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ABSTRACT

Dichloroacetate (DCA) is an investigational drug that is used in the treatment of various congenital and acquired disorders of energy metabolism. Although DCA is generally well tolerated, some patients experience peripheral neuropathy, a side effect more common in adults than children. Repetitive DCA dosing causes downregulation of its metabolizing enzyme, glutathione transferase zeta 1 (GSTZ1), which is also critical in the detoxification of maleylacetoacetate and maleylacetone. GSTZ1(−/−) knockout mice show upregulation of glutathione transferases (GSTs) and antioxidant enzymes as well as an increase in the ratio of oxidized glutathione (GSSG) to reduced glutathione (GSH), suggesting GSTZ1 deficiency causes oxidative stress. We hypothesized that DCA-mediated depletion of GSTZ1 causes oxidative stress and used the rat to examine induction of GSTs and antioxidant enzymes after repeated DCA exposure. We determined the expression of alpha, mu, pi, and omega class GSTs, NAD(P)H dehydrogenase [quinone] 1 (NQO1), gamma-glutamylcysteine ligase complex, and glutathione synthetase (GSS). GSH and GSSG levels were measured by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. Enzyme activity was measured in hepatic cytosol using 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene, 1,2-dichloro-4-nitrobenzene, and 2,3-dichloroindophenol as substrates. In comparison with acetate-treated controls, DCA dosing increased the relative expression of GSTA1/A2 irrespective of rodent age, whereas only adults displayed higher levels of GSTM1 and GSTO1. NQO1 expression and activity were higher in juveniles after DCA dosing. GSH concentrations were increased by DCA in adults, but the GSH:GSSG ratio was not changed. Levels of GCLC and GSS were higher and lower, respectively, in adults treated with DCA. We conclude that DCA-mediated depletion of GSTZ1 causes oxidative stress and promotes the induction of antioxidant enzymes that may vary between age groups.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Treatment with the investigational drug, dichloroacetate (DCA), results in loss of glutathione transferase zeta 1 (GSTZ1) and subsequent increases in body burden of the electrophylic tyrosine metabolites, maleylacetoacetate and maleylacetone. Loss of GSTZ1 in genetically modified mice is associated with induction of glutathione transferases and alteration of the ratio of oxidized to reduced glutathione. Therefore, we determined whether pharmacological depletion of GSTZ1 through repeat administration of DCA produced similar changes in the liver, which could affect responses to other drugs and toxicants.

Glutathione transferases (GSTs) are a superfamily of enzymes that catalyze the conjugation of glutathione (GSH) to electrophilic sites of drugs, xenobiotics, and endogenous molecules. This represents an important mechanism of elimination, as the glutathione conjugates are converted to mercapturic acids for excretion. GSTs are drug-metabolizing enzymes, and the deactivation and detoxication of reactive molecules constitutes another important physiologic function of GSTs. GSTs are upregulated in response to oxidative stress (Hayes and McLeLLan, 1999; Hayes et al., 2005) and protect against lipid peroxidation (Hubatsch et al., 1998; Yang et al., 2001; Singh et al., 2015). Additionally, GSTs are involved in the synthesis of steroid hormones (Johansson and Mannervik, 2001) and promote cell signaling and proliferation (Laborde, 2010). Although generally cytoprotective, certain GSTs show higher expression in cancer cells relative to normal cells (Gaté and Tew, 2001; Hayes et al., 2005), and their overexpression has been associated with the development of anticancer drug resistance (Townsend and Tew, 2003; Pjesu-ercegovac et al., 2018). This suggests that overexpression of GSTs may not always be beneficial.

