

Regional Expression Levels of Drug Transporters and Metabolizing Enzymes along the Pig and Human Intestinal Tract and Comparison with Caco-2 Cells[§]

Stefan F.C. Vaessen, Marola M.H. van Lipzig, Raymond H.H. Pieters, Cyrille A.M. Krul, Heleen M. Wortelboer, and Evita van de Steeg

TNO, Zeist, The Netherlands (M.M.H.L., C.A.M.K., H.M.W., E.S.); Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences, Utrecht, The Netherlands (R.H.H.P., J.A.S.); Research Centre Technology & Innovation; and Innovative Testing in Life sciences and Chemistry, University of Applied Sciences, Utrecht, The Netherlands (S.F.C.V., R.H.H.P., C.A.M.K.)

Received June 24, 2016; accepted January 9, 2017

ABSTRACT

Intestinal transporter proteins and metabolizing enzymes play a crucial role in the oral absorption of a wide variety of drugs. The aim of the current study was to characterize better available intestinal *in vitro* models by comparing expression levels of these proteins and enzymes between porcine intestine, human intestine, and Caco-2 cells. We therefore determined the absolute protein expression of 19 drug transporters and the mRNA expression of 12 metabolic enzymes along the pig intestinal tract (duodenum, jejunum, ileum; $N = 4$), in human intestine (jejunum; $N = 9$), and Caco-2 cells. Expression of the included transporters and enzymes was in general well comparable between porcine and human intestinal tissue, although breast cancer resistance protein, monocarboxylate transporter 5, multidrug resistance protein (MRP) 1, MRP1, MRP3 (~2-fold), and organic anion-transporting polypeptide (OATP) 4A1 (~6-fold) was

higher expressed in pig compared with human jejunum. Alternatively, expression level of relevant transporter proteins (glucose transporter 1, OATP4A1, MRP2, MRP1, and OATP2B1) was significantly higher (3- to 130-fold) in Caco-2 cells compared with human jejunum. Moreover, all examined CYPs showed at least a fivefold lower gene expression in Caco-2 cells compared with human jejunum, with the smallest differences for CYP1A1 and CYP3A5 and the largest difference for CYP3A4 (871-fold higher expression in human jejunum compared with Caco-2 cells). In conclusion, a comprehensive overview is provided of the expression levels of clinically relevant transporter proteins and metabolic enzymes in porcine and human intestinal tissue and Caco-2 cells, which may assist in deciding upon the most suitable model to further improve our understanding of processes that determine intestinal absorption of compounds.

Introduction

An accurate prediction of the human intestinal absorption and oral bioavailability early in drug development is essential in the pharmaceutical and nutritional industry, as this codetermines the efficacy and/or toxicity of the active compound. Several *in vitro* (e.g., Caco-2 cells, HT-29 cells, Ussing chamber) and *in silico* methods (e.g., GastroPlus and SimCyp) are currently in use to predict human intestinal absorption and subsequently human oral bioavailability of compounds. Initial assays to study intestinal apparent permeability of the compound and the effect of efflux transporters [e.g., multidrug resistance protein 1 (MDR1), breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP)] on intestinal absorption are often performed with Caco-2 cells, originating from human epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma cells (Yee, 1997; Yazdani

et al., 1998; Haslam et al., 2011). Whereas the use of Caco-2 cell monolayers as an intestinal barrier model is well established and provides a quick and inexpensive screening model, standard Caco-2 cells lack morphologic and physiologic features of complete intestinal tissue. For instance, standard Caco-2 cultures show differences with complete intestinal tissue with regard to mucus production, passive diffusion, carrier-mediated uptake and excretion, paracellular transport via tight junctions, and intestinal metabolism (Rozeznal et al., 2012). However, recent studies in which Caco-2 cells were cultured on porous membranes in a fluidic device with peristaltic movement also demonstrate the formation of villi-like structures and increased metabolizing activity (CYP3A mediated) compared with Caco-2 cells cultured on Transwell membranes under static conditions (Kim and Ingber, 2013) and thereby more closely mimicking the human physiology. We recently developed the InTESTine system (Westerhout et al., 2014) to enable to study processes that determine (human) intestinal absorption in a physiologic relevant model. In this system, *ex vivo* intestinal tissue (human or porcine) is mounted into a two-compartment system, simulating luminal and blood compartments. The InTESTine system is currently optimized to keep the mounted tissue viable for a maximum of

The work described was funded by the Dutch Government: Ministry of Economic Affairs, the Province and Municipality of Utrecht, and as part of the SLiM project (PID101063) in close collaboration with the University of Applied Sciences Utrecht (The Netherlands) and the Utrecht University (The Netherlands).
dx.doi.org/10.1124/dmd.116.072231.

[§]This article has supplemental material available at dmd.aspetjournals.org.

ABBREVIATIONS: BCRP, breast cancer resistance protein; BSEP, bile salt export pump; CYP, cytochrome P450; GLUT1, glucose transporter 1; HEPES, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid; IVIVE, *in vitro-in vivo* extrapolation; KRB, Krebs-Ringer buffer; LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry; MCT, monocarboxylate transporter; MDR1, multidrug resistance gene; MRP, multidrug resistance-associated protein; NTCP, Na-taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide; OATP, organic anion-transporting polypeptide; OCT, organic cation transporter; PEPT1, peptide transporter 1; UGT, uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase.

4 hours. It provides some distinct advantages compared with both Caco-2 cell culture systems and the frequently used Ussing chamber model (Lennernas, 2007; Rozehnal et al., 2012). The main advantages compared with Ussing chamber is the higher throughput, using a disposable multi-well setting, and standardized culture conditions using a humidified high oxygen/CO₂ incubator on a rocker platform. The key advantage compared with Caco-2 cells is the presence of complete mucosal tissue, including the presence of different intestinal epithelial cells (enterocytes, Goblet cells, enteroendocrine cells, Paneth cells, and M-cells) and the lamina propria, including the intraepithelial lymphocytes and other immune cells (Mowat and Agace, 2014). This makes the InTESTine model suitable for studies relating to gut health and gut immune function. Additionally, the presence of a natural mucus barrier in the InTESTine system enables the direct combination of biorelevant luminal samples with an in vitro absorption model to better simulate the physiology of the human GI epithelial wall.

