fed a normal diet. This outcome is explained by the fact that (i) endogenous BAs are less toxic to rodents than humans because taurine-conjugated BA species (non-toxic) were predominant in rodents, while glycine-conjugated BAs (toxic) were predominant in human (Thomas et al., 2008; Marion et al., 2012), and (ii) adaptive changes in the expression levels of enzymes and transporters occur in *Bsep* knockout mice (Wang et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2009).

A membrane vesicle assay is considered as a simple and suitable alternative method of predicting the cholestatic DILI potential of test drugs. Previous studies have demonstrated that many drugs that cause cholestatic DILI are potent inhibitors of BSEP (Morgan et al., 2010; Dawson et al., 2012; Warner et al., 2012; Pedersen et al., 2013). Nonetheless, it was gradually indicated that the BSEP-based vesicle assay might misestimate the risk of cholestatic DILI, likely because cell-free systems lack drug metabolism pathways and other BA efflux transporters (such as multidrug resistance-associated protein 3 (MRP3) and MRP4) (Dawson et al., 2012).

DMD # 65425

Historically, predictions of cholestatic DILI risk have depended mainly on membrane vesicle assays as described above (Morgan et al., 2010; Dawson et al., 2012; Morgan et al., 2013; Pedersen et al., 2013; Kock et al., 2014). Although this method is easy to perform and suitable for high throughput screening, it does have some limitations, as discussed elsewhere (Morgan et al., 2013; Pedersen et al., 2013; Kock et al., 2014). It was also claimed that sandwich cultured hepatocytes (SCH)-based transport assays are more suitable than membrane vesicle assays to precisely differentiate BSEP inhibitors that result in relatively mild DILI from those associated with more severe DILI (Pedersen et al., 2013).

To overcome the less toxic nature of BA species in preclinical animals and the shortcomings of the membrane vesicle assay described above, we established a unique cell-based toxicity assay using SCHs in combination with titrated human BA species (Ogimura et al., 2011). The rationale of using SCHs is that drug metabolizing enzymes and transporters are well maintained compared to standard culture conditions (Swift et al., 2010). If a test drug and/or its metabolite inhibit BA efflux from SCHs, the accumulation of BAs eventually induces cell death and is detected by the release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) into the medium. Indeed, in a previous study,

DMD # 65425

we have demonstrated that 11 of the 26 test drugs examined exhibited significant toxicity in SCRHS only in the presence of such human BA compositions (Ogimura et al., 2011). Although most (8 of 11) of these toxic drugs were known BSEP/Bsep inhibitors, it is not yet known if our *in vitro* assay is capable of predicting cholestatic DILI risk in clinical situations; therefore, the aim of this study was to answer this question.

First, we performed an exhaustive search of the Japanese Adverse Drug Event Report database to refine test drug candidates, and then surveyed documents of interest to obtain the actual frequencies of serum marker increases. Finally, these data were compared with the *in vitro* toxicity data obtained using SCRHS and SCHHs.

DMD # 65425

## **Materials and Methods**

### **Selection of test drugs**

To select test drugs, we surveyed the Japanese Adverse Drug Event Report database, which is operated by Japan's Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA). From a total of 1,866,993 cases (reported between August 2004 and August 2013), 421,904 were extracted as drug-related adverse events. From these cases, 1,984 drugs were identified as the most likely candidates, according to the guideline issued by the PMDA. The drugs of interest were scored according to the number of cases using the following keywords: hepatocellular injury ("liver injury", "liver dysfunction", "serum albumin concentration", "total protein in serum", "aspartate transaminase (AST)", "alanine transaminase (ALT)", "transaminase", "non-alcoholic steatohepatitis", "hepatic cirrhosis", "liver inflammation", "steatosis", "acute or chronic liver failure", "hepatic fibrosis", "liver carcinoma", and "fulminant hepatic failure"); and cholestasis ("jaundice", "hyper bilirubinemia", "alkaline phosphatase (ALP)" and "gamma glutamyltranspeptidase ( $\gamma$ GT)". The 243 drugs were then arranged in descending order of the rate of cholestatic DILI, which was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Rate of cholestatic DILI} = (\text{cases with cholestasis keywords}) / ((\text{cases with$$

DMD # 65425

cholestasis keywords) + (cases with hepatocellular injury keywords)). Finally, 38 drugs that covered a broad range of cholestatic DILI incidences were selected from the list. As for the test set in SCHHs, 6 drugs which showed strong hepatocyte toxicity independent of BAs in SCRHs were firstly excluded, and then represented 22 drugs (12 drugs which showed BA-dependent hepatocyte toxicity and 10 drugs which did not show any toxicity; in SCRHs) were selected from 38 drugs.

### **Frequency of serum test abnormalities**

To calculate the frequencies of serum marker increases, we collected interview forms for 38 brand-name drugs from pharmaceutical companies. Abnormal serum levels of ALP, transaminases (AST/ALT),  $\gamma$ GT, and bilirubin were selected as markers of a particular type of DILI. The incidences of these serum biomarkers and the numbers of patients (including infants) examined were extracted from the interview forms. The clinical studies based on (i) combination therapy (e.g., antibiotics with proton pump inhibitors) and (ii) non-Japanese patients, were excluded from the number of cases. The frequencies of increases in the markers were calculated as follows: Frequency of ALP, transaminases,  $\gamma$ GT or bilirubin abnormalities (%) = (number of cases with the

DMD # 65425

serum test abnormality) / (number of patients enrolled in the clinical studies in Japan)  
  
× 100.

## **Materials**

BAs and test compounds were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd. (Osaka, Japan), Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), or Calbiochem (Darmstadt, Germany). Williams' Medium E (WME), antibiotic-antimycotic solution, and GlutaMAX™ were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Insulin was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Matrigel and ITS premix culture supplement were purchased from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA, USA). Collagenase and dexamethasone were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd. All other chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade, unless otherwise noted.

## **Animals**

Male Sprague Dawley rats (SLC Japan Inc., Tokyo, Japan) aged 7–8-weeks were used throughout the study. The animals were treated humanely in accordance with the guidelines issued by the National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD, USA). In

DMD # 65425

addition, all procedures were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Chiba University (Chiba, Japan).

### **Rat and human sandwich cultured hepatocytes**

Tissue culture (96-well) plates were pre-coated with type 1 collagen (BD Biosciences) at least 1 h prior to the preparation of hepatocyte cultures. Rat hepatocytes were isolated using a two-step perfusion method, as described previously by our group (Ogimura et al., 2011; Susukida et al., 2015). Isolated hepatocytes were seeded onto collagen (1.5 mg/mL, pH 7.4)-coated 96-well plates at a density of  $0.48 \times 10^5$  cells/well in plating medium consisting of WME containing 5% FBS, 0.1  $\mu$ M dexamethasone, 4 mg/L insulin, 2 mM GlutaMAX<sup>TM</sup>, 15 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, penicillin (100 units/mL), and streptomycin (100  $\mu$ g/mL). At 1.5 h after seeding, the medium was aspirated, and fresh plating WME was added to each well. Briefly, 24 h after plating, hepatocytes were overlaid with Matrigel (0.25 mg/mL) dissolved in ice-cold culture medium consisting of WME containing 1% ITS, 0.1  $\mu$ M dexamethasone, 2 mM GlutaMAX<sup>TM</sup>, penicillin (100 units/mL), and streptomycin (100  $\mu$ g/mL). Thereafter, the medium (WME) was changed daily till 4 days after cell seeding. For SCHHs,

DMD # 65425

cryopreserved human hepatocytes (Hu1437, Hu1524 and Hu4197; Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA) were thawed and seeded according to the manufacturer's protocol. Thawed hepatocytes were poured into CHRM<sup>®</sup> Medium (Life Technologies) at 37°C. The cells were centrifuged at 100 g for 10 min at room temperature and resuspended in plating medium consisting of WME containing 5% FBS, 0.1 µM dexamethasone, 4 mg/L insulin, 2 mM GlutaMAX<sup>™</sup>, 15 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, penicillin (100 units/mL), and streptomycin (100 µg/mL). Then hepatocytes were seeded onto collagen-coated 96-well plate at a density  $0.48 \times 10^5$  cells/well. At 4 h after seeding, the medium was aspirated and hepatocytes were overlaid with Matrigel (0.25 mg/ml) dissolved in ice-cold culture medium. The medium (WME) was changed daily till 5 days after cell seeding. Both SCRHS and SCHHS were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air/5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Information of used lots of human hepatocytes is described in Table I

### **Cytotoxicity assay**

SCRHS and SCHHS were exposed to each test compound in the presence or absence of a BA mixture comprising the 12 BAs shown in Table II. After exposure to

DMD # 65425

the test compounds for 24 h, cytotoxicity was assessed by measuring the activity of LDH released from damaged cells (LDH sample) using the LDH-Cytotoxic Test (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan). The LDH activity was expressed as a percentage of maximum LDH activity in Triton X-100-solubilized lysates of control SCHs (LDH Triton X-100), using the following equation: Cell toxicity (%) = (LDH sample - LDH blank) / (LDH Triton X-100 - LDH blank) × 100. The LDH blank value was determined using untreated SCHs.