Glutathione transferase zeta 1 (GSTZ1)/maleylacetoacetate isomerase is key in tyrosine catabolism and is responsible for detoxication of its two endogenous substrates, maleylacetoacetate (MAA) and maleylacetone (MA) (Blackburn et al., 1998; Li et al., 2011). GSTZ1 is also important in the metabolism of dichloroacetate (DCA) (Tong et al., 1995a,b), a byproduct of drinking water chlorination with clinical utility as an inhibitor of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (James et al., 2017;
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Stacpoole et al., 2019). The pharmacodynamics of DCA originates in mitochondria, where DCA inhibits pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK) to maintain catalytic activity of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC) (James and Stacpoole, 2016; Stacpoole et al., 2019), which stimulates mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. As such, DCA has been used as an investigative drug for the treatment of number of disorders of mitochondrial energy metabolism, including congenital PDC deficiency, diabetes, cancer, and pulmonary arterial hypertension (Michelakis et al., 2008; Kankotia and Stacpoole, 2014; James and Stacpoole, 2016; Stacpoole et al., 2018).

Chronic use of DCA is limited by the development of reversible peripheral neuropathy, a side effect more common in adults than children (Kaufmann et al., 2006; Stacpoole et al., 2019). Children metabolize DCA more quickly than adults (Mangal et al., 2018), although cause(s) for the age-dependent side effect of long-term DCA therapy remains unclear. DCA is a mechanism-based inactivator of GSTZ1 (Tseng et al., 2000; Lantum et al., 2003; Smeltz et al., 2019), resulting in both inhibition of DCA metabolism and isomerization of MAA and MA with repetitive DCA dosing. The tissue accumulation of DCA, MAA, and MA, due to the DCA-mediated inactivation of GSTZ1, may be a mechanism responsible for DCA toxicity, as MAA and MA are alkylating agents (Cornett et al., 1999; Lantum et al., 2002). DCA dosing in rats resulted in increased concentrations of malondialdehyde and 4-hydroxynonenal in sciatic nerves (Calcutt et al., 2009), which might also contribute to the neuropathology of DCA.

Studies in GSTZ1(−/−) knockout mice show an induction of antioxidant enzymes and GSTs alpha-1/alpha-2 (A1/A2), mu-1 (M1), and mu-5 (M5), as well as an increase in the ratio of oxidized glutathione (GSSG) to GSH, suggesting that GSTZ1 deficiency causes oxidative stress (Lim et al., 2004). In this study, we used rat models to assess the induction of GSTs and antioxidant enzymes after repeated administration of a dose of DCA to juvenile (4-week) and adult (52-week) Sprague Dawley rats, which have been used in previous work to study the neurotoxic effects of DCA (Calcutt et al., 2009).

Materials and Methods

Chemicals, Animals, and Reagents. Four-week-old and 52-week-old female and male Sprague Dawley rats were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA) and approved for use by the University of Florida Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. We obtained the antibodies to GSTM1 and GSTP1 from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA), antibody to GSTA1/A2 from MilliporeSigma (Burlington, MA), and antibody to GSTO1 from Cusabio (Houston, TX). Antibodies to gamma-glutamylcysteine ligase complex (GCLC) and glutathione synthetase (GSS) were purchased from Proteintech (Rosemont, IL); the antibody to NQO1 was acquired from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). TransBlot Turbo nitrocellulose RTA Transfer Kit, TGV Stain-free FastCast 12% Acrylamide kit, Clarity Western ECL Substrate reagent, 10X Tris/Glycine/SDS Buffer, Blotting-grade blocker nonfat dry milk, and Precision Plus Protein Dual Color Standards were purchased from Bio-rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA). We acquired 1,2-dichloro-4-nitrobenzene (DCNB), 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB), 2,6-dichlorophenolindophenol (DCPIP), 7-chloro-4-nitrobenzo-2-oxa-1,3-dizole (NBD-CI), NADPH, dicumarol, GSH, and anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase secondary antibody from Sigma Aldrich (St Louis, MO). The Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit was purchased from ThermoScientist (Waltham, MA). Costar Spin X nylon centrifuge filters, 0.2 μm, were from Corning Instruments (Corning, NY). Immunoblots were visualized using ChemiDoc Imaging System from Bio-rad Laboratories. Ponceau S was obtained from Alfa Aesar (Haverhill, MA). UV studies were conducted using a Shimadzu dual-beam UV-2700 spectrophotometer (Kyoto, Japan). High-performance liquid chromatography–grade ammonium formate, formic acid, methanol, trichloroacetic acid, EDTA, butylated hydroxytoluene, GSSG, 1,1′-C-GSH, Optimax LC/MS grade water, and all other reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers.

