

[Title] Impact of P-glycoprotein-mediated active efflux on drug distribution into lumbar cerebrospinal fluid in nonhuman primates

[Authors] Yoko Nagaya, Kazuhide Katayama, Hiroyuki Kusuvara, Yoshitane Nozaki

[Journal] Drug Metabolism and Disposition

[Manuscript number] DMD-AR-2020-000099

**Supplemental Table 1. Efflux ratio and % of control in in vitro P-gp inhibition study**

The efflux ratio (ER) was calculated for desloratadine and quinidine from the ratio of the apparent permeability ( $P_{app}$ ) in basal-to-apical direction ( $P_{app,B-A}$ ) to that in apical-to-basal direction ( $P_{app,A-B}$ ) in the P-gp-expressing cells ( $ER_{P-gp}$ ) and control cells ( $ER_{Ctrl}$ ). The percentage of control values for P-gp-mediated transcellular transport ( $ER_{P-gp} - ER_{Ctrl}$ ) of desloratadine and quinidine in the presence of zosuquidar were calculated from the ER values as described in Materials and Methods.

(A) Desloratadine

Zosuquidar ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ )	P-gp-expressing LLC-PK1 cells			Control LLC-PK1 cells			$ER_{P-gp}-ER_{Ctrl}$	% of control
	$P_{app,A-B}$	$P_{app,B-A}$	$ER_{P-gp}$	$P_{app,A-B}$	$P_{app,B-A}$	$ER_{Ctrl}$		
	$(\times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/sec})$	$(\times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/sec})$		$(\times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/sec})$	$(\times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/sec})$			
0	$2.44 \pm 0.01$	$31.65 \pm 0.80$	$12.97 \pm 0.33$	$10.97 \pm 0.40$	$11.75 \pm 0.27$	$1.07 \pm 0.05$	$11.90 \pm 0.39$	$100.0 \pm 4.3$
0.0001	$2.65 \pm 0.15$	$29.12 \pm 0.96$	$10.99 \pm 0.71$	$10.20 \pm 0.91$	$10.66 \pm 0.51$	$1.05 \pm 0.11$	$9.94 \pm 0.91$	$83.5 \pm 8.5$
0.001	$3.03 \pm 0.15$	$28.47 \pm 1.04$	$9.40 \pm 0.59$	$10.65 \pm 0.88$	$10.66 \pm 0.52$	$1.00 \pm 0.10$	$8.40 \pm 0.87$	$70.6 \pm 6.8$
0.003	$4.60 \pm 0.58$	$27.89 \pm 0.47$	$6.06 \pm 0.77$	$12.52 \pm 0.38$	$10.99 \pm 0.38$	$0.88 \pm 0.04$	$5.18 \pm 0.38$	$43.5 \pm 2.0$
0.01	$6.62 \pm 0.39$	$25.52 \pm 0.51$	$3.85 \pm 0.24$	$11.36 \pm 0.29$	$10.41 \pm 0.22$	$0.92 \pm 0.03$	$2.93 \pm 0.29$	$24.6 \pm 0.8$
0.03	$9.99 \pm 0.83$	$18.04 \pm 0.38$	$1.81 \pm 0.15$	$11.15 \pm 0.86$	$10.10 \pm 0.59$	$0.91 \pm 0.09$	$0.90 \pm 0.85$	$7.6 \pm 0.7$
0.1	$12.42 \pm 0.57$	$13.65 \pm 0.40$	$1.10 \pm 0.06$	$11.89 \pm 1.11$	$9.63 \pm 0.07$	$0.81 \pm 0.08$	$0.29 \pm 1.11$	$2.4 \pm 0.2$
1	$16.63 \pm 1.50$	$15.59 \pm 0.52$	$0.94 \pm 0.09$	$12.76 \pm 1.13$	$13.15 \pm 0.54$	$1.03 \pm 0.10$	$-0.09 \pm 1.13$	$-0.8 \pm 0.1^a$

a: Handled as zero when estimating the  $IC_{50}$  value by least-squares nonlinear regression analysis.

## (B) Quinidine

Zosuquidar ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ )	P-gp-expressing LLC-PK1 cells			Control LLC-PK1 cells			$\text{ER}_{\text{P-gp}}-\text{ER}_{\text{Ctrl}}$	% of control
	$P_{\text{app},\text{A-B}}$ ( $\times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/sec}$ )		$\text{ER}_{\text{P-gp}}$	$P_{\text{app},\text{A-B}}$ ( $\times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/sec}$ )		$\text{ER}_{\text{Ctrl}}$		
	$P_{\text{app},\text{B-A}}$ ( $\times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/sec}$ )	$P_{\text{app},\text{B-A}}$ ( $\times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/sec}$ )		$P_{\text{app},\text{B-A}}$ ( $\times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/sec}$ )	$P_{\text{app},\text{B-A}}$ ( $\times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/sec}$ )			
0	2.70 ± 0.60	39.52 ± 3.26	14.64 ± 3.26	15.86 ± 0.46	18.05 ± 0.54	1.14 ± 0.05	13.50 ± 0.6	100.0 ± 4.2
0.0001	1.88 ± 0.24	38.04 ± 2.59	20.23 ± 2.59	14.07 ± 1.35	16.91 ± 0.27	1.20 ± 0.12	19.03 ± 1.35	141.0 ± 13.8
0.001	2.18 ± 0.26	36.49 ± 2.01	16.74 ± 2.01	14.92 ± 0.80	16.80 ± 0.14	1.13 ± 0.06	15.61 ± 0.80	115.6 ± 6.3
0.003	2.98 ± 0.32	37.31 ± 1.36	12.52 ± 1.36	17.73 ± 0.75	17.67 ± 0.23	1.00 ± 0.04	11.52 ± 0.75	85.3 ± 3.8
0.01	4.25 ± 0.30	36.98 ± 0.63	8.70 ± 0.63	15.83 ± 0.28	16.39 ± 0.45	1.04 ± 0.03	7.66 ± 0.28	56.7 ± 1.9
0.03	8.23 ± 0.64	31.23 ± 0.30	3.79 ± 0.30	15.87 ± 0.25	16.07 ± 0.56	1.01 ± 0.04	2.78 ± 0.25	20.6 ± 0.8
0.1	16.96 ± 0.44	22.50 ± 0.04	1.33 ± 0.04	16.70 ± 0.45	15.81 ± 0.27	0.95 ± 0.03	0.38 ± 0.45	2.8 ± 0.1
1	23.38 ± 0.30	21.29 ± 0.03	0.91 ± 0.03	19.26 ± 0.63	18.39 ± 0.23	0.95 ± 0.03	-0.04 ± 0.63	-0.3 ± 0.0 <sup>a</sup>

a: Handled as zero when estimating the  $\text{IC}_{50}$  value by least-squares nonlinear regression analysis.