Metabolite Identification, Reaction Phenotyping, and Retrospective Drug-Drug Interaction Predictions of 17-Deacetylnorgestimate, the Active Component of the Oral Contraceptive Norgestimate
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ABSTRACT

Ortho Tri-Cyclen, a two-drug cocktail comprised of ethinylestradiol and norgestimate (13-ethyl-17-acetoxy-18, 19-dinor-17α-preg-4-en-20yn-3 oxime), is commonly prescribed to avert unwanted pregnancies in women of reproductive age. In vivo, norgestimate undergoes extensive and rapid deacetylation to produce 17-deacetylnorgestimate (NGMN), an active circulating metabolite that likely contributes significantly to norgestimate efficacy. Despite being of primary significance, the metabolism and reaction phenotyping of NGMN have not been previously reported. Hence, detailed biotransformation and reaction phenotyping studies of NGMN with recombinant cytochrome P450 (P450), recombinant uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferases, and human liver microsomes in the presence and absence of selective P450 inhibitors were conducted. It was found that CYP3A4 plays a key role in NGMN metabolism with a fraction metabolized (fmet) of 0.57. CYP2B6 and to an even lesser extent CYP2C9 were also observed to catalyze NGMN metabolism. Using this CYP3A4 fmet value, the predicted plasma concentration versus time area under the curve (AUC) change in NGMN using a basic/mechanistic static model was found to be within 1.3-fold of the reported NGMN AUC changes for four modulators of CYP3A4. In addition to NGMN, we have also elucidated the biotransformation of norgestrel (NG), a downstream norgestimate and NGMN metabolite, and found that CYP3A4 and UGT1A1 have a major contribution to the elimination of NG with a combined fmet value of 1. The data presented in this paper will lead to better understanding and management of NGMN-based drug-drug interactions when norgestimate is coadministered with CYP3A4 modulators.

Introduction

Ortho Tri-Cyclen (OTC) is commonly prescribed to avert unwanted pregnancies in women of reproductive age, and is increasingly recommended as hormone replacement therapy to manage menopausal symptoms. It consists of 0.035 mg of ethinylestradiol (EE), and 0.18, 0.215, or 0.25 mg of norgestimate (Schwartz et al., 2009). It contains two hormones: 1) an estrogen (norgestimate), which suppresses ovulation, and 2) an estrogen (EE), which suppresses production of follicle-stimulating and luteinizing hormones (Becker, 1990; Corson, 1990; McGuire et al., 1990; Huber, 1991; Bringer, 1992; Kafrrissen, 1992; Kaplan, 1995). Since OTC is prescribed to prevent pregnancy, maintaining efficacious levels of its components is crucial. However, this is complicated by the fact that the active components of OTC are very susceptible to drug-drug interactions (DDIs), with numerous DDIs having been reported thus far [see “Interactions between Antiretrovirals (ARVs) and Hormonal Contraceptives” (http://hivclinic.ca/main/drugs_interact_files/Oral%20Contraceptive-int.pdf)]. These DDIs have usually been attributed to modulation of CYP3A4 activity (Hartpantsad et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2011).

Norgestimate (13-ethyl-17-acetoxy-18, 19-dinor-17α-preg-4-en-20yn-3 oxime; norgestrel-3-oxime-17-acetate) is a synthetic steroid that possesses antiprogestational and antifertility activity (Becker, 1990; Corson, 1990; McGuire et al., 1990; Huber, 1991; Bringer, 1992; Kafrrissen, 1992; Kaplan, 1995). Current understanding suggests that norgestimate is a prodrug and 17-deacetylnorgestimate (NGMN) is the active metabolite that likely exerts efficacy. Norgestimate and NGMN likely have equivalent potencies; however, NGMN has much higher systemic exposures, and hence likely contributes to contraceptive action much more than norgestimate (McGuire et al., 1990; Kafrrissen, 1992). In the preclinical species of rats, dogs, and monkeys, orally administered 14C norgestimate was rapidly absorbed with maximum radioactivity observed in plasma within 4 hours of administration and with an elimination half-life of 30–67 hours. In women, 14C norgestimate was also rapidly absorbed with maximum circulating radioactivity observed in 30 minutes to 2 hours and eliminated with a terminal half-life of 45–71 hours (Alton et al., 1984; McGuire et al., 1990; Kaplan, 1995; Schwartz et al., 2009). Upon oral administration of 0.18 mg of norgestimate, the peak norgestimate serum concentrations were only 270 pM, whereas...
1 hour after dosing NGMN concentrations were greater than 12 nM, and high concentrations were seen even 36 hours after dosing (Altton et al., 1984; McGuire et al., 1990; Kaplan, 1995; Schwartz et al., 2009). Hence, the long circulating radioactivity half-life likely stems from NGMN and not norgestimate.

In vitro, it was found that norgestimate is rapidly deacetylated in multiple matrices to produce NGMN which is subsequently metabolized to produce norgestrel (NG), which undergoes further metabolism (Madden and Back, 1991; Wild et al., 1991, 1993). In human liver microsome (HLM) preps, NGMN was found in the absence of NADPH from norgestimate, whereas in the presence of NADPH, NGMN, 3-keto norgestimate, NG, and other metabolites were formed. Normal colon samples, human endometrial cancer cell lines (HEC-1A), endometrial tissue, stomach tissue, and normal and malignant breast cells in culture were also found to convert norgestimate to NGMN (Madden and Back, 1991; Wild et al., 1993). As such, cytochrome P450 (P450) expression is not expected in these cells, suggesting that a wide variety of hydrolytic enzymes are capable of converting norgestimate to NGMN. The fact that norgestimate metabolism is fundamentally catalyzed by enzymes other than P450s, and in multiple tissues and organs, makes norgestimate resistant to DDIs, since such DDIs are typically mediated through P450s. In contrast, NGMN metabolism was found to be NADPH dependent, and a significant correlation was observed between NGMN metabolism and P450 content (Madden and Back, 1991).