### **Receiver operating characteristic analysis**

Statistical analyses of the predictabilities of the drug-induced frequencies of ALP, transaminases,  $\gamma$ GT, and bilirubin increases in human serum were performed using receiver operating characteristic (Another) curves in GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The ROC curves were generated by computing the paired true-positive and false-positive rates for all possible thresholds of the cell toxicity assays using SCRHS and SCHHs. In ROC curves, the predictions that occur towards the top-left corner are indicative of high true-positive and low

DMD # 65425

false-positive rates. This approach generates a threshold where the false-positive rate is zero and the true-positive rate is a fraction between 0 and 100%.

DMD # 65425

## Results

### Investigation of the clinical risk of cholestatic DILI compounds

To identify the test drugs, we surveyed the PMDA's voluntary adverse reaction database. From the top 243 drugs related to DILI, 38 were arbitrary selected to cover the whole range and a detailed survey of the clinical frequencies of cholestatic DILI was performed using the information in interview forms (Table III). The frequency of ALP increases ranged from 0.011% (clarithromycin) to 14.3% (clozapine), with a median of 0.52%. The frequency of transaminases (ALT/AST) increases ranged from 0.019% (clarithromycin) to 50.0% (bosentan), with a median of 1.85%. The frequency of  $\gamma$ GT increases ranged from 0.0040% (tranilast) to 18.1% (carbamazepine), with a median of 0.61%. The frequency of bilirubin increases ranged from 0.0040% (clarithromycin) to 2.5% (bosentan), with a median of 0.19%. Notably, the frequencies of ALP and transaminases (these serum markers are used in the diagnosis and management of DILI (Chalasani et al., 2014)) were positively correlated (linear regression after logarithmic conversions;  $r^2 = 0.840$  for ALP versus transaminases (Fig. 1)). The other relationships between frequencies of clinical markers (e.g., ALP versus  $\gamma$ GT) were shown in Supplementary Fig.1.

DMD # 65425

## **Optimization of the BA mixture concentration**

Before performing BA-dependent drug toxicity assays, we examined the sensitivities of SCRHS and SCHHs to various concentrations of the standard (1x) BA mixture of human serum (Scherer et al., 2009) (Table II). In both cell types, toxicity began to rise at 100x concentrations, and increased in a concentration dependent manner. In our assay condition, SCRH was more susceptible compared to SCHH (Fig. 2). To detect subtle changes in BA accumulation-dependent enhancement of the toxicity, we used an 115x BA mixture for SCRH and an 150x BA mixture for SCHH in subsequent assays, where the basal toxicity of 20-30% were expected. Although the optimized exogenous BAs to SCRHS and SCHHs in this study were significant excess (at least 115x human serum concentrations), we have already reported the mRNA expression levels of BA uptake transporters (i.e., Ntcp and Oatp1a1) are dramatically diminished in SCRHS and the downregulation of uptake transporters supports our rationale for employing an extremely high concentration range for total BAs (227–681  $\mu$ M) compared with BA levels observed in clinical situations (Susukida et al., 2015).

DMD # 65425

## **Evaluation of drug toxicity in SCRHS and SCHHs**

The toxicities of the 38 drugs to SCRHS were determined using drug concentrations of 50  $\mu$ M (except cyclosporine A used as positive control; 10  $\mu$ M) and the 115 $\times$  BA mixture. With the exceptions of 7 drugs (amiodarone, clozapine, duloxetine, ethinylestradiol, everolimus, fluoxetine, and sertraline), the drugs showed minimum toxicity to SCRHS in the absence of the BA mixture (Fig. 3A). The toxicities of the drugs were more or less enhanced in the presence of the 115 $\times$  BA mixture (Fig. 3A). Similarly, the toxicities of the arbitrary selected 22 drugs to SCHHs were determined using drug concentrations of 50  $\mu$ M (except cyclosporine A used as positive control; 10  $\mu$ M) and the 150 $\times$  BA mixture. With the exceptions of 2 drugs (amiodarone and tacrolimus, in Hu4197), the drugs showed minimum toxicity to SCHHs in the absence of the BA mixture (Fig. 3B-D). The toxicities of the drugs to SCHHs were more or less enhanced in the presence of the 150 $\times$  BA mixture (Fig. 3B-D). When correlation analyses of the toxicities to each lot of human hepatocytes in the absence and presence of the BA mixture of tested 22 drugs were performed, a positive correlation was observed in the presence of BAs (Supplementary Figs. 2D-F) but not in the

DMD # 65425

absence of BAs (Supplementary Figs. 2A-C). However, some drugs (clopidogrel, leflunomide and ticlopidine) showed lot variation for BA-dependent hepatocyte toxicity in SCHHs.

### **Correlation of rat and human sandwich hepatocyte toxicity data**

To determine if the SCRHS and SCHHs responded similarly to the drugs, correlation analyses of the toxicities to each cell type in the absence and presence of the BA mixture were performed for the 22 drugs tested in common (Fig. 4). Although the correlation between the rat and human cells was poor in the absence of the BA mixture (Fig. 4A-C), a positive correlation was observed in the presence of this mixture (Fig. 4D:  $y = 0.4492x - 2.142$ ,  $r^2 = 0.7516$ ; 4E:  $y = 0.6525x + 4.501$ ,  $r^2 = 0.7543$ ; 4F:  $y = 0.6242x + 3.698$ ,  $R^2 = 0.7431$ ).

### **Prediction of clinical risk from the *in vitro* data**

To evaluate if the *in vitro* toxicity data reflected the clinical risk of cholestatic DILI, the relationships between the *in vitro* and *in vivo* data were examined. Most of the drugs with lower frequencies of clinical ALP and transaminases increases showed minimal

DMD # 65425

BA-dependent drug toxicity to SCRHS, while those with higher frequencies of clinical ALP and transaminases increases showed enhanced BA-dependent toxicity (Figs. 5A and E). Similar tendencies were also observed when the frequencies of the ALP and transaminases increases were plotted against the BA-dependent toxicities of the drugs to SCHs (Figs. 5B-D and 5F-H). To evaluate the usefulness of the *in vitro* assay further, ROC analysis was performed. The clinical increases in serum markers of 1.0% were used to separate the drugs into low and high risk groups. As a result, by setting an *in vitro* toxicity cutoff value of 19.5% for SCRHS, the drugs with a high risk of clinical ALP increases (>1.0%) were correctly sorted with 78.6% sensitivity and 79.2% specificity (Fig. 5A and Table IV). Similarly, by setting an *in vitro* toxicity cutoff value of 9.2% for SCRHS, the drugs with a high risk of clinical transaminases increases (>1.0%) were correctly sorted with 65.4% sensitivity and 66.7% specificity (Fig. 5E and Table IV). The study of SCRHS was replicated at least two times, and therefore the represented data was shown. In the replicated trial in SCRHS, it successfully predicted the clinical frequencies of serum marker increases for most drugs and therefore the reproducibility of the work has been established (data not shown). In SCHs, approximately 70% sensitivity and 80% specificity for the

DMD # 65425

prediction of both clinical ALP increases and transaminases (>1.0%) increases were obtained from the analyses of Hu1524 and Hu4197 toxicity data. (Figs. 5C-D, 5G-H and Table IV). However, analysis of the other lot of SCHHs (Hu1437) toxicity data resulted in poor sensitivity (less than 50% for both serum markers), because of the lot variation of clopidogrel, leflunomide and ticlopidine-induced BA-dependent hepatocyte toxicity (Figs. 5B, F and Table IV). The clinical frequency of  $\gamma$ GT increase was also well predicted in both SCRHs and SCHHs (Supplementary Figs. 3A-D, and Supplementary Table II). On the contrary, the frequency of serum bilirubin increase was not correlated with the *in vitro* toxicities in both SCRHs and SCHHs (Supplementary Figs. 3E-H, Supplementary Table II). Prediction of serum marker elevations was not possible in the absence of the BA mixture (Supplementary Fig. 4).