The intestinal absorption of compounds across the intestinal epithelium depends on their chemical characteristics, and compounds can be substrates for numerous transporter proteins and metabolizing enzymes. There is, however, limited information available on the absolute expression of transporter proteins and metabolizing enzymes in the currently used models including Caco-2 cells, human, and porcine intestinal tissue. Although there is some literature available on the gene expression of drug transporter genes in human intestine and Caco-2 cells (Taipalensuu et al., 2001; Englund et al., 2006; Hilgendorf et al., 2007), mRNA expression levels of transporter proteins are shown not to correlate well with protein abundance levels (Ohtsuki et al., 2012). A lack of absolute expression levels of active transporter proteins and metabolizing enzymes could potentially result in inaccurate classification of the permeability and intestinal absorption of compounds. As pointed out, the various in vitro intestinal models all have their applications and limitations, and it is important to emphasize that there is not one model available that can be used as the golden standard to predict human luminal processes and intestinal absorption. The aim of the current study was therefore to characterize further and compare these potential in vitro intestinal models to determine their feasibility for absorption of compounds. To that end, we quantified the absolute and regional protein expression of several uptake and efflux transporters [BCRP, bile salt export pump (BSEP), glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1), monocarboxylate transporter (MCT) 1, MCT5, MDR1, MRP1, MRP2, MRP3, Na-taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (NTCP), organic anion-transporting polypeptide (OATP) 4A1, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, OATP2B1, OATP1C1, organic cation transporter (OCT) 1, OCT3, OCTN2, peptide transporter 1 (PEPT) 1] at the plasma membrane along the pig intestinal tract and compared these data to expression levels in Caco-2 cells and ex vivo human intestinal tissue. Moreover, mRNA expression profiles of several metabolizing enzymes of important cytochrome P450 and uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) families were studied. In future studies, these abundance data will be integrated in in silico models to be able to better predict human oral bioavailability based on in vitro absorption studies.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and Reagents. Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate buffer and 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie B.V. (Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands). Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium, minimum essential medium, L-glutamine, gentamicin, penicillin, streptomycin, and heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum were purchased from Gibco (Paisley, Scotland).

Culturing of Caco-2 Cells. The human colon carcinoma cell line Caco-2 was obtained from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell cultures (DSMZ ACC 169, Braunschweig, Germany). Caco-2 cells were cultured in

HEPES-buffered Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium containing 4.5 g/l glucose, supplemented with 1% (v/v) minimum essential medium nonessential amino acids, 6 mM L-glutamine, 50 mg/l gentamicin, and 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum. Cells were grown in 75 cm² flasks (Corning-Costar, Cambridge, MA) at approximately 37°C in a humidified incubator containing a 95% air/5% CO₂ mixture. For protein expression analysis, Caco-2 cells were cultured for 14 days in 75 cm² flasks, which were fully confluent after 3–4 days, and when harvested (14 days after seeding) a full epithelial monolayer was formed. Approximately 70 × 10⁶ trypsinized and pelleted cells were used for single plasma membrane isolation (preparation in duplo, *n* = 2). For gene expression analysis, Caco-2 cells were cultured for 21 days on permeable supports (polyester membrane with 0.4 μm pore size, Corning, NY) in a 12-well plate with medium replacement every 2–3 days.

Origin of Pig and Human Intestinal Tissue. Five healthy domestic pigs (*Sus scrofa domestica*, 2 male and 3 female, age 10–14 weeks and body weight between 15 and 25 kg) were used for the collection of intestinal tissue. These animals were additionally used for educational purposes at the Utrecht University (Utrecht, The Netherlands) with approval of the local animal welfare office, and in full compliance with the aim to contribute to the reduction, refinement, and replacement of animal experiments. Before euthanization, pigs had free access to food and water. Intestinal tissue of domestic pigs was collected only when defined healthy as judged by a veterinarian. Before the isolation of the intestine, 2000 ml Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate buffer (containing 10 mM glucose, 25 mM HEPES, 15 mM sodium bicarbonate, 2.5 mM calcium chloride, pH 7.4, and saturated with oxygen using a 95%/5% O₂/CO₂ mixture by gassing for 120 minutes, further indicated as KRB) was divided over different small volume flasks. After sedation, animals were euthanized and segments of duodenal tissue (the first 25 cm from the stomach), jejunal tissue (150 cm from the stomach), and ileal tissue (50 cm from the ileocecal valve) were excised, flushed with ice-cold KRB buffer, stored in ice-cold KRB, transported to the laboratory, and immediately used for ex vivo preparation. Once in the laboratory, the intestinal tissue segments were cut into pieces of 10 to 20 cm and cut open longitudinally continuously submerged under ice-cold KRB buffer during further preparation. Then the upper villus layer of the mucosa was removed with the edge of a glass slide and mucosal cells were collected and quickly stored lower than –70°C until further processing.

Human jejunum samples derived from 9 individuals (4 women, 5 men) were collected at the University Medical center of Groningen (UMCG, Groningen, The Netherlands) and were kindly provided by Prof. Dr. G.M.M. Groothuis (University of Groningen, The Netherlands). Collection of redundant intestinal tissue from surgeries (collected as waste material) was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the UMCG. No clinically relevant or identifiable information from the patients was collected. Intestinal tissue samples were directly snap frozen and stored lower than –70°C until further processing. The weight of four of these tissue samples was sufficient for plasma membrane protein analysis and subsequent quantitative liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis; the remaining samples were only used for gene expression analysis.

Protein Isolation for Quantitative LC-MS/MS Analysis. To determine absolute protein expression levels of BCRP, BSEP, GLUT1, MCT1, MCT5, MDR1, MRP1, MRP2, MRP3, NTCP, OATP4A1, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, OATP2B1, OATP1C1, OCT1, OCT3, OCTN2, PEPT1, and villin at the outer plasma membrane of Caco-2 cells, pig intestinal mucosal tissue (duodenum, jejunum, and ileum, *n* = 4 different animals), and human intestinal tissue (jejunum, *n* = 4 different donors), we have followed the protocol of membrane isolation and trypsin digestion as previously described for tissue samples and cell lines (van de Steeg et al., 2013; Bosgra et al., 2014). All samples were processed in duplicate, and a pellet containing 60–75 × 10⁶ Caco-2 cells or approximately 350 mg intestinal mucosal tissue was used for plasma membrane isolation. Pig intestinal tissue was processed differently, compared with human intestinal tissue, because in the case of pig tissue the villi layer was scraped off, whereas in the case of human intestinal tissue the complete tissue segment was used (because these samples were snap frozen immediately after section, scraping was not possible anymore). By using villin expression as a marker for epithelial cells (West et al., 1988) we corrected for these differences. Therefore, when comparing human intestine, porcine intestine, and Caco-2 cells, only the villin corrected data are presented. After tryptic digestion, peptides were separated on a C18-column (Acquity BEH UPLC column, 2.1 × 100 mm, inner diameter 1.7 μm) using a linear gradient of 5–45% mobile phase B (acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid)

during 5 minutes with a flow of 600 $\mu\text{l}/\text{min}$ followed by a 2 minute wash-out with 100% mobile phase B. Peptides were ionized with electrospray, and quantification was performed with a 6500 QTrap (ABSciex) using a scheduled MRM-mode. Cone voltage and collision energy were optimized for each compound individually. For each peptide 3 transitions were chosen (Q3-1, Q3-2, and Q3-3)

for quantitation and confirmation (Supplemental Table 1). In case no suitable prototypic peptide could be selected for the human and porcine transporter proteins, two separate peptides were selected and synthesized (Supplemental Table 1). Peptides labeled with ^{15}N and ^{13}C (AQUA peptide) were synthesized (Sigma Aldrich Chemie, Steinheim, Germany) and used as an internal standard




