A Study of the Effect of Cyclosporine on Fevipiprant Pharmacokinetics and its Absolute Bioavailability Using an Intravenous Microdose Approach
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ABSTRACT

This drug-drug interaction study determined the effect of cyclosporine, an inhibitor of organic anion transporting polypeptide (OATP) 1B3 and P-gp, on the pharmacokinetics (PK) of fevipiprant, an oral, highly selective, competitive antagonist of the prostaglandin D₂ receptor 2 and a substrate of the two transporters. The concomitant administration of an intravenous microdose of stable isotope-labeled fevipiprant provided the absolute bioavailability of fevipiprant as well as mechanistic insights into its PK and sensitivity to drug interactions. Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry mass spectrometry was used to measure plasma and urine concentrations. Geometric mean ratios [90% confidence interval (CI)] for oral fevipiprant with or without cyclosporine were 3.02 (2.38, 3.82) for Cmax, 2.50 (2.17, 2.88) for AUClast, and 2.35 (1.99, 2.77) for AUCinf. The geometric mean ratios (90% CI) for fevipiprant intravenous microdose with or without cyclosporine were 1.04 (0.86, 1.25) for Cmax, 2.04 (1.83, 2.28) for AUClast, and 1.95 (1.76, 2.16) for AUCinf. The absolute bioavailability for fevipiprant was approximately 0.3 to 0.4 in the absence and 0.5 in the presence of cyclosporine. The intravenous microdose allowed differentiation between systemic and presystemic effects of cyclosporine on fevipiprant, demonstrating a small (approximately 1.2-fold) presystemic effect of cyclosporine and a larger (approximately twofold) effect on systemic elimination of fevipiprant. Uptake by OATP1B3 appears to be the rate-limiting step in the hepatic elimination of fevipiprant, whereas P-gp does not have a relevant effect on oral absorption.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

The drug interaction investigated here with cyclosporine, an inhibitor of several drug transporters, provides a refined quantitative understanding of the role of active transport processes in liver and intestine for the absorption and elimination of fevipiprant as well as the basis to assess the need for dose adjustment in the presence of transporter inhibitors. The applied intravenous microdose approach presents a strategy to maximize learnings from a trial, limit the number and duration of clinical trials, and enhance mechanistic drug-drug interaction understanding.
of the oral dose absorbed from the intestine was estimated to be at least 43.5% (42.1% of the total radioactive dose recovered from urine and 1.4% as metabolites in feces) (Pearson et al., 2017). However, the absolute bioavailability, i.e., the fraction of the oral dose reaching the systemic circulation unchanged, could be higher or lower than this minimal estimate of absorption. In vitro data indicate that fevipiprant is taken up via organic anion transporting polypeptide (OATP) 1B3 into the liver, followed by formation of an acyl glucuronide (AG) metabolite by several uridine 5’-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzymes. Of note, the AG metabolite is the only major circulating metabolite of fevipiprant and is not pharmacologically active (Pearson et al., 2017). Organic anion transporter 3 is responsible for the active renal excretion of fevipiprant (Pearson et al., 2017). Because fevipiprant is a substrate of P-gp (P-gp, or multidrug resistance protein 1) and UGT enzymes, intestinal efflux and metabolism could have an impact on its absorption and first-pass metabolism, respectively (Pearson et al., 2017). In addition, the hepatic first-pass extraction by OATP1B3-mediated uptake may influence the bioavailability of fevipiprant.

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of oral cyclosporine, an inhibitor of OATP1B3 (Shitar et al., 2012) and P-gp (Kovarik and Coelle, 1999), on the PK of fevipiprant and also to increase the overall understanding of fevipiprant PK. Cyclosporine has no inhibitory effects on the other elimination pathways of fevipiprant, that is, UGTs [no inhibition was reported at time of survey in the Metabolism and Transport Drug Interaction Database (https://sop.washington.edu/department-of-pharmaceutics/research/drug-interaction-database)] and organic anion transporter 3 (El-Sheikh et al., 2013). An intravenous microdose of stable (i.e., nonradioactive) isotope-labeled fevipiprant ([$^{13}$C$_2$,$^{15}$N$_2$]fevipiprant) (Fig. 1) was coadministered with unlabeled oral fevipiprant to determine its absolute bioavailability and systemic clearance, both in the absence and presence of cyclosporine. Labeled and unlabeled fevipiprant are identical biologically, i.e., their interaction with, absorption and first-pass metabolism, respectively (Pearson et al., 2017). In addition, the hepatic first-pass extraction by OATP1B3-mediated uptake may influence the bioavailability of fevipiprant.

