Berberine Directly Affects the Gut Microbiota to Promote Intestinal Farnesoid X Receptor Activation
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ABSTRACT

Intestinal bacteria play an important role in bile acid metabolism and in the regulation of multiple host metabolic pathways (e.g., lipid and glucose homeostasis) through modulation of intestinal farnesoid X receptor (FXR) activity. Here, we examined the effect of berberine (BBR), a natural plant alkaloid, on intestinal bacteria using in vitro and in vivo models. In vivo, the metabolomic response and changes in mouse intestinal bacterial communities treated with BBR (100 mg/kg) for 5 days were assessed using NMR- and mass spectrometry–based metabolomics coupled with multivariate data analysis. Short-term BBR exposure altered intestinal bacteria by reducing Clostridium cluster XIVa and IV and their bile salt hydrolase (BSH) activity, which resulted in the accumulation of taurocholic acid (TCA). The accumulation of TCA was associated with activation of intestinal FXR, which can mediate bile acid, lipid, and glucose metabolism. In vitro, isolated mouse cecal bacteria were incubated with three doses of BBR (0.1, 1, and 10 mg/ml) for 4 hours in an anaerobic chamber. NMR-based metabolomics combined with flow cytometry was used to evaluate the direct physiologic and metabolic effect of BBR on the bacteria. In vitro, BBR exposure not only altered bacterial physiology but also changed bacterial community composition and function, especially reducing BSH-expressing bacteria like Clostridium spp. These data suggest that BBR directly affects bacteria to alter bile acid metabolism and activate FXR signaling. These data provide new insights into the link between intestinal bacteria, nuclear receptor signaling, and xenobiotics.

Introduction

In addition to their well established role in regulating the metabolism of vitamins, lipids, and other hydrophobic dietary constituents, bile acids activate nuclear receptors and membrane G protein–coupled receptors to mediate lipid, glucose, and energy homeostasis (Kawamata et al., 2003; Li and Chiang, 2013). Notably, the nuclear receptor, farnesoid X receptor (FXR), has gained increasing consideration as a druggable receptor (Lambert et al., 2003; Duran-Sandoval et al., 2004). FXR is a ligand-activated receptor expressed in many tissues, including the liver, intestinal epithelium, adipose tissue, kidney, pancreas, stomach, gall bladder, and macrophage (Forman et al., 1995). FXR activation can protect against obesity, diabetes, and fatty liver disease and can improve hyperlipidemia and hyperglycemia (Zhang et al., 2006; Ali et al., 2015; Arab et al., 2017). Recent studies also suggest that intestinal FXR agonists inhibit bacterial overgrowth and prevent bacterial translocation and epithelial deterioration (Inagaki et al., 2006). Paradoxically, similar beneficial effects toward the improvement of obesity, insulin resistance, and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease are also seen with FXR antagonists (Li et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2015a,b). Thus, the contextual role and tissue-dependent mechanism for the beneficial effects of FXR is important.

More recent studies indicated that intestinal bacteria play a key role in obesity and related metabolic diseases, especially by mediating bile acid biosynthesis and FXR signaling (Sayin et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2016). Previous evidence indicated that the intestinal bacteria regulate bile acid metabolism, thus resulting in FXR activation in the liver and gut (Ridlon et al., 2014). A recent study indicated a novel mechanism for the intestine-restricted FXR agonist fexaramine, which can activate intestinal FXR and Takeda G protein-coupled receptor 5 (TGR5)/glucagon-like peptide GLP-1 signaling through intestinal microbiota activity to protect against obesity and related metabolic disease (Pathak et al., 2018).

Berberine (BBR), a natural plant alkaloid, is the major pharmacologic component in the Chinese herbal medicine Coptis chinensis (Huang-Lian) (Tang et al., 2009). As a traditional Chinese medicine, BBR has...
been used to treat diarrhea in China (Chen et al., 2015). Accumulating evidence suggests that BBR can improve metabolic syndrome by regulating glucose and lipid metabolism and attenuating insulin resistance (Kong et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2017). Since BBR has low bioavailability, modulation of gut bacteria might be one possible mechanism for its antidiabetic and antiobesity effects (Han et al., 2011; Xie et al., 2011). Recent evidence suggested that the lipid-lowering effect of BBR involves modulation of bile acid composition and activation of intestinal FXR signaling (Guo et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2017). However, the underlying mechanisms by which BBR modulates the intestinal bacteria community leading to microbiologically induced signals have not been explored.

