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ABSTRACT

Organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) plays a role in hepatic uptake of drugs, affecting in vivo exposure, distinguished primarily through pharmacogenetics of the SLC22A1 gene. The role of OCT1 in vivo has not been confirmed, however, via drug–drug interactions that similarly affect exposure. In the current research, we used Oct1/2 knockout mice to assess the role of Oct1 in hepatic clearance and liver partitioning of clinical substrates and assess the model for predicting an effect of OCT1 function on pharmacokinetics in humans. Four OCT1 substrates (sumatriptan, fenoterol, ondansetron, and tropisetron) were administered to wild-type and knockout mice, and plasma, tissue, and urine were collected. Tissue transporter expression was evaluated using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. In vitro, uptake of all compounds in human and mouse hepatocytes and human OCT1- and OCT2-expressing cells was evaluated. The largest effect of knockout was on hepatic clearance and liver partitioning of sumatriptan (2- to 5-fold change), followed by fenoterol, whereas minimal changes in the pharmacokinetics of ondansetron and tropisetron were observed. This aligned with uptake in mouse hepatocytes, in which inhibition of uptake of sumatriptan and fenoterol into mouse hepatocytes by an OCT1 inhibitor was much greater compared with ondansetron and tropisetron. Conversely, inhibition of all four substrates was evident in human hepatocytes, in line with reported clinical pharmacogenetic data. These data confirm the role of Oct1 in the hepatic uptake of the four OCT1 substrates and elucidate species differences in OCT1-mediated hepatocyte uptake that should be considered when utilizing the model to predict effects in humans.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Studies in carriers of SLC22A1 null variants indicate a role of organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) in the hepatic uptake of therapeutic agents, although OCT1-mediated drug–drug interactions have not been reported. This work used Oct1/2 knockout mice to confirm the role of Oct1 in the hepatic clearance and liver partitioning in mice for OCT1 substrates with reported pharmacogenetic effects. Species differences observed in mouse and human hepatocyte uptake clarify limitations of the knockout model for predicting exposure changes in humans for some OCT1 substrates.

Introduction

Data implicating the role of organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) in the hepatic uptake of therapeutic agents have intermittently emerged in the past two decades. In vitro, it was recognized that variants in OCT1 had decreased uptake of substrates, including clinical compounds such as metformin, following which it was demonstrated in the clinic that OCT1 function was related to metformin’s glucose-lowering effect (Shu et al., 2003, 2007). Although larger studies report conflicting results on the role of OCT1 function in metformin efficacy (Zhou et al., 2011; Dujic et al., 2017), positron emission tomography imaging of 11C-metformin has confirmed a role of OCT1 in at least metformin hepatic disposition by direct measurement of metformin uptake into the liver in humans in vivo, demonstrating a difference in liver exposure in subjects with and without OCT1 variant alleles (Jensen et al., 2016). Given that the liver is not the major clearance organ for metformin, large changes in the plasma exposure with differences in OCT1 function would not be expected, and indeed only minor effects of OCT1 variants on the plasma pharmacokinetics of metformin are observed clinically (Shu et al., 2008; Jensen et al., 2016). However, other therapeutic agents have been identified as OCT1 substrates in vitro, including sumatriptan, fenoterol, morphine, and 5-HT3-receptor antagonists, which are cleared via the liver in humans. For each of these, single studies have indicated an effect of OCT1 genotype on the plasma clearance in the clinic, in turn leading to altered pharmacodynamic effects (Tzvetkov et al., 2012, 2013, 2018;
Matthaei et al., 2016). These data are in agreement with in vitro activity for the OCT1 variants; whereas there appears a substrate-dependent effect on in vitro activity for some variants, for each of the studied OCT1 substrates there are OCT1 alleles that demonstrate no active uptake (Tzvetkov et al., 2012, 2013, 2018; Matthaei et al., 2016). Change in systemic exposure in the clinical studies is demonstrated in carriers of these variants with no in vitro activity for the substrate.