Figure 1. Structure of fevipiprant showing the positions of the stable isotope-labeling ($^{13}$C$_2$,$^{15}$N$_2$) used for the intravenous microdosing.

### Materials and Methods

**Study Objectives.** The primary objective was to determine the effect of cyclosporine on the following three key PK measures of orally administered fevipiprant in healthy volunteers: 1) the area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to the time of the last quantifiable concentration ($\text{AUC}_{\text{last}}$); 2) the area under the plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to infinity ($\text{AUC}_{\infty}$); and 3) the maximum plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$).

The secondary objectives were to determine 1) the absolute bioavailability (F) and the absolute disposition parameters of fevipiprant, i.e., clearance (CL) and volume (V) of distribution, by administering an intravenous microdose of stable isotope-labeled fevipiprant concomitantly with the oral dose; 2) the effect of cyclosporine on the PK of the intravenous microdose of fevipiprant (V, CL, $\text{AUC}_{\text{last}}$, and $\text{AUC}_{\infty}$); 3) the safety and tolerability of fevipiprant administered both orally and intravenously, with and without coadministration of cyclosporine; and 4) the effect of cyclosporine on the PK of the major AG metabolite of oral fevipiprant.

**Study Design.** This was an open-label, single-sequence, two-period, crossover study with two treatment periods separated by a washout period of 7–14 days (Fig. 2). The study was carried out at IQVIA (formerly QuintilesIMS) (Overland Park, KS). The investigational drugs, fevipiprant 150 mg film-coated tablets and fevipiprant 100 μg labeled intravenous microdose ([$^{13}$C$_2$,$^{15}$N$_2$]fevipiprant) (Fig. 1), were prepared and released by Novartis Technical Research and Development. Cyclosporine 100 and 25 mg soft gelatin capsules (Neoral Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland) were commercially available and sourced locally by the site.

In Treatment Period 1, study participants were admitted to the clinic on day −1 for baseline evaluations at least 12 hours before dosing. On day 1, they received a single oral dose of fevipiprant 150 mg, followed by an intravenous microdose of labeled fevipiprant (100 μg) 1 hour (±5 minutes) later. Participants fasted for 8 hours before the oral dose administration and continued to fast for 2 hours afterward, and they were confined to the clinic for approximately 28 hours following the oral study drug administration, during which time blood and urine samples for PK analysis and safety assessments were taken. On days 3–5, participants returned to the study site for outpatient safety and PK visits. They returned to the clinic for Treatment Period 2 following a washout period; overall, 7–14 days separated day 1 in Treatment Period 1 from nominal day 16 in Treatment Period 2. Anyone that prematurely discontinued from Treatment Period 1 was required to complete an early termination visit (day 15 visit).

In Treatment Period 2, participants were admitted to the clinic on day 15 for baseline evaluations at least 12 hours before dosing. On days 16–19, they received oral doses of cyclosporine 175 mg twice daily, and on day 20, they received a single oral dose of cyclosporine 175 mg in the morning. On day 17, participants received a single oral dose of fevipiprant 150 mg in parallel with the morning cyclosporine dose (± approximately 3 minutes), followed by administration of an intravenous microdose of labeled fevipiprant (100 μg) 1 hour (±5 minutes) later. On all dosing days, participants fasted for 8 hours before the oral drug administration in the morning and continued to fast for 2 hours thereafter. Samples were taken for PK analysis on days 16–21. Participants fasted for 2 hours before the evening doses of cyclosporine and continued to fast for 1 hour thereafter, and they were confined to the clinic until study day 21. On day 23, participants returned to the study site for safety assessments; end of study safety assessments were completed on day 25.

The sample size (16 participants so that at least 12 would complete the study) was selected to control the width of the confidence interval for the geometric mean ratio for fevipiprant AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ when given with and without cyclosporine. Further details may be found in the Supplemental Materials.

**Key Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria.** Men and women were admitted as study participants if they were aged 18–55 years and in good health, as determined by past medical history, physical examination, vital signs, electrocardiogram (ECG), and laboratory tests at screening and/or at first baseline visit. Further details on vital sign measurement are provided in the Supplemental Materials. Participants had to weigh between 60 and 90 kg and to have a body mass index within the range of 20–30 kg/m$^2$. Study participants gave written informed consent before any assessment took place, and they had to be able to communicate well with the investigator and to understand and comply with the requirements of the study. Exclusion criteria details are provided in the Supplemental Materials.