In this study, effects of BBR on bacteria were investigated using both in vitro and in vivo models. We studied the direct effect of BBR on bacteria in vitro, so as to determine mechanisms of action in vivo. We demonstrate that BBR directly altered the gut microbiota by reducing Clostridium spp. and subsequently altered intestinal FXR signaling. These data provide new insights for studying the link between the intestinal bacteria, nuclear receptor signaling, and xenobiotics.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals.** BBR chloride and brain heart infusion broth were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Transgenic dough was purchased from Bio-Serve (Flemington, NJ). Bile acid standards and deuterated internal standards were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI). Four dyes, including SYBR Green, propidium iodide (PI), bis-(1,3-dibutylbarbituric acid) trimethine oxonol (DiBAC4), and carboxyfluorescein diacetate (CFDA), were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Sodium 3-(trimethylsilyl)[2,2,3,3-2H4] trimethine oxonol (DiBAC4), and carboxyfluorescein diacetate (CFDA), were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Sodium 3-(trimethylsilyl)[2,2,3,3-2H4] propionate and D2O (99.9% in D) were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Miami, FL). Reduced (1⋅10−6 M) phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution was prepared and stored anaerobically for bacterial culture.

**Animals.** Animal procedures were performed using protocols approved by the Pennsylvania State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Twelve male C57BL/6 wild-type mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, MN). Five-week-old mice were trained to eat bacon-flavored dough pills for 1 week. Dough pills containing BBR were made using tablet molds as previously described (Zhang et al., 2015a, 2016), and one pill contained 2.3 mg BBR (100 mg/kg as final dose). Mice were fed pills containing BBR or vehicle for 5 days (Fig. 1A). Mice were housed singly in an empty cage and monitored to ensure the pill was consumed. Urine and feces were collected after 0, 1, 3, and 5 days of BBR treatment. Blood, liver, cecal content, and intestinal tissue samples were collected and saved at −80°C immediately after sacrifice.

**Histopathology and Clinical Biochemistry.** Liver tissues embedded in paraffin wax were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Liver injury markers, including serum alanine transaminase and alkaline phosphatase, were assessed using the VetScan VS2 Chemistry Analyzer (Abaxis Inc., Union City, CA).

**Bile Acid Quantitation by Ultra-Performance Liquid Chromatography–Tandem Mass Spectrometry.** Quantitative analysis of bile acids in liver, feces, and ileum tissue was performed with an Acquity ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system coupled to a Waters Xevo TQS mass spectrometer with an Acquity C8 BEH (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.7 μm) UPLC column (all from Waters, Milford, MA). Tissues (50 mg) and feces (25 mg) were added to 1 ml methanol containing 0.5 μM deuterated internal standards, followed by homogenization. After centrifugation, analytes were detected by multiple reaction monitoring and normalized by their respective internal deuterated standard. The results were quantified by comparing integrated peak areas against a standard curve.

**Tissue RNA Extraction and Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction.** Liver and ileum RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg RNA using qScript cDNA SuperMix (Quanta Biosciences, Gaithersburg, MD). Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was carried out using SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix with an ABI Prism 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA). qPCR primers are shown in Supplemental Table 1, and data were normalized to Actb mRNA levels.

**In Vitro Bacterial Culture and Flow Cytometry.** In vitro bacterial culture with BBR treatment was performed using a previously published protocol (Maurice and Turnbaugh, 2013) with minor modifications. Protein was prepared from fecal samples (50 mg) with 500 μl PBS containing 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. Incubations were performed at 37°C in 3 mM sodium acetate buffer containing 0.4 mg/ml fecal protein and 5 μM taurocholic acid (TCA)-d6. After 30 minutes of incubation, an equal volume of methanol was added to the reaction. After centrifugation, the supernatant was analyzed by an Acquity UPLC system coupled with a Waters Xevo TQMS mass spectrometer.