Rodent Dosing and Preparation of Hepatic Cytosol. Young male and female (4-week) and adult female (52-week) Sprague Dawley rats were dosed with sodium acetate (100 mg/kg) or sodium DCA (100 mg/kg) by oral gavage daily for eight consecutive days in groups of four to eight animals per treatment. On the ninth day (24 hours after the last dose), the rats were euthanized with carbon dioxide, and livers were removed and processed into cytosolic subcellular fractions as previously described (Li et al., 2011). This study used a treatment period of 8 days because steady-state reduction in GSTZ1 is achieved within 5–7 days (Schultz and Sylvester, 2001; Saghri and Schultz, 2005; Shroads et al., 2012). We were interested in the effects of DCA between age groups and, therefore, used 4- and 52-week-old rats to model children and adults around the ages of 2 and 30 years, respectively (Quinn, 2005). The rat DCA dose of 100 mg/kg was chosen as it is therapeutically similar to the human DCA dose of 25 mg/kg per day by species scaling (Boxenbaum, 1980). Sodium acetate was chosen as control as it is structurally similar to DCA and was previously shown to have no effect on GSTZ1 (Jahn et al., 2018; Smeltz et al., 2019).

GST Activity Assays with CDNB and DCNB as Substrates. GST activities with CDNB and DCNB were measured at 37°C as described below. Each reaction contained 100 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 1 mM GSH, and varied concentrations of CDNB (0.10–1.0 μM) or DCNB (0.25–3.0 μM) in a final reaction volume of 3.0 ml. CDNB reactions were initiated by the addition of protein (10 μg) and proceeded for 75 seconds, whereas DCNB reactions used 1.0 mg protein for an incubation time of 2 minutes. The GST-catalyzed glutathione conjugates of CDNB and DCNB were recorded at 344 nm and corrected for the nonenzymatic reaction, using a blank appropriate to the substrate used. Calculation of specific activity was based on 9.6 and 8.5 mM M−1 cm−1 as molar extinction coefficients for the glutathione conjugates of CDNB and DCNB, respectively (Habig et al., 1974). GST activity is defined as nanomoles product formed per minute per milligram protein. Data points are the means ± S.D.s of duplicate determinations.

GST Activity Assay with Ethacrynic Acid as Substrate. The assay of ethacrynic acid was performed as follows. Each reaction contained 100 mM sodium phosphate pH 6.5, 1 mM GSH, and 1 mM ethacrynic acid salt in a final reaction volume of 2.5 ml. The reactions were initiated by the addition of protein (50 μg) for a 2-minute incubation at 37°C. The GST-catalyzed glutathione conjugation of ethacrynic acid was recorded at 270 nm and corrected for the nonenzymatic reaction using a blank, which was freshly prepared for each individual determination. Calculation of specific activity (nanomoles per minute per milligram) was based on 5.0 mM M−1 cm−1 as the molar extinction coefficient for the glutathione conjugate of ethacrynic acid (Habig et al., 1974).

NQO1 Activity Assay with DCPIP as Substrate. Protein incubations with DCPIP were performed at 25°C, according to previous procedures (De Haan et al., 2002; Cabello et al., 2011). Each 1.0 ml reaction contained 25 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 0.2 mg/ml BSA, 0.01% (v/v) Tween 20, 180 μM NADPH, 40 μM DCPIP (prepared in DMSO), 5 μl liver cytosol protein, and 0 or 20 μM dicumarol, as appropriate. The reactions were initiated by the addition of DCPIP and proceeded for 2 minutes in the presence and absence of dicumarol, where the reduction of DCPIP at 600 nm was recorded. Enzyme activity (nanomoles per minute per milligram protein) was calculated based on 20.7 M−1 cm−1 as the molar extinction coefficient of DCPIP (Hägerhäll et al., 1992). Data are means ± S.D.s of duplicate determinations.