**Pharmacokinetic Analyses.** PK blood and urine samples were taken at prespecified time points from all participants and acidified pending analysis to avoid back-conversion of the AG metabolite to fevipiprant (details are provided in the Supplemental Materials). Validated liquid chromatography–mass
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Results

Participants. Sixteen participants entered the study, of whom 13 (81.3%) completed Treatment Period 1. In total, 14 participants were male and 2 were female; 11 were Caucasian, 4 were black, and 1 of another race (not specified). Their mean age was 32.5 years (range: 20–52) with a mean weight of 77.7 kg (range: 64.5–88.7) and mean body mass index of 25.6 kg/m² (range: 21.6–30.0). Three participants discontinued for the following reasons: because of an AE (n = 1), being lost to follow-up (n = 1), or physician decision (positive drug screen on day 15; n = 1). All 13 participants who completed Treatment Period 1 entered Treatment Period 2 and completed the study. All 16 participants were included in the PK and the safety analysis sets.

Effect of Cyclosporine on the PK of Fevipiprant (Oral and Intravenous Doses). Peak concentrations of oral fevipiprant were seen 1.5 hours after the fevipiprant dose and 3 hours after the cyclosporine plus fevipiprant dose (Fig. 3; Table 1). The mean concentration-time data for fevipiprant with and without cyclosporine are provided in Supplemental Table 1. For Cmax, the geometric mean ratio (90% CI) was 3.02 (2.38, 3.82) (Supplemental Table 2), indicating an approximately threefold increase in peak exposure of fevipiprant when coadministered with cyclosporine. The geometric mean ratios (90% CI) were 2.50 (2.17, 2.88) for AUClast and 2.35 (1.99, 2.77) for AUCinf (Supplemental Table 2), indicating that the effect of cyclosporine on fevipiprant total exposure is smaller than the effect on peak exposure. Oral clearance and volume of distribution of fevipiprant were reduced by approximately 50% upon coadministration with cyclosporine, whereas t1/2 was similar (Table 1).

Cmax values of the fevipiprant intravenous microdose were similar in the presence and absence of cyclosporine (Fig. 4; Table 2), with a geometric mean ratio for Cmax (90% CI) of 1.04 (0.86, 1.25) (Supplemental Table 4). The mean concentration-time data for the fevipiprant intravenous microdose with and without cyclosporine are provided in Supplemental Table 3. Tmax was typically at the first sampling time, i.e., 2 minutes after intravenous dosing. A t1/2 and V for fevipiprant are not reported, as the terminal phase was not sufficiently covered in the concentration–time data, which is required to derive V. The t1/2 after intravenous administration is expected to be identical to that derived from the oral data. AUCinf and CL were estimated by noncompartmental analysis (Table 2) despite the limitations in describing the terminal phase. Because the captured concentration–time profiles cover a 1000-fold range in concentrations, the bias resulting from the incomplete representation of the AUC after concentrations dropped below the LLOQ of 20 pg/ml is considered to be small. Both AUClast and estimated AUCinf for fevipiprant intravenous microdose were approximately twofold higher in presence of cyclosporine (Table 2), with geometric mean ratios (90% CI) of 2.04 (1.83, 2.28) and 1.95 (1.76, 2.16), respectively (Supplemental Table 4).

Absolute Bioavailability of Fevipiprant. The combination of oral and intravenous microdose fevipiprant PK data were used to estimate its absolute bioavailability. Because of the limitations to deriving AUCinf for the intravenous profiles, the absolute oral bioavailability for fevipiprant was based on comparison of dose normalized AUCinf (mean ± S.D.) and was 0.43 ± 0.09 and 0.53 ± 0.16 in the absence and presence of cyclosporine, respectively. These values are expected to be
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spectrometry/mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) methods were used to measure the plasma concentrations of unlabeled fevipiprant (given orally) and labeled fevipiprant (given as intravenous microdose) using the transitions of mass to charge ratio (m/z) 427 to m/z 145 and of m/z 431 to m/z 149, respectively. The MS/MS transition used for the acyl glucuronide metabolite of (unlabeled) fevipiprant was m/z 603 to m/z 427. The MS/MS transition used for the measurement of cyclosporine was m/z 1219.9 to m/z 1202.9. Further details of the LC-MS/MS methods may be found in the Supplemental Materials. The plasma concentrations of the AG metabolite of unlabeled fevipiprant and the blood concentrations of cyclosporine were measured by validated LC-MS/MS methods. The concentrations of unlabeled fevipiprant and its major AG metabolite in urine were determined using qualified LC-MS/MS methods. Concentrations below the lower limits of quantification (LLOQ) were reported as “zero,” and missing data were labeled as such in the bioanalytical data reports.