**Results**

**In vivo**

In this study, effects of BBR on bacteria were investigated using both in vitro and in vivo models. We studied the direct effect of BBR on bacteria in vitro, so as to determine mechanisms of action in vivo. We demonstrate that BBR directly altered the gut microbiota by reducing Clostridium spp. and subsequently altered intestinal FXR signaling. These data provide new insights for studying the link between the intestinal bacteria, nuclear receptor signaling, and xenobiotics.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals.** BBR chloride and brain heart infusion broth were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Transgenic dough was purchased from Bio-Serve (Flemington, NJ). Bile acid standards and deuterated internal standards were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI). Four dyes, including SYBR Green, propidium iodide (PI), bis-(1,3-dibutylbarbituric acid) trimethine oxonol (DiBAC4), and carboxyfluorescein diacetate (CFDA), were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI). Four dyes, including SYBR Green, propidium iodide (PI), bis-(1,3-dibutylbarbituric acid) trimethine oxonol (DiBAC4), and carboxyfluorescein diacetate (CFDA), were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Sodium 3-(trimethylsilyl)[2,2,3,3-2H4] propionate and D2O (99.9% in D) were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Miami, FL). Reduced (1⋅10−6 M) phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution containing 1 g/l L-cysteine was prepared and stored anaerobically for bacterial culture.

**Animals.** Animal procedures were performed using protocols approved by the Pennsylvania State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Twelve male C57BL/6 wild-type mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, MN). Five-week-old mice were trained to eat bacon-flavored dough pills for 1 week. Dough pills containing BBR were made using tablet molds as previously described (Zhang et al., 2015a, 2016), and one pill contained 2.3 mg BBR (100 mg/kg as final dose). Mice were fed pills containing BBR or vehicle for 5 days (Fig. 1A). Mice were housed singly in an empty cage and monitored to ensure the pill was consumed. Urine and feces were collected after 0, 1, 3, and 5 days of BBR treatment. Blood, liver, cecal content, and intestinal tissue samples were collected and saved at −80°C immediately after sacrifice.

**Histopathology and Clinical Biochemistry.** Liver tissues embedded in paraffin wax were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Liver injury markers, including serum alanine transaminase and alkaline phosphatase, were assessed using the VetScan VS2 Chemistry Analyzer (Abaxis Inc., Union City, CA).

**Bile Acid Quantitation by Ultra-Performance Liquid Chromatography–Tandem Mass Spectrometry.** Quantitative analysis of bile acids in liver, feces, and ileum tissue was performed with an Acquity ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system coupled to a Waters Xevo TQS mass spectrometer with an Acquity C8 BEH (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.7 μm) UPLC column (all from Waters, Milford, MA). Tissues (50 mg) and feces (25 mg) were added to 1 ml methanol containing 0.5 μM deuterated internal standards, followed by homogenization. After centrifugation, analytes were detected by multiple reaction monitoring and normalized by their respective internal deuterated standard. The results were quantified by comparing integrated peak areas against a standard curve.

**Bile Salt Hydrolase Activity.** Bile salt hydrolase (BSH) activity was measured using a published protocol (Li et al., 2013) with minor modifications. Protein was prepared from fecal samples (50 mg) with 500 μl PBS containing 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. Incubations were performed at 37°C in 3 mM sodium acetate buffer containing 0.4 mg/ml fecal protein and 5 μM taurocholic acid (TCA)-d6. After 30 minutes of incubation, an equal volume of methanol was added to the reaction. After centrifugation, the supernatant was analyzed by an Acquity UPLC system coupled with a Waters Xevo TQMS mass spectrometer.

**Tissue RNA Extraction and Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction.** Liver and ileum RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg RNA using qScript cDNA SuperMix (Quanta Biosciences, Gaithersburg, MD). Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was carried out using SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix with an ABI Prism 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA). qPCR primers are shown in Supplemental Table 1, and data were normalized to Actb mRNA levels.