Although the effect of variants of SLC01B1, encoding the protein OATP1B1, has substantial evidence for affecting in vivo exposure of organic anion-transporting polypeptide (OATP) substrates, the drug–drug interactions (DDIs) with OATP inhibitors are generally even larger, most likely because these variants have reduced, but not completely abolished transporter function, and because of overlapping substrate affinity between OATPs in the liver (Shitara et al., 2013). Conversely, although the pharmacogenetic effects of OCT1 are in agreement with in vitro studies and have been exemplified with multiple substrates, DDIs involving OCT1 have not yet been identified with these same clinical substrates. The only DDI reported to involve OCT1 indicated an effect of verapamil, an OCT1 inhibitor, on the pharmacodynamic effect of metformin. However, again, as the plasma clearance of metformin is not through the liver, plasma pharmacokinetic changes due to OCT1 inhibition were not detected (Cho et al., 2014). As of yet, no DDIs that affect the plasma clearance of an OCT1 substrate have been reported, which would significantly aid in further understanding the role of this transporter in vivo. Additional evidence of the role of OCT1 in hepatic clearance in vivo, even in preclinical species, would aid in validation, particularly with the ability to assess in vitro–to–in vivo correlation and substantiate the effect of transporter function on systemic exposure with that on liver partitioning. As such, mice void of organic cation transporters have previously been used to evaluate and confirm the role of Octs in the clearance and tissue partitioning of metformin. Data in both Oct1 and Oct1/2 knockout mice confirmed a decrease in liver:plasma partitioning in the absence of Octs (Wang et al., 2002; Shu et al., 2007; Higgins et al., 2012). Changes in metformin clearance, however, could only be observed in mice void of both Oct 1 and 2, as clearance is predominantly reliant on Oct2 in the kidney. As such, the effects and utility of Oct1/2 knockout mice to predict the effect of OCT1 on the plasma exposure in humans have not been evaluated using clinical substrates eliminated by the liver. In this research, we sought to evaluate the pharmacokinetics of four known OCT1 substrates in Oct1/2 knockout mouse, compounds for which clinical pharmacogenetic effects of OCT1 have been demonstrated, to confirm the role of Oct1 in the hepatic clearance in vivo and to assess the Oct1/2 knockout model for predicting effects of OCT1 function in humans.

Materials and Methods

Materials. Sumatriptan succinate, fenoterol hydrobromide, ondansetron hydrochloride, and tropisetron hydrochloride were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). Metformin hydrochloride was purchased from U.S. Pharmacopeia (Rockville, MD). 3H-sumatriptan and 14C-metformin were purchased from American Radiolabeled Chemicals (St. Louis, MO). Rodent hepatocyte thawing medium and mouse hepatocytes (lot MBL192) were purchased from Lonza (Walkersville, MD). InVitroGRO HT medium, Krebs–Henseleit buffer (KHB), and male human cryopreserved hepatocytes (lot BRB) were purchased from Bioreclamation IVT (Westbury, NY). Rodent hepatocyte thawing medium and mouse hepatocytes (lot MBL192) were purchased from Lonza (Walkersville, MD). Buffers for cell culture and uptake (Dubecco’s PBS, HEPES, 4-morpholinoneethanesulfonic acid, NH4Cl, and calcium- and magnesium-free DPBS) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Formic, acetic and trifluoroacetic acids, ammonium bicarbonate, digitonin, dithiothreitol, imiprimine hydrochloride, verapamil hydrochloride, Triton X-100, sodium deoxycholate, β-casein, and iodoacetamide were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). The Thermo Scientific Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit was obtained from VWR International (Radnor, PA). Acetonitrile (high-pressure liquid chromatography grade) was from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). Mass spectrometry grade Trypsin Gold was purchased from Promega (Madison, WI) (product V5280). A Picopure 2 system (Hydro Service and Supplies, Durham, NC) was used to produce purified water. All of the stable isotope-labeled (SIL) (13C and 15N) proteotypic tryptic, purified and calibrated, peptide standards (SpikeTides_TQL) were purchased from JPT Peptide Technologies (Berlin, Germany). The standards are supplied with a tryptic linker, used for determining concentration at time of manufacture, at the C terminus, and thus are added to samples before digestion in order that the linker be released. Solid-phase extraction cartridges were Strata-X 33u polymeric reversed phase (10 mg/ml, part 8B S100 AA) obtained from Phenomenex (Torrance, CA).