The following PK measures of fevipiprant were determined using the actual recorded sampling times and noncompartmental method(s) with Phoenix WinNonlin (Version 6.4): Cmax, Tmax (time to reach peak or maximum concentration following drug administration), AUClast, AUCinf, t1/2 (terminal half-life), Vz/F (the apparent volume of distribution during the terminal phase following extravascular administration), CL, F, and CL/F from the plasma concentration time data. For the AG metabolite, Cmax, Tmax, AUClast, AUCinf, and t1/2 were determined using noncompartmental analysis only after oral administration. The amount excreted into the urine of unlabeled fevipiprant and its AG metabolite was determined from the urine concentration and volume–time data. The renal clearance (CLR) of fevipiprant and its AG metabolite was determined as Ae/AUC from the same time period. The absolute oral bioavailability (F) was estimated as a ratio of the dose-normalized AUCs following oral and intravenous administration [F = (AUCoral/DOSEdoseoral)/(AUCIV/DOSEDIV)]. The linear trapezoidal rule was used for AUC calculation. Regression analysis of the terminal elimination phase for the determination of t1/2 included at least three data points after Cmax. If the adjusted R² (coefficient of determination) value of the regression analysis of the terminal phase was less than 0.75, no values were reported for t1/2, AUCinf, CL, V, or CLR.

Key Safety and Tolerability Assessments. Safety assessments consisted of collecting all adverse events (AEs) and serious AEs with their severity and relationship to study drug. Laboratory evaluations included hematology, biochemistry, and urinalyses. Vital signs, physical signs, body weight, and standard 12-lead ECG were also assessed.

Statistical Analyses. Participants’ data were analyzed according to the study treatments received for all analysis sets. The safety analysis set included all participants who received any study drug. The PK analysis set included all participants with at least one available valid PK concentration measurement, who received any study drug and with no protocol deviations that had an impact on PK data. For the primary endpoints, the log-transformed fevipiprant PK measures (AUClast, AUCinf, and Cmax) were analyzed separately by a mixed-effects model, with treatment as a fixed effect and participant as random effect. The estimated mean and 90% confidence interval (CI) for treatment difference (fevipiprant plus cyclosporine vs. fevipiprant alone) were back-transformed to obtain a geometric mean ratio and 90% CI of the ratio. SAS software was used for all statistical analyses. Statistical analysis of the secondary endpoints is provided in the Supplemental Materials.

Fig. 2. Study design.

Screening period | Treatment Period 1 | Treatment Period 2 | End of study
---|---|---|---
Days – 21 to – 1 | Day 1 Fevipiprant 150 mg oral IV microdose (100 µg dosed one hour after oral fevipiprant) | Days 6 to 14 Washout | Days 21 to 24
Days 2 to 5 | Day 15 CYP3A4 inhibitor oral 175 mg bid | Day 16 Cyclosporine oral 175 mg bid + IV microdose (100 µg dosed one hour after oral fevipiprant) | Days 25
| Day 17 Cyclosporine oral 175 mg bid | Day 18 to 19 Cyclosporine oral 175 mg bid Day 20 Cyclosporine oral 175 mg qd | Day 25
Consequently, the metabolite-to-fevipiprant ratio of AUC last of oral fevipiprant was seen in the presence of cyclosporine (Table 3). Estimates based on this comparison were (mean ± S.D.) 0.28 ± 0.05 and 0.48 ± 0.16 in the absence and presence of cyclosporine, respectively. Using this method, a larger fraction of the fevipiprant oral profile is ignored; therefore, this value is a minimal estimate of the real value, which is expected to be in the range defined by the assessments based on AUC_{last} and AUC_{0→t} i.e., approximately 0.3–0.4 in the absence and 0.5 in the presence of cyclosporine.

**Effect of Cyclosporine on the PK of the Major AG Metabolite of Fevipiprant.** No relevant change in exposure of the AG metabolite of oral fevipiprant was seen in the presence of cyclosporine (Table 3). Consequently, the metabolite-to-fevipiprant ratio of AUC_{last} decreased from 1.5 to 0.59 in presence of cyclosporine. The mean concentration–time data for the AG metabolite with and without cyclosporine are shown in Supplemental Table 1. Geometric mean ratios for PK measures for the AG metabolite are shown in Supplemental Table 5.

**Effect of Cyclosporine on the Urinary Excretion of Fevipiprant and Its AG Metabolite.** Coadministration with cyclosporine did not result in any relevant changes in the renal clearance of oral fevipiprant (Table 1) and its AG metabolite (Table 3). However, consistent with the higher exposure, the fraction of the dose excreted as unchanged fevipiprant into urine within 24 hours increased by 2.5-fold from 16.7% ± 3.55% to 40.9% ± 7.50% when coadministered with cyclosporine. There was only a slight increase in the amount of AG metabolite excreted into urine from 31.7 ± 6.08 to 37.3 ± 4.79 mg in the presence of cyclosporine (corresponding to approximately 15% or 18% of the fevipiprant dose).