**In Vitro Bacterial Culture and Flow Cytometry.** In vitro bacterial culture with BBR treatment was performed using a previously published protocol (Maurice and Turnbaugh, 2013) with minor modifications. Briefly, cecal contents from twelve 7-week-old male C57BL/6J mice were mixed and diluted in sterile...
brain heart infusion broth (1:10 g/ml). After vortexing, 1.8 ml bacterial mixture was transferred to new tubes and divided into four groups. Three groups were treated with three doses of BBR, including high (10 mg/ml), middle (1.0 mg/ml), and low (0.1 mg/ml) doses. After vortexing, incubation of the bacterial mixtures was carried out at 37°C for 4 hours. After incu- bation, bacterial samples were stained for flow cytometry analysis or kept at −80°C for metabolite and bacteria DNA analysis (Fig. 1B). All experiments were performed in a monitored anaerobic chamber.

After centrifugation of the bacterial mixtures, the supernatants were washed and diluted 120 times with 1× reduced PBS. Diluted samples stained by four different dyes, including SYBR Green, PI, DBCAc, and CFDA, were analyzed on a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ), and data were analyzed using FlowJo software (version 10; Tree Star, Ashland, OR). The percentages of PI, DBCAc, CFDA, low nucleic acid (LNA), and high nucleic acid (HNA) cells were normalized to SYBR Green.

**Disease Plant Based Metabolomics Experiments.** Urine and feces sample preparation for NMR analysis was carried out as described (Jiang et al., 2012). Bacteria suspension samples were extracted twice with 1 ml methanol/water mix [2:1 (v/v)], followed by three consecutive freeze-thaws. After evaporation via a SpeedVac vacuum concentrator (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), the extracts were resuspended in 600 μl PBS [0.1 M, 50% (v/v) D2O, and 0.005% 3-(trimethylsilyl)[2,2,3,3-2H] propionate] and analyzed with a Bruker Avance III 600 MHz NMR spectrometer equipped with an inverse cryogenic probe (Bruker Biospin, Rheinstetten, Germany). A typical one-dimensional NMR spectrum was acquired using the first increment of nuclear overhauser effect spectroscopy sequence with presaturation (NOESYPR1D), and principal component analysis (PCA) and orthogonal projection to latent structure-discriminant analysis were performed with SIMCA-P+ software (Umetrics, Umeå, Sweden). The color-coded correlation coefficient plots from the orthogonal projection to latent structure-discriminant analysis models were carried out with MATLAB (MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA).

**FXR Reporter Assay.** Agonist activities of taurine-conjugated bile acids and BBR were measured with the human FXR reporter assay (INDIGO Biosciences Inc., State College, PA). Briefly, reporter cells were incubated with taurine-conjugated bile acids or BBR for 6 hours, after which luminescence was measured by a plate reader.

**Statistical Analysis.** Values are represented by means ± S.D. or medians and interquartile ranges. Statistical analyses were performed using unpaired t test analysis for the in vivo experiment and one-way analysis of variance for the in vitro experiment by GraphPad Prism software (version 6.0; GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA).

**Results**

**BBR Treatment Increases Taurine-Conjugated Bile Acids.** No significant changes in food intake, body weight, or liver histopathology were observed in mice after BBR exposure (Supplemental Fig. 1A and B). BBR had no effect on serum alanine transaminase and alkaline phosphatase levels (Supplemental Fig. 1C). Previous studies indicated that 2 or 8 weeks of BBR treatment affected bile acid biosynthesis (Guo et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2017). Analysis of bile acid composition showed that 5 days of BBR treatment significantly increased taurine-conjugated bile acid levels in the feces, liver, and ileum (Fig. 2, A–C). BBR treatment markedly increased TCA, tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA), taurochenodeoxycholic acid (TCDDCA), and tauro-β-muricholic bile (Fig. 2, A–C) or total bile acids (Fig. 2D) were observed after 5 days of BBR treatment. mRNA expression of genes involved in bile acid synthesis in the liver was unchanged (Fig. 2E). These results suggest that BBR might act as an intestine-restricted FXR activator that does not alter the expression of FXR target genes involved in bile acid synthesis in the liver.