To determine if the predictability of the *in vitro* assay was improved by considering the drug concentration *in vivo*, the maximum plasma concentrations ( $C_{max}$ ) and maximum unbound plasma concentrations ( $C_{max,u}$ ) were collected from the interview forms (Supplementary Table I). These concentrations were multiplied by the *in vitro* toxicity data obtained in SCRHs and SCHHs and plotted against the *in vivo* frequencies of the increases in serum markers. However, such corrections did not

DMD # 65425

improve the predictive capability of the *in vitro* assay (compare Supplementary Figs.

5A and B with Fig. 5).

DMD # 65425

## Discussion

We have previously established a unique SCH-based toxicity assay system focusing on cholestatic DILI (Ogimura et al., 2011) and now successfully confirmed here that the assay is particularly useful for the prediction of clinical serum marker abnormalities. The SCH-based toxicity assay described here has an advantage of including metabolic enzymes and BA efflux transporters other than BSEP/Bsep, over the membrane vesicle transport assay. For some drugs, their metabolites inhibit BSEP/Bsep more potently than the parent compound. For example, the IC<sub>50</sub> of troglitazone against [<sup>3</sup>H]TC uptake into isolated bile canalicular membrane vesicles from rat liver is 3.9 μM, while that of the sulfated conjugate is as low as 0.4–0.6 μM (Funk et al., 2001). Exposure of SCRHS to 10 μM troglitazone enhances BA-dependent toxicity significantly (Ogimura et al., 2011), which is likely explained by the extensive metabolism of the drug to its sulfate conjugate in these cells (Izaki et al., submitted) (Yang and Brouwer, 2014). Considering the importance of metabolic enzymes in our SCH-based toxicity assay, it sometimes gives distinct result because of the presence of large interspecies differences in drug-metabolizing enzyme expression and activity. Our group has reported that the parent form of glibenclamide

DMD # 65425

(GLM, not used in the current study) might contribute to the BA-dependent hepatocyte toxicity in SCRHS (Ogimura et al., 2011) but it did not show BA-dependent hepatocyte toxicity in SCHHs (data not shown). That might be explained by the fact that GLM was more extensively metabolized in human liver microsomes, than in rat liver microsomes (Ravindran et al., 2013).

The BA-dependent hepatocyte toxicity of some drugs (clopidogrel, leflunomide and ticlopidine) in Hu1437 was lower than that of other two lots (Fig. 3B, Supplementary Fig. 2D-E) and that resulted in poor sensitivity of the frequencies of *in vivo* serum test abnormalities (Figs. 5B, F; Supplementary Figs. 3B, F; Table IV and Supplementary Table II). Given the parent form of these drugs showed minimum inhibitory effect against human BSEP ((Morgan et al., 2013), see Supplementary Table I), those metabolites possibly contribute to the toxicity and their intracellular amount might depend on the activity of metabolic enzymes in each lot. Notably, these three drugs are known to be metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2C19 and their metabolites themselves have pharmacology effects (Bohanec Grabar et al., 2009; Farid et al., 2010; Nakkam et al., 2015), implying that CYP2C19 may be the candidate for producing toxic metabolites. According to the donor information of

DMD # 65425

human hepatocytes (Table I), the donor of Hu1437 was elderly (70 years old) Caucasian female. There was a clinical investigation in Caucasian for the influences of age and gender on the disposition of the CYP2C19 substrate and it reported that elderly women (i.e., the donor of Hu1437) may have lower activity of CYP2C19 (Hooper and Qing, 1990; Kobayashi et al., 2004). Therefore, that could be one of considerable reasons for the lower BA-dependent hepatocyte toxicity of these drugs (clopidogrel, leflunomide and ticlopidine) not appeared in this lot. Interestingly, same tendency was also observed in SCRHS. These drugs did not show BA-dependent hepatocyte toxicity in another trial of SCRHS (Supplementary Figs. 8A). Although it is unknown which CYP enzymes are involved in producing those toxic metabolites in rats, their amount may be influenced by the expression level of the involved CYP enzyme in each trial of SCRHS.

The results of other drugs except clopidogrel, leflunomide, and ticlopidine presented here did not show both interspecies and lot variation; indicating that rat cells were a good alternate for human cells. This finding is partially attributable to the similar inhibition profiles of human BSEP and rat Bsep, as reported previously (Morgan et al., 2010; Dawson et al., 2012). In a study of 56 compounds, it was found

DMD # 65425

that the IC<sub>50</sub> values of the majority of compounds towards [<sup>3</sup>H]TC uptake into membrane vesicles from Sf9 cells expressing human BSEP and rat Bsep were quite similar (Morgan et al., 2010). Moreover, in a study of 85 compounds, it was confirmed a close correlation ( $r^2 = 0.94$ ) between the IC<sub>50</sub> values of drugs towards [<sup>3</sup>H]TC uptake into membrane vesicles isolated from Sf21 cells expressing human BSEP and rat Bsep (Dawson et al., 2012).

One might expect that the prediction accuracy of an *in vitro* assay would be improved by considering the pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters of each drug. In a previous study, 95% of compounds with a C<sub>ss</sub>/BSEP IC<sub>50</sub> ratio  $\geq 0.1$  were correctly identified as having an association with DILI, while the prediction accuracy was as low as 79% when considering the BSEP IC<sub>50</sub> values alone (Morgan et al., 2013). However, consideration of the C<sub>max</sub> or C<sub>max,u</sub> values did not improve the predictability of the *in vitro* assay described here (Supplementary Figs. 5A and B). A possible reason for this finding is the variable accumulation of each drug inside hepatocytes *in vivo* (Grime et al., 2008). Alternatively, patients exhibiting DILI might have quite different exposures to non-DILI patients. Given this situation, PK parameters determined using non-DILI patients might not improve the predictabilities of assays.

DMD # 65425

Similarly, it was suggested that correction of assay results using PK parameters did not improve the prediction accuracy (Morgan et al., 2013).

We do not have a clear basis for setting the test drug concentration to 50  $\mu$ M, but this value is empirically chosen based on previous studies (Morgan et al., 2010; Morgan et al., 2013; Pedersen et al., 2013; Aleo et al., 2014; Kock et al., 2014). For example, the inhibitory effects of 250 compounds on human BSEP in a membrane vesicle transport assay and found that 86 compounds inhibited human BSEP function significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) at 50  $\mu$ M (Pedersen et al., 2013). To verify the detectability of 50  $\mu$ M of the test drug concentration in our SCH-based toxicity assay, the concentration-response relationships in SCRHs were tentatively examined for two selected groups; (i) 8 drugs (atorvastatin, clopidogrel, cyclosporine A, flutamide, leflunomide, naftopidil, tacrolimus, and ticlopidine) which showed BA-dependent hepatocyte toxicity at 50  $\mu$ M and (ii) 9 drugs (carbamazepine, clarithromycin, lamivudine, levofloxacin, ranitidine, tranilast, valproate, valsartan, and voriconazole) which did not show BA-dependent hepatocyte toxicity at 50  $\mu$ M but their  $100 \times C_{\max,u}$  are beyond 50  $\mu$ M. As a result, calculated  $LC_{50}$  (half-maximal lethal concentration) values of drugs in group (i) were set within the range of 6.0-128  $\mu$ M while those in

DMD # 65425

group (ii) were within the range of 183-4380  $\mu\text{M}$  (Supplementary Figs. 8A and B). It was found that drugs with  $\text{LC}_{50} < 400 \mu\text{M}$  apparently showed detectable BA-dependent hepatocyte toxicity in SCRHS under setting the test drug concentration to 50  $\mu\text{M}$ ; however, the toxicity of drugs with  $\text{LC}_{50} < 60 \mu\text{M}$  seems saturated while the toxicity of drugs with  $\text{LC}_{50} > 500 \mu\text{M}$  seems overlooked, implying that drugs with extremely lower or higher  $\text{LC}_{50}$  values could not be properly evaluated by fixing the test drug concentration (Supplementary Fig. 8C). From the theoretical stand point of view,  $\text{LC}_{50}$  values would be more comprehensive index than the cell toxicity data obtained at fixed drug concentration. It is to be determined in the future study if predictability is improved by the use of  $\text{LC}_{50}$  values in combination with PK parameters.

