Determination of Human Hepatic CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 Age-Dependent Expression to Support Human Health Risk Assessment for Early Ages
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ABSTRACT

Predicting age-specific metabolism is important for evaluating age-related drug and chemical sensitivity. Multiple cytochrome P450s and carboxylesterase enzymes are responsible for human pyrethroid metabolism. Complete ontogeny data for each enzyme are needed to support in vitro to in vivo extrapolation (IVIVE). This study was designed to determine age-dependent human hepatic CYP2C8 expression, for which only limited ontogeny data are available, and to further define CYP1A2 ontogeny. CYP2C8 and 1A2 protein levels were measured by quantitative Western blotting using liver microsomal samples prepared from 222 subjects with ages ranging from 8 weeks gestation to 18 years after birth. The median CYP2C8 expression was significantly greater among samples from subjects older than 35 postnatal days (n = 122) compared with fetal samples and those from very young infants (fetal to 35 days postnatal, n = 100) (0.00 vs. 13.38 pmol/mg microsomal protein; p < 0.0001). In contrast, the median CYP1A2 expression was significantly greater after 15 months postnatal age (n = 59) than in fetal and younger postnatal samples (fetal to 15 months postnatal, n = 167) (0.0167 vs. 2.354 pmol/mg microsomal protein; p < 0.0001). CYP2C8, but not CYP1A2, protein levels significantly correlated with those of CYP2C9, CYP2C19, and CYP3A4 (p < 0.001), consistent with CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 ontogeny probably being controlled by different mechanisms. This study provides key data for the physiologically based pharmacokinetic model-based prediction of age-dependent pyrethroid metabolism, which will be used for IVIVE to support pyrethroid risk assessment for early life stages.

Introduction

Substantial changes occur in physiologic and biochemical processes during development and growth (Clewell et al., 2002). Such changes can substantially affect chemical disposition in the growing body, resulting in age-related differences in therapeutic efficacy or toxicity (Hines, 2008; Miyagi et al., 2012). Developmental changes in the expression of xenobiotic-metabolizing enzyme systems must be taken into account to better understand age-dependent sensitivity to chemicals, as metabolism can be a major determinant of compound disposition and/or toxicity. The increased synthetic pyrethroid deltamethrin neurotoxicity and lethality observed in young rats is a good example of age-related sensitivity due to immature enzyme systems overwhelmed by the high chemical doses typically applied in rodent toxicity studies (Sheets et al., 1994; Anand et al., 2006). Juvenile susceptibility to pyrethroids in animal studies led to the present concern over potential differential sensitivity of pyrethroid insecticides between juveniles and adults due to their predominant exposure to humans in residential settings (Heudorf and Angerer, 2001; Schettgen et al., 2002).

Hepatic cytochrome P450 (P450) and carboxylesterases (CES) are important enzymes involved in pyrethroid metabolism and clearance in both rats and humans (Anand et al., 2006; Godin et al., 2006, 2007; Ross et al., 2006; Crow et al., 2007; Scollon et al., 2009). The P450 enzymes are capable of catalyzing oxidative transformation of the pyrethroids (Scollon et al., 2009), and the CES enzymes exhibit hydrolysis of some, but not all, pyrethroids in humans (Ross et al., 2006). It is noted that significant species differences exist in the relative importance of various P450 and CES enzymes responsible for pyrethroid metabolism and detoxification. In the rat, the oxidative reactions of the pyrethroids are dominated by CYP1A1, 1A2, 2C6, 2C11, and 3A1, whereas CYP2C8, 2C19, and 3A4 are the predominant contributors in humans (Godin et al., 2007; Scollon et al., 2009). In contrast to rats, human CES1 is important for the hydrolysis of several pyrethroids (Ross et al., 2006). In addition, serum esterases demonstrate significant activity in rats, but not in humans (Crow et al., 2007). Moreover, metabolic competency for some pyrethroids develops later in rats, as indicated by the age-dependent increase in hepatic intrinsic clearance of deltamethrin in rats (Anand et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2010). However, because of clear species differences in the contribution of each P450 and/or CES enzyme to metabolism of a given pyrethroid, it is difficult
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to generalize age dependency in metabolism in humans from rodent data. Thus, information on the developmental changes of the human P450 and CES enzymes involved in pyrethroid metabolisms is critical to examine the juvenile sensitivity in humans.