**TCA Activates the FXR Signaling Pathway.** Altered bile acid signaling is associated with FXR signaling (Lefebvre et al., 2009). Five days of BBR treatment activated intestinal FXR target gene expression, including small heterodimer partner (Shp) and fibroblast growth factor 15 (Fgf15), but remained unchanged in the liver (Fig. 3A). These data confirm that BBR promotes intestine-restricted FXR activation. BBR treatment also increased mRNA expression of the tauro transporter (Taat) and the relate-limiting enzyme for the conversion of cysteine to ta urine (Cyd) in the liver (Fig. 3B). mRNA expression of bile acid–conjugated enzymes (Bacs and Badau) was also significantly higher in the liver after BBR treatment (Fig. 3B), which was consistent with the increased levels of hepatic taurine-conjugated bile acids. We also observed decreased expression of genes involved in bile acid transport (Ntcp and Oatp) in the liver after BBR treatment (Fig. 3B). These results are consistent with data showing that suppression of these transporters by FXR involves a cascade including FXR-SHP activation (Geier et al., 2007; Dawson et al., 2009).

Previous evidence demonstrated that specific taurine-conjugated bile acids had different effects on the FXR signaling pathway (Cyphert et al., 2012). To investigate how altered taurine-conjugated bile acid profiles and BBR can directly affect the FXR signaling pathway, we used an FXR reporter assay to evaluate the FXR agonist activities of taurine-conjugated bile acids (TCA, TCDDCA, TUDCA, and TJaMCA) and BBR. In vitro, 100 μM TCA and TCDDCA effectively activated FXR (increased 7.9-fold and 2.4-fold, respectively), whereas 100 μM TUDCA, TJaMCA, and BBR had no effect (Fig. 4). These results indicate that the activation of intestinal FXR signaling by BBR treatment is mainly due to the accumulation of TCA.

**BBR Treatment Decreased Clostridium and BSH Activity in Mice.** Earlier studies reported a significant decrease in bacterial diversity after long-term BBR treatment administered to rats and mice fed a high-fat diet (Xie et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012, 2015b). No significant changes were observed in the major phyla of mice that consumed a normal chow diet after short-term BBR treatment (Supplemental Fig. 2). However, significant shifts in the Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio were observed after 5 days of BBR treatment (Fig. 5A). Moreover, BBR treatment decreased levels of Clostridium clusters XIVa and IV (Fig. 5A), which is consistent with significantly lower fecal BSH activity (Fig. 5B). In addition, three-dimensional PCA scores plotted from urine global analysis showed distinct, time-dependent clustering of metabolites after BBR treatment (Supplemental Fig. 3). Urine metabolomics analysis revealed that BBR treatment was associated with decreased levels of common microbial metabolites, including hippurate, indoxyl sulfate, and phenylacetlylglycine (Fig. 5, C and D). These data are consistent with the observation of decreased Clostridium, which is involved in the production of microbial metabolites often found in urine (Lord and Bralley, 2008; Lees et al., 2013). However, fecal changes were not as significant as those found in urine, although a trend of decreased butyrate and propionate and a significant increase in glucose after 5 days of BBR treatment was observed (Supplemental Fig. 4).

**The Antibiotic Effect of BBR Treatment on Cecal Bacteria In Vitro.** BBR is well known as an effective antimicrobial compound used in the treatment of microbial infections (Yu et al., 2005; Bandyopadhyay et al., 2013). To investigate the direct effect of BBR on the gut microbiota, we used an in vitro model to assess metabolic activity or membrane damage in bacteria using three doses of BBR. We determined the state of bacterial physiology using four different dyes: a dye that stains all cells (SYBR Green I), an impermeable dye that stains only dead or damaged cells (PI), a cell-permeable dye for cellular enzymatic activity (CFDA), and an oxonol dye for loss of membrane...
polarity (DiBAC4) (Joux and Lebaron, 2000; Maurice and Turnbaugh, 2013; Maurice et al., 2013). BBR caused a significant dose-dependent increase in PI-positive bacteria (from 17.4% to 26.0%) and significantly decreased SYBR Green–stained bacteria with a high dose of BBR treatment (Fig. 6). Furthermore, a significant dose-dependent increase in bacteria with LNA content and decreased HNA content was observed with BBR treatment (Fig. 6A). Three doses of BBR treatment all resulted in significant decreases in CFDA-stained bacteria.