Our group also found that efflux transporters other than Bsep might be involved in exporting some BAs from hepatocytes, and that their inhibition aggravates BA-dependent toxicity in SCRHS (Susukida et al., 2015). Flutamide is an example of one such drug that inhibits other efflux transporters. The  $\text{IC}_{50}$  of flutamide towards human BSEP and rat Bsep was reported to be as high as 143.2  $\mu\text{M}$  and 78.7  $\mu\text{M}$ , respectively (Dawson et al., 2012), and 50  $\mu\text{M}$  flutamide did not inhibit human BSEP

DMD # 65425

in a previous study (Pedersen et al., 2013). However, here, flutamide induced BA-dependent toxicity in both human and rat SCHs (Fig. 3), implying the involvement of the inhibition of BA efflux proteins other than BSEP/Bsep. In line with this proposal, it has been already suggested that inhibition assays focusing on BSEP only are insufficient, and highlighted the need to consider basolateral efflux transporters such as MRP3 and MRP4 or another unidentified BA transporter for accurate predictions of drug toxicities (Morgan et al., 2013; Kock et al., 2014; Susukida et al., 2015). In fact, the human BSEP inhibition data alone ( $IC_{50}$  values in BSEP-expressed membrane vesicles, see Supplementary Table I) did not correlate well with abnormal frequencies of serum markers (data not shown).

Other studies categorized DILI risk based on FDA risk classifications, including the Black Box Warning, Warning / Precaution, Adverse Reaction, and Not Mentioned labels. These classifications are not determined solely by the frequency of the marker increase, but also take other factors into account (Avigan, 2014), such as the estimated rates of life-threatening hepatotoxic adverse events among treated patients, the presence (or absence) of effective tools to reduce DILI risk in treated patients, and regulatory outcomes. Notably, the SCH assay described here simply

DMD # 65425

reflects the probability of BA accumulation inside hepatocytes and subsequent cell death. From this stand point, we think it is reasonable that the assay does not necessarily predict the FDA category classification, but can predict the frequencies of serum test abnormalities (Supplementary Fig. 6). However, some false-negative or false-positive predictions were obtained (Fig. 5). One of the possible reasons is the *in vivo*-specific effects, such as the induction of marker enzymes (ALP and  $\gamma$ GT), is responsible for the false-negative cases (e.g., carbamazepine) (Voudris et al., 2005). Moreover, correlation between the frequency of serum bilirubin increase and the frequencies of other serum marker increases in patients are relatively poor ( $r^2 = 0.2581-0.3144$ ) (Supplementary Figs. 1C-E), and the frequency of serum bilirubin increase was not well correlated with cytotoxicity in both SCRHs and SCHHs, compared with other serum markers (Supplementary Figs. 3E-H, Supplementary Table II). One of the considerable reasons why the clinical frequency of serum bilirubin increase did not give good predictability for the risk of cholestatic DILI might be that bilirubin was excreted to the bile by MRP2 but not BSEP (Jedlitschky et al., 1997). Our SCH-based toxicity assay can evaluate the hepatocyte toxicity due to intracellular BA accumulation by mainly BSEP inhibition, and therefore the serum

DMD # 65425

marker elevated by different mechanisms (i.e., MRP2 inhibition) may not be predicted with our assay system.

In conclusion, BA-dependent cytotoxicity assay using SCHs might be a useful preclinical screening tool to predict the risk of cholestatic DILI. Recently, it was reported that the prediction accuracy of general DILI risk is improved when considering the potential of mitochondrial dysfunction as well as BSEP inhibition (Aleo et al., 2014). These observations are consistent with the understanding that BA-induced apoptosis is one of the major causes of hepatocellular injury (Woolbright and Jaeschke, 2012). From a practical point of view, the assay described here seems beneficial because it does not require knowledge of the clinical dose or target concentration, which are sometimes difficult to estimate during the preclinical drug development stage.

DMD # 65425

## **Acknowledgements**

We would like to express our gratitude to LSI Medience Corporation and Shiseido Corporation for kindly gifting cryopreserved human hepatocytes (Hu1437, Hu1524, and Hu4197; Life Technologies).

## **Authorship Contributions**

*Participated in research design:* Susukida, Sekine, Nozaki, and Ito

*Conducted experiments:* Susukida, Nozaki and Tokizono

*Contributed new reagents or analytic tools:* Susukida, Sekine, Nozaki, and Ito

*Performed data analysis:* Susukida, Sekine, Nozaki, Tokizono, and Ito

*Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript:* Susukida, Sekine, Nozaki, and Ito

## **Conflict of Interest**

The authors state no conflicts of interests.

DMD # 65425

## References

- Aleo MD, Luo Y, Swiss R, Bonin PD, Potter DM, and Will Y (2014) Human drug-induced liver injury severity is highly associated with dual inhibition of liver mitochondrial function and bile salt export pump. *Hepatology* **60**:1015-1022.
- Avigan MI (2014) DILI and drug development: a regulatory perspective. *Seminars in liver disease* **34**:215-226.
- Bohanec Grabar P, Grabnar I, Rozman B, Logar D, Tomsic M, Suput D, Trdan T, Peterlin Masic L, Mrhar A, and Dolzan V (2009) Investigation of the influence of CYP1A2 and CYP2C19 genetic polymorphism on 2-Cyano-3-hydroxy-N-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-butenamide (A77 1726) pharmacokinetics in leflunomide-treated patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Drug metabolism and disposition: the biological fate of chemicals* **37**:2061-2068.
- Byrne JA, Strautnieks SS, Mieli-Vergani G, Higgins CF, Linton KJ, and Thompson RJ (2002) The human bile salt export pump: characterization of substrate specificity and identification of inhibitors. *Gastroenterology* **123**:1649-1658.
- Chalasani NP, Hayashi PH, Bonkovsky HL, Navarro VJ, Lee WM, Fontana RJ, and Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of G (2014) ACG Clinical Guideline: the diagnosis and management of idiosyncratic drug-induced liver injury. *The American journal of gastroenterology* **109**:950-966; quiz 967.
- Dawson S, Stahl S, Paul N, Barber J, and Kenna JG (2012) In vitro inhibition of the bile salt export pump correlates with risk of cholestatic drug-induced liver injury in humans. *Drug metabolism and disposition: the biological fate of chemicals* **40**:130-138.
- Farid NA, Kurihara A, and Wrighton SA (2010) Metabolism and disposition of the thienopyridine antiplatelet drugs ticlopidine, clopidogrel, and prasugrel in humans. *Journal of clinical pharmacology* **50**:126-142.
- Fattinger K, Funk C, Pantze M, Weber C, Reichen J, Stieger B, and Meier PJ (2001) The endothelin antagonist bosentan inhibits the canalicular bile salt export pump: a potential mechanism for hepatic adverse reactions. *Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics* **69**:223-231.
- Funk C, Pantze M, Jehle L, Ponelle C, Scheuermann G, Lazendic M, and Gasser R (2001) Troglitazone-induced intrahepatic cholestasis by an interference with the hepatobiliary export of bile acids in male and female rats. Correlation with the gender difference in troglitazone sulfate formation and the inhibition of the canalicular bile salt export pump (Bsep) by troglitazone and troglitazone sulfate. *Toxicology* **167**:83-98.

## DMD # 65425

- Grime K, Webborn PJ, and Riley RJ (2008) Functional consequences of active hepatic uptake on cytochrome P450 inhibition in rat and human hepatocytes. *Drug metabolism and disposition: the biological fate of chemicals* **36**:1670-1678.
- Hooper WD and Qing MS (1990) The influence of age and gender on the stereoselective metabolism and pharmacokinetics of mephobarbital in humans. *Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics* **48**:633-640.
- Jedlitschky G, Leier I, Buchholz U, Hummel-Eisenbeiss J, Burchell B, and Keppler D (1997) ATP-dependent transport of bilirubin glucuronides by the multidrug resistance protein MRP1 and its hepatocyte canalicular isoform MRP2. *The Biochemical journal* **327** ( Pt 1):305-310.
- Kaplowitz N (2013) Avoiding idiosyncratic DILI: two is better than one. *Hepatology* **58**:15-17.
- Kobayashi K, Morita J, Chiba K, Wanibuchi A, Kimura M, Irie S, Urae A, and Ishizaki T (2004) Pharmacogenetic roles of CYP2C19 and CYP2B6 in the metabolism of R- and S-mephobarbital in humans. *Pharmacogenetics* **14**:549-556.
- Kock K, Ferslew BC, Netterberg I, Yang K, Urban TJ, Swaan PW, Stewart PW, and Brouwer KL (2014) Risk factors for development of cholestatic drug-induced liver injury: inhibition of hepatic basolateral bile acid transporters multidrug resistance-associated proteins 3 and 4. *Drug metabolism and disposition: the biological fate of chemicals* **42**:665-674.
- Kostrubsky SE, Strom SC, Kalgutkar AS, Kulkarni S, Atherton J, Mireles R, Feng B, Kubik R, Hanson J, Urda E, and Mutlib AE (2006) Inhibition of hepatobiliary transport as a predictive method for clinical hepatotoxicity of nefazodone. *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the Society of Toxicology* **90**:451-459.
- Marion TL, Perry CH, St Claire RL, 3rd, and Brouwer KL (2012) Endogenous bile acid disposition in rat and human sandwich-cultured hepatocytes. *Toxicology and applied pharmacology* **261**:1-9.
- Meier PJ and Stieger B (2002) Bile salt transporters. *Annual review of physiology* **64**:635-661.
- Morgan RE, Trauner M, van Staden CJ, Lee PH, Ramachandran B, Eschenberg M, Afshari CA, Qualls CW, Jr., Lightfoot-Dunn R, and Hamadeh HK (2010) Interference with bile salt export pump function is a susceptibility factor for human liver injury in drug development. *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the Society of Toxicology* **118**:485-500.
- Morgan RE, van Staden CJ, Chen Y, Kalyanaraman N, Kalanzi J, Dunn RT, 2nd, Afshari CA, and Hamadeh HK (2013) A multifactorial approach to hepatobiliary transporter assessment enables improved therapeutic compound development. *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the Society of Toxicology* **136**:216-241.
- Nakkam N, Tiamkao S, Kanjanawart S, Tiamkao S, Vannaprasaht S, Tassaneeyakul W, and Tassaneeyakul W (2015) The impact of genetic polymorphisms of drug metabolizing