The developmental trajectories of human CYP2C8, CYP2C19, CYP3A4, CES1, and CES2, the major metabolic contributors to pyrethroid metabolisms, have been well characterized (Stevens et al., 2003; Koukouritaki et al., 2004; Hines, 2008, 2012; Scollon et al., 2009; Hines et al., 2016). However, limited knowledge is available on the ontogeny of human CYP2C8 despite its potential importance in pyrethroid metabolism (Scollon et al., 2009) and its abundance in the human liver (Yeo et al., 2004; Achour et al., 2014). Johnson et al. (2006) demonstrated that the development of CYP2C8 with age was best described by a hyperbolic model, but they had incomplete data on early ages (0–2 years only). Naraharisetti et al. (2010) characterized hepatic CYP2C8 protein expression in individuals with different CYP2C8 genotypes, but their study failed to include early life ages (median age 46 years, range 7–70 years). In addition to CYP2C8, human CYP1A2 is expected to demonstrate pyrethroid metabolic activity, and the available CYP1A2 data are insufficient for in vitro to in vivo extrapolation (IVIVE) (Scollon et al., 2009).

The objective of this study was to determine age-dependent expression levels of human hepatic CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 to fill the current data gap of enzyme ontogeny information, using a human liver tissue bank (Koukouritaki et al., 2004). A total of 222 human liver microsome samples were examined, with ages ranging from 8 weeks gestation to 18 years after birth. Our findings support IVIVE modeling to predict pyrethroid metabolism for different ages by incorporating enzyme ontogeny and expressed enzyme kinetic data. Similar approaches can be applied to predict age-appropriate metabolism parameters for other environmental compounds.

**Materials and Methods**

**Human Liver Tissue Bank.** The microsomal samples consisting of 222 cryopreserved microsomal liver tissue fractions obtained from donors ranging in age from 8 weeks gestation to 18 years after birth were obtained from the Brain and Tissue Bank for Developmental Disorders, University of Maryland (Baltimore, MD); University of Miami (Coral Gables, FL; National Institute of Child Health and Human Development contract HD-83284); and the Central Laboratory for Human Embryology at the University of Washington (Seattle, WA; National Institute of Child Health and Human Development contract HD-00836). Liver microsomal fractions were prepared by differential centrifugation as described by Koukouritaki et al. (2004) and stored at 80°C until used for Western blotting analysis. The same samples have been used previously to characterize the developmental expression pattern of other microsomal and cytosolic proteins. Samples from individuals with disease processes that would have potentially involved liver pathology were excluded, and more information on clinical exclusion criteria is described in the Supplemental Methods. The demographics of the donors are presented in Table 1. The median age was 56 days postnatal with 61% male and 34% female donors. The collection and described use of these tissue samples was considered exempt by the Children’s Hospital and Health System of Wisconsin Institutional Review Board.

**Other Materials.** Primary polyclonal antibody raised in rabbit against human CYP2C8 was obtained from GeneTex (Irvine, CA) (cat. no. GTX113666, lot number 456203) and human CYP1A2 from XenoTech (Kansas City, KS). Protein molecular weight markers were obtained from Life Technologies (cat. no. LC5602; Carlsbad, CA), and dual color standards were obtained from Bio-Rad (cat. no. 161-0374; Richmond, CA). Novex 4–12% Tris-Glycine mini gels were purchased from Life Technologies (cat. no. EC603585BOX). SuperSignal Western Blot enhancer was purchased from Thermo Scientific (cat. no. 46660; Rockford, IL). Immobilon-P polyvinylidene fluoride transfer membrane was purchased from Millipore Corporation (cat. no. IPVH07850; Billerica, MA).

**Quantitative Western Blot Analysis.** CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 expressions in human liver microsomal samples (n = 222) were immunohemically measured by Western blot analysis as described previously (Naraharisetti et al., 2010). Preliminary studies were performed to determine CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 expression across all ages. Based on the preliminary results, the individual hepatic microsomal samples, either 5 or 10 μg, were separated by SDS-PAGE along with purified recombinant CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 as standards (0.005–1 pmol of CYP2C8, and 0.0002–0.04 pmol of CYP1A2) and then transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. Each membrane was incubated with 5 ml of antigen pretreatment solution at room temperature for 10 minutes with shaking, and subsequently incubated with a mixture of anti-CYP2C8 (1:500 dilution) or anti-CYP1A2 (1:500 dilution) antibody containing primary antibody diluted at 4°C overnight. Subsequently, each membrane was rinsed with Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween-20, and then incubated with the corresponding secondary antibodies (1:5000 dilution) for 1 hour at room temperature. Blots were scanned using an Odyssey Infrared Imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences). In each gel, other than unknown samples and one set of standard samples, 2 μg of commercial pooled human liver microsomes (XenoTech) was added as a quality control sample for interday variation.