Fig. 2. Quantification of bile acids in the feces (A), liver (B), ileum (C), and total (D) from mice after 5 days vehicle or BBR treatment. (E) qPCR analysis of mRNA encoding bile acid synthesis in the liver from mice after 5 days vehicle or BBR treatment. Values are medians and interquartile ranges (n = 6 per group). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. Hyocholic acid, ursocholanic acid, lithocholic acid, isolithocholic acid, allo-lithocholic acid, hyodeoxycholic acid, isodeoxycholic acid, taurine-conjugated species, tauro-α-muricholic acid, tauro-deoxycholic acid, tauro-lithocholic acid, taurohyocholic acid, taurohyodeoxycholic acid, taurocholic acid, tauroursocholanic acid, taurodeoxycholic acid, and tauroursocholanic acid were measured that under detection limit in this study. BA, bile acid; CA, cholic acid; CDCA, chenodeoxycholic acid; DCA, deoxycholic acid; HCA, hyocholic acid; LCA, lithocholic acid; MCA, muricholic acid; T, taurine-conjugated species; TαMCA, taurine-conjugated α-muricholic acid; TDCA, taurodeoxycholic acid; THCA, taurohyocholic acid; THDCA, taurohyodeoxycholic acid; TLCA, taurolithocholic acid; TUCA, tauroursocholanic acid; UDCA, ursodeoxycholic acid.
bacteria (Fig. 6B), which indicated decreased metabolic activity of bacterial cells with BBR treatment. Moreover, a significant increase in DiBAC4-stained bacteria was observed with a high dose of BBR treatment (Fig. 6B).

To investigate changes in the composition of bacteria by BBR directly, qPCR bacterial quantification was performed on isolated bacterial DNA. BBR treatment resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in the number of bacteria from *Firmicutes* and *Clostridium XIVa* and an increase in the *Firmicutes*/Bacteroidetes ratio (Fig. 7A; Supplemental Fig. 5). No significant changes in other phyla levels including Bacteroidetes or Actinobacteria were observed after BBR treatment (Supplemental Fig. 5).

1H NMR–based metabolomics was employed to evaluate the direct metabolic effect of BBR on the intestinal microbiota. The three-dimensional PCA score plot showed distinct clustering of bacteria with three doses of BBR treatment (Supplemental Fig. 6). Acetate, propionate, and butyrate are the end metabolites of fermentation of dietary fiber by the gut microbiota (Den Besten et al., 2013). BBR treatment resulted in significantly lower butyrate and propionate levels but higher acetate and glucose levels in bacteria (Fig. 7C). Moreover, levels of bacterial gene butyryl-CoA:acetate CoA-transferase (*but*) associated with butyrate production were significantly lower with middle and high doses of BBR treatment (Fig. 7B). Taken together, these observations indicate that BBR affects bacteria directly.

**Discussion**

This study demonstrates that remodeling of the intestinal microbiota by BBR leads to alteration of bile acid levels and activation of FXR signaling (Fig. 8). The effect of BBR on the gut microbiota of rats fed a high-fat diet identified a marked decrease in gut microbiota diversity and a phylum shift of gut bacteria in rats (Zhang et al., 2012, 2015b). In this study, 5 days of oral BBR treatment of normal-weight mice led to a significant change in the *Firmicutes*/Bacteroidetes ratio and reduced *Clostridium*. These data indicated that the effects of BBR on the gut microbiota are dependent on diet and length of dosing. Furthermore, BBR treatment decreased levels of mouse urine microbial metabolites, including hippurate, phenylacetylglycine, and indoxyl sulfate, which is in agreement with the observation of reduced *Clostridium* spp. (Lord and Bralley, 2008; Tian et al., 2018).

The direct activity of BBR on the intestinal bacteria was observed in vitro using NMR-based metabolomics combined with flow cytometry. In vitro, BBR treatment led to a dose-dependent increase in cell damage and decreased metabolic activity in bacteria, which is consistent with previous studies that showed the effective antibacterial activity of BBR in vitro and in vivo (Yu et al., 2005; Bandyopadhyay et al., 2013). Furthermore, BBR treatment led to decreased HNA cells with higher metabolic activity and growth rates (Wang et al., 2009). Moreover, BBR treatment resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in *Firmicutes* and *Clostridium XIVa* but no significant change in *Bacteroidetes* or *Actinobacteria* was observed, which supports our observation of reduced *Clostridium* by BBR treatment in vivo.
This is consistent with reports showing that active and damaged subsets in bacteria belong to *Firmicutes* and 90% of the affected operational taxonomic units were *Firmicutes* after antibiotics exposure (Maurice et al., 2013). Moreover, BBR treatment decreased levels of the bacterial butyrate-producing gene and altered bacterial metabolite levels, including reduced levels of butyrate and propionate and increased acetate and glucose levels in vitro. These results indicated inhibition of bacterial fermentation by BBR treatment (Morrison et al., 2006; Vital et al., 2014). Collectively, the data suggest that BBR influences microbial metabolism.