**Effect of Fevipiprant on the PK of Cyclosporine and Concentration-Effect Relationship of Cyclosporine.** No relevant change in mean trough blood concentrations of cyclosporine was observed from day 17 in the morning (before administration of fevipiprant) to any time point after fevipiprant administration (mean trough blood concentrations were in the range of 45–54 ng/ml cyclosporine). This indicates the absence of a major effect of fevipiprant 150 mg on the PK of cyclosporine and that cyclosporine steady state had been achieved at the time of fevipiprant dosing.

The relationship of trough concentrations of cyclosporine to the observed change in oral fevipiprant C_{max} was explored graphically (Fig. 5). There was no apparent trend for an increasing effect on fevipiprant C_{max} with increasing trough concentrations of cyclosporine.

**Safety and Tolerability.** Overall, administration of fevipiprant alone and fevipiprant plus cyclosporine showed no major or novel safety signals. There were 20 AEs reported in nine patients: headache (n = 3), nausea (n = 2), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) elevation (n = 1), back pain (n = 1), catheter site hematoma (n = 1), contact dermatitis (n = 1), dizziness (n = 1), dysgeusia (n = 1), flushing (n = 1), muscle fatigue (n = 1), pharyngitis (n = 1), upper respiratory tract infection (n = 1), chlamydial urethritis (n = 1), vessel puncture site pain (n = 1), and vomiting (n = 1). A breakdown of the safety data by treatment period may be found in the Supplemental Materials (Supplemental Table 6).

**TABLE 1**

Pharmacokinetic measures for oral fevipiprant with and without coadministration of cyclosporine

Data are arithmetic means ± S.D. (CV%) [n], CV% = Coefficient of variation (%) = S.D./mean*100.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure (Unit)</th>
<th>Fevipiprant 150 mg Oral, n = 16</th>
<th>Cyclosporine 175 mg Twice Daily + Fevipiprant 150 mg Oral, n = 13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_{max} (ng/ml)</td>
<td>724 ± 207 (28.5) [n = 16]</td>
<td>2270 ± 809 (35.7) [n = 13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{last} (h×ng/ml)</td>
<td>3210 ± 601 (18.7) [n = 16]</td>
<td>8110 ± 1980 (24.4) [n = 13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{inf} (h×ng/ml)</td>
<td>3330 ± 718 (21.5) [n = 15]</td>
<td>7900 ± 1980 (25.1) [n = 10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_{max} (h)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.50–4.50) [n = 16]</td>
<td>3.00 (0.92–4.50) [n = 13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL/F (l/h)</td>
<td>47.0 ± 10.3 (22.0) [n = 15]</td>
<td>20.2 ± 5.71 (28.2) [n = 10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vz/F (l)</td>
<td>1090 ± 585 (53.6) [n = 15]</td>
<td>446 ± 256 (57.4) [n = 10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_{1/2} (h)</td>
<td>17.5 ± 13.5 (77.1) [n = 15]</td>
<td>14.9 ± 6.46 (43.4) [n = 10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL_{r} (l/h)</td>
<td>9.49 ± 1.25 (13.2) [n = 16]</td>
<td>8.45 ± 2.39 (28.3) [n = 13]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For t_{max}, data are median (minimum–maximum) [n].
Ten AEs were suspected to be related to study medication: eight related to cyclosporine, one to fevipiprant (mild postural dizziness), and one to one or other, or both study treatments (mild headache); it was not possible to distinguish which. All reported AEs were of mild intensity except one AE (pharyngitis), which was of moderate intensity. The participant who experienced increased ALT discontinued the study; this AE was not suspected to be related to study drug. Apart from the elevation in ALT in one participant, no clinically significant changes were seen in laboratory parameters, vital signs, or ECG parameters. There were no serious AEs or deaths.

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to assess how cyclosporine, by inhibiting OATP1B3-mediated liver uptake and P-gp-mediated efflux in the intestine and liver, affects the PK of oral fevipiprant. The inclusion of an intravenous microdose of stable isotope-labeled fevipiprant provided major additional learnings without the need for more participants or a longer study duration; the absolute bioavailability of fevipiprant was derived, and the mechanistic DDI understanding increased, by the ability to differentiate between systemic and presystemic effects of cyclosporine. These learnings would be reflected in a potential future fevipiprant ADME study with radiolabeled fevipiprant, the liver showed the highest exposure to drug-related radioactivity (unpublished data), suggesting that liver uptake may be a major determinant of the distribution of fevipiprant in the presence of cyclosporine. In a rat study with radiolabeled fevipiprant, the liver showed the highest exposure to drug-related radioactivity (unpublished data), suggesting that liver uptake may be a major determinant of the distribution of fevipiprant.