Western Blotting. Protein expression was assessed by Western blot using antibodies to alpha (A), mu (M), pi (P), and omega (O) class GSTs, and to NQO1, GCLC, and GSS. Known amounts of protein were separated by SDS-PAGE and then transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were blocked for 1 hour at room temperature in 5% milk prepared in TBST (1× Tris-buffered saline containing 0.05% Tween 20). Afterward, the membranes were probed overnight at 4°C using the following dilutions of primary antibody in 5% milk: GSTZ1 (1:10,000), GSTA1/A2 (1:20,000), GSTM1 (1:5000), GSTP1 (1:1000), GSTO1 (1:4000), NQO1 (1:40,000), GCLC (1:5000), and GSS (1:5000). On the following morning and at room temperature, the membranes were washed for 30 minutes in TBST (buffer changed every 5 minutes), incubated for 1 hour in anti-rabbit...
secondary horseradish peroxidase antibody (1:5000), and washed again in TBST, as described above. Membranes were developed in Clarity Western ECL Substrate reagent prepared from one part luminol/enhancer solution, one part peroxide solution, and five parts water. Protein bands were visualized using the Chemi Doc imaging system, where the chemiluminescent signals for each band were normalized to a cytosolic reference sample. Protein loading was then verified by Ponceau-S staining. The relative expression (%) is reported as the means ± S.D.s of duplicate determinations.

### Determination of GSH and GSSG Content
GSH and GSSG concentrations were measured from whole liver sections as described before (Smeltz et al., 2019) by modifying a previously published liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS) procedure (Squellerio et al., 2012). Briefly, rat liver tissues samples (75–100 mg) were homogenized for 5–10 minutes in a 400 μl solution containing 10% trichloroacetic acid, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM butylated hydroxytoluene in a 1.7 ml microcentrifuge tube. Ten microliters of a 1 mM solution of 13C-GSH internal standard were added to each tube, mixed, and centrifuged 10 minutes to precipitate protein. A 200 μl aliquot of the supernatant was removed and placed onto a 0.2 μm Spin X microcentrifuge tube filter. The samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes and the filtrate transferred to a 400 μl glass insert fitted in an amber 1.5 ml autosampler vial. The LC-MS instrumentation was comprised of a Thermo Scientific TSQ Quantum Access Max mass spectrometer, a Thermo Scientific Accela 1250 pump, and a Thermo Scientific Accela open Pal autosampler. A Phenomenex Luna PFP high-performance liquid chromatography column (150 × 3 mm, 5 μm) was used. LC-MS control, data acquisition, and data processing were performed using Xcalibur version 2.1 software. All samples were maintained in a temperature-controlled autosampler at 4°C during the analysis. Results were calculated as millimoles of GSH or GSSG per kg liver tissue, i.e., millimolars.

### Data Analysis
Data transformation and the calculation of means, S.D., and statistical significance were performed using GraphPad Prism 6 software (San Diego, CA). For initial velocity studies, data were fitted to a Michaelis-Menten equation to determine the Michaelis constant (Km) and maximal velocity (Vmax). Group comparisons were performed using a two-tailed Student’s t test (corrected for multiplicity by the Holm-Sidak method) or a two-way ANOVA. Statistical significance were performed using GraphPad Prism 6 software (San Diego, CA). For initial velocity studies, data were fitted to a Michaelis-Menten equation to determine the Michaelis constant (Km) and maximal velocity (Vmax).

### Results

#### DCA Dosing in Rats Increases Hepatic Expression of GSTs A1/2, M1, and O1
GST activity in hepatic cytosol of acetate- and DCA-treated Sprague-Dawley rats was examined using CDNB and DCNB as substrates. CDNB is a nonselective substrate for multiple GST isoforms, including alpha, mu, and pi class GSTs (Ricci et al., 1994), whereas DCNB is catalyzed primarily by GST mu (Ioannides, 2001; Fujimoto et al., 2006; Arakawa et al., 2010). Ethacrynic acid and NBD-CI were also examined as substrates, which are selective for GST pi (Ploemen et al., 1994) and GST alpha (Ricci et al., 1994), respectively. However, we were unable to obtain reliable kinetics with NBD-CI due to its rapid nonenzymatic conjugation with glutathione (data not shown).