In Vivo Studies. Breeding pairs of Oct1−/− mice were purchased from Taconic Biosciences (Rensselaer, NY), and a colony was maintained with Taconic, from which all knockout mice were obtained. Age-matched wild-type FVB mice were also obtained directly from Taconic. Studies were carried out at Covance (Greenfield, IN) and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Groups of male mice (25–30 g, age 8–14 weeks) were administered sumatriptan succinate, fenoterol hydrobromide, ondansetron hydrochloride, and tropisetron hydrochloride both intravenously (2 mg/kg) via the tail vein and orally (5 or 20 mg/kg, in the case of fenoterol) by gavage. Following compound administration, serial blood concentrations were collected as dried blood spots. For tropisetron, blood was collected on DMPK-A cards, to prevent cleavage by plasma esterases. At the end of blood pharmacokinetic studies, nine tissues (liver, spleen, kidney, duodenum, heart, lung, brain, muscle, and testes) were collected for transporter quantitation via liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Separate groups of mice were housed eight/cage, and each compound was administered intravenously (2 mg/kg), following which urine was collected over 24 hours in metabolism cages. Additional groups were administered each compound intravenously (2 mg/kg), then sacrificed at time points for tissue collection to determine tissue concentrations (n = 7–16/time point); tissues were collected and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Nine tissues (liver, spleen, kidney, duodenum, heart, lung, brain, muscle, and testes), blood, and plasma were measured at 0.75, 1.5, 2, and 3 hours following ondansetron administration, and four tissues (liver, spleen, kidney, duodenum), blood, and plasma at 0.75 and 2 hours following administration of fenoterol, ondansetron, and tropisetron. For tropisetron, plasma was collected in tubes pretreated with phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and blood was again collected on DMPK-A cards. All samples were stored at −80°C prior to analysis of either drug concentrations or transporter quantitation. In pooled animal groups in which urine was collected, creatinine was measured in both plasma and urine using an enzymatic assay, to determine recovery of urine over the time frame.

LC-MS/MS Quantitation of Transporter Expression in Mouse Tissues. The membrane fraction from tissue samples was prepared using an adapted differential surfactant extraction method, employing two surfactants (Ramsby and Makowski, 1999). Following gentle homogenization, the tissues were treated with digitonin. After light centrifugation, the pellets were treated with Triton X-100. For each sample extract, the total protein concentration was measured using the Thermo Scientific Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit, enabling 20 µg aliquots to be measured for analyzing by the LC-MS/MS–targeted quantitative proteomic method (Fallon et al., 2013, 2016; Khatri et al., 2019). Membrane/Microsomal protein (20 µg) was pipetted into polyimene chain reaction tubes and evaporated in a ThermoSavant SpeedVac. To the tubes were then added 100 µl 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate, 10 µl 40 mM dithiothreitol, 10 µl B-casein (0.5 µg/10 µl), and 13.3 µl 10% sodium deoxycholate (to give a concentration of 1%). Samples were heated at 60°C, shaking at 500 rpm, for 40 minutes in an Isotemp Thermal Mixer (Thermo Scientific) to denature and reduce. After cooling to room temperature, 135 mM iodoacetamide (10 µl) was added and samples were then incubated in the dark for 30 minutes at room temperature. One picoMole of each SIL peptide was then added from SIL peptide mixes used routinely and prepared in the laboratory. The concentration of each peptide in the mixes was 1 pmol per 10 µl. Ten microliters of 0.1 µg/µl trypsin in 50 mM acetic acid was then added to each sample as given in a trypsin:protein ratio of 1:20 (w/w). Samples were vortexed and digested for 20 hours at 37°C, shaking at 300 rpm, in the Isotemp Thermal Mixer. Following the digestion period, the reaction was stopped by the addition of 10% trifluoroacetic acid, such that the volume added was 10% of the total reaction volume. The samples were then vortex mixed, and the precipitate was pelleted by centrifugation at 13.3 Kg for
5 minutes. After transfer of the supernatant to fresh tubes, the samples were evaporated in the Thermosavant SpeedVac for 10 minutes to remove residual acetonitrile present from the SIL peptide mixes. Solid-phase extraction was then performed on the samples using polymeric reverse-phase 10 mg/ml cartridges. Conditioning was with methanol and purified water. After adding sample, the solid phase was washed with water. Peptides were eluted with 60% acetonitrile/40% formic acid 0.1% into 0.5 ml Eppendorf Protein LoBind tubes. Eluate was evaporated in the Thermosavant SpeedVac, and reconstitution was with 50 μl modified mobile phase A (0.1% formic acid/acetonitrile, 98/2, i.e., changed from 1% acetonitrile). The sample was centrifuged at 13.3 Kf for 5 minutes, and the supernatant was transferred to a deactivated vial insert for analysis by nanoLC-MS/MS. A laboratory human liver microsome (pooled, N = 50) quality control digest was also prepared in duplicate with the samples.