Coadministration of cyclosporine increased the $C_{\text{max}}$ of oral fevipiprant threefold and the $\text{AUC}_{\text{last}}$ by 2.35-fold. While fevipiprant concentrations shortly after intravenous dosing were similar, the AUC of intravenously-administered fevipiprant was twofold higher in the presence of cyclosporine, corresponding to a twofold decrease in systemic clearance. The approximately 1.2-fold stronger effect on oral as compared with intravenous exposure ($2.35/2$, i.e., $\sim 1.2$) can be attributed to the effect of cyclosporine on absorption and/or first-pass elimination of fevipiprant. This combined effect was small and indicates that the inhibition of intestinal P-gp has only a minor or no impact. Early clinical investigations in healthy participants indicated a dose-proportional PK of fevipiprant over a twofold dose range (Erpenbeck et al., 2016b), whereas later more comprehensive data in patients demonstrated dose-proportional PK over a 10-fold dose range (unpublished data). Therefore, the magnitude of drug interaction observed here is also relevant for other oral fevipiprant dose levels such as 450 mg, which was also tested in patient trials.

Despite the approximate two-fold reduction in clearance, no increase in the terminal half-life of fevipiprant was observed with coadministration of cyclosporine. The likely explanation is a reduced distribution in the presence of cyclosporine, as the terminal half-life depends on the ratio of distribution to clearance. Because cyclosporine reduced CL/F and $V_{z}/F$ to a similar extent, the terminal half-life of fevipiprant remained largely unchanged. Mechanistically, the reduced hepatic uptake caused by inhibition of OATP1B3 can explain the lower volume of distribution of fevipiprant in the presence of cyclosporine. In a rat ADME study with radiolabeled fevipiprant, the liver showed the highest exposure to drug-related radioactivity (unpublished data), suggesting that liver uptake may be a major determinant of the distribution of fevipiprant.

**TABLE 2**

Pharmacokinetic measures for labeled intravenous fevipiprant with and without coadministration of cyclosporine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure (Unit)</th>
<th>Fevipiprant 100 µg Intravenous, n = 16</th>
<th>Cyclosporine 175 mg Twice Daily + Fevipiprant 100 µg intravenous, n = 13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (pg/mL)$^{a}$</td>
<td>$23,600 \pm 6030 (25.5)$ [n = 16]</td>
<td>$25,000 \pm 8500 (34.1)$ [n = 13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{AUC}_{\text{last}}$ (h*pg/mL)</td>
<td>$5040 \pm 774 (15.3)$ [n = 16]</td>
<td>$10,300 \pm 2160 (20.9)$ [n = 13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{AUC}_{\text{inf}}$ (h*pg/mL)</td>
<td>$5360 \pm 959 (17.9)$ [n = 15]</td>
<td>$10,400 \pm 2150 (20.7)$ [n = 13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL (l/h)</td>
<td>$19.2 \pm 3.32 (17.3)$ [n = 15]</td>
<td>$9.97 \pm 1.97 (19.7)$ [n = 13]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{a}T_{\text{max}}$ was typically at the first sampling time, i.e., 2 min (0.033 h) after intravenous dosing.
Pharmacokinetic measures for the AG metabolite of fevipiprant (unlabeled, derived from oral fevipiprant) with and without coadministration of cyclosporine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure (Unit)</th>
<th>Fevipiprant 150 mg Oral, n = 16</th>
<th>Cyclosporine 175 mg Twice Daily + Fevipiprant 150 mg Oral, n = 13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{max}$ (ng/ml)</td>
<td>1180 ± 333 (28.3) [n = 16]</td>
<td>1330 ± 369 (27.7) [n = 13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC$_{last}$ (h*ng/ml)</td>
<td>6650 ± 1450 (21.8) [n = 16]</td>
<td>6720 ± 1470 (21.9) [n = 13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/P$^a$</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC$_{int}$ (h*ng/ml)</td>
<td>6880 ± 1580 (22.9) [n = 16]</td>
<td>6800 ± 1510 (22.3) [n = 12]$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{max}$ (h)$^c$</td>
<td>2.0 (0.92–6.0) [n = 16]</td>
<td>3.0 (1.5–4.5) [n = 13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{1/2}$ (h)</td>
<td>18.9 ± 13.0 (68.9) [n = 16]</td>
<td>13.3 ± 6.96 (52.4) [n = 12]$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL$_{int}$</td>
<td>6.07 ± 1.02 l/h (16.7) [19.4]</td>
<td>6.29 ± 1.22 (19.4) [n = 13]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Molar metabolite-to-parent (M/P) ratio for mean AUC$_{int}$ considering the molecular weight difference (426 g/mol for fevipiprant and 602 g/mol for the AG metabolite).