Initial velocities for the GST-catalyzed reactions of CDNB and DCNB are shown in Supplemental Figures 1 and 2; the maximal velocity (Vmax) and Michaelis-Menten constant (Km) are reported in Table 1. In comparison with acetate-treated controls, GST activities with DCNB were significantly higher in hepatic cytosol of DCA-treated rats, as indicated by Vmax (Table 1). In juveniles, DCA dosing increased activity with CDNB (P < 0.01), although a significant effect in adults was not observed (P = 0.069). It should be noted, however, that activity at a single concentration of CDNB (1.0 mM) in adults was higher in DCA-treated rats (4483 ± 1032 nmol/min per milligram, ± S.D.) than in controls (3408 ± 739 nmol/min per milligram, P < 0.05). Additionally, a lower Km value was observed in DCA-treated adults when CDNB was used as substrate (P < 0.0001).

Subsequently, we examined the relative expression of GST isoforms in hepatic cytosol of acetate- and DCA-treated rats. Initial data were obtained using antibodies to GSTs A1/A2, M1, and P1, as they are the most abundant mammalian GSTs (Hayes and Pulford, 1995). A depiction of the knockdown of GSTZ1 protein expression and induction of antioxidant enzymes is shown in Figure 1; we include a representative blot showing the detection of chemiluminescent signals and total protein staining by Ponceau-S in Supplemental Figure 3. Our Western blot

---

**TABLE 1**

Kinetic constants for the GST-catalyzed reaction of CDNB and DCNB in rat liver cytosol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Rat age, sex</th>
<th>Km (mM)</th>
<th>Vmax (nmol/min per milligram)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDNB</td>
<td>Young female</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.18</td>
<td>4568 ± 2801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adult female</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.03</td>
<td>4165 ± 813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCNB</td>
<td>Young female</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.05</td>
<td>59 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adult female</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.07</td>
<td>74 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adult female</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.07</td>
<td>29 ± 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data are expressed as the means ± S.D.s of duplicate determinations from four (young) to eight (adult) independent experiments. Multiple comparisons showed DCNB Vmax values were significantly different between age groups by two-way ANOVA (P < 0.0001). In DCA-treated rats, there was a significant difference in CDNB Vmax between young males and adult females (P = 0.0126). The asterisks denote statistical significance when compared with the same kinetic parameter in acetate-treated controls: **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001 (two-tailed Student’s t test).
analyses showed the expression of GSTA1/A2 was higher in liver cytosol of DCA-treated rats irrespective of rodent age or sex (Fig. 2, A and B). DCA dosing increased the expression of GSTs M1 and O1 in adult rats ($P \leq 0.05$), whereas the effect on M1 in juveniles was not significant ($P \geq 0.08$). GSTO1 expression was not examined in juveniles due to limited antisera. Lastly, DCA dosing did not influence the expression of GSTP1 in either rodent group (data not shown), nor were there differences in GSTP1 activity between groups when examined with ethacrylic acid as substrate (data not shown).

**DCA Dosing Increases Hepatic Expression and Activity of NQO1 in Juvenile, but Not in Adult, Rats.** Table 2 summarizes activities for the NQO1-catalyzed reduction of DCPIP in rat liver cytosol. In comparison with acetylate-treated controls, DCA dosing in juveniles resulted in a significant increase in NQO1 activity in males ($P < 0.01$, Table 2) but not in females ($P = 0.06$). However, there was a significant increase in NQO1 expression in DCA-treated juveniles irrespective of sex (Fig. 2B). We did not detect significant changes in NQO1 expression or activity between adult treatment groups (Fig. 2A).