Analysis was performed on a nanoACQUITY (Waters, Milford, MA) coupled to a SCIEX QTRAP 5500 hybrid mass spectrometer (Framingham, MA) equipped with a Nanospray III source. Control was by Analyst 1.5 software (SCIEX) and nanoACQUITY Ultra High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (UPLC) Console. Mobile phase A consisted of 1% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid. Mobile phase B was 100% acetonitrile. Injection volume was 0.1 μl (0.04 μg or 0.2% of the 20-μg sample). The trap column was a Waters Symmetry C18, 2.0-μm × 2.0 mm, 5 μm particle size (cat. no. 180060527). Sample was trapped at a flow of 15 μl/min of mobile phase A for 1 minute. After elution from the trap column, peptides were separated on a Waters BEH130 C18 column, 150 μm × 100 mm, 1.7 μm particle size (cat. no. 180060530). Flow rate was 1.3 μl/min. The gradient was 100% of A to start, decreasing to 50% at 24 minutes, 5% at 24.5 minutes for 3 minutes, and returning to 100% at 28 minutes for 7 minutes, the total run time being 35 minutes. The temperature of the analytical column was 35°C. Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) analysis was in the positive mode with the ion spray voltage being 4000. The nanospray was produced using a 20 μm inner diameter (10 μm tip) PicToP emitter by New Objective (Woburn, MA). Data for MRM specific to mouse Oct 1, 2, and 3 peptides and other mouse and human (applicable to the human liver microsome quality control) specific peptides, including for two membrane markers, were acquired. The relevant peptides used for each, heavy labeled, are in Supplemental Table 1. Equality of response between the SIL and unlabeled peptides for each MRM was assumed.

Generation of Virally-Transfected OCT1-Expressing Cells and Uptake In Vitro. Full-length cDNA of human SLC22A1 (NM_003057) was synthesized and cloned into the pLenti6.3 vector. pLenti6.3 empty vector and pLenti6.3-OCT1 were transfected into a lentiviral package cell line Lenti-X-293T to produce OCT1 were transfected into a lentiviral package cell line Lenti-X-293T to produce OCT1 Substrates in Oct1/2 Knockout Mice 95
Fig. 1. Concentration profiles of OCT1 substrates in wild-type and Oct1/2\textsuperscript{−/−} mice. Mice (n = 5–16/group) were administered all compounds intravenously (2 mg/kg) and orally (5 or 20 mg/kg for fenoterol), following which blood was collected. Solid black circles represent wild type, and open blue squares knockout mice. Data presented as mean ± S.D.
Inhibitor IC50 values for OCT1 and OCT2 were determined in GraphPad Prism
mediated uptake were calculated as the ratio of [mean uptake in OCT1 cells with/
inhibitor. In VC cells with and without each inhibitor, one-way ANOVA was used
to VC cells, and to compare uptake in OCT-transfected cells with and without
Student
tests were used to compare absolute uptake in OCT-transfected cells

\[ \text{Uptake} = \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{K_{\text{m}}} \cdot \frac{[S]}{P_{\text{d}}} + P_{\text{d}} \cdot [S] \]

where \( V_{\text{max}} \) and \( K_{\text{m}} \) represent the maximal rate of uptake and the concentration and half maximal rate of uptake, \( P_{\text{d}} \) represents passive diffusion and [S] represents substrate concentration.

**Results**

Blood concentration–time profiles of all four substrates in wild-type and knockou

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sumatriptan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{\text{blood}} (ml/kg per minute)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{\text{d}} (ml/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_{1/2} (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance (ml/kg per minute)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUCpo (nM × h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FaFg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B:P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AUCpo area under the blood concentration–time curve (following oral administration, calculated from 0 to infinity); B:P, blood-to-plasma ratio; Cl, clearance (following intravenous administration); C_{max}, maximum plasma concentration (following oral administration); t_{1/2}, blood half-life (following intravenous administration); Cl_{hepatic}, blood clearance in mice (fallon et al., 2013).**
partitioning was observed, consistent with a decrease in fenoterol CLR.
Uptake into the intestine was significantly decreased at one of two time
points for fenoterol and tropisetron, following intravenous administra-
tion, suggesting an effect on intestinal secretion, which has been observed
previously for cations in both Oct1 and Oct2 knockout mice (Jonker et al.,
2001, 2003). This effect on partitioning into the intestine was not
apparent for sumatriptan. Blood:plasma partitioning was unaffected by
Oct1/2 knockout for any substrate.