To summarize, norgestimate is metabolized rapidly to an active metabolite, NGMN, which circulates at efficacious concentrations with a long elimination half-life and likely contributes significantly to efficacy. Currently, there is no understanding of the enzyme families responsible for the clearance of NGMN and NG. Hence, to predict and enable a retrospective understanding of NGMN and NG DDIs, metabolite identification and reaction phenotyping studies were undertaken to determine P450 and uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) isoforms involved in NGMN and NG metabolism. NGMN DDIs have been reported when lopinavir (LPR)/ritonavir (RTV), RTV/atazanavir (ATV), or fold of plasma efavirenz (EFZ) were coadministered with norgestimate; the actual plasma EFZ concentrations were seen even 36 hours after dosing (Alton et al., 1991; Wild et al., 1991, 1993). In human liver microsome (HLM) preps, NGMN was formed in the absence of NADPH, whereas NGMN was formed in the absence of NADPH from norgestimate, whereas in the presence of NADPH, NGMN, 3-keto norgestimate, NG, and other metabolites were formed. Normal colon samples, human endometrial cancer cell lines (HEC-1A), endometrial tissue, stomach tissue, and normal and malignant breast cells in culture were also found to convert norgestimate to NGMN (Madden and Back, 1991; Wild et al., 1993).

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

Norgestimate (C23H18N3O6S), norethindrone (C18H22O2), naphthoavone (C19H12O2), thio TEPA (C19H12N3PS), quercetin (C15H10O7), sulphaphenazole (C15H14N4O2S), benzylnirvanol (C18H18N2O2), quinidine (C20H24N2O), glutathione (C34H73N11O17P3) was purchased from (Sisco Research Laboratory Ltd., Mumbai, India) and five mixed-gender pooled HLMs, recombinant UGTs, and recombinant P450s were purchased from Corning (Corning, NY).

Instruments

The LC-mass spectrometry (MS) systems used in the study were the following: 1) LC-MS Orbitrap (Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany), equipped with an Agilent (Santa Clara, CA) 1200 high-performance LC system for in vitro incubations in HLMs, and 2) QTRAP 5500 (AB Sciex, Concord, Ontario, Canada), equipped with a Waters (Milford, MA) ACQUITY ultra-performance LC system for reaction phenotyping.

In Vitro Incubations in HLMs for Metabolite Profiling of NGMN and NG.

A typical P450 microsomal assay was carried out in HLMs with NGMN and NG, wherein both NGMN and NG (30 μM) were separately preincubated with HLMs (1 mg/ml) in phosphate buffer (0.1 M) at pH 7.4 for 5 minutes. Reactions were initiated by addition of NADPH (1 mM) and incubated for 60 minutes at 37°C in a total reaction volume of 1000 μl. Control incubations were performed in the absence of NADPH. At 0 and 60 minutes, 300 μl aliquots were taken and quenched with an equal volume of ACN precipitate the proteins. Then, the reaction mixture was vortexed, centrifuged at 14000g for 10 minutes, and the resulting supernatants were analyzed in the LTQ-Velos Orbitrap.

For determination of NADPH and UGT-mediated metabolism, both NGMN and NG (30 μM) were preincubated in Tris-HCl buffer (0.1 M) at pH 7.4 containing 10 mM MgCl2 and 1 mg/ml alamethacin-treated HLMs. The HLMs were pretreated for 20 minutes with alamethacin (50 μg/ml protein) on ice. The reaction was initiated by the addition of uridine 5'-diphosphoglucoronuronic acid (UDPGA), 3 mM and NADPH (1 mM). The incubations and sample processing were carried out in a similar fashion as described previously, and samples were analyzed by LTQ-Velos Orbitrap. Control experiments were performed in the absence of NADPH and UDPGA.

Reaction Phenotyping.