DMD # 65425

- enzymes on the pharmacodynamics of clopidogrel under steady state conditions. *Drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics*.
- Ogimura E, Sekine S, and Horie T (2011) Bile salt export pump inhibitors are associated with bile acid-dependent drug-induced toxicity in sandwich-cultured hepatocytes. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications* **416**:313-317.
- Pedersen JM, Matsson P, Bergstrom CA, Hoogstraate J, Noren A, LeCluyse EL, and Artursson P (2013) Early identification of clinically relevant drug interactions with the human bile salt export pump (BSEP/ABCB11). *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the Society of Toxicology* **136**:328-343.
- Ravindran S, Gorti SKK, Basu S, Surve P, and Honrao P (2013) Differences and similarities in the metabolism of glyburide for various species: an analysis by LC-DAD-Q-TRAP-MS/MS. *J Anal Bioanal Techniques* **4**:2.
- Roman ID, Fernandez-Moreno MD, Fueyo JA, Roma MG, and Coleman R (2003) Cyclosporin A induced internalization of the bile salt export pump in isolated rat hepatocyte couplets. *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the Society of Toxicology* **71**:276-281.
- Scherer M, Gnewuch C, Schmitz G, and Liebisch G (2009) Rapid quantification of bile acids and their conjugates in serum by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of chromatography B, Analytical technologies in the biomedical and life sciences* **877**:3920-3925.
- Stieger B, Fattinger K, Madon J, Kullak-Ublick GA, and Meier PJ (2000) Drug- and estrogen-induced cholestasis through inhibition of the hepatocellular bile salt export pump (Bsep) of rat liver. *Gastroenterology* **118**:422-430.
- Strautnieks SS, Bull LN, Knisely AS, Kocoshis SA, Dahl N, Arnell H, Sokal E, Dahan K, Childs S, Ling V, Tanner MS, Kagalwalla AF, Nemeth A, Pawlowska J, Baker A, Mieli-Vergani G, Freimer NB, Gardiner RM, and Thompson RJ (1998) A gene encoding a liver-specific ABC transporter is mutated in progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis. *Nature genetics* **20**:233-238.
- Susukida T, Sekine S, Ogimura E, Aoki S, Oizumi K, Horie T, and Ito K (2015) Basal efflux of bile acids contributes to drug-induced bile acid-dependent hepatocyte toxicity in rat sandwich-cultured hepatocytes. *Toxicology in vitro : an international journal published in association with BIBRA* **29**:1454-1463.
- Swift B, Pfeifer ND, and Brouwer KL (2010) Sandwich-cultured hepatocytes: an in vitro model to evaluate hepatobiliary transporter-based drug interactions and hepatotoxicity. *Drug metabolism reviews* **42**:446-471.
- Thomas C, Pellicciari R, Pruzanski M, Auwerx J, and Schoonjans K (2008) Targeting bile-acid signalling for metabolic diseases. *Nature reviews Drug discovery* **7**:678-693.

# DMD # 65425

- Voudris KA, Attilakos A, Katsarou E, Garoufi A, Dimou S, Skardoutsou A, and Mastroianni S (2005) Early alteration in bone metabolism in epileptic children receiving carbamazepine monotherapy owing to the induction of hepatic drug-metabolizing enzymes. *Journal of child neurology* **20**:513-516.
- Wang R, Chen HL, Liu L, Sheps JA, Phillips MJ, and Ling V (2009) Compensatory role of P-glycoproteins in knockout mice lacking the bile salt export pump. *Hepatology* **50**:948-956.
- Wang R, Lam P, Liu L, Forrest D, Yousef IM, Mignault D, Phillips MJ, and Ling V (2003) Severe cholestasis induced by cholic acid feeding in knockout mice of sister of P-glycoprotein. *Hepatology* **38**:1489-1499.
- Warner DJ, Chen H, Cantin LD, Kenna JG, Stahl S, Walker CL, and Noeske T (2012) Mitigating the inhibition of human bile salt export pump by drugs: opportunities provided by physicochemical property modulation, in silico modeling, and structural modification. *Drug metabolism and disposition: the biological fate of chemicals* **40**:2332-2341.
- Woolbright BL and Jaeschke H (2012) Novel insight into mechanisms of cholestatic liver injury. *World journal of gastroenterology : WJG* **18**:4985-4993.
- Yang K and Brouwer KL (2014) Hepatocellular exposure of troglitazone metabolites in rat sandwich-cultured hepatocytes lacking Bcrp and Mrp2: interplay between formation and excretion. *Drug metabolism and disposition: the biological fate of chemicals* **42**:1219-1226.

DMD # 65425

## Footnotes

This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS)

KAKENHI [Grant Numbers: 24390037, 23790172].

DMD # 65425

## Figure Legends

### **Fig. 1. Relationships between the frequencies of serum marker increases in patients.**

Frequencies of increases in the serum levels of ALP and transaminases. The frequencies were calculated for 38 selected drugs based on the numbers of cases reported in the interview forms. Frequency data are shown in Table III.

### **Fig. 2. BA concentration-dependent toxicity in SCRHS and SCHHs.**

SCRHS (open circles) and SCHHs (open squares) were cultured for 4 days (rat) or 5 days (human) then treated with various concentrations of the BA mixture (0-150x standard BA mixture for SCRHS and 0–250x standard BA mixture for SCHHs) for 24 h. The composition and concentration of the standard (1x) BA mixture is shown in Table II. Cell toxicity was determined by measuring the activity of LDH released into the medium. Data are represented as the mean  $\pm$  standard error of mean (SEM) of  $n = 3$ .

### **Fig. 3. *In vitro* toxicities of the selected drugs to SCRHS and SCHHs in the absence or presence of the BA mixture.**

DMD # 65425

The SCRHs were cultured for 4 days (A) and SCHHs were cultured for 5 days (B, Hu1437; C, Hu1524; D, Hu4197). They were treated with cyclosporine A (10  $\mu$ M) or the other test drugs (50  $\mu$ M) in the absence (open bars) or presence (closed bars) of the BA mixture (115x for SCRH and 150x for SCHH). The basal toxicities in the absence and presence of the BA mixture were obtained and subtracted from the corresponding data obtained in the presence of the test drugs. Data are represented as the mean  $\pm$  SEM of n = 3 for SCRH and n=2-3 for SCHH.

**Fig. 4. Correlation between the *in vitro* BA-dependent toxicities of the selected drugs to SCRHs and SCHHs.**

The *in vitro* toxicities of the common 22 drugs to SCRHs and SCHHs in the absence (A-C) or presence (D-F) of the BA mixture. Inset of each graph (D-F) shows drugs sitting on the x- and y-axes in the enlarged scale (within 0-15% of cell toxicity; drug numbers correspond to those are shown in Table III). The original data are shown in Figure 3. A positive correlation (D:  $y = 0.4492x - 2.142$ ,  $r^2 = 0.7516$ ; E:  $y = 0.6525x + 4.501$ ,  $r^2 = 0.7543$ ; F:  $y = 0.6242x + 3.698$ ,  $r^2 = 0.7431$ ) was observed in the presence of the BA mixture.

DMD # 65425

**Fig. 5. Relationship between the frequencies of serum marker increases *in vivo* and BA-dependent toxicity *in vitro* in the presence of BA mixture.**

(A–H) The frequencies of increases in the serum levels of ALP (A–D), and transaminases (E–H) versus the *in vitro* toxicities to SCRHS (A and E) and SCHHS (B–D and F–H). The vertical dotted lines represent the borderline frequencies of 1%. The horizontal dotted lines represent the cutoff values determined by the ROC analysis, which gave the best separation of high and low risk drugs (see Table IV for details). Drug numbers correspond to those shown in Table III.