Oct protein expression in mouse tissues is shown in Fig. 4. Oct1 was
found expressed in the liver and kidney, whereas Oct2 was found
predominantly in mouse kidney, and present in the duodenum and testes.
In all tissues expressing Oct1 or 2, knockout depleted expression.
Interestingly, Oct3 expression was found in tissues in which Oct1 and 2
were not detected or below the limit of quantitation, including spleen,
heart, and lung. Although knockout did not affect Oct3 expression in
spleen or heart, Oct3 protein was detectable in the lung in wild-type
mice, but not in knockout mice. As the OCT1 substrates are also
substrates of other transporters, for example, ondansetron is also a sub-
strate of P-gp, we included assessment of change in expression of other
known transporters using LC-MS/MS. No differences in any other
monitored transporters (detailed in Supplemental Table 1) were
determined between wild-type and knockout mice (data not shown),
indicating differences in substrate disposition between wild-type and
knockout mice can be attributed to knockout of Oct1/2.

Uptake of the four compounds into OCT1- and OCT2-expressing
HEK cells is shown in Fig. 5. Increased uptake of sumatriptan and
fenoterol was evident in both OCT1- and OCT2-expressing cells, with
ratios from 2.5 to 14 over vector control cells. Concentration-dependent
uptake and $V_{\text{max}}$ and $K_m$ values for sumatriptan and fenoterol are shown
in Supplemental Fig. 3A; OCT1 $K_m$ values are similar to those reported
previously (Matthaei et al., 2016; Tzvetkov et al., 2018). We are not
aware of any previously reported $K_m$ values for OCT2. For ondansetron
and tropisetron, uptake in OCT1-expressing cells was significantly
greater than vector control, and was significantly decreased in the
presence of MPP$^+$, although ratios over vector control were less than for
sumatriptan and fenoterol. Uptake of neither ondansetron nor tropisetron
was significantly different in OCT2-expressing cells, compared with
vector control. Overall, absolute uptake of ondansetron and tropisetron

![Fig. 2. Tissue partitioning ($K_p$) of sumatriptan in wild-type and Oct1/2$^{-/-}$ mice. Mice were administered sumatriptan intravenously (2 mg/kg) and sacrificed at time points indicated ($n = 4–11$ mice/time point), and plasma and tissues were collected. *$P \leq 0.05$ using Student’s $t$ test, compared with wild type. Black bars represent wild type, and gray bars knockout mice. Data presented as mean ± S.D. ND, not detectable.](image-url)
Fig. 3. Tissue partitioning (Kp) of fenoterol, ondansetron, and tropisetron in wild-type and Oct1/2−/− mice. Mice were administered each compound intravenously (2 mg/kg) and sacrificed at time points indicated (n = 7–16 mice/time point), and plasma and tissues were collected. *P < 0.05 using Student’s t test, compared with wild type. **P < 0.01 using Student’s t test, compared with WT. ***P < 0.001 using Student’s t test, compared with WT. Black bars represent wild-type, and gray bars knockout mice. Data presented as mean ± S.D.
significantly decreased by agents affecting lysosomal trapping, imipramine, in Fig. 6A, uptake of ondansetron and tropisetron into VC cells was the partitioning of these compounds into cells. Furthermore, as shown positive control imipramine, suggesting a role of lysosomal trapping in tropisetron was decreased in the presence of NH4Cl, as much as the trapping of these compounds. However, uptake of ondansetron and fenoterol into vector control HEK cells, suggesting no lysosomal shown in Table 2, indicate no effect on the uptake of sumatriptan or quantitation in both strains.