In a typical assay, both NGMN and NG (1 μM) were preincubated for 5 minutes with recombinant CYP3A4, CYP3A5, CYP2C9, CYP2C8, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, CYP1A2, CYP2E1, CYP2A6, and CYP2B6 (25 nM) in 96-well plates containing phosphate buffer (0.1 M) at pH 7.4. The reaction was initiated by addition of NADPH (1 mM) and incubated for 60 minutes at 37°C. Aliquots were taken at 0, 3, 15, and 60 minutes, and quenched with two volumes of acetonitrile containing norethindrone as an internal standard. Sample processing was carried out in a similar fashion as described in the previous section and analyzed by ultra-performance LC-MS/MS. Reaction phenotyping of NGMN was also performed with HLMs in the presence of specific inhibitors. The selective P450 inhibitors were alpha-naphthoflavone (CYP1A1 inhibitor at 1 μM), thio-TEPA (CYP2B6, 50 μM), quercetin (CYP2C8, 20 μM), sulphaphenazole (CYP2C9, 10 μM), benzylnirvanol (CYP2C9, 1 μM), quinidine (CYP2D6, 1 μM), CYP3cide (CYP3A4, 1 μM), and KTZ (CYP3A4 and CYP3A5, 1 μM). Thio-TEPA and CYP3cide are mechanism-based inhibitors; hence, both those inhibitors were preincubated with the HLM and NADPH mixture for 15 minutes and reaction was initiated by addition of NGMN (1 μM). For the other inhibitors, a mixture of NGMN (1 μM), HLMs (1 mg/ml), and inhibitors were preincubated for 5 minutes and reaction was initiated by addition of NADPH (1 mM). The reaction was incubated for 60 minutes at 37°C and aliquots were taken at 0, 30, and 60 minutes, quenched with two volumes of ACN containing norethindrone as an internal standard, and the samples were processed for analysis as described previously. To determine UGT activity, NGMN and NG (1 μM) were preincubated with rUGT1A1, rUGT1A2, rUGT1A4, rUGT1A6, rUGT2B4, and rUGT2B7 (1 mg/ml) in 96-well plates, with alamethacin pretreatment, as described in the previous section. The reaction was initiated by addition of UDPGA (5 mM) followed by an incubation of 45 minutes at 37°C. Aliquots were taken at 0, 5, 15, and 45 minutes. Additionally, in a separate incubation NG (1 μM) was incubated with rUGT1A1 (1 mg/ml) and UDPGA (5 mM) for 5 minutes with aliquots taken at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 minutes. Samples were processed and analyzed as previously described in this section. In another set of incubations, NG (1 μM) was incubated with alamethacin-treated HLMs (1 mg/ml) as previously described, with and without KTZ (CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 inhibitor at 1 μM) and ATV (UGT1A1) and CYP3A4/3A5 inhibitor at 1 μM). The reaction was initiated by addition of NADPH (1 mM) and UDPGA (5 mM). The incubation was carried out at 37°C for 45 minutes, aliquots were taken at 0, 5, 15, 30, and 45 minutes, and samples were processed as previously described.

Determining the fnm Value. The fnm values for NGMN were determined from their incubation in the presence and absence of specific inhibitors in HLMs, whereas the fnm values for NG were determined from separate incubations of NG with and without KTZ and ATV, as described in the previous section. The initial rate of disappearance (k_d) of NGMN and NG in the presence and absence of inhibitors was determined by plotting the natural log of % disappearance versus time; fnm was calculated using eq. 1, as described in Yang et al. (2016). Sufficient turnover to calculate an elimination rate constant and half-life value was assessed by determining if the elimination slope was statistically different from zero.
\[ \text{inhibition} = 100 \times \frac{k_{\text{el, no inh}} - k_{\text{el, with inh}}}{k_{\text{el, no inh}}} \]

\[ f_m = \frac{\text{sum of total % inhibition across P450 isoforms}}{100} \]

**Prediction of NGMN DDIs.** Based on a survey of the literature, significant NGMN (as a victim) DDIs were observed in three studies, where LPR/RTV, RTV/ATV, or EFZ were coadministered with norgestimate (Vogler et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2011). Other studies also reported victim DDIs of NGMN but had insufficient data to facilitate retrospective calculations. The University of Washington (Seattle, WA) DDI database was queried for induction and reversible and irreversible inhibition of LPR, RTV, ATV, and EFZ and the values are summarized in Table 1. NGMN victim DDIs were predicted using eq. 2 from the Food and Drug Administration guidance document with the incorporation of the \( f_m \) value of CYP3A4 determined from our studies (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2012).

\[ \text{AUC}_i = \frac{1}{(A_h \times B_h \times C_h) \times f_m + (1 - f_m)} \]

where AUC/AUC is the predicted ratio of NGMN of the plasma concentration versus time AUC in the presence of an inhibitor; and \( f_m \) is the value of NGMN metabolized by the affected P450. Only the hepatic component of inhibition has been considered since \( F_h \) (intestinal availability) data, a key parameter governing the extent of inhibition, for the inhibitors are not available.

The terms for reversible inhibition, irreversible inhibition, and induction of the perpetrators are \( A_h, B_h \) and \( C_h \), respectively, and are defined as follows in eqs. 3, 4, and 5:

\[ A = \frac{1}{1 + ([I_h]/K_i)} \]

\[ B = \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ ([I_h]/K_{\text{max}}) / [k_{\text{deg}} \times (K_i + [I_h])] \right\}} \]

\[ C = 1 + \frac{d \times E_{\text{max}} \times [I_h]}{[I_h] + EC_{50}} \]

where \( [I_h] \) is the in vivo inhibitor concentration of the perpetrator and \( K_i \) is the perpetrator reversible inhibitor constant \( K_i \) is the perpetrator irreversible inactivator inhibition constant; \( K_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum inactivation rate constant of the perpetrator; and \( k_{\text{deg}} \) is the in vivo first-order degradation rate constant of the affected P450

\[ E_{\text{max}} = \frac{\text{fold induction in enzyme activities of the perpetrator}}{\text{fold induction in CYP3A4 concentration inducing half-maximal induction}}. \]

\( d \) was assumed to be 1 as advised in the Food and Drug Administration guidance (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2012).