Fig. 1. Expression of various uptake and efflux transporter proteins within the plasma membrane of different regions of the pig intestinal tract presented as absolute expression (A) and expression relative to villin (B), as well as the relative protein expression in, respectively, duodenum (C), jejunum (D), and ileum (E) ranked in increasing order according to protein expression levels. Data are presented as mean \pm S.E.M. ($n = 4$, samples processed in duplicate). * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$ compared with expression level in duodenum.

for quantification. For each peptide, a calibration curve of 0.01–50 ng/ml and quality controls were included in every run. Peak identification and quantification was performed using Analyst software version 1.6.

RNA Isolation and cDNA Synthesis. Total RNA was isolated from flash frozen pig jejunum tissue (5 pigs, ~10 mg of tissue), human jejunum tissue (9 donors, ~10 mg tissue), and from Caco-2 cells after a 21-day differentiation in transwells (10 independent 12-well incubations from same passage) using the Quick-RNA miniprep kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA), which includes a DNase step to ensure complete removal of genomic DNA. Total RNA was transcribed to cDNA using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (BioRad, Hercules, CA) and used directly for qPCR analysis after a fivefold dilution.

RT-PCR Measurements. Primer pairs (see Supplemental Table 2) were designed within 1 exon, allowing absolute copy quantification using a genomic DNA standard curve thus enabling the comparison of results between different gene targets and between different tissues, i.e., pig jejunum, human jejunum, and Caco-2 cells. qPCR analysis was performed on a StepOnePlus system (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA) using Fast SYBR Green Master mix (Applied Biosystems) according to the protocol provided. Absolute gene copy numbers were calculated using standard curves constructed with human or porcine genomic DNA (Novagen, Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA) as described by Yun et al. (2006). Calculated gene copy numbers were corrected for beta-actin and villin copy numbers to correct for material input.

Data Analysis. One-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test was used throughout the study to assess the statistical significance of differences between multiple datasets (GraphPad Prism 4.1 software was used for this). Differences were considered to be statistically significant when $P < 0.05$.

Results

Absolute Transporter Protein Expression along the Pig Gastrointestinal Tract. The abundance of a set of transporter proteins along the pig intestinal tract (duodenum, jejunum, and ileum) was determined using quantitative mass spectrometry (Fig. 1). We isolated the plasma membrane fractions of duodenum, jejunum, and ileum mucosal tissue samples derived from four individual domestic pigs. Transporter proteins are functionally active when expressed at the outer plasma membrane. Absolute expression levels of the various transporter proteins ranged between 0.01 and 2 pmol/mg tissue (Fig. 1A). The expression of BSEP, NTCP, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, OATP1C1, and OCT3 transporter proteins in pig intestine was below the lower limit of quantification (i.e., ≤ 0.01 ng/ml, equivalent to approximately 0.01 pmol/g tissue) in all tissue samples (Fig. 2). Of the detectable transporter




Fig. 2. (A) Relative expression of various uptake and efflux transporter proteins within the plasma membrane of human jejunum, pig jejunum, and Caco-2 cells (normalized for the amount of epithelial cells using villin as epithelial marker protein). (B) Comparison of absolute expression levels of various uptake and efflux transporter proteins within the plasma membrane of human and pig jejunum. Data are presented as mean \pm S.E.M. (tissue samples $n = 4$, samples processed in duplicate, Caco-2 cells $n = 2$, samples singly processed). * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$ when compared between human and pig intestinal tissue when compared between human and pig intestinal tissue; # $P < 0.05$; ### $P < 0.001$ when compared between Caco-2 cells and human intestinal tissue.

proteins, MDR1 and OATP4A1 were most abundantly and almost equally expressed in pig samples of duodenum, jejunum, and ileum, followed by MRP3 and BCRP in pig duodenum and ileum and PEPT1 and BCRP in pig jejunum. Overall, GLUT1, MCT1, MRP2, and MRP3 were among the lowest expressed transporters in pig samples, but their absolute numbers were significantly lower in segments from pig jejunum and ileum compared with duodenum. This could mainly be explained by decreased amounts of epithelial cells in the more distal parts of the gastrointestinal tract, indicated by decreasing amounts of villin, a known epithelial marker (West et al., 1988) (Supplemental Fig. 1). After correction for the amount of villin, only the expression of MRP2 remained significantly lower in jejunum and ileum compared with duodenum (Fig. 1, B–E). Interestingly, an opposite tendency was observed for the transporters MDR1, OATP4A1, and MCT5, with slightly increasing expression levels when comparing the duodenum to the ileum.

Transporter Protein Expression in Pig and Human Jejunum in Comparison with Caco-2 Cells. Because monolayers of the human intestinal epithelial Caco-2 cells are generally used as an in vitro screening model for human intestinal permeability, we compared the expression of several transporter proteins in human Caco-2 cells with ex vivo human or pig jejunum tissue samples (Fig. 2A). By correcting for the amount of villin (epithelial marker), we enabled direct comparison of transporter protein abundance in human or pig jejunum with Caco-2 cells and also enabled direct comparison of human and porcine intestinal tissue, which were processed slightly differently (mucosal layer versus whole tissue) resulting in possible differences in the amount of epithelial cells included in the sample (absolute expression of villin in Caco-2 cells compared with pig intestine is presented in Supplemental Fig. 1). Villin expression was not significantly different between human and pig jejunum. Comparable to human and pig jejunum, expression of BSEP, NTCP, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, OATP1C1, and OCT3 in Caco-2 cells was below the lower limit of quantification (i.e., ≤ 0.01 ng/ml, comparable to $\sim 4 \times 10^{-5}$ pmol/ 10^6 cells). Relative expression of GLUT1, OATP4A1, MRP2, MRP1, and OATP2B1 was significantly higher (3- to 130-fold) in Caco-2 cells compared with human jejunum, whereas expression levels of BCRP, MCT1, MCT5, MDR1, MRP3, OCT1, OCTN2, and PEPT1 did not differ significantly between differentiated Caco-2 cells and human or pig jejunum samples. Expression of BCRP, MCT5, MDR1, MRP1, MRP3, and OATP4A1 appeared to be slightly (although significantly) higher in pig jejunum compared with human jejunum (Fig. 2A). Absolute expression levels of transporter proteins in pig and human jejunum are presented in Fig. 2B.