Accordingly, lysosomal-trapping experiment results using NH4Cl, was hypothesized to involve lysosomal trapping of the former. into cells was much higher than that of sumatriptan and fenoterol, which was hypothesized to involve lysosomal trapping of the former. Accordingly, lysosomal-trapping experiment results using NH4Cl, shown in Table 2, indicate no effect on the uptake of sumatriptan or fenoterol into vector control HEK cells, suggesting no lysosomal trapping of these compounds. However, uptake of ondansetron and tropisetron was decreased in the presence of NH4Cl, as much as the positive control imipramine, suggesting a role of lysosomal trapping in the partitioning of these compounds into cells. Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 6A, uptake of ondansetron and tropisetron into VC cells was significantly decreased by agents affecting lysosomal trapping, imipramine, and verapamil, and much less so by MPP+, which does not affect lysosomal trapping. Neither imipramine nor verapamil affected uptake of sumatriptan into VC cells, consistent with lack of effect by NH4Cl. Uptake of fenoterol into VC cells was affected by both MPP+ and verapamil, to unknown mechanism, but unaffected by imipramine. Concentration-dependent inhibition of OCTs by MPP+ is shown in Supplemental Fig. 3B. The IC50 for OCT1 was 608 μM and for OCT2 was 0.14 μM. As reported previously (Kido et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2018), potent OCT inhibition was observed by imipramine and verapamil; IC50 values for OCT1 were 0.67 and 10.1 μM, respectively, and were 0.14 and 0.11 μM for OCT2 (data not shown). After accounting for the effect of all three inhibitors in VC cells, the ratios of uptake in OCT1 cells with/without inhibitor over VC cells with/without inhibitor are consistent with each inhibitor, and represent the effect of each inhibitor specifically on OCT1-mediated uptake, shown in Fig. 6B. Importantly, whereas the ratios for ondansetron and tropisetron are <2 for OCT1, they are consistent with each inhibitor and are clearly higher than the ratios for OCT2, which was approximately 1 for every inhibitor.

Uptake of each OCT1 substrate into human and mouse hepatocytes, with and without MPP+, is shown in Fig. 7. In human hepatocytes, inhibition of uptake by MPP+ was evident for all compounds. Conversely, in mouse hepatocytes, uptake of sumatriptan and fenoterol was decreased similarly as in human hepatocytes; however, minimal or no inhibition was observed for ondansetron or tropisetron. Consistent with the effect of lysosomal trapping and uptake observed in HEK cells, accumulation of both ondansetron and tropisetron was greater in both mouse and human hepatocytes, compared with sumatriptan and fenoterol.

**Discussion**

The use of Oatp knockout mice has been demonstrated valuable for reproducing effects of decreased OATP function observed in the clinic with OATP substrates. This model has been found to be quite robust, with differences in plasma exposure greater than 10-fold in wild-type and knockout mice for some substrates, likely related to the increased function and expression of Oatps in rodents relative to human (Higgins et al., 2014; Salphati et al., 2014). The OATP substrates highlighted the concept that uptake could be rate-limiting for hepatic clearance, and recent data indicate OCT1 represents an uptake mechanism that may also be rate-limiting for plasma exposure in humans (Tzvetkov et al., 2012, 2018; Matthaei et al., 2016). Subsequently, recent recommendations on assessing the role of OCT1 in the clinic have been produced (Zamek-Gliszczynski et al., 2018a,b). As the role of OCT1 variants on the plasma clearance of more substrates is revealed, confidence in tools (Zamek-Gliszczynski et al., 2018a,b). As the role of OCT1 variants on the plasma clearance of more substrates is revealed, confidence in tools for assessing the in vivo role of OCT1 becomes increasingly relevant.

Of the four compounds assessed currently, the role of OCT1 in the clearance of fenoterol in humans is most clear in participants with null OCT1 variants (Tzvetkov et al., 2018); as fenoterol is administered via intravenous infusion, it can be concluded that the systemic clearance of fenoterol is indeed altered with changes in OCT1 function. Although CLR was not measured in the clinical study, there is no reported expression of OCT1 in the kidney in humans; hence, it is assumed that only changes in hepatic clearance resulted in the altered plasma exposure. The current results in knockout mice are in alignment with a decrease in hepatic clearance and liver partitioning with decreased Oct function, with a similar magnitude change in total clearance as that reported in the clinic of ~2-fold. The effect of OCT1 on the pharmacokinetics of sumatriptan in humans is also clear, with the plasma AUC over 8 hours following oral administration increasing ~2-fold in humans with null OCT1 variants (Matthaei et al., 2016). Although in the clinic a change in hepatic clearance could only be inferred from the results following oral administration, in this study, in