Three values of \([I_h]\) were used in the equation: total maximal plasma concentration (\( C_{\text{max}} \)), unbound \( C_{\text{max}} \) (\( f_u \times C_{\text{max}} \)), and the unbound portal vein concentration from eq. 6 (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2012).

\[ f_h = f_u \times [I]_{\text{max}} + F_a \times K_a \times \text{dose} \]

\[ Q_h \]

where \( f_h \) is the fraction of the inhibitor unbound in the blood of the perpetrator; \( I_{\text{max}} \) is the \( C_{\text{max}} \) value of the perpetrator; \( F_a \) is the fraction absorbed after oral administration of the perpetrator; \( K_a \) is the first-order absorption rate constant of the perpetrator; and \( Q_h \) is the hepatic blood flow. For the purposes of these calculations, the following values were assumed: \( k_{\text{deg}} = 0.000321 \text{ minute}^{-1} \) \( F_a = 1 \), \( K_a = 0.1 \text{ minute}^{-1} \), and \( Q_h = 1.5 \text{ ml/min} \). These are the conservative values for DDI predictions as mentioned in U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (2012). The \( f_u \) values for LPR, RTV, ATV, and EFZ were obtained from the literature as 0.008, 0.0027, 0.135, and 0.006, respectively (Almond et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005; Kalvass et al., 2007; Aweck et al., 2010; Delille et al., 2014).

### Results

**Metabolite Profile of NGMN in HLMs.** A typical LC-MS chromatogram of NGMN metabolites produced in NADPH- and UDPGA-supplemented HLMs is shown in Fig. 1, where NGMN eluted at 28.31 minutes. In addition to NGMN, seven metabolites including NG (denoted as Met7) and other oxidative metabolites (denoted as Met1, Met2, Met3, Met4, Met5, and Met6) were detected. These metabolites eluted at 15.77 minutes (Met1), 16.55 minutes (Met2), 19.49 minutes (Met3), 21.35 minutes (Met4), 24.14 minutes (Met5), 26.47 minutes (Met6), and 27.02 minutes (Met7) (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. 2). The relative abundance of parent and metabolites is shown in Table 2; the predominant metabolic pathway was P450-mediated oxidation and hydrolysis of oxime moiety into ketone to produce NG. Trace amounts of a glucuronide were detected by MS only.

The structures of metabolites of NGMN were elucidated based on exact mass and product ion mass spectral analysis (Supplemental Fig. 2). NGMN displayed a molecular ion peak of 328.2271. The product ion spectra of NGMN exhibited characteristic daughter ion peaks at mass-to-charge (m/z) ratios of 310.2165, 292.206, 260.2009, 264.1747, 124.0754, and 282.1852 (Fig. 2). The product ions of m/z 310.2165 and 292.2060 were produced by water loss. The ion of m/z 260.2009 is a result of C–C bond cleavage and simultaneous removal of but-3-yn-2-ol from the cyclopentane ring. The ions of m/z 264.1747 and 282.1852 were produced by removal of the ethyl moiety and by water loss. The ion 124.0754 resulted from cleavage of the C–C bond and removal of methylotachydro-1H-inden-1-ol, which corresponded to 5-hydroxyimino...
cyclohex-3-enyl methylium ion. Oxidative metabolites denoted as Met1 and Met3–6 exhibited a molecular ion peak at \( m/z \) 344.222, an addition of 15.9949 Da to the molecular ion of NGMN at \( m/z \) 328.2271, suggesting mono-hydroxylation of parent. The presence of a fragment ion of \( m/z \) 124.0754 as unaltered and addition of 15.9949 Da to the fragment ions of \( m/z \) 310.2165, 264.1747, and 124.0754 in Met1 suggested that oxidation took place on the decahydronaphthalene ring. The molecular ion peak of Met2 was 360.2169; an addition of 31.9898 Da to the molecular ion of NGMN at \( m/z \) 328.2271, suggesting di-hydroxylation of parent. The collision-induced dissociation spectra of Met2 exhibited ions at \( m/z \) 342.2064, 324.1958, 280.1696, 124.0757, and 298.1802, suggesting addition of 31.9898 Da to the fragment ions of \( m/z \) 310.2165 and 292.2060 and addition of 15.9949 Da to the fragment ions of \( m/z \) 264.1747 and 282.1852; however the fragment ion of \( m/z \) 124.0754 remained, which implied that di-hydroxylation had occurred on both the decahydrophenanthren ring and ethyl moiety. The product ion spectra of Met3 exhibited modification of \( m/z \) 310.216, 292.2060, and 260.2009 fragment ions by addition of 15.9949 Da; however, the fragment ion of \( m/z \) 124.0754 remained unaltered, suggesting hydroxylation had occurred on the ethyldecahydronaphthalene ring. The collision-induced dissociation spectra of Met4 revealed addition of 15.9949 Da to the fragment ions of \( m/z \) 310.2165, 292.2060, and 260.2009, whereas the fragment ions of \( m/z \) 124.0754 and 282.1852 remained unaltered, which indicated that oxidation had occurred on the ethyl moiety. The presence of unaltered fragmentations of \( m/z \) 260.2009 and 124.0754 and the addition of 15.9949 Da to the fragment ion of \( m/z \) 292.2060 in Met5 suggested that oxidation had occurred on the cyclopentane ring. The product ion spectra of Met6 exhibited ions at \( m/z \) 326.2115, 308.2009, 276.1958, and 280.1696, suggesting addition of 15.9949 Da to the fragment ions of \( m/z \) 310.2165, 292.2060, 260.2009, and 264.1747, which indicated oxidation had occurred on the decahydrophenanthren ring.