DMD # 65425

**Table I.** Donor information of used lots of human hepatocytes

Lot number	Sex	Age	Race
Hu1437	F	70 years old	Caucasian
Hu1524	M	58 years old	Caucasian
Hu4197	F	31 years old	Caucasian

DMD # 65425

**Table II.** Composition of the 1× BA mixture standard. Concentrations of each BA are set based on the standard BA constituents of human serum (Scherer et al., 2009).

Bile acid	1 × standard concentration (μM)
Cholic acid	0.30
Chenodeoxy cholic acid	0.50
Glycochenodeoxycholic acid	2.60
Deoxycholic acid	1.10
Lithocholic acid	0.045
Ursodeoxycholic acid	0.17
Glycocholic acid	0.62
Glycodeoxycholic acid	0.57
Taurocholic acid	0.070
Taurochenodeoxycholic acid	0.32
Taurolithocholic acid	0.13
Tauroursodeoxycholic acid	0.43

DMD # 65425

**Table III.** List of the drugs used in the study and their *in vivo* profiles and *in vitro*

toxicities obtained in SCH assays.<sup>1)</sup> The frequencies of serum marker abnormalities were calculated based on the data included in the interview forms. (Number of cases with the serum test abnormality) / (number of patients enrolled in the clinical studies in Japan) was shown at the right of each percentage.<sup>2)</sup> LDH release in the absence ((-)BA) or presence (+)BA of the BA mixture. Basal toxicity obtained in the absence of the drug was subtracted. NT: not tested.


No	Drug	Frequency of serum marker increase (%) <sup>1)</sup>				SCRH toxicity (%) <sup>2)</sup>		SCHH (Hut437) toxicity (%) <sup>3)</sup>		SCHH (Hut524) toxicity (%) <sup>3)</sup>		SCHH (Hut197) toxicity (%) <sup>3)</sup>	
		ALP	Transaminases	γGT	Bilirubin	(-) BA	(+) BA	(-) BA	(+) BA	(-) BA	(+) BA	(-) BA	(+) BA
1	Acarbose	0.24 (11/4543)	3.00 (136/4543)	0.44 (20/4543)	NT	1.5	9.8	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
2	Amiodarone	0.22 (31/1352)	1.63 (22/1352)	0.22 (3/1352)	0.074 (1/1352)	28.8	78.0	1.1	34.6	2.5	49.2	48.9	55.6
3	Atorvastatin	1.26 (72/5702)	5.29 (301/5702)	5.56 (203/5702)	0.37 (21/5702)	0.5	64.2	0.6	29.5	0.3	55.1	0.0	34.2
4	Bosentan	7.5 (3/40)	50 (20/40)	10.0 (4/40)	2.5 (1/40)	0.0	23.4	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
5	Carbamazepine	5.54 (18/325)	12.2 (41/335)	18.1 (53/293)	NT	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.2
6	Clarithromycin	0.011 (3/26923)	0.019 (5/26923)	0.015 (4/26923)	0.004 (1/23029)	0.0	15.5	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
7	Clopidogrel	2.87 (65/2268)	9.17 (208/2268)	4.59 (104/2268)	1.082 (38/5511)	0.0	70.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.1	0.0	10.9
8	Clozapine	14.3 (11/77)	49.4 (38/77)	15.6 (12/77)	NT	76.3	81.1	NT	NT	0.0	NT	0.0	NT
9	Cyclosporine A	1.53 (112/7300)	2.47 (180/7300)	0.53 (39/7300)	0.87 (61/6980)	9.8	78.6	0.0	41.2	0.0	72.4	0.0	48.7
10	Duloxetine	2.90 (36/1242)	11.0 (136/1242)	3.62 (46/1242)	2.01 (25/1242)	86.5	85.4	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
11	Ethinylestradiol	5.28 (36/682)	1.88 (14/743)	0.82 (6/730)	0.34 (8/955)	89.9	82.1	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
12	Everolimus	2.00 (25/1247)	11.6 (145/1247)	3.61 (45/1247)	0.24 (3/1247)	86.5	88.5	0.0	40.8	0.0	64.1	1.6	56.1
13	Famotidine	0.065 (13/20137)	0.39 (78/20137)	0.065 (13/20137)	0.055 (11/20137)	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6
14	Flexfenadine	0.013 (1/7838)	0.24 (19/7838)	0.064 (5/7838)	0.137 (6/4367)	0.4	0.9	3.4	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
15	Fluoxetine	0.73 (4/550)	1.82 (10/550)	1.09 (6/550)	1.64 (8/550)	84.1	90.1	NT	0.0	NT	0.0	NT	0.0
16	Flutamide	3.14 (201/6393)	26.5 (169/6393)	5.91 (37/6393)	0.53 (34/6393)	1.2	84.9	0.0	49.7	0.0	65.5	0.0	86.3
17	Fluoxamine	0.73 (7/965)	6.84 (66/965)	2.38 (23/965)	0.140 (1/712)	0.0	0.0	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
18	Lamivudine	1.23 (40/3253)	2.55 (83/3253)	3.60 (117/3253)	2.21 (72/3253)	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.6
19	Leflunomide	5.21 (19/365)	6.58 (24/365)	7.57 (28/365)	0.057 (1/1704)	0.9	34.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.4	0.0	51.1
20	Levofloxacin	0.041 (13/31810)	0.35 (111/31810)	0.075 (24/31810)	0.053 (17/31810)	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	1.9	7.8	0.0	0.0
21	Losartan	0.029 (1/36288)	0.21 (76/36288)	0.077 (29/36288)	1.38 (15/1088)	2.5	6.6	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
22	Methotrexate	0.55 (22/4038)	1.61 (65/4038)	0.15 (6/4038)	0.49 (21/4321)	1.1	4.2	0.0	0.0	3.8	17.9	1.7	0.0
23	Misoprostol	0.84 (13/1555)	3.73 (58/1554)	3.23 (50/1550)	0.83 (13/1559)	0.2	6.6	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
24	Naltrexide	0.027 (6/22013)	0.27 (60/22013)	0.03 (6/22013)	NT	0.5	76.8	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
25	Pioglitazone	0.48 (23/4776)	2.34 (112/4776)	0.92 (44/4767)	0.19 (9/4789)	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.2	3.8	3.9
26	Pranlukast	0.032 (3/9240)	0.13 (12/9240)	0.022 (2/9240)	0.162 (15/9240)	0.0	5.2	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
27	Pravastatin	0.13 (15/11137)	1.08 (120/11137)	0.30 (33/11137)	0.081 (9/11137)	1.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	1.9	6.1
28	Ramelte	0.063 (10/15761)	0.36 (96/15761)	0.082 (13/15761)	NT	0.0	8.5	NT	0.0	NT	0.0	NT	0.0
29	Rosuvastatin	0.20 (18/8997)	1.45 (130/8997)	0.68 (61/8997)	0.12 (22/19175)	2.6	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	8.6
30	Sertraline	0.71 (9/1263)	7.52 (95/1263)	3.56 (45/1263)	1.35 (17/1263)	100.3	92.2	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
31	Simvastatin	0.22 (23/10420)	1.35 (141/10420)	0.45 (47/10420)	0.086 (9/10420)	0.2	11.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	45.4	3.2	22.2
32	Tacrolimus	1.07 (107/10038)	2.32 (233/10038)	1.60 (161/10038)	0.33 (33/10038)	1.4	79.9	5.1	42.3	0.6	56.6	18.3	53.2
33	Ticlopidine	0.15 (12/7933)	0.73 (58/7933)	0.16 (13/7933)	NT	0.0	39.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.5	0.0	33.4
34	Tranilast	0.13 (32/24788)	0.33 (81/24788)	0.004 (1/24788)	NT	0.0	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
35	Ursodeoxycholic acid	0.12 (12/9880)	0.28 (28/9880)	0.061 (6/9880)	0.04 (4/9880)	6.5	11.1	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
36	Valproate	0.35 (19/5366)	0.70 (35/5366)	0.093 (5/5366)	0.019 (1/5366)	0.0	0.0	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
37	Valsartan	0.24 (19/7814)	1.11 (88/7814)	0.47 (37/7814)	0.13 (10/7814)	0.0	11.9	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
38	Voriconazole	7.00 (7/100)	13.0 (13/100)	11.0 (11/100)	0.05 (1/1921)	0.3	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	1.4

DMD # 65425

**Table IV.** Predictabilities of the frequencies of *in vivo* serum test abnormalities from *in vitro* toxicity assays. Drugs (38 drugs for SCRH and 22 drugs for SCHH) were divided into two groups with lower or higher than 1.0% frequency of serum markers. A ROC analysis was performed to generate the best separation of the two groups using the *in vitro* drug toxicity data obtained in the presence of BA mixture. For example, by setting an *in vitro* toxicity cutoff value of 19.5%, drugs with a higher risk of ALP increase were correctly predicted with 78.6% accuracy, while drugs with a lower risk of ALP increase were correctly predicted with 79.2% accuracy.