**Repetitive DCA Dosing Increases Hepatic GSH Levels in Adult but Not Juvenile Rats.** We examined reduced (GSH) and oxidized (GSSG) glutathione concentrations in whole livers of young and adult rats dosed repetitively with 100 mg/kg sodium acetate (control) or 100 mg/kg sodium DCA. In addition, we examined the relative expression of GCLC and GSS, because of their importance in glutathione biosynthesis. Compared with controls, the molar concentration of GSH was significantly higher in livers of DCA-treated adults ($P < 0.0001$, Table 3), yet we did not detect differences in GSSG or the GSH:GSSG molar ratio. GCLC expression was higher in DCA-treated adults ($P < 0.05$, Fig. 2A), consistent with the increase in GSH. GSS expression decreased after DCA treatment in adults ($P < 0.01$, Fig. 2A). In young females, DCA did not significantly alter GSH, GSSG, or GSH:GSSG, nor did we detect changes in the expression of GCLC or GSS (Fig. 2C). Due to limited available tissue, our analysis of GSH and GSSG in young male controls was restricted to two samples; we report the untransformed values in Table 3.

**Repetitive DCA Dosing Increases Liver Weights in Young and Adult Rats.** The percent weight of the liver relative to total rodent body weight was examined. As shown in Figure 3, repeated administration of 100 mg/kg DCA to rats resulted in a significant increase in the liver to body weight ratio for juveniles only, as shown by ANOVA. The means ± S.D. of liver to body weight ratios are as follows: adult females (3.22 ± 0.36 for controls, 3.88 ± 0.36 for DCA, $P = 0.053$), young females (5.38 ± 0.76 for controls, 6.50 ± 0.31 for DCA, $P = 0.011$), young males (5.59 ± 0.49 for controls, 6.57 ± 0.19 for DCA, $P = 0.0045$). Here, the magnitude of increase in liver weight was the same (120% of the control liver) irrespective of age or sex.

**Discussion**

Due to the ability of DCA to inactivate GSTZ1 and perturb isomerization of MAA and MA, we aimed to examine the physiologic response to transient GSTZ1 downregulation that occurs from repetitive DCA exposure. The hypothesis that GSTs and antioxidant enzymes are induced in response to the DCA-mediated knockdown of GSTZ1 was based on several findings. First, GSTZ1 ($-/-$) knockout mice show elevated levels of alpha, mu, and pi class GSTs over wild-type mice (Lim et al., 2004). Second, GSTZ1 ($-/-$) knockout mouse demonstrated upregulation of NQO1 and activation of Nrf2-mediated antioxidant pathways (Blackburn et al., 2006). Third, GSTZ1 knockout in hepatoma cells decreased glutathione concentrations, resulting in activation of Keap1/Nrf2 (Li et al., 2019). These studies show oxidative stress responses are activated after genetic ablation of GSTZ1. Therefore, we predicted that the pharmacologic depletion of GSTZ1 by repetitive DCA dosing would produce similar effects. We found that repeated administration of DCA to 4-week-old (juvenile) and 52-week-old (adult) Sprague Dawley rats reduced the expression and activity of GSTZ1 in hepatic cytosol by 90%; these findings are summarized in a companion paper. We extended the study in the current work to examine the expression and activity of antioxidant enzymes in liver cytosol of young...
and adult rats that were administered eight daily oral doses of 100 mg/kg sodium DCA.

We detected increases in GST activity with DCNB and CDNB in DCA-treated rodents (Table 1) as well as increases in the expression of GSTs A1/A2, M1, and O1 (Fig. 2). Of the four GST isoforms examined, three were upregulated in DCA-treated adults (A1/A2, M1, O1), whereas, in juveniles, we detected overexpression of a single isofrom (A1/A2). Given their catalytic diversity, the differential induction of GSTs between age groups could influence efficiency of the GST detoxication system. For example, GSTO1 catalyzes reactions that are different from other GSTs, including deglutathionylation (Menon and Board, 2013), reduction of toxic alpha-haloketones (Board and Anders, 2004), and were shown here to be induced by DCA in both young and adult rats. Alpha class GSTs catalyze detoxication of a variety of electrophilic substrates and also reversibly bind endogenous molecules such as bilirubin and xenobiotics such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, thus their induction protects the liver against damage from electrophiles and potentially toxic chemicals.