**Metabolite Profile of NG in HLMs.** In HLMs supplemented by NADPH and UDPGA six hydroxylated metabolites (denoted as Met8 and Met10–14) and one glucuronide metabolite of NG (assigned as Met9) were detected as the most abundant metabolites by both UV and MS (Fig. 3). The retention times of NG and its metabolites were 27.04 minutes (NG), 17.94 minutes (Met8), 18.63 minutes (Met9), 20.49 minutes (Met10), 20.74 minutes (Met11), 21.16 minutes (Met12), 22.06 minutes (Met13), and 22.36 minutes (Met14). The relative abundances of parent and metabolites are shown in Table 3. The predominant metabolic pathways of NG were found to be NADPH-dependent oxidation and glucuronidation. The structures of metabolites of NG were elucidated based on exact mass and product ion mass data.

**Fig. 1.** LC-MS chromatogram of NGMN and its metabolites after incubating the substrates in NADPH-supplemented HLMs. Separations were achieved on a Thermo Hypersil Gold C18 column and detected by a LTQ-Velos Orbitrap. The different panels contain the extracted ion chromatograms of parent and various metabolites.

**Table 2.** Metabolite profile of NGMN after incubation of 30 \( \mu \)M NGMN in 1 mM NADPH-supplemented 1 mg/ml HLMs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parent/Metabolite</th>
<th>RT (min)</th>
<th>( m/z )</th>
<th>Relative Abundance (UV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGMN</td>
<td>28.31</td>
<td>328.2271</td>
<td>83.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met1</td>
<td>15.77</td>
<td>344.222</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met2</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>360.2169</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met3</td>
<td>19.49</td>
<td>344.222</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met4</td>
<td>21.35</td>
<td>344.222</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met5</td>
<td>24.14</td>
<td>344.222</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met6</td>
<td>26.47</td>
<td>344.222</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met7/NG</td>
<td>27.02</td>
<td>313.2162</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( m/z \), Positive ion mass; RT, Retention Time.
spectral analysis (Supplemental Fig. 3). NG displayed a molecular ion peak of 313.2163. The product ion spectra of NG exhibited characteristic daughter ion peaks at m/z 295.205, 277.1951, 267.1743, 245.1900, 237.1638, and 109.0648 (Fig. 4). The product ions of m/z 295.2050 and 277.1951 were produced by water loss. The daughter ions of m/z 267.1743 and 245.1900 were produced by removal of ethyl moiety, and simultaneous water loss from the cyclopentane ring, and by cleavage of a C–C bond, and simultaneous removal of but-3-yn-2-ol from the cyclopentane ring, respectively. The product ion of m/z 237.1638 was formed by removal of prop-2-yn-1-ol from the cyclopentane ring and further water loss. The daughter ion of m/z 109.0648 was produced from cleavage of a C–C bond and removal of methylloctahydro-1H-inden-1-ol, which corresponded to the 5-oxocyclohex-3-enyl methylene ion. Oxidative metabolites denoted as Met8 and Met10–14 exhibited a molecular ion peak of m/z 329.2111, an addition of 15.9949 Da to the molecular ion of NG at m/z 313.2162, suggesting mono-hydroxylation of the parent. The collision ion dissociation spectra of Met8, Met10, and Met12 exhibited ions of m/z 293.19 and 243.1743, suggesting the addition of 15.9949 Da followed by water loss to the fragment ions of m/z 295.2050 and 245.1900, while the fragment ion of m/z 109.0648 remained unaltered, which implies that oxidation occurred on the ethyldecahydrophanthalene ring in the cases of Met8, Met10, and Met12. The collision-induced dissociation spectra of Met11 revealed addition of 15.9949 Da to the fragment ions of m/z 295.2050 and 277.1951 and addition of 15.9949 Da followed by water loss to fragment ion of m/z 245.1900, whereas fragment ions of m/z 267.1743 and 109.0648 remained unaltered, which indicated that oxidation had occurred on the ethyl moiety. The presence of fragment ions of m/z 245.1900 and 109.0648 as unaltered and addition of 15.9949 Da to the fragment ion of m/z 295.2050 and 277.1951 in Met14 suggested that oxidation had occurred in the cyclopentane ring. The product ion spectra of Met13 exhibited addition of 15.9949 Da to the fragment ions of m/z 295.2050 and 277.1951G and addition of 15.9949 Da followed by water loss to the fragment ion of m/z 245.1900, suggesting oxidation had occurred on the decahydrophanthrene ring containing the ethyl moiety. The product ion spectra of Met9 exhibited an intense daughter ion peak at m/z 313.2162, corresponding to NG, which was produced by 176 neutral loss, which is characteristic of glucuronide conjugation of the –OH attached to the cyclopentane ring. The putative metabolic pathway of NGMN and NG is shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 2. Fragmentation of NGMN after its fragmentation in an LTQ-Velos-Orbitrap using collision-induced disassociation fragmentation.
Metabolism of NGMN in the Presence of Recombinant P450s and HLMs. Initial screening of NGMN with recombinant P450 isoforms exhibited rapid metabolism of NGMN in the presence of rCYP3A4, whereas no significant turnover of parent was observed in the cases of other rCYPs (Fig. 6; Supplemental Fig. 1). Metabolite formation of NGMN was also analyzed in recombinant P450s (Fig. 7) and CYP2C9 and CYP2B6 showed the formation of Met1, Met3, and Met4. CYP3A5 did not form a significant amount of any metabolite. Hence, CYP3A4 was the predominant isofrm involved in the metabolism of NGMN with minor contributions from CYP2B6 and CYP2C9. Interestingly, Met3, which was formed by CYP2B6 and CYP2C9 at 3 minutes, was further metabolized at 30 minutes, illustrating the importance of early time point measurements.