Intestinal Gene Expression of Metabolizing Enzymes. To compare gene expression of metabolizing enzymes between human jejunal tissue ($n = 9$), pig jejunal tissue ($n = 5$), and human Caco-2 cells ($n = 10$), we determined gene expression of a selected panel of CYPs and UGTs. Based on data in the literature, the most abundantly expressed and therefore likely the most relevant human CYPs and UGTs were selected, i.e., for CYPs: CYP2C9, CYP2J2, CYP3A4, and CYP3A5 and for UGTs: UGT1A1, UGT1A6, UGT1A10, UGT2A3, and UGT2B7 (Paine et al., 2006; Bieche et al., 2007; Pavék and Dvorak, 2008). In addition, four different CYP genes and one UGT gene were included with lower intestinal (protein) expression levels, but with known relevance for human drug metabolism, i.e., CYP1A1, CYP2C18, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, and UGT1A8. For every human CYP and UGT gene we attempted to include at least one pig homolog (see Tables 1 and 2). The identified pig homologs shared on average 75% amino acid homology with their human counterparts. By using a genomic DNA calibration curve in every qPCR analysis allowed for the absolute quantification of copy numbers and therefore the possibility of comparing results of different gene targets and between

TABLE 1
Homology between human CYP enzymes and pig variants

Subfamily	Human CYP Enzymes	Pig Variants	Amino Acids Identical
			%
CYP1A CYP2C	CYP1A1	CYP1A1	82
	CYP2C9	CYP2C33	64
		CYP2C42	80
		CYP2C49	78
		CYP2C33	62
		CYP2C42	78
CYP2D	CYP2D6	CYP2D25	79
	CYP2E	CYP2E1	80
CYP2J CYP3A	CYP2J2	—	
	CYP3A4	CYP3A46	77
	CYP3A5		75

sample types. Relative CYP gene expression (corrected for actin-beta and villin expression) in the three models, i.e., human jejunal tissue, human Caco-2 cells and pig jejunal tissue, are presented in Fig. 3. As expected for human jejunal tissue, CYP3A enzymes showed the highest expression, whereas CYP1A1 and CYP2E1 were of very low expression. All examined CYPs showed at least a fivefold lower gene expression in Caco-2 cells compared with ex vivo human jejunal tissue, with the smallest differences for CYP1A1 and CYP3A5 and the largest difference for CYP3A4, i.e., 871-fold higher expression in human jejunum compared with Caco-2 cells. In general, pig CYP homologs in pig jejunum showed expression levels more comparable to human jejunum than to Caco-2 cells. However, whereas the expression level of pig CYP2C42 was comparable to CYP2C enzymes in human jejunum, the expression levels of the pig CYP2C33 and CYP2C49 enzymes were more comparable to expression levels of CYP2C enzymes in Caco-2 cells. Figure 4 shows the gene expression levels of UGTs. All examined UGTs, except for UGT1A6, showed higher expression levels in human jejunum compared with Caco-2 cells. Expression of pig homologs was for some UGTs comparable to expression in human tissue, i.e., UGT1A10 and to a lesser extent UGT1A1 and UGT1A6, but pig UGT2B enzymes 2B18 and 2B31 were hardly expressed, whereas human UGT2B7 showed significant expression both in human ex vivo jejunal tissue and in Caco-2 cells.

Discussion

In this study, we provide a comprehensive dataset for the expression of transporter proteins and metabolic enzymes along the pig intestinal tract and compared these expression profiles with human intestinal tissue and Caco-2 cells. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that

TABLE 2
Homology between human UGT enzymes and pig variants

Subfamily	Human UGT Enzymes	Pig Variants	Amino Acids Identical
			%
UGT1A	UGT1A1	UGT1A1	73
	UGT1A6	UGT1A6	80
	UGT1A8	—	
UGT2A	UGT1A10	UGT1A10	76
	UGT2A3	—	
UGT2B	UGT2B7	UGT2B18	70
		UGT2B31	74




Fig. 3. Gene expression of different CYP enzymes in human jejunum, human Caco-2 cells, and pig jejunum. Gene copy numbers are corrected for beta-actin (ACTB) and villin (VIL) copy numbers to correct for input material. Data are presented as mean \pm S.E.M. ($n = 9$ for human jejunum, $n = 10$ for Caco-2 cells, and $n = 5$ for pig jejunum), and samples were processed in duplicate. na, Not applicable (no pig homolog available).

directly compares expression of a set of active transporter proteins and metabolizing enzymes between porcine intestinal tissue, human intestinal tissue, and Caco-2 cells. Here, we also describe for the first time regional differences in expression of a set of 19 transporter proteins in the pig gastrointestinal tract. In this study, we determined transporter protein expression at the outer plasma membrane of cells, which is the most pure fraction only containing the outer plasma membranes where the transporter proteins are actively expressed. We previously successfully set up and used a method for plasma membrane isolation (Bosgra et al., 2014) and used this method in the current study. Various protein isolation protocols have been applied and described in literature for transporter protein abundance using LC-MS/MS, and recent insights show that the loss of proteins during various centrifugations steps is significant (Ohtsuki et al., 2012; Harwood et al., 2014). It is therefore very important to use the same protein isolation method for comparison between cell lines and (human) tissue levels, and for application in in vitro-in vivo extrapolation (IVIVE). In the current study we also used villin expression to normalize for the amount of epithelial cells to enable direct comparison between tissue types and cell lines.