NQO1 catalyzes the two-electron reduction of quinones and plays a major role in regulating oxidative stress (Ross and Siegel, 2017, 2018). Since NQO1 was elevated in GSTZ1-deficient mice (Blackburn et al., 2006), we wanted to assess whether expression and activity of NQO1 would be altered by DCA. Induction of NQO1 in DCA-treated rats was striking in juveniles (Fig. 1; Fig. 2B), but not adults (Fig. 2A). These findings were similar to the observations with GSTs, showing variability in the level of induction between young and adult rats. The differential induction of antioxidant enzymes could alter the capacity to mitigate oxidative stress, which might influence susceptibility to toxicity. This may have implications in the age-related side effect of chronic DCA therapy, as the detoxication of chemical stress may be more efficient in certain age groups. Our incubations with DCNB showed higher GST activity in juveniles than adults (Table 1). Furthermore, juveniles showed higher liver to body weight ratios than adult rats (Fig. 3). Given these observations, we predict the capacity for GST-catalyzed detoxication in juveniles is more robust. This may play a role in the observed lower susceptibility of children compared with adults to the adverse effects of DCA.

One detriment to the induction of GSTs is the potential for increased drug metabolism, which could limit the effectiveness of some medications. For example, increased GSTP mRNA and GSTM protein has been detected in doxorubicin-resistance breast cancer cells that display a multidrug resistance phenotype (Wang et al., 1999). Here, increased GSTs result in reduced efficacy of the anticancer drug. Overexpression of certain GSTs in cancer cells has been associated with resistance to apoptotic cell death (Cumming et al., 2001; Gâte and Tew, 2001; Hayes et al., 2005), a mechanism that is exploited by many anticancer drugs, including DCA. Induction of GSTs could also increase toxicity of some drugs: for example, GSTP catalyzes the first step in the bioactivation of cisplatin to a nephrotoxicant (Townsend et al., 2009). In this example, increases in the expression of certain GSTs by DCA may increase susceptibility to drug-induced toxicity, a potential concern in the coadministration of cisplatin and DCA (Xue et al., 2012). Attention should therefore be given to the effects of DCA on the GST system.

Nrf2 promotes the transcriptional induction of genes encoded by antioxidant response elements and is essential in cellular adaptation to oxidative stress (Higgins et al., 2009; Bellezza et al., 2018). Antioxidant response element–directed genes produce a myriad of antioxidant proteins, including GSTs, NQO1, GCLC, and GSS (Shih et al., 2003; Hur and Gray, 2011). Nrf2 is stabilized by Keap1 (Itoh et al., 1999, 2003), which contains cysteine residues that are sensitive to oxidation by electrophilic molecules (Dinkova-Kostova et al., 2002). The induction of GSTs and NQO1 after repeated DCA dosing in rats is consistent with a mechanism involving Nrf2 activation, as accumulated MA, due to the DCA-mediated knockdown of GSTZ1, could lead to alkylation of Keap1. This would dissociate Nrf2 from Keap1, resulting in gene transcription. We did not examine MA and MAA levels in the current report. However, elevated levels of MA were previously detected in plasma and urine of rats that received DCA at a lower dose (50 mg/kg) for a shorter treatment time (5 days) (Shroads et al., 2008) and in humans chronically exposed to DCA (Stacpoole et al., 2006). Additionally, MA was excreted in the urine of rats that received DCA in drinking water for 8 weeks at 50 mg/kg (Guo et al., 2006). Another possible explanation of observations in the current report could relate to DCA’s mechanism of action. DCA inhibits PDK to maintain PDC in its unphosphorylated, active form (James et al., 2017; Stacpoole et al., 2019), yet the targeted inhibition of PDK increases mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cancer and, possibly, other cells (Kankotia and Stacpoole, 2014). In fact, the apoptotic and antiproliferative effects of DCA in certain cancer cells are due, in part, to the utilization of ROS that it

TABLE 2
NQO1 activity measurements with DCPIP as substrate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, sex of rat</th>
<th>100 mg/kg sodium acetate</th>
<th>100 mg/kg sodium DCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mmol/min per milligram</td>
<td>mmol/min per milligram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young female</td>
<td>394 ± 77</td>
<td>1066 ± 586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young male</td>
<td>323 ± 128</td>
<td>792 ± 207**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult female</td>
<td>522 ± 295</td>
<td>812 ± 274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data are expressed as the means ± S.D.s of duplicate determinations from four (young) to eight independent experiments (adults). Asterisks denote statistical significance when compared with activity in acetate-treated controls: **P < 0.0005 (two-tailed Student’s t test). The difference in activity between young female treatment groups was not significant (P = 0.0633).