The interday coefficients of variation for the microsomal CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 (n = 19) were 11.3 and 9.2%, respectively. Nonlinear regression analysis was used to construct a calibration curve to quantify the amount of both CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 protein in each individual sample based on the integrated band intensity observed with the respective purified, recombinant proteins. A one-site binding regression equation, \( Y = B_{\text{max}} \times X / (K_d + X) \), provided in GraphPad Prism (version 6.0; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA) was used, where \( X \) is the loading amount of each recombinant protein in picomoles, and \( Y \) is the band density integrated using Image Studio Lite software (version 4.0; LI-COR Biosciences). A value of \( r^2 \approx 0.99 \) was accepted as evidence of good fit for the standard curve derived from known amounts of either CYP2C8 (0.005–1 pmol) or CYP1A2 (0.0002–0.04 pmol) (Supplemental Table S1).

**Statistical Analysis.** Scatter plots of CYP2C8- and CYP1A2-specific content as a function of age were used to examine overall trends. Classification and regression tree analysis (R, version 3.1.1, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used to evaluate differences in CYP2C8- and CYP1A2-specific
content among different age groups. Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric tests were used for statistical comparisons between age groups followed by adjustment for multiple comparisons using Dunn’s post hoc test (GraphPad Prism, version 7.01). Other variables were also evaluated using nonparametric testing (GraphPad Prism version 7.01). Linear regression was used to examine relationships between continuous variables (R, version 3.1.1), and the strength of \( r^2 \) was considered as highly relevant (i.e., proximity to 1) in addition to the \( p \) value. Stepwise regression testing (multivariate analysis) was used to evaluate factors potentially contributing to variation in enzyme protein expression (R, version 3.1.1). A \( p \) value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical tests were two-sided.

## Results

### Western Blot Analysis of Human Hepatic CYP2C8 and CYP1A2.

Western blot analyses revealed a major immunoreactive band at 56 kDa corresponding to CYP2C8, whereas for CYP1A2, a single, major immunoreactive band was detected at 58 kDa. Representative Western blots are shown in Fig. 1, A and B. The upper band in parallel with CYP2C8 shown in tested human liver microsomal samples (Fig. 1A) was suspected to be CYP2C9 due to relative cross-reactivity of the CYP2C8 polyclonal antibody with CYP2C9 (Supplemental Fig. S1). However, this signal did not affect the quantitation of CYP2C8, because CYP2C8 was electrophoretically well separated from the suspected CYP2C9 band under the current experimental conditions. Anti-CYP1A2 antibody was specific to CYP1A2 and did not cross-react with any other recombinant P450 proteins tested in the current study (Supplemental Fig. S2). No cross-reactivity between the anti-CYP2C8 antibody and CYP1A2 protein or between the anti-CYP1A2 antibody and CYP2C8 protein was observed (data not shown).

### Ontogeny and Interindividual Variation in CYP2C8.

Human CYP2C8 was detectable in the majority of postnatal samples (142 out of 163 samples). With outliers included as defined previously, the median of microsomal CYP2C8 was 12.62 pmol/mg microsomal protein (minimum: 0.148, maximum: 77.98 pmol/mg microsomal protein); however, only considering the 5th to 95th percentile range, the overall distribution of CYP2C8 content for the entire sample varied about 80-fold. The fetal and early infancy developmen tal expression patterns for CYP2C8 are presented in Fig. 2, A and B, respectively. With the exception of three outliers, CYP2C8 was either not expressed or expressed at very low levels (\(<5\) pmol/mg microsomal protein) during the human fetal period (\(n = 60\)). However, CYP2C8 was readily detected in most postnatal samples, with expression occurring as early as the first day of life in some, but not all microsomal samples (Fig. 2B). No subjects older than 91 days had nondetectable CYP2C8 expression (Fig. 2B).