Regional differences in expression of transporter proteins in human intestinal tissue have been observed before by Western blot analysis for single transporter proteins (Englund et al., 2006; Meier et al., 2007). Interestingly, a recent paper describes the protein abundance of relevant drug transporters in differential regions of the human gastrointestinal tract (Drozdik et al., 2014) using a crude membrane extraction procedure. Because this crude membrane preparation differs from the plasma membrane preparation used in the current study, direct and quantitative comparison between the studies is difficult. Nevertheless, Drozdik and coworkers observed regional-dependent differences in the

level of protein expression for MDR1, which appeared to be higher toward the more distal parts of the small intestine. A similar pattern was observed in the current study for MDR1 in pig intestinal tissue, as was the case for OATP4A1 and MCT5 (these latter 2 proteins were not included in the study by Drozdik et al.). For all other transporter proteins, no regional differences in absolute expression levels were detected in the current study. By using a slightly different detection method (QconCat, in which isotope-labeled peptides are generated by proteolytic digestion of an artificial protein constructed within *Escherichia coli*) and a crude membrane isolation method, Harwood et al. (2015) recently described the expression MDR1, BCRP, and MRP2 in human jejunum and ileum tissue samples. Relative differences in abundance between these three transporter proteins confirmed lowest expression of MRP2 compared with MDR1 and BCRP in human jejunum (and ileum), which we also found in our dataset for human jejunum. Moreover, the same trend of lowest MRP2 expression compared with MDR1 and BCRP was observed in pig intestinal tissue (duodenum, jejunum, and ileum), indicating good similarity between human and pig intestinal tissue.

Because monolayers of Caco-2 cells are generally used as an in vitro screening model for assessment of human intestinal permeability, we compared expression of a set of transporter proteins in human small intestinal tissue with Caco-2 cells. In a recent paper by Harwood et al. (2016), they describe a cross-laboratory study between two laboratories where they looked at expression of MDR1 and BCRP in human jejunum and Caco-2 cells. Both laboratories observed twofold higher absolute expression of MDR1 in Caco-2 cells compared with human jejunum, and 1.5- to 2-fold decreased expression of BCRP in Caco-2 cells compared with human jejunum. Similar trends for MDR1 and BCRP in comparing Caco-2 cells with human jejunum were observed in the




Fig. 4. Gene expression of different UGT enzymes in human jejunum, human Caco-2 cells, and pig jejunum. Gene copy numbers are corrected for beta-actin (ACTB) and villin (VIL) copy numbers to correct for input material. Data are presented as mean \pm S.E.M. ($n = 9$ for human jejunum, $n = 10$ for Caco-2 cells, and $n = 5$ for pig jejunum), and samples were processed in duplicate. na, Not applicable (no pig homolog available).

current study (Fig. 2A). Compared with the data published by Drozdik et al. (2014), Pept1 expression in the current dataset of human and porcine intestinal tissue is relatively low, which could possibly be explained by differences in sample preparation. Remarkably, we found that expression of GLUT1, which is generally of very low expression in vivo in the gut epithelium, was more than 130-fold higher in Caco-2 cells compared with human jejunum tissue. This may be caused by the colorectal adenocarcinoma origin of Caco-2 cells and/or the fact that the cells are cultured in glucose-rich medium. Also OATP4A1, MRP1, MRP2, and OATP2B1 were significantly higher expressed in Caco-2 cells compared with human jejunum, demonstrating the differences between Caco-2 cells and human intestinal tissue. It should be noted, however, that for plasma membrane protein isolation, the Caco-2 cells were cultured in culture flasks rather than filter inserts. Although cells were grown to full confluency and were cultured for 14 days to form a differentiated epithelial monolayer in the culture flasks, some differences with respect to differentiation of the Caco-2 cells may need to be taken into account compared with Caco-2 cells cultured on filter inserts.

To determine metabolic enzyme expression in the three models, we examined gene expression of a panel of CYPs and UGTs. Gene expression levels of metabolic enzymes are generally considered to correlate well with protein levels of the respective enzymes. For example, human intestinal gene expression levels of different CYPs as determined in this study and previously reported by Bieche et al. (2007) correlate well with the determined protein levels of these CYPs as reported by Paine et al. (2006). Overall, the distribution of CYP isoform gene expression in human jejunum are in good agreement with these previous studies, with the rank order of enzyme expression ranging from highest to lowest; CYP3A > CYP2C9 > CYP2C18 > CYP2J2 > CYP2D6 > CYP1A1 > CYP2E1. In addition, the UGT gene expression levels we measured in human jejunum are in agreement with data previously reported by Siissalo et al. (2008) showing substantially lower expression of UGT1A8 compared with the other five tested UGTs. Our finding that Caco-2 cells have strongly reduced expression levels of all but one tested CYP and UGT enzymes compared with ex vivo human tissue is in accordance with expectations based on literature data (Sun et al., 2002; Siissalo et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2011). Indeed, only the gene expression of UGT1A6 was found to be higher in Caco-2 cells compared with human jejunum, which confirms findings by Siissalo et al. (2008). Comparing human CYPs and UGTs with pig homologs is difficult because interspecies homology is not always evident. Some human CYPs and UGTs have pig-specific counterparts, including the pig homologs for CYP1A1, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, and UGT1A6, which also show remarkably similar expression levels in both species. For the other human CYP and UGT genes it is more difficult to identify specific pig counterparts, for example the human CYP2C9 and CYP2C18 enzymes for which we found three CYP2C homologs in pig. Whereas CYP2C42 has comparable expression levels in pig tissue compared with human tissue, the other two pig-specific CYP2C isoforms show very low expression in pig jejunum, as also previously shown (Puccinelli et al., 2010). Taken together, overall CYP and UGT enzymes in pig jejunum are expressed at comparable levels as in human jejunal tissue and substantially higher than in Caco-2 cells, which would make ex vivo pig tissue a better model than Caco-2 cells to determine the effect of intestinal wall metabolism on oral absorption of compounds. However, substantial differences in substrate specificity between human and pig CYPs and UGTs have been observed and need to be taken into account when using pig intestinal tissue as surrogate for human tissue, e.g., by scaling using physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling (Kleine et al., 2008; Puccinelli et al., 2010; Wiercinska et al., 2012).

To study the intestinal absorption and gut health in a more physiologically relevant model using intestinal tissue, we recently developed an improved alternative for the Ussing chamber system, the InTESTine system (Westerhout et al., 2014). Because of rather limited availability of human intestinal tissue, we initially set up and evaluated the InTESTine system with porcine intestinal tissue. The application of human donor intestinal tissue in InTESTine was only added recently, and will possibly fasten the translation to the human in vivo situation and enables the study of human specific intestinal targets (unpublished data). Data from the current study will further improve our understanding of the observed differences in the intestinal absorption and metabolism of various drugs and nutrients between these different preclinical intestinal models. We revealed some important differences between Caco-2 cells, porcine intestinal tissue, and human intestinal tissue that need to be taken into account when using one of these models, for example, by scaling the differential expression of these transporter proteins and metabolizing enzymes to human tissue. We recently showed the value of absolute transporter protein expression determination for IVIVE (Bosgra et al., 2014), where we predict hepatic disposition of rosuvastatin by scaling from individually transfected cell lines by correcting for absolute transporter protein expression within the plasma membrane. Therefore, as a next step, these data will be integrated into in silico models to the use of IVIVE to better predict processes that determine intestinal absorption and finally predict oral bioavailability of orally administered compounds.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank A. van Adrichem, B. Blaauboer, J. Bogaards, M. Bol-Schoenmakers, A. Gootzen, H. Jansen, I.H.G. Nooijen, F. Schrander, and M. Verwei for excellent scientific and technical assistance and Prof. G.M.M. Groothuis (University of Groningen, The Netherlands) for kindly providing the human intestinal samples.