In HLMs, selective inhibitors KTZ (CYP3A4 and CYP3A5), CYP3cide (CYP3A4), and thio-TEPA (CYP2B6) substantially inhibited the disappearance of NGMN (Fig. 8A). Both KTZ and CYP3cide almost completely inhibited the disappearance of NGMN, suggesting that CYP3A4 played a predominant role in NGMN metabolism, consistent with results from the recombinant P450s. Thio-TEPA also inhibited the metabolism of NGMN by around 50%. Metabolite formation of NGMN also revealed the same trend (Fig. 8, B–F). At 30 minutes, Met1, Met3, Met4, Met5, and Met6 formations were inhibited in the presence of thio-TEPA, whereas Met1, Met3, and Met4 were inhibited in the presence of sulphaphenazole (CYP2C9 inhibitor). Formation of all metabolites was inhibited by greater than 90% by KTZ and CYP3cide, consistent with parent disappearance data. Hence, based on the HLM data, CYP3A4 plays a major role in NGMN disappearance with minor contributions from CYP2B6 and CYP2C9. The \( f_{m} \) values for CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 were determined by eq. 1, using the HLMs in the presence of inhibitor data, and were found to be 0.57 and 0.43, respectively.

Metabolism of NG in the Presence of Recombinant P450s and HLMs. Turnover of NG was observed only in the presence of rCYP3A4 and rUGT1A1, whereas no turnover was observed in the cases of other recombinant P450s and recombinant UGTs (Supplemental Figs. 1 and 4). In HLMs, NG depletion was inhibited by 60% and 100% in the presence of KTZ and ATV. This translated to an \( f_{m} \) value of 0.6 for CYP3A4 and a combined \( f_{m} \) value of 1 for CYP3A4 plus UGT1A1. In the presence of KTZ, Met2–7 formation was inhibited by more than 90%, whereas Met1 formation was inhibited by 60%.

**DDI Prediction.** Predicted fold-AUC changes for NGMN (as a victim) upon coadministration of LPR, RTV, ATV, and EFZ (perpetrators) were determined using the equations described previously, and the in vitro values shown in Table 4. For both the ATV/RTV and LPR/RTV coadministered perpetrators, the fold NGMN AUC increase predicted was between 2.1- and 2.3-fold. When total perpetrator \( C_{\text{max}} \) and portal vein concentrations of the inhibitor were used, the NGMN AUC fold change was ~2.3-fold. For EFZ, the predicted fold decrease in the NGMN AUC was 0.35 (65% reduction in the AUC) when total \( C_{\text{max}} \) was used and 0.70 when portal vein concentration was used. When free \( C_{\text{max}} \) was included in the equation only a 10% reduction in the AUC was predicted. The observed AUC fold reduction was 0.36 (64% reduction in the AUC), very similar to the 0.35-fold change predicted using total \( C_{\text{max}} \). All predicted and observed AUC changes are summarized in Table 4.

**Discussion**

Maintaining efficacious levels of the active OTC components is very important in women of child bearing age avoiding pregnancy. While EE (a component of OTC) metabolism is well studied and DDIs can be rationalized, norgestimate is more complex. Norgestimate by itself does not circulate but forms an active metabolite NGMN, and determining the altered exposures of NGMN is important. Until now, the metabolism and disposition of norgestimate had been studied in numerous studies, but the metabolism of NGMN was not investigated.

The metabolites of NGMN (Fig. 5) are hydroxylation on the decahydronaphthalene ring (Met1), di-hydroxylation on the ethyl, dodecahydro-1H-cyclopentanaphthalene moiety to produce (Met2), hydroxylation on ethyl decahydronaphthalene (Met3), hydroxylation on the ethyl moiety to produce (Met4), hydroxylation on the cyclopentane ring to form Met5, hydroxylation on decahydroanthenen 2(3-H) oxide (Met6), and deoximation to produce NG (Met7). The metabolic pathway of NG (Fig. 5) includes oxidation on the ethyl and cyclopentane moiety to produce Met11 and Met14, respectively, oxidation on ethyldecahydroanthenal (Met8, Met10, and Met12), hydroxylation on the decahydroanthenene ring (Met13), and direct glucuronidation on the OH group to produce Met9.

The reaction phenotyping results clearly indicate a dominant role for CYP3A4-mediated metabolism of NGMN (\( f_{m} \) of 0.57) with a lesser role for CYP2B6, even lesser role for CYP2C9, and no role for CYP3A5. The implication of CYP3A4 in the metabolism of NGMN is consistent with numerous clinical trials, wherein it was found that dactasavir, altretinoin, rosuvastatin, rifaximin, laropiprant, sofosbuvir, ledipasvir, doltegravir, dexloxiglumide, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (a prodrug of tenofovir), saxagliptin, and raltegravir did not influence the exposures of NGMN (Simonson et al., 2004; Roy et al., 2005;...