![Fig. 1. Representative Western blots of CYP2C8 and 1A2 in human liver microsomal samples. (A) Lanes 1–6, purified recombinant CYP2C8 standards (1, 0.4, 0.13, 0.04, 0.015, 0.005 pmol); lanes 7–12, tested human liver microsomal samples (5 or 10 \(\mu\)g each) lane 13, commercial human pooled liver microsomal protein as quality control sample (2 \(\mu\)g). (B) Lanes 1–6, purified recombinant CYP1A2 standards (0.04, 0.016, 0.0053, 0.0018, 0.0006, 0.0002 pmol); lanes 7–12, tested human liver microsomal samples (5 or 10 \(\mu\)g each) lane 13, commercial human pooled liver microsomal protein as quality control sample (2 \(\mu\)g).]
There was no correlation between the postmortem interval (time between death and freezing of liver samples) and the CYP1A2 expression levels (linear regression, $r^2 = 0.017; p > 0.05$), whereas there was a positive, although minor, relationship between the postmortem interval and the amount of CYP2C8 (linear regression, $r^2 = 0.049; p < 0.01$). Age was still significantly associated with both CYP2C8 and 1A2 protein expression levels after adjustment for postmortem interval. With all factors considered simultaneously, including age, gender, race/ethnic group, and postmortem interval, only age remained significantly associated with human hepatic microsomal CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 content (stepwise linear regression, $p < 0.001$).

**Correlations between CYP2C Family and CYP3C4 Protein Content.** Considering some previously reported regulatory mechanisms shared between human cytochrome P450 enzymes (Honkakoski and Negishi, 2000), CYP2C8 protein levels were compared with the previously reported data for CYP2C9, 2C19, and CYP3A4 protein content determined with similar methods using the same tissue samples (Stevens et al., 2003; Koukouritaki et al., 2004). In contrast to CYP2C8, microsomal CYP2C9 and CYP2C19 are readily detected in fetal and early-life hepatic samples (Fig. 8). Overall, microsomal CYP2C8 protein expression levels were modestly correlated with those of CYP2C9 ($r^2 = 0.193, p < 0.001$), CYP2C19 ($r^2 = 0.321, p < 0.001$), and CYP3A4 ($r^2 = 0.304, p < 0.001$), suggesting that CYP2C8 may share some developmental regulatory mechanisms with these other cytochrome P450s.

**Discussion**

Age-dependent maturation of xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes can profoundly impact chemical kinetics in the developing body. In the past several years, the growing knowledge of enzyme ontogeny has contributed greatly to improving our qualitative and quantitative understanding of pharmacokinetics in infants and children.

![Fig. 2](image1.png)  
(A) Human CYP2C8 protein content and age among microsomal liver fetal samples ($n=60$). PCA, postconceptual age. (B) Human CYP2C8 and age among microsomal liver samples from the postnatal subjects less than 1 year of age ($n=103$). The added solid vertical line represents the 35-day time point selected by classification tree analysis and confirmed by statistical testing as appropriate age stratification.

![Fig. 3](image2.png)  
(A) Age and human CYP2C8 content among microsomal liver samples from the subset of subjects from birth to 18 years ($n=162$). The added vertical lines represent the two nodes selected by classification tree analysis indicative of appropriate age stratification: 35 days (solid line) and 11 years (dotted line). The 35-day age classification (solid line) was confirmed by Kruskal-Wallis statistical testing followed by adjustment for multiple comparison using Dunn’s post hoc test, whereas the 11-year age grouping (indicated by a dotted line) was not. (B) The relationship between human CYP2C8 content and age among postmortem microsomal liver samples from 222 human subjects from fetus to 18 years after birth. The vertical lines represent the two “cut points,” age 35 days and 11 years (from right to left), selected by classification regression tree analysis as indicative of appropriate age groupings. PCA, postconceptual age.
understanding of age-related differences in chemical sensitivity or drug efficacy/adversity. Such evaluation is possible when enzyme ontogeny data are used in combination with computational modeling tools, such as physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modeling, which provides an estimate of the target tissue concentration under given exposure conditions based on in vitro–based information (Clewell et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2013). A major challenge in early-life PBPK modeling, however, is the difficulty in obtaining extensive biochemical data, especially metabolic data, for pediatric populations. To overcome this challenge, a bottom-up process called IVIVE has been increasingly used to support parameterization of the PBPK models (Clewell et al., 2004; Johnson et al., 2006; Rostami-Hodjegan and Tucker, 2007; Yoon et al., 2012). Among the available choices of in vitro assay systems for age-appropriate metabolism parameters, human recombinant enzymes have several advantages compared to cell-based or tissue-derived materials. Fewer sample-quality uncertainties are expected in the estimated in vivo metabolic constants derived by combining recombinant enzyme activity with enzyme content data compared to those extrapolated from the data obtained from subcellular fractions or primary hepatocytes collected from age-specific tissue samples. In addition, human metabolic variability can be addressed based on observed variation in enzyme abundance instead of using a large number of individual donors or volunteers to assess activity. A substantial amount of data have been collected, resulting in a database describing the ontogeny of the majority of the key xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes in humans; an extensive review of these data can be found in Hines (2008, 2012).

CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 are major hepatic cytochrome P450s, comprising about 7% and 4–16% of total microsomal cytochrome P450 content in the adult human liver (Zanger and Schwab, 2013). Both enzymes play an important role in carrying out oxidative metabolism of several therapeutic drugs and environmental chemicals, including pyrethroids (Godin et al., 2007; Guengerich, 2008; Scollon et al., 2009). However, there is inadequate knowledge of human CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 ontogeny. Previously reported studies were limited in sample size at early ages (Cresteil et al., 1982; Lee et al., 1991; Johnson et al., 2006; Naraharisetti et al., 2010). This study is the first to determine human hepatic CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 using a large number of prenatal and postnatal human liver tissue samples.

Both CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 expression were essentially absent during the gestational period, consistent with increased postnatal expression of both enzymes being linked to birth. However, the developmental expression patterns after birth were different. Significant

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Fig. 4.** Summary of hepatic microsomal human CYP2C8 developmental expression pattern. CYP2C8-specific content as a function of age was grouped using classification tree analysis to minimize differences within while maximizing differences between age brackets. The resulting data are shown as box and whisker plots in which the horizontal bar represents median CYP2C8 content, boxes represent the upper and lower quartiles, and vertical bars represent the 5th to 95th percentiles. Outliers, defined as having specific contents outside 1.5 times the 25th to 75th percentiles, are shown as open circles. The youngest age group differed significantly from the other two groups (p < 0.0001, each comparison, Kruskal-Wallis testing), whereas the middle age group did not differ from the oldest group (p > 0.05).

![Figure 5](image-url)

**Fig. 5.** (A) Age and human CYP1A2 among fetal hepatic microsomal samples (n = 60). PCA, postconceptual age. (B) Age and human CYP1A2 among microsomal liver samples from postnatal subjects less than 1 year of age (n = 103).
CYP2C8 expression was observed in early infant samples with an approximate 8-fold difference in CYP2C8 levels between individuals less than 35 days postnatal age and older donors (35 days to 18 years). In contrast, CYP1A2 protein expression exhibited no significant change between the fetal and neonatal periods (~30 days). A progressive increase (~10-fold) in CYP1A2-specific contents was observed after 15 months postnatal age, indicating a delayed CYP1A2 ontogenesis consistent with earlier findings based on more limited data sets (Sonnier and Cresteil, 1998). Early quantification studies using probe substrates and polyclonal antibodies demonstrated CYP1A2 was either not expressed or expressed at very low levels in human fetal tissues (Cresteil et al., 1982; Lee et al., 1991). The study by Sonnier and Cresteil (1998) supported a delayed CYP1A2 ontogeny by reporting that CYP1A2 was absent in fetal and neonatal microsomal livers, whereas samples from 1- to 3-month-old infants (n = 23) attained 10–15% of the adult values, and samples from 3-month to 1-year-old infants reached 20–25% of adult values. Thus, our findings on CYP1A2 are consistent with earlier reports.

After the specific age thresholds for significant increases in CYP2C8- and CYP1A2-specific content, microsomal CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 protein expression did not exhibit additional significant age-related differences. This suggests that, if any developmental changes in CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 activity occur after about 35 days and 15 months postnatal, respectively, such changes would be modest and may depend on exogenous factors (Hines, 2008, 2012). Naraharisetti et al. (2010) explored human liver CYP2C8 expression and effects of genotype (CYP2C8*3 or *4), gender, and age on mRNA and/or protein expression levels. Interindividual variation in CYP2C8 mRNA and protein expression in 60 liver tissues from Caucasian donors was not shown to be affected by genetic variation, age, or gender. Given that their studies were enriched with adult samples (median: 46 years, range: 7–70 years), the lack of an observable age effect on CYP2C8 protein expression levels is consistent with our findings. Thus, our results augment the existing but limited knowledge of human CYP2C8 and 1A2 ontogeny and will enable more accurate assessment of developmental changes in the disposition, efficacy, and toxicity of drugs and environmental chemicals.