Authorship Contributions

Participated in research design: Vaessen, Lipzig, Pieters, Krul, Wortelboer, and van de Steeg.

Conducted experiments: Vaessen and van de Steeg.

Performed data analysis: Vaessen and van de Steeg.

Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Vaessen, Lipzig, Pieters, Krul, Wortelboer, and van de Steeg.

References

- Bièche I, Narjoz C, Asselah T, Vacher S, Marcellin P, Lidereau R, Beaune P, and de Waziers I (2007) Reverse transcriptase-PCR quantification of mRNA levels from cytochrome (CYP)1, CYP2 and CYP3 families in 22 different human tissues. *Pharmacogenomics* **17**:731–742.
- Bosgra S, van de Steeg E, Vlaming ML, Verhoeckx KC, Huisman MT, Verwei M, and Wortelboer HM (2014) Predicting carrier-mediated hepatic disposition of rosuvastatin in man by scaling from individual transfected cell-lines in vitro using absolute transporter protein quantification and PBPK modeling. *Eur J Pharm Sci* **65**:156–166.
- Drozdik M, Gröber C, Penski J, Lapczuk J, Ostrowski M, Lai Y, Prasad B, Unadkat JD, Siegmund W, and Oswald S (2014) Protein abundance of clinically relevant multidrug transporters along the entire length of the human intestine. *Mol Pharm* **11**:3547–3555.
- Englund G, Rorsman F, Rönnblom A, Karlbom U, Lazorova L, Gråsjö J, Kindmark A, and Artursson P (2006) Regional levels of drug transporters along the human intestinal tract: co-expression of ABC and SLC transporters and comparison with Caco-2 cells. *Eur J Pharm Sci* **29**:269–277.
- Harwood MD, Russell MR, Neuhoff S, Warhurst G, and Rostami-Hodjegan A (2014) Lost in centrifugation: accounting for transporter protein losses in quantitative targeted absolute proteomics. *Drug Metab Dispos* **42**:1766–1772.
- Harwood MD, Achour B, Russell MR, Carlson GL, Warhurst G, and Rostami-Hodjegan A (2015) Application of an LC-MS/MS method for the simultaneous quantification of human intestinal transporter proteins absolute abundance using a QconCAT technique. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* **110**:27–33.
- Harwood MD, Achour B, Neuhoff S, Russell MR, Carlson G, Warhurst G, and Rostami-Hodjegan A (2016) In vitro-in vivo extrapolation scaling factors for intestinal P-glycoprotein and breast cancer resistance protein: part II. The impact of cross-laboratory variations of intestinal transporter relative expression factors on predicted drug disposition. *Drug Metab Dispos* **44**:476–480.
- Haslam IS, O'Reilly DA, Sherlock DJ, Kauser A, Womack C, and Coleman T (2011) Pancreatoduodenectomy as a source of human small intestine for Ussing chamber investigations and comparative studies with rat tissue. *Biopharm Drug Dispos* **32**:210–221.