![Fig. 4. Oct expression in mouse tissues](image_url)
the mouse model, we confirmed the role of Oct1 in the hepatic clearance of sumatriptan, through both plasma clearance and liver partitioning. After accounting for CLR and hepatic extraction in mice, the current data indicate the absorption of sumatriptan to be incomplete or lost due to intestinal metabolism. However, these data suggest the change in oral AUC to be restricted to changes in hepatic first-pass and that absorption/intestinal first-pass (FaFg) did not change. Absorption in humans is also incomplete, based upon calculated Fh (Fowler et al., 1991); however, currently it is not known whether OCT1 variants affect sumatriptan absorption in humans, as effects in the intestine and liver cannot be elucidated from oral data in humans. From the current data, it is concluded that Oct1 in the liver decreases the hepatic clearance and partitioning of fenoterol and sumatriptan, and that these compounds represent the best clinical probes for evaluation of OCT1-mediated DDIs in the clinic.

Clinical data on ondansetron and tropisetron are not as robust; plasma concentrations at 3 and/or 6 hours following oral administration were reported higher in patients with two null OCT1 variants (Tzvetkov et al., 2012). Although the pharmacokinetic data are sparse, they did align with an enhanced pharmacodynamic effect, with decreased episodes of vomiting in patients with null variants taking these antiemetics. The knockout mice indicate a small, albeit statistically significant, role of Oct1 in the liver for these compounds, and the decrease in hepatic clearance was only 18% to 27%, compared with that of 4-fold for sumatriptan. As a change in overall AUC in humans has not yet been reported with OCT1 null variants for ondansetron or tropisetron, a direct comparison between the magnitude of change in the knockout and in humans cannot be ascertained. It is of interest, however, that the in vitro data in mouse hepatocytes would have predicted this smaller change in

| Table 2 | Physicochemical properties, permeability, and lysosomal trapping of OCT1 substrates |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|         | LogD 7.4 | Basic pKa | MDCK Permeability (cm/s × 10⁻⁶) | Ratio Control:NH₄Cl |
| Sumatriptan | -1.24 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 0.36 |
| Fenoterol | 0.33 | 9.6 | 1.9 | 1.4 |
| Ondansetron | 2.11 | 7.3 | 58 | 4.5 |
| Tropisetron | 0.70 | 9.3 | 34 | 2.5 |
| Imipramine | 2.48 | 9.2 | 36 | 2.5 |
mice with these two substrates, with minimal change in the presence of an OCT1 inhibitor. Furthermore, in human hepatocytes, there was clear inhibition in the presence of an OCT1 inhibitor, which is consistent with the reported clinical data. Therefore, it is concluded that the lack of effect in the knockout model does not likely indicate a minor role of OCT1 for these substrates in humans, but instead a species difference in the Oct1-mediated hepatic uptake of these compounds. Species differences as well as substrate dependence in OCT interactions are well-documented in the literature (Dresser et al., 2000). Previous in vitro investigation reported it difficult to detect both tropisetron and ondansetron in OCT1-expressing in vitro systems, although pharmacogenetic results on pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics were positive (Tzvetkov et al., 2012). In agreement with our results in human hepatocytes, we did find uptake in OCT1-expressing cells to be significantly higher than VC cells, although the ratios were low, consistent with previous data. We proposed that the lysosomal trapping of these two compounds results in a high background even in control cells in vitro, causing low ratios to be ascertained with MPP⁺, an inhibitor of OCT1 that does not affect lysosomal trapping. The subsequent experiments assessing lysosomal trapping results using both NH₄Cl and chemical inhibitors confirm this, and are in line with physicochemical properties of these two compounds (Table 2). Furthermore, after discerning the role of OCT1/lysosomal agents imipramine and verapamil on both vector control and OCT-expressing cells, there does appear a consistent OCT1-mediated effect with inhibitors that both do and do not affect lysosomal trapping. However, even though current in vitro data are in line with effects of OCT1 null variants in the clinic, given the sparse clinical pharmacokinetic data currently available and limitations due to lysosomal

![Fig. 6](A) Effect of OCT inhibitors on uptake of OCT substrates into VC cells and (B) calculated mean OCT-mediated ratios using MPP⁺, imipramine (IMI), and