		Serum marker	
		ALP	Transaminases
SCRH	In vitro toxicity cutoff (%)	19.5	9.2
	Sensitivity (%)	78.6	65.4
	Specificity (%)	79.2	66.7
	Area under the ROC curve	0.738	0.681
	<i>P</i> value	0.0155	0.076
SCHH (Hu1437)	In vitro toxicity cutoff (%)	20.3	5.6
	Sensitivity (%)	50.0	43.8
	Specificity (%)	91.7	100.0
	Area under the ROC curve	0.700	0.719
	<i>P</i> value	0.1136	0.1217
SCHH (Hu1524)	In vitro toxicity cutoff (%)	18.5	11.0
	Sensitivity (%)	70.0	68.8
	Specificity (%)	83.3	83.3
	Area under the ROC curve	0.742	0.844
	<i>P</i> value	0.0560	0.0150
SCHH (Hu4197)	In vitro toxicity cutoff (%)	9.6	6.7
	Sensitivity (%)	80.0	80.0
	Specificity (%)	75.0	85.7
	Area under the ROC curve	0.825	0.857
	<i>P</i> value	0.0102	0.0082

Fig. 1



**Fig. 2**







Fig. 3



**Fig. 4**



**Fig. 5**




## **Supplementary Data**

Article's title: Prediction of the clinical risk of drug-induced cholestatic liver injury using an in vitro sandwich cultured hepatocyte assay

Authors: Takeshi Susukida, Shuichi Sekine, Mayuka Nozaki, Mayuko Tokizono, and Kousei Ito


Journal title: Drug Metabolism and Disposition

# Supplementary Fig. 1




**Relationships between the frequencies of serum marker increases in patients.**  
(A-E) Frequencies of increases in the serum levels of γGT and ALP (A), γGT and transaminases (B), γGT and bilirubin (C), ALP and bilirubin (D), and transaminases and bilirubin (E). The frequencies were calculated for 38 selected drugs based on the numbers of cases reported in the interview forms. Frequency data are shown in Table III.

# Supplementary Fig. 2



**Correlation between the *in vitro* BA-dependent toxicities of the selected drugs to each batch of SCHHs.**  
(A-F) The *in vitro* toxicities of the common 22 drugs to SCHHs in the absence (A-C) or presence (D-F) of the BA mixture. The original data are shown in Figure 3. A positive correlation (D:  $y = 1.299x + 9.352$ ,  $r^2 = 0.8027$ ; E:  $y = 1.206x + 8.754$ ,  $r^2 = 0.7447$ ; F:  $y = 0.8555x + 1.826$ ,  $r^2 = 0.7$ ) was observed in the presence of the BA mixture.


# Supplementary Fig. 3



**Relationship between the frequencies of serum marker increases *in vivo* and BA-dependent toxicity *in vitro* in the presence of BA mixture.**


(A–H) The frequencies of increases in the serum levels of  $\gamma$ GT (A–D) and bilirubin (E–H) versus the *in vitro* toxicities to SCRHs (A and E) and SCHHs (B–D and F–H) in the presence of BA mixture. The vertical dotted lines represent the borderline frequencies of 1%. The horizontal dotted lines represent the cutoff values determined by the ROC analysis, which gave the best separation of high and low risk drugs (see Supplementary Table II for details). Drug numbers correspond to those shown in Table III.

# Supplementary Fig. 4



**Relationship between the frequencies of serum marker increases *in vivo* and BA-dependent toxicity *in vitro* in the absence of BA mixture.**  
(A–P) The frequencies of increases in the serum levels of ALP (A–D), transaminases (E–H),  $\gamma$ GT (I–J) and bilirubin (M–P) versus the *in vitro* toxicities to SCRHs (A, E, I, and M) and SCHHs (B–D, F–H, J–L, and N–P) in the absence of BA mixture. The vertical dotted lines represent the borderline frequencies of 1%. Drug numbers correspond to those shown in Table III.


# Supplementary Fig. 5A



**Relationship between the frequencies of serum marker increases *in vivo* and BA-dependent toxicity *in vitro* in the presence of BA mixture after correction by  $C_{max}$ .**

(A–P) The frequencies of increases in the serum levels of ALP (A–D), transaminases (E–H),  $\gamma$ GT (I–J) and bilirubin (M–P) versus the *in vitro* toxicities to SCRHs (A, E, I, and M) and SCHHs (B–D, F–H, J–L, and N–P) in the presence of BA mixture multiplied by  $C_{max}$ . The vertical dotted lines represent the borderline frequencies of 1%. Drug numbers correspond to those shown in Table III.


Supplementary Fig. 5B



**Relationship between the frequencies of serum marker increases *in vivo* and BA-dependent toxicity *in vitro* in the presence of BA mixture after correction by  $C_{max,u}$**

(A–P) The frequencies of increases in the serum levels of ALP (A–D), transaminases (E–H),  $\gamma$ GT (I–J) and bilirubin (M–P) versus the *in vitro* toxicities to SCRHs (A, E, I, and M) and SCHHs (B–D, F–H, J–L, and N–P) in the presence of BA mixture multiplied by  $C_{max,u}$ . The vertical dotted lines represent the borderline frequencies of 1%. Drug numbers correspond to those shown in Table III.


# Supplementary Fig. 6



**Relationship between FDA risk classification and in vivo frequencies of serum marker abnormality (A-D) or in vitro toxicities in SCHs (E-H).**


(A–D) The frequencies of increases in the serum levels of ALP (A), transaminases (B),  $\gamma$ GT (C) and bilirubin (D) versus the FDA risk classification regarding hepatotoxicity. (E–H) The *in vitro* toxicities to SCRH (E) and SCHH (F–H) in the presence of BA mixture versus the FDA risk classification regarding hepatotoxicity. Lines represent the median values. BBW, hepatotoxicity is listed in the “Black Box Warning”; WNG/PC; hepatotoxicity is listed as a “Warning and Precaution”, AR; adverse reaction related to hepatotoxicity or serum marker abnormalities was reported, NM; not mentioned about hepatotoxicity or serum marker abnormalities.

# Supplementary Fig. 7



**The ROC curves of each serum marker increase *in vivo*.**  
(A–P) The ROC curves of ALP (A–D), transaminases (E–H), γGT (I–L) and bilirubin (M–P) were generated by computing the paired true-positive and false-positive rates for all possible thresholds of the *in vitro* toxicity assays using SCRHS (A, E, I, and M) and SCHHS (B–D, F–H, J–L, and N–P) in the presence of BA mixture. The top-left (A–M) or bottom-right (N–P) corner are indicative of high true-positive and low false-positive rates.


## Supplementary Fig. 8A



**Concentration-response relationships of the toxic potencies of the various drugs in SCRHS.**

(A-H) The *in vitro* toxicities in the absence (open circles) or presence (closed circles) of the BA mixture of the 8 drugs (atorvastatin, clopidogrel, cyclosporine A, flutamide, leflunomide, naftopidil, tacrolimus, and ticlopidine), which showed BA-dependent hepatocyte toxicity at 50  $\mu M$  to SCRHS.  $LC_{50}$  (half-maximal lethal concentration) values were estimated by the following equation:  $L = ((100 - BA_{tox}) \times L_{max} \times C) / (LC_{50} + C)$ , where  $L$  is the cell toxicity,  $BA_{tox}$  is the cell toxicity in response to BAs themselves, and  $C$  is the concentration of the drug. Data were fitted to an iterative nonlinear least-squares model by using the MULTI program to obtain  $LC_{50}$  values, as previously described (Yamaoka et al., 1981). Data are represented as the mean  $\pm$  SEM of  $n = 3$ .