- Hilgendorf C, Ahlin G, Seithel A, Artursson P, Ungell AL, and Karlsson J (2007) Expression of thirty-six drug transporter genes in human intestine, liver, kidney, and organotypic cell lines. *Drug Metab Dispos* **35**:1333–1340.
- Kim HJ and Ingber DE (2013) Gut-on-a-Chip microenvironment induces human intestinal cells to undergo villus differentiation. *Integr Biol* **5**:1130–1140.
- Kleine M, Schrem H, Borlak J, and Klempnauer J (2008) Clinical versatility of porcine hepatocytes in the light of interspecies differences in cytochrome P450 regulation and expression. *Xeno-transplantation* **15**:208–217.
- Lennernäs H (2007) Animal data: the contributions of the Ussing Chamber and perfusion systems to predicting human oral drug delivery in vivo. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* **59**:1103–1120.
- Meier Y, Eloranta JJ, Darimont J, Ismail MG, Hiller C, Fried M, Kullak-Ublick GA, and Vavricka SR (2007) Regional distribution of solute carrier mRNA expression along the human intestinal tract. *Drug Metab Dispos* **35**:590–594.
- Mowat AM and Agace WW (2014) Regional specialization within the intestinal immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol* **14**:667–685.
- Ohtsuki S, Schaefer O, Kawakami H, Inoue T, Liehner S, Saito A, Ishiguro N, Kishimoto W, Ludwig-Schwelling E, Ebner T, et al. (2012) Simultaneous absolute protein quantification of transporters, cytochromes P450, and UDP-glucuronosyltransferases as a novel approach for the characterization of individual human liver: comparison with mRNA levels and activities. *Drug Metab Dispos* **40**:83–92.
- Paine MF, Hart HL, Ludington SS, Haining RL, Rettie AE, and Zeldin DC (2006) The human intestinal cytochrome P450 “pic”. *Drug Metab Dispos* **34**:880–886.
- Pavek P and Dvorak Z (2008) Xenobiotic-induced transcriptional regulation of xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes of the cytochrome P450 superfamily in human extrahepatic tissues. *Curr Drug Metab* **9**:129–143.
- Puccinelli E, Gervasi PG, La Marca M, Befly P, and Longo V (2010) Expression and inducibility by phenobarbital of CYP2C33, CYP2C42, CYP2C49, CYP2B22, and CYP3As in porcine liver, kidney, small intestine, and nasal tissues. *Xenobiotica* **40**:525–535.
- Rozehnal V, Nakai D, Hoepner U, Fischer T, Kamiyama E, Takahashi M, Yasuda S, and Mueller J (2012) Human small intestinal and colonic tissue mounted in the Ussing chamber as a tool for characterizing the intestinal absorption of drugs. *Eur J Pharm Sci* **46**:367–373.
- Siissalo S, Zhang H, Stilgenbauer E, Kaukonen AM, Hirvonen J, and Finel M (2008) The expression of most UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs) is increased significantly during Caco-2 cell differentiation, whereas UGT1A6 is highly expressed also in undifferentiated cells. *Drug Metab Dispos* **36**:2331–2336.
- Sun D, Lennernas H, Welage LS, Barnett JL, Landowski CP, Foster D, Fleisher D, Lee KD, and Amidon GL (2002) Comparison of human duodenum and Caco-2 gene expression profiles for 12,000 gene sequences tags and correlation with permeability of 26 drugs. *Pharm Res* **19**:1400–1416.
- Taipalensuu J, Törnblom H, Lindberg G, Einarsson C, Sjöqvist F, Melhus H, Garberg P, Sjöström B, Lundgren B, and Artursson P (2001) Correlation of gene expression of ten drug efflux proteins of the ATP-binding cassette transporter family in normal human jejunum and in human intestinal epithelial Caco-2 cell monolayers. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **299**:164–170.
- van de Steeg E, Greupink R, Schreurs M, Nooijen IH, Verhoeckx KC, Hanemaaijer R, Ripken D, Monshouwer M, Vlaming ML, DeGroot J, et al. (2013) Drug-drug interactions between rosuvastatin and oral antidiabetic drugs occurring at the level of OATP1B1. *Drug Metab Dispos* **41**:592–601.
- West AB, Isaac CA, Carboni JM, Morrow JS, Mooseker MS, and Barwick KW (1988) Localization of villin, a cytoskeletal protein specific to microvilli, in human ileum and colon and in colonic neoplasms. *Gastroenterology* **94**:343–352.
- Westerhout J, van de Steeg E, Groussouw D, Zeijdner EE, Krul CA, Verwei M, and Wortelboer HM (2014) A new approach to predict human intestinal absorption using porcine intestinal tissue and biorelevant matrices. *Eur J Pharm Sci* **63**:167–177.
- Wiercinska P, Lou Y, and Squires EJ (2012) The roles of different porcine cytochrome P450 enzymes and cytochrome b5A in skatole metabolism. *Animal* **6**:834–845.
- Yazdaniyan M, Glynn SL, Wright JL, and Hawi A (1998) Correlating partitioning and caco-2 cell permeability of structurally diverse small molecular weight compounds. *Pharm Res* **15**:1490–1494.
- Yee S (1997) In vitro permeability across Caco-2 cells (colonic) can predict in vivo (small intestinal) absorption in man—fact or myth. *Pharm Res* **14**:763–766.
- Yun JJ, Heisler LE, Hwang II, Wilkins O, Lau SK, Hycza M, Jayabalasingham B, Jin J, McLaurin J, Tsao MS, et al. (2006) Genomic DNA functions as a universal external standard in quantitative real-time PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res* **34**:e85.
- Zhang H, Tolonen A, Rousu T, Hirvonen J, and Finel M (2011) Effects of cell differentiation and assay conditions on the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase activity in Caco-2 cells. *Drug Metab Dispos* **39**:456–464.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Evita van de Steeg, TNO, Utrechtseweg 48, P.O. Box 360, 3700 AJ Zeist, The Netherlands. E-mail: evita.vandesteeg@tno.nl

Supplemental files belonging to:

Regional expression levels of drug transporters and metabolizing enzymes along the pig and human intestinal tract and comparison with Caco-2 cells

Stefan F.C. Vaessen, Marola M.H. van Lipzig, Raymond H.H Pieters, Cyrille A.M. Krul, Heleen M. Wortelboer, Evita van de Steeg

Drug Metabolism and Disposition
(DMD-16-072231)

Supplemental Table 1

Table S1. Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) transitions of the various peptides and the corresponding internal standard (AQUA) used. The peptide sequences were chosen according to the *in silico* peptide criteria defined by Kamiie et al. (*Pharm Res* 25:1469-1483, 2008) and are exclusively present in the selected protein of interest.

Name	Labelled	Peptide sequence ^a	MW	Q1	Q3-1	Q3-2	Q3-3
BCRP	unlabelled	SSLLDVLAAR	1.044.2	522.8	644.3	757.5	529.4
	AQUA	SSLLDVLAAR	1.060.2	526.3	651.3		
BSEP	unlabelled	STALQLIQR	1.029.2	515.3	657.4	841.6	529.4
	AQUA	STALQLIQR	1.045.2	518.8	664.3		
GLUT1	unlabelled	VTILELFR	990.2	495.8	790.5	677.4	201.2
	AQUA	VTILELFR	1.000.2	500.8	800.5		
MCT1	unlabelled	SITVFFK	841.0	421.2	173.2	641.3	201.1
	AQUA	SITVFFK	851.0	426.2	651.3		
MCT5-human	unlabelled	QLFDISLFR	1.138.3	569.9	560.9	522.3	897.5
	AQUA	QLFDISLFR	1.154.3	573.3	564.3		
MCT5-pig	unlabelled	AGQPDAR	713.8	357.6	458.3	246.2	257.2
	AQUA	AGQPDAR	719.8	360.6	464.3		
MDR1	unlabelled	NTTGALTTR	934.0	467.7	719.4	216.1	618.4
	AQUA	NTTGALTTR	950.0	471.2	726.5		
MRP1	unlabelled	TPSGNLVNR	957.1	479.2	428.8	759.4	672.4
	AQUA	TPSGNLVNR	973.1	482.7	432.3		
MRP2	unlabelled	VLGPNGLLK	910.1	455.8	698.5	185.3	213.3
	AQUA	VLGPNGLLK	926.1	459.2	705.4		
MRP3	unlabelled	ALVITNSVK	944.1	472.8	760.4	661.4	548.4
	AQUA	ALVITNSVK	950.1	475.8	766.5		
NTCP-human	unlabelled	GIYDGLK	880.0	440.7	710.3	143.2	171.2
	AQUA	GIYDGLK	896.0	444.2	717.3		
NTCP-pig	unlabelled	GIYDGLK	866.0	433.7	696.3	143.2	171.2
	AQUA	GIYDGLK	882.0	437.2	703.4		
OATP4A1	unlabelled	QLPGSQR	784.9	393.1	544.3	272.6	214.2
	AQUA	QLPGSQR	790.9	396.2	550.3		
OATP1B1	unlabelled	LNTVGIK	815.0	408.2	399.4	588.3	228.2
	AQUA	LNTVGIK	831.0	411.7	402.9		
OATP1B3	unlabelled	IYNSVFFGR	1.102.3	551.8	826.5	249.1	526.2
	AQUA	IYNSVFFGR	1.112.3	556.8	836.4		
OATP2B1	unlabelled	SSISTVEK	849.9	425.7	563.3	676.3	175.1
	AQUA	SSISTVEK	855.9	428.7	569.3		
OATP1C1	unlabelled	STITQIER	947.1	474.2	646.4	759.4	189.2
	AQUA	STITQIER	963.1	477.7	653.3		
OCT-1	unlabelled	LPPADLK	752.9	377.2	543.3	183.3	260.3
	AQUA	LPPADLK	768.9	380.7	550.4		
OCT-3	unlabelled	FLQGVFGK	895.1	448.2	233.3	635.4	261.2
	AQUA	FLQGVFGK	905.1	453.2	233.1		
OCTN2	unlabelled	WLISQGR	859.0	430.2	560.3	272.2	300.2
	AQUA	WLISQGR	875.0	433.7	567.3		
PEPT1	unlabelled	TLPVFPK	801.0	401.2	587.4	187.2	294.3
	AQUA	TLPVFPK	807.0	404.2	593.4		
VILLIN	unlabelled	GDVFLDLGK	1076.3	538.8	805.5	658.4	545.4
	AQUA	GDVFLDLGK	1083.3	542.3	812.5		