![Fig. 4. Fragmentation of NG after its fragmentation in an LTQ-Velos-Orbitrap using collision-induced dissociation fragmentation.](image-url)

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parent/Metabolite</th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>MH⁺</th>
<th>Relative Abundance (UV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>27.04</td>
<td>313.2162</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met8</td>
<td>18.63</td>
<td>329.2111</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met9</td>
<td>20.74</td>
<td>329.2111</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met10</td>
<td>20.74</td>
<td>329.2111</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met11</td>
<td>21.16</td>
<td>329.2111</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met12</td>
<td>22.05</td>
<td>329.2111</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met13</td>
<td>22.36</td>
<td>329.2111</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MH⁺, Positive ion mass; RT, Retention Time.
Kearney and Mathias, 2009; Schwartz et al., 2009; Anderson et al., 2011; Schmitt-Hoffmann et al., 2011; Upreti et al., 2012; Bifano et al., 2014; German et al., 2014; Song et al., 2015). The lack of an interaction is consistent with the data presented in this paper since none of these compounds are inducers/inhibitors of CYP3A4. In contrast, compounds that inhibit or induce CYP3A4 such as cobicistat, LPR/RTV and RTV/ATV combinations, and EFZ significantly altered the exposure and pharmacokinetics of NGMN (Vogler et al., 2010; German, 2011; Sevinsky et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011). A fixed dose combination of elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate increased NGMN’s exposure by greater than 2-fold, which is consistent with cobicistat’s irreversible CYP3A4 inhibition properties (German, 2011). A dose of 300 mg/100 mg of ATV/RTV caused 68%, 85%, and 102% increases in $C_{\text{max}}$, AUC, and $C_{24\text{h}}$, respectively, for NGMN (Zhang et al., 2011). In another study, the patch NGMN AUC increased by 83% when LPR and RTV (400/100 mg) were coadministered with OTC (Vogler et al., 2010). In light of our data showing that NGMN is a substrate of CYP3A4, the increases in $C_{\text{max}}$ are reasonable since LPR, RTV, and ATV are CYP3A4 inhibitors. Decreases of 46%, 62%, and 84% in NGMN $C_{\text{max}}$, AUC, and $C_{24\text{h}}$, were observed when 600 mg (daily dosing) of EFZ was coadministered with OTC (14 days of codosing) (Sevinsky et al., 2011). No effect was observed on the EE pharmacokinetic parameters. EFZ is an inducer of CYP3A4 in vivo, which could explain the reason for NGMN exposures declining substantially (Siccardi et al., 2013). EFZ also decreased NG exposures by 60%–80%, consistent with the fact that NG is metabolized by CYP3A4 and UGT1A1 (Sevinsky et al., 2011). It should be mentioned that in the ATV/RTV and LPR/RTV DDI studies, there was a reduction in EE exposure that was offset by an increases in NGMN exposure. Hence, in both of these studies (Zhang et al., 2011, Vogler et al., 2010), the authors did not anticipate a loss in contraceptive efficacy despite the observed DDIs. In contrast, when EFZ was coadministered there was no effect on the EE AUC but there were significantly reduced NGMN levels, which prompted the authors (Sevinsky et al., 2011) to recommend barrier contraception to overcome loss of efficacy.

The DDI predictions are summarized in Table 4 and show that in all of the inhibition DDI instances the observed and predicted DDIs were within 1.3-fold of each other. In the cases of LPR/RTV and ATV/RTV coadministration, when either free, total perpetrator $C_{\text{max}}$, or free portal vein concentration was used there was very minimal impact on the NGMN AUC prediction even though the inhibitor concentrations were several fold apart. Since the perpetrators are potent irreversible and reversible inhibitors, even the free $C_{\text{max}}$ is high enough to cause maximal inhibition. Hence, the only factor governing the NGMN DDI is $f_{\text{m}}$, and the maximal DDI change is essentially $1/(1 - f_{\text{m}})$, which is 2.3-fold and, in turn, is very close to the observed 1.8-fold change. If the perpetrators are also CYP2B6 and CYP2C9 inhibitors, a higher AUC change can be expected. In the case of induction by EFZ, when the total $C_{\text{max}}$ concentration was considered, the predicted AUC change was around 0.36 (64% decline in the NGMN AUC), almost identical to the observed change of 0.35. The predicted to actual AUC changes were larger when the free $C_{\text{max}}$ and portal vein concentrations were used (1.9- to 2.5-fold difference, respectively). Therefore, in the case of inhibition and induction, the actual NGMN AUC changes are very close to the
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![Fig. 5. Metabolic pathway of norgestimate and downstream putative metabolic pathway of NGMN and NG (Met7) in HLMs.](image-url)

![Fig. 6. The first-order disappearance of NGMN in the presence of various recombinant P450s.](image-url)
observed NGMN AUC changes when the total $C_{\text{max}}$ values of the perpetrator are considered.

To determine which parameter had the greatest impact on the predicted fold NGMN AUC changes, a sensitivity analysis was performed wherein the values of $f_m$, $K_I$, $k_{\text{inact}}$, and inhibitor concentration were varied over a wide range (Fig. 9). From these analyses, it is clear that the NGMN $f_m$ value has the most dramatic effect on the fold NGMN AUC change. The AUC change was from 1-fold to greater than 300-fold as the $f_m$ value ranged from 0 to 1 (Fig. 9A). In contrast, the $C_{\text{max}}$ value had a minimal effect on the fold AUC (Fig. 9B). At very low perpetrator $C_{\text{max}}$ values (close to 1 nM), the fold AUC change was $\sim 1$. From a $C_{\text{max}}$ value of 100 nM onward the fold AUC changed reached 2-fold and did not vary much thereafter, plateauing at a 2.3-fold AUC change. Another important observation is that even though a combination of inhibitors was used (LPR/RTV or ATV/RTV), the fold AUC change was not additive, consistent with the fact that the $C_{\text{max}}$ value had a minimal impact on the AUC change in the sensitivity analyses. The perpetrator $k_{\text{inact}}$ and $K_I$ values also did not have a dramatic impact on the fold AUC change (Fig. 9, C and D).