The variation observed in the overall distribution of CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 content as well as the variation within individual age groups was substantial. Several studies have identified CYP2C8 as highly polymorphic among various ethnic populations, the most common alleles being CYP2C8*2 and CYP2C8*3 (Totah and Rettie, 2005). CYP2C8*2 is expressed most commonly in African Americans with an allele frequency of 18%, but is very rare in Caucasians and Japanese...
In contrast, CYP2C8*3 is most commonly expressed in Caucasians, with an allele frequency of 23%, but is quite rare in African Americans and almost absent in Japanese (Soyama et al., 2001, 2002). Both CYP2C8*2 and *3 alleles are reported to result in changes in amino acid sequence, leading to altered enzyme expression levels and metabolic activity toward therapeutic drugs (e.g., paclitaxel) and endogenous substrate (e.g., arachidonic acid) in vitro and in vivo (Soyama et al., 2001, 2002; Bahadur et al., 2002). In contrast, the clinical relevance of multiple CYP1A2 polymorphisms (e.g., CYP1A2*1 or *6) is controversial (Jiang et al., 2006). Thus, the presence of CYP2C8 genetic polymorphisms among the liver tissue donors may contribute to the considerable variability observed in CYP2C8-specific content within and between age groups. Diet influences normal cytochrome P450–dependent metabolic maturation processes. For example, using caffeine and dextromethorphan as probes, respectively, formula-fed infants displayed increased CYP1A1 and CYP3A4 metabolic activity compared with breast-fed infants (Le Guennec and Billon, 1987; Blake et al., 2006). CYP2C8 and CYP1A2 protein expression and metabolic activity have also been shown to be induced by therapeutic drugs and smoking (Totah and Rettie, 2005; Zanger and Schwab, 2013). These observations implicate genetic polymorphisms and postnatal exposure to dietary and/or other inducing/suppressing agents (e.g., rifampin and carbamazepine) as having a significant role in the developmental regulation of cytochrome P450 expression and functional activity from early infancy (Blake et al., 2006) and likely explain at least some of the interindividual differences in CYP2C8 and 1A2 expression observed in the current study.

Constitutive human CYP1A2 expression only occurs in liver (Zanger and Schwab, 2013). CYP1A2 expression is also regulated by the aryl hydrocarbon receptor and by other receptors (Pelkonen and Hakkola, 2008), including the constitutive androstane receptor (CAR), and pregnane X receptor (PXR). Numerous xenobiotics serve as aryl hydrocarbon receptor, CAR, and PXR agonistic ligands, and thus, can induce CYP1A2 transcription (Blake et al., 2006; Pelkonen and Hakkola, 2008; Zanger and Schwab, 2013). In contrast, human CYP2C8 is characterized by extrahepatic expression (Klose et al., 1999) as well as its abundance in the liver (Zanger and Schwab, 2013) and is considered the most inducible member of the human CYP2C subfamily (Totah and Rettie, 2005). Three nuclear receptors, PXR, CAR, and the glucocorticoid receptor, are known to be involved in CYP2C8 induction (Gerbal-Chaloin et al., 2001; Ferguson et al., 2005). These same nuclear receptors are also involved in the induction and regulation of other CYP2C family genes (CYP2C9 and CYP2C19) and CYP3A4 (Gerbal-Chaloin et al., 2001; Ferguson et al., 2005). Thus, the correlation of hepatic CYP2C8 with CYP2C9, 2C19, and 3A4 protein levels in the same individuals may be attributed to shared factors that regulate hepatic-specific expression during development (Koukouritaki et al., 2004).

Establishment of an in vitro and in silico–based evaluation strategy in conjunction with relevant human exposure information is of great importance to risk and safety assessment of potentially vulnerable populations and life stages. Our data will fill current data gaps in enzyme ontogeny information for IVIVE-supported prediction of age-dependent drug and chemical metabolisms. As an example, IVIVE calculation and in vivo metabolic clearance for a hypothetical compound X, for which CYP1A2 is a major enzyme involved in hepatic metabolism, are described in Supplemental Table S2 and Supplemental Fig. S3, respectively. The immediate utility of the data from the current study is to predict age-appropriate metabolism parameters for pyrethroid PBPK models. However, the value of the ontogeny data for these two
enzymes is broader, providing the ability to accurately predict age-dependent hepatic clearance for a variety of chemicals, thereby increasing our confidence in risk and safety decisions for early human life stages.
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