TABLE 3
Summary of GSH and GSSG levels, millimoles per kilogram, in whole livers of acetate- (control) and DCA-treated rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, sex of rat</th>
<th>GSH (mM)</th>
<th>GSSG (mM)</th>
<th>GSH-GSSG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>DCA</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young female^a</td>
<td>4.67 ± 0.41</td>
<td>5.63 ± 1.62</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young male^a</td>
<td>4.19; 6.02^a</td>
<td>6.39 ± 1.03</td>
<td>0.17; 0.17^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult female^c</td>
<td>5.59 ± 0.48^c</td>
<td>7.05 ± 0.39^c</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aMean ± S.D. (n = 4).
^bIndividual values reported from two determinations.
^cMean ± S.D. (n = 3).
^dP < 0.0001 control vs. DCA-treated (two-tailed Student’s t test).
Liver weights in adult rats were not significantly increased (underlie its neurotoxicity). This suggests DCA alone may trigger oxidative stress, which could produces (Michelakis et al., 2008; Sanchez et al., 2013; Dai et al., 2014). This suggests DCA alone may trigger oxidative stress, which could underlie its neurotoxicity. DCA dosing increased the relative liver weights of young rats (Fig. 3). Liver weights in adult rats were not significantly increased (P = 0.053), but the data suggested a trend. Several studies in rodents show that DCA is a peroxisome proliferator and induces activation of peroxisomal proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARα) (IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, 2014). Activation of PPARα in liver can contribute to liver enlargement (Hall et al., 2012) and may explain the current observations. However, cultured human hepatocytes showed little to no activation of the endogenous human PPARα by peroxisome proliferators (Walgren et al., 2000), suggesting this mechanism may have little relevance to the effect of DCA on human liver in the context of hypertrophy, and there is no evidence that chronic DCA administration to humans exerts any change in liver function (Abdelmalak et al., 2013). Additional studies are needed to discern whether the observed liver weight increase in rodents is a species-specific phenomenon indicative of hepatotoxicity or an adaptive response to enzyme induction.

GSTZ1 (−/−) knockout mice show altered levels of GSH and GSSG relative to wild-type mice (Blackburn et al., 2006). Given the profound knockdown of GSTZ1 by DCA (Fig. 1 and companion paper), we assessed hepatic tissue for changes in GSH concentrations. Interestingly, DCA dosing increased the levels of GSH in adult rats but did not significantly change GSSG or the molar ratio (Table 3). The increase in GSH was consistent with the higher expression of GCLC (Fig. 2A), which catalyzes the rate-limiting step in GSH biosynthesis. Others reported GCLC was induced after DCA treatment in mice (Theodoratos et al., 2012) and speculated involvement of an ROS-independent mechanism instead of oxidative stress. Neither GCLC, GSH, GSSG, or GSH:GSSG was altered by DCA dosing in juveniles. This study showed an age-related effect by DCA on GSH concentrations and GSH-synthesizing enzymes. In summary, we confirmed the induction of hepatic GSTs and antioxidant enzymes in rats that were given repeated, clinically relevant doses of DCA. These findings are consistent with the upregulation of detoxification enzymes previously demonstrated in GSTZ1-deficient mice (Lim et al., 2004; Blackburn et al., 2006) and suggest that depletion of GSTZ1 by DCA produces a similar biologic response. The induction of distinct GSTs, NQO1, GCLC, and GSS varied between young and adult rats, suggesting that the antioxidant defense response between age groups is not uniform. This information will be useful when considering the use of DCA in combination with other drugs and provides new insight into factors that may contribute to the age-related toxic effect of DCA in humans.
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