^a AQUA: Amino acid presented in **bold** is labelled with ¹³C and ¹⁵N.

Supplemental Table 2

Table S2. Primer sequences used for qPCR analysis

Primer sequences for human tissue				Primer sequences for pig tissue			
Gene	NCBI Genbank accession number	Orientation	Sequence	Gene	NCBI Genbank accession number	Orientation	Sequence
ACTB	NM_001101.3	Forward	CACAGGGGAGGTGATAGCATT	ACTB	XM_003357928	Forward	TCAGCAAGCAGGAGTACGAC
		Reverse	AGACCAAAAGCCTTCATACATCTCA			Reverse	GGTGTGTACATTTGCCAGGG
VIL1	NM_007127.2	Forward	TCTACACCACACAGATGGATGACT	VIL1	XM_001925167	Forward	CTCGGCTTGAGGCCTAACAA
		Reverse	CTTGCTTGAAGTAGCCTCGGAAG			Reverse	CAGGTATGGCCAAATCAGCA
CYP1A1	NM_000499.3	Forward	CGGCCCGGCTCTCT	CYP1A1	NM_214412	Forward	CTCTGTGTTGGACTCCCCA
		Reverse	CGGAAGGTCCTCCAGGATGAA			Reverse	GGTGGACTCTTCAGGCCTTT
CYP2C9	NM_000771.3	Forward	CAATGGATTGGCTCTGTGCC	CYP2C33v4	NM_214414	Forward	CTTCATCTCTCTGTGTCTCC
		Reverse	AGGCCATCTGCTCTTCTCAG			Reverse	TGTCGTAGTGGAACCGTCAAT
CYP2C18	NM_000772.2	Forward	TCCCTGCTCTCATCGATTATCTC	CYP2C42	NM_1167835	Forward	GTGAACACCCAACCTCCACT
		Reverse	TCCCAGCACTGTTCATGTC			Reverse	CAGGAACTACCTGTACCCA
CYP2D6	NM_000106.5	Forward	AGGCAGGTATGGGGCTAGAA	CYP2C49	NM_214420	Forward	AAAGGACATCGACACCACCC
		Reverse	CACCAGGAGCAGGAAGATGG			Reverse	AAAGGGGTGAACAAAGCCCA
CYP2E1	NM_000773.3	Forward	AGCCTCTCGTTGACCCAAAG	CYP2D25	NM_214394	Forward	TGCCGGTTCAGGCATATC
		Reverse	ATGAGCGGGGAATGACACAG			Reverse	CAGGAAGGCATCCGTCAAAGT
CYP2J2	NM_000775.2	Forward	ATGCCTCGGAGAACAGTTGG	CYP2E1	NM_214421	Forward	CCTTGACAACATTTGCCGTG
		Reverse	GTGGTTTCAGAACACGTGCC			Reverse	TAGGAGCCCGTATCTCAGGG
CYP3A4	NM_017460.5	Forward	GTCTTTGGGGCCTACAGCAT	CYP3A46	NM_001134824	Forward	GCTGCATCCCAGAGTACCAG
		Reverse	TTGAGAGAAAGAATGGATCCAAAAA			Reverse	GTCTGCATGTCTGACCCCTCAT
CYP3A5	NM_000777.4	Forward	ACGCAAGGACTTCTTCAACCA				
		Reverse	TGACTAAGTTGAAATCTCTGGTGT				
UGT1A1	NM_000463.2	Forward	GCCTTTGTCTGGCTGTTCCCACT	UGT1A1		Forward	CTGCAACAGAAAGGGACACGA
		Reverse	TCGAAGGTCATGTGATCTGAATGAGA		Reverse	ATGCGTTGCATGAAAGGATCA	
UGT1A6	NM_001072.3	Forward	GTTTTCCGTGTTCCCTGGAGC	UGT1A6	XM_005672294	Forward	ACTGGCTCAGCATGAAGGAC
		Reverse	CCAACAAATTAACAAGGAAGTTGGC			Reverse	TCCAGCTCCTCTTGGTCGTA
UGT1A8	NM_019076.4	Forward	CAGCCCCATTCCCTATGTGTTTC				
		Reverse	GAGCATCGGCGAAATCCATGAAT				
UGT1A10	NM_019075.2	Forward	TTGATACCTGTGGCTTAATTGTTGCT	UGT1A10	XM_003133742	Forward	TCTCTGTGTGTCTGTCTGTC
		Reverse	GGCATCTGAGAACCCTAAGAGATCAT			Reverse	GTTTCTCCACAACCGAACGC
UGT2A3	NM_024743.3	Forward	ATGAGGTAACAGTATTGACTCACTCAAAGC				
		Reverse	GTTGATAAGCCTGGCAAGACATTC				
UGT2B7	NM_001074.2	Forward	TTTCAACAAGTACAGGAAATCATGTCAAT	UGT2B31	XM_003356952	Forward	GAGGAACTTGTACCAGGGG
		Reverse	CAGCAGCTCACACAGGAAAAAT			Reverse	CCGATGGTTTGTCTGGGATCT
				UGT2B18	XM_003129065	Forward	ATTCAGTTGTGCCTCCGGT
						Reverse	GCCCCCTGAGCAAGTTCAT

Supplemental Figure 1



Supplemental Figure S1. Absolute expression levels of villin in human jejunal tissue samples and in pig intestinal tissue samples from different regions of the GI tract in comparison with Caco-2 cells. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM (tissue samples n=4, samples processed in duplicate, Caco-2 cells n=2, samples singly processed).