DDIs involving protease inhibitors (PIs) are usually complex and paradoxical since they not only inhibit CYP3A4 but also induce CYP3A4 and CYP2B6. For example, at steady state, RTV does not change oral clearance of alprazolam but a single dose of RTV reduces the oral clearance of alprazolam (Liu et al., 2012). Additionally, upon chronic administration, RTV is capable of autoinduction despite CYP3A4 reversible and irreversible inhibition (Kirby et al., 2011). The $E_{\text{50}}$ and $E_{\text{max}}$ values for RTV induction of 3A4 have been incorporated into our DDI predictions; however, for LPR and ATV such data are not available. Additionally, while all three PIs have the potential to induce CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 at the transcript level, this induction may be masked by reversible and irreversible inhibition at the activity level, and hence accurate $E_{\text{max}}$ and $E_{\text{50}}$ values are unavailable (Kharasch et al., 2008; Kirby et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2012). In our studies we predicted a 2.3-fold increase in the AUC based on the $f_m$ value of 0.57; however, the observed AUC changes were around 1.8-fold. Induction of CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 could boost metabolism, compensating for the inhibition that leads to the lower AUC changes than predicted.

An accurate measure of $F_g$ is essential to assess DDIs due to intestinal inhibition; however, such data are not available in the literature for the compounds under scrutiny. For low $F_g$ compounds, much of the drug is in the intestine to exert its inhibitory effect and the extent of inhibition is high, and vice versa for compounds with a high $F_g$ value. ATV and RTV have high oral bioavailability (>60%) signifying a high $F_g$ value (Zeldin...
and Petruschke, 2004; Colombo et al., 2006; Rathbun and Liedtke, 2011). Additionally, combination PIs have even greater %F than individually dosed PIs due to inhibition of metabolism as evidenced by the multifold increase in AUC values. Given the high %F values likely for all PIs, the AUC change due to intestinal inhibition is likely to be low. Since accurate %F values were not available, and the impact of intestinal inhibition was likely to be low, we have not included it in our analyses.

Norgestimate is a widely prescribed contraceptive agent and owes its efficacy to its active metabolite NGMN, whose levels are likely to be altered when enzymes involved in its metabolism are inhibited or induced. Any perturbations of NGMN levels will likely lead to adverse events or loss of efficacy. Hence, understanding and predicting NGMN DDIs is very important to safe implementation of a norgestimate dosing regimen. The data presented in this paper enable a prospective understanding of NGMN DDIs.

In summary, detailed biotransformation studies of both NGMN and NG in HLMs demonstrated that NGMN was metabolized to produce NG and several oxidative metabolites, whereas NG undergoes biotransformation to produce several oxidative metabolites and a glucuronide metabolite. The CYP3A4 fm value of NGMN was determined to be 0.57 and the NGMN AUC changes observed in several clinical DDI studies were found to be within 1.3-fold of the predicted AUC changes.

![Fig. 9. Effect of various parameters on the NGMN fold-
AUC change for inhibition and induction-mediated DDIs of NGMN. While the AUC change is of NGMN (victim), the Cmax, KI, and k_inact are the perpetrator properties as described in Table 1. The values of fm, k_inact, KI, and Cmax used are 0.57, 0.11 (1/min), 1000 nM, and 14.8 μM, respectively. (A–D) Effect of fm, Cmax, k_inact, and KI, respectively.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perpetrator</th>
<th>NGMN</th>
<th>Predicted AUC/AUC</th>
<th>Observed AUC/AUC</th>
<th>Predicted/Observed Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration Paradigm</td>
<td>Dose</td>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cmax</td>
<td>LPR (400)</td>
<td>14,790 mg</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Cmax</td>
<td>LPR (400)</td>
<td>118 nM</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ih</td>
<td>LPR (400)</td>
<td>42,526</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cmax</td>
<td>RTV study 1 (100)</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Cmax</td>
<td>RTV study 1 (100)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ih</td>
<td>RTV study 1 (100)</td>
<td>9249</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cmax</td>
<td>ATN (300)</td>
<td>9616</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Cmax</td>
<td>ATN (300)</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ih</td>
<td>ATN (300)</td>
<td>29,667</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cmax</td>
<td>RTV study 2 (100)</td>
<td>2053</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Cmax</td>
<td>RTV study 2 (100)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ih</td>
<td>RTV study 2 (100)</td>
<td>9253</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cmax</td>
<td>EFZ (600)</td>
<td>21,161</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Cmax</td>
<td>EFZ (600)</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ih</td>
<td>EFZ (600)</td>
<td>126,842</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 4
Predicted and observed AUC changes of NGMN determined retrospectively from clinical trials

The perpetrator in vitro values shown in Table 3 were used for the DDI predictions. Equations 2–4, as defined in Materials and Methods, were used to calculate the fold changes in the AUC. LPR and RTV were coadministered in one study (Vogler et al., 2010), while ATV and RTV were coadministered in another study (Zhang et al., 2011). Hence, the fold AUC changes are the same for LPR and RTV (study 1) and ATV and RTV (study 2). EFZ was dosed in a separate study (Sevinsky et al., 2011).
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