Increased levels of taurine-conjugated bile acids with BBR treatment are likely a combination of both host and bacteria changes. Significantly higher levels of taurine-conjugated bile acids were observed in the liver, ileum, and feces after BBR treatment. Consistently, previous studies also indicated increased levels of taurine-conjugated bile acids in the colon, ileum, and serum by intestine-restricted FXR agonist fedaramine (Pathak et al., 2018). An increase in gene expression involved in conjugation enzymes was observed in the host after BBR treatment, which indicated that BBR increased host bile acid conjugation pathways (Guo et al., 2016). In addition, the increased level of taurine-conjugated bile acids with BBR treatment is also associated with reducing *Clostridium* and its BSH activity. BSH is a bacterial enzyme that catalyzes the deconjugation of conjugated bile acids (Kumar et al., 2006). Among the *Firmicutes*, BSH activity has been detected in *Lactobacillus* and *Clostridium* (Begley et al., 2006). A recent study indicated that tempol reduced *Lactobacillus* and its BSH activity, resulting in increased levels of taurine-conjugated bile acids (Li et al., 2013). On the basis of these findings, the decreased BSH activity may result from the reduction or direct killing of *Clostridium* by BBR treatment. Moreover, the lower BSH activity contributed to the accumulation of taurine-conjugated bile acids in the BBR treatment group.

Activation of intestinal FXR by BBR exposure was associated with the accumulation of TCA. Previous studies showed that bile acid can activate ileal FXR, which simulated FGF15 in the liver and elevated serum FGF15 (Li et al., 2018). One possible explanation for our results is that activation of intestinal FXR by BBR treatment was associated with a marked accumulation of TCA. This is consistent with studies showing that both BBR and TCA treatment activated FXR signaling in the intestine and exerted its lipid-lowering effect (Sun et al., 2017). FXR is a
bile acid receptor and plays a key role in the regulation of bile acid metabolism (Forman et al., 1995), and tissue-restricted FXR activation was reported as a new therapeutic angle for obesity and related metabolic diseases (Fang et al., 2015; Pathak et al., 2018). Future work is still needed to investigate whether the same effect of BBR on microbiota occurs in obese or diabetic mice.

In summary, the effect of BBR on gut bacteria was studied using both in vitro and in vivo models. BBR reduced *Clostridium* and its BSH activity, leading to the accumulation of TCA. The accumulation of TCA was associated with the activation of intestinal FXR. Our data provide new insights for studying the link between the microbiota, nuclear receptor signaling, and xenobiotics.

![Flow cytometric analyses of proportions of SYBR Green I, LNA, and HNA (A) and PI, DiBAC4, and CFDA (B) cells from cecal bacteria with vehicle or three doses of BBR treatment. Values are means ± S.D. (n = 5 per group). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001. LNA, low nucleic acid; HNA, high nucleic acid.](image1)

![qPCR analysis of Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes, Clostridium XIVa, and Clostridium IV (A) and bacterially produced butyryl-CoA:acetate CoA-transferase (but) (B) from cecal bacteria with vehicle or three doses BBR treatment. (C) Acetate, propionate, butyrate, and glucose levels in the cecal bacteria with vehicle or three doses of BBR treatment by 1H NMR analysis. Values are means ± S.D. (n = 5 per group, flow cytometry; n = 6 per group, qPCR and NMR). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001.](image2)
Fig. 8. Remodeling of the gut microbiota by BBR leads to a change in the composition of bile acids and associated activation of FXR signaling. The metabolite, bacteria, enzyme, or mRNA in red or blue represents a higher or lower level in the intestines obtained from BBR-treated mice compared with vehicle.
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