## Supplementary Fig. 8B



**Concentration-response relationships of the toxic potencies of the various drugs in SCRHS.**

(A-I) The *in vitro* toxicities in the absence (open circles) or presence (closed circles) of the BA mixture of the 9 drugs (carbamazepine, clarithromycin, lamivudine, levofloxacin, ranitidine, tranilast, valproate, valsartan, and voriconazole) which did not show cell toxicity at 50  $\mu M$  but their 100  $\times C_{max,u}$  are beyond 50  $\mu M$ .  $LC_{50}$  (half-maximal lethal concentration) values were estimated by the following equation:  $L = ((100 - BA_{tox}) \times L_{max} \times C) / (LC_{50} + C)$ , where  $L$  is the cell toxicity,  $BA_{tox}$  is the cell toxicity in response to BAs themselves, and  $C$  is the concentration of the drug. Data were fitted to an iterative nonlinear least-squares model by using the MULTI program to obtain  $LC_{50}$  values, as previously described (Yamaoka et al., 1981). Data are represented as the mean  $\pm$  SEM of  $n = 3$ .

## Supplementary Fig. 8C



**Relationship between LC<sub>50</sub> values and BA-dependent toxicity of drugs (50  $\mu\text{M}$ ) in SCRHs in the presence of BA mixture.**

The *in vitro* toxicities of the drugs (50  $\mu\text{M}$ ) to SCRHs in the presence of the BA mixture and LC<sub>50</sub> values. The LC<sub>50</sub> values are shown in Supplementary Figs. 8A and B, and the original data of SCRH toxicity is shown in Table III. .

**Supplementary Table I. List of the drugs used in the study and their in vivo profiles and BSEP IC<sub>50</sub> values**

No	Drug	drug parameters			FDA DILI risk category <sup>4)</sup>	BSEP IC <sub>50</sub> values <sup>5)</sup>
		C <sub>max</sub> (μM) <sup>1)</sup>	C <sub>max,u</sub> (μM) <sup>2)</sup>	Plasma Protein binding <sup>3)</sup>		
1	Acarbose	0.018	0.017	8.5-14.5%	WNG/PC	>133
2	Amiodarone	1.75	1.68	96%	BBW	43
3	Atorvastatin	22.4	0.98	95.6-99.0%	WNG/PC	13
4	Bosentan	3.37	0.067	98%	BBW	23
5	Carbamazepine	7.11	2.13	70-80%	WNG/PC	>133
6	Clarithromycin	2.62	1.52	42-50%	WNG/PC	>133
7	Clopidogrel	5.45	0.22	96%	NM	72
8	Clozapine	0.19	0.017	90.9%	NM	>133
9	Cyclosporine A	0.82	0.082	90%	WNG/PC	0.5
10	Duloxetine	0.12	0.0035	97-99%	WNG/PC	>133
11	Ethinylestradiol	0.019	0.0010	95-98%	WNG/PC	14
12	Everolimus	0.035	0.009	74%	NM	2
13	Famotidine	0.19	0.15	19.3%	AR	>133
14	Fexofenadine	1.05	0.42	60-82%	NM	NT
15	Fluoxetine	0.055	0.025	55.4%	AR	>133
16	Flutamide	0.047	0.00042	99.1%	BBW	>133
17	Fluvoxamine	0.10	0.019	81%	AR	>133
18	Lamivudine	12.7	8.10	< 36%	AR	>133
19	Leflunomide	39.3	0.27	99.3%	BBW	>133
20	Levofloxacin	21.7	16.1	26-36%	AR	>133
21	Losartan	1.74	0.035	> 98%	AR	8.53
22	Methotrexate	0.44	0.22	50%	BBW	>133
23	Mitiglinide	1.97	NA	NA	Label not available	NT
24	Naftopidil	0.18	0.0027	98.5%	Label not available	NT
25	Pioglitazone	3.74	0.075	>98%	WNG/PC	0.4
26	Pranlukast	1.31	0.0039	99.7-99.8%	Label not available	2.97
27	Pravastatin	0.072	0.034	53.1%	WNG/PC	>133
28	Ranitidine	2.64	1.94	26.7-29.0%	AR	>133
29	Rosuvastatin	0.0036	0.00043	88%-89%	WNG/PC	>133
30	Sertraline	0.26	0.0042	98.4-98.6%	AR	NT
31	Simvastatin	0.012	0.0002	98%	WNG/PC	24.7
32	Tacrolimus	0.054	0.00064	98.8%	AR	7.18
33	Ticlopidine	0.54	0.012	97.8%	WNG/PC	>133
34	Tranilast	38.5	7.04	81.7%	Label not available	41.5
35	Ursodeoxycholic acid	6.22	NA	NA	NM	NT
36	Valproate	625	125	80.03-91.39%	BBW	NT
37	Valsartan	12.1	0.85	93.0-95.9%	AR	>133
38	Voriconazole	5.15	2.16	58%	WNG/PC	>133

1) C<sub>max</sub> after single administration of standard dose was shown (except valproate; multiple administration). The information was obtained from the interview forms. 2) The maximum unbound concentration in the plasma (C<sub>max,u</sub>) was calculated by multiplying C<sub>max</sub> and the maximum value of the unbound fraction in plasma. 3) Plasma protein binding was obtained from the interview forms. 4) BBW, hepatotoxicity is listed in the "Black Box Warning"; WNG/PC; hepatotoxicity is listed as a "Warning and Precaution", AR; adverse reaction related to hepatotoxicity or serum hepatic marker abnormalities was reported, NM; not mentioned about hepatotoxicity or serum hepatic marker abnormalities, Label not available; drug label is not available from FDA website. 5) IC<sub>50</sub> (half-maximal inhibitory concentration) values against human BSEP-expressed Sf9 membrane vesicles were obtained from Morgan et al., 2013. NT; not tested.

**Supplementary Table II. Predictabilities of the frequencies of *in vivo* serum test abnormalities from *in vitro* toxicity assays**

		Serum marker	
		$\gamma$ GT	Bilirubin
SCRH	In vitro toxicity cutoff (%)	19.5	19.5
	Sensitivity (%)	68.8	71.4
	Specificity (%)	77.3	66.7
	Area under the ROC curve	0.700	0.694
	<i>P</i> value	0.037	0.1247
SCHH (Hu1437)	In vitro toxicity cutoff (%)	20.3	46.0
	Sensitivity (%)	44.4	0.0
	Specificity (%)	84.6	100.0
	Area under the ROC curve	0.633	0.281
	<i>P</i> value	0.3007	0.2405
SCHH (Hu1524)	In vitro toxicity cutoff (%)	18.5	18.5
	Sensitivity (%)	66.7	33.3
	Specificity (%)	76.9	50.0
	Area under the ROC curve	0.658	0.208
	<i>P</i> value	0.2167	0.1176
SCHH (Hu4197)	In vitro toxicity cutoff (%)	9.6	9.6
	Sensitivity (%)	77.8	66.7
	Specificity (%)	69.2	50.0
	Area under the ROC curve	0.786	0.365
	<i>P</i> value	0.0253	0.4674

Drugs (38 drugs for SCRH and 22 drugs for SCHH) were divided into two groups with lower or higher than 1.0% frequency of serum markers ( $\gamma$ GT and bilirubin). A ROC analysis was performed to generate the best separation of the two groups using the in vitro drug toxicity data obtained in the presence of BA mixture.

# References

1. Morgan RE, van Staden CJ, Chen Y, Kalyanaraman N, Kalanzi J, Dunn RT, 2nd, Afshari CA, and Hamadeh HK (2013) A multifactorial approach to hepatobiliary transporter assessment enables improved therapeutic compound development. *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the Society of Toxicology* **136**:216-241.
2. Yamaoka K, Tanigawara Y, Nakagawa T, and Uno T (1981) A pharmacokinetic analysis program (multi) for microcomputer. *Journal of pharmacobio-dynamics* **4**:879-885.

## Correction to: “Prediction of the Clinical Risk of Drug-Induced Cholestatic Liver Injury Using an In Vitro Sandwich Cultured Hepatocyte Assay”

In the above article [Susukida T, Sekine S, Nozaki M, Tokizono M, and Ito K (2015) *Drug Metab Dispos* **43**: 1760-1768], the authors would like to make the below corrections.

In Table 2, composition of the 1×BA mixture standard was incorrectly calculated as 1.5×BA mixture standard. A corrected Table 2 is provided below.

The Full Text is corrected with the issue of this erratum.

The authors apologize for any inconvenience this may have caused.

TABLE II  
Composition of the 1 × BA mixture standard.

Concentrations of each BA are set based on the standard BA constituents of human serum (Scherer et al., 2009).

Bile acid	1 × Standard concentration (μM)
Cholic acid	0.20
Chenodeoxy cholic acid	0.34
Glycochenodeoxycholic acid	1.71
Deoxycholic acid	0.73
Lithocholic acid	0.030
Ursodeoxycholic acid	0.11
Glycocholic acid	0.41
Glycodeoxycholic acid	0.38
Taurocholic acid	0.048
Taurochenodeoxycholic acid	0.21
Taurolithocholic acid	0.087
Tauroursodeoxycholic acid	0.29