$^b$AUC$_{int}$ and $t_{1/2}$ were reported when $R^2$ (coefficient of determination in the regression analysis) adjusted value of the terminal elimination phase was $>0.75$.

$^c$For $T_{max}$, data are median (minimum–maximum) [n].

The addition of a labeled intravenous microdose in this study allowed investigation of the absolute bioavailability and absolute clearance of fevipiprant. This change in distribution points to inhibition of liver uptake by OATP1B3 as the predominant mechanism for the effect of cyclosporine.

While in the presence of cyclosporine fevipiprant exposure was increased, little change in exposure to the AG metabolite was observed, and consequently, the metabolite:fevipiprant ratio for AUC$_{last}$ was decreased 2.5-fold from 1.5 to 0.59 by cyclosporine (Table 3). Again, this suggests that reduced uptake into the liver, the major site of fevipiprant glucuronidation, is the primary mechanism of the observed cyclosporine effect. Otherwise, the metabolite:fevipiprant ratio would not decrease so strongly because glucuronidation, as a high-capacity system, is not easily saturated (Williams et al., 2004).

The terminal half-life of the intravenous microdose could not be derived because concentrations dropped below the LLOQ of 20 pg/ml by 23 hours postdose for most profiles. Concentrations shortly after dosing were approximately 1000-fold above LLOQ, suggesting that most of the AUC of the intravenous microdose was captured (extrapolated fraction of AUC$_{int}$ based on mean data was ≤6%, both with and without cyclosporine).

The disposition of transporter substrates such as fevipiprant is complex, making prospective predictions of exposure changes with inhibitors of transporter activity challenging (Poirier et al., 2009a,b; Jamei et al., 2014; Taskar et al., 2020). Part of the challenge is that active transport processes influence absorption, clearance, and tissue distribution, making it difficult to derive clean PK input parameters for modeling from oral data only. Combined intravenous and oral data obtained in this study in the presence and absence of a transporter inhibitor allow differentiation between systemic and presystemic processes and provide more robust parameters. This supports the development of more reliable, physiologically-based PK models. These can be used to predict untested case scenarios, such as transporter DDI effects at steady state, and in the case of fevipiprant, the impact of other OATP1B3 inhibitors on its PK.

There was no effect of fevipiprant 150 mg on the trough concentrations of cyclosporine, which is consistent with expectations based on available drug interaction data for fevipiprant as a perpetrator (Poller et al., 2019). Overall, administration of fevipiprant alone and fevipiprant plus cyclosporine was well tolerated with no unexpected or novel AEs. Most AEs suspected to be drug-related were attributed to cyclosporine. These findings are consistent with previous studies showing that fevipiprant was safe and well tolerated at single and multiple oral doses available drug interaction data for fevipiprant as a perpetrator (Poller et al., 2019; Jamei et al., 2014; Taskar et al., 2020). Part of the challenge is that active transport processes influence absorption, clearance, and tissue distribution, making it difficult to derive clean PK input parameters for modeling from oral data only. Combined intravenous and oral data obtained in this study in the presence and absence of a transporter inhibitor allow differentiation between systemic and presystemic processes and provide more robust parameters. This supports the development of more reliable, physiologically-based PK models. These can be used to predict untested case scenarios, such as transporter DDI effects at steady state, and in the case of fevipiprant, the impact of other OATP1B3 inhibitors on its PK.

The addition of a labeled intravenous microdose in this study allowed investigation of the absolute bioavailability and absolute clearance of fevipiprant. This change in distribution points to inhibition of liver uptake by OATP1B3 as the predominant mechanism for the effect of cyclosporine.

While in the presence of cyclosporine fevipiprant exposure was increased, little change in exposure to the AG metabolite was observed, and consequently, the metabolite:fevipiprant ratio for AUC$_{last}$ was decreased 2.5-fold from 1.5 to 0.59 by cyclosporine (Table 3). Again, this suggests that reduced uptake into the liver, the major site of fevipiprant glucuronidation, is the primary mechanism of the observed cyclosporine effect. Otherwise, the metabolite:fevipiprant ratio would not decrease so strongly because glucuronidation, as a high-capacity system, is not easily saturated (Williams et al., 2004).

The terminal half-life of the intravenous microdose could not be derived because concentrations dropped below the LLOQ of 20 pg/ml by 23 hours postdose for most profiles. Concentrations shortly after dosing were approximately 1000-fold above LLOQ, suggesting that most of the AUC of the intravenous microdose was captured (extrapolated fraction of AUC$_{int}$ based on mean data was ≤6%, both with and without cyclosporine).

The disposition of transporter substrates such as fevipiprant is complex, making prospective predictions of exposure changes with inhibitors of transporter activity challenging (Poirier et al., 2009a,b; Jamei et al., 2014; Taskar et al., 2020). Part of the challenge is that active transport processes influence absorption, clearance, and tissue distribution, making it difficult to derive clean PK input parameters for modeling from oral data only. Combined intravenous and oral data obtained in this study in the presence and absence of a transporter inhibitor allow differentiation between systemic and presystemic processes and provide more robust parameters. This supports the development of more reliable, physiologically-based PK models. These can be used to predict untested case scenarios, such as transporter DDI effects at steady state, and in the case of fevipiprant, the impact of other OATP1B3 inhibitors on its PK.

There was no effect of fevipiprant 150 mg on the trough concentrations of cyclosporine, which is consistent with expectations based on available drug interaction data for fevipiprant as a perpetrator (Poller et al., 2019). Overall, administration of fevipiprant alone and fevipiprant plus cyclosporine was well tolerated with no unexpected or novel AEs. Most AEs suspected to be drug-related were attributed to cyclosporine. These findings are consistent with previous studies showing that fevipiprant was safe and well tolerated at single and multiple oral doses.
without the need to conduct an intravenous toxicity program and a separate intravenous clinical trial. Conventionally, absolute bioavailability studies are crossover studies involving 6–12 participants; the microdose approach negates the need for a separate study with the further advantage that intravenous and oral dose data from the same participants at the same time avoids variability. Furthermore, use of a microdose simplifies formulation work because of the small amounts involved, and there are fewer potential safety concerns.

Concomitant oral dosing of unlabeled and intravenous microdosing of labeled compound in humans has previously been used to determine the absolute bioavailability as well as the oral and intravenous PK of the human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitor nelfinavir (Sarapa et al., 2005); dabrafenib, a BRAF inhibitor (Denton et al., 2013); saxagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor; and dapagliflozin, a sodium glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitor (Boulton et al., 2013). In all these studies, the compound given as intravenous microdose was radiolabeled with $^{14}$C and quantified by accelerator mass spectrometry. Furthermore, a double tracer technique using oral $^{13}$C-radiolabeled tofogliflozin simultaneously administered with $^{14}$C-labeled tofogliflozin has been used successfully in an oral human ADME study to obtain additional information on the intravenous PK of the compound (Schwab et al., 2013). Intravenous microdosing for PK analysis has been accepted as a method by major health authorities (FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, 2012; Boulton et al., 2013).

Administering the microdose after the oral dose circumvents the potential problem of PK nonlinearity at microdose levels (Lappin et al., 2006), as the labeled microdose enters the body while the unlabeled compound given orally is present in the therapeutic drug concentration range. We observed little variability in the intravenous microdose data, suggesting good data quality.

Analytical interferences between the unlabeled 1500-fold higher oral dose and the labeled microdose were avoided by careful selection of the positions of labeling (Fig. 1) and the transitions used in the LC-MS/MS analyses. With the MS/MS transitions given in the experimental part, the spillover of the unlabeled compound into the signal of the labeled compound was reduced to only 3 ppm of the unlabeled signal (Gu et al., 2012), which is negligible in the present context. The internal standard used in the LC-MS/MS analyses was fevipiprant labeled with five deuteriums and one $^{13}$C in the 4-methanesulfonyl-2-trifluoromethylbenzyl part of the molecule; no interference with the intravenous-dosed-labeled fevipiprant is expected.

In this study, a single-sequence design without randomization was used. This is common in DDI studies and accepted by health authorities because the risk for bias of PK endpoints is considered small when investigated drugs do not display changes in clearance with time.

In conclusion, coadministration of cyclosporine increased oral fevipiprant AUC$_{\text{oral}}$ and $C_{\text{max}}$ by 2.35- and threefold, respectively. The use of an intravenous microdose allowed estimation of the absolute bioavailability of fevipiprant (0.3–0.4) and differentiation between a small (approximately 1.2-fold) presystemic effect of cyclosporine and a larger (approximately twofold) effect on systemic elimination of fevipiprant. The effect seems to be mainly by inhibition of OATP1B3-mediated hepatic uptake of fevipiprant. Additional mechanistic learnings from the intravenous data did not require any extra study participants or a separate trial. Although significant DDI was observed, administration of fevipiprant alone and fevipiprant plus cyclosporine was well tolerated with no novel AE{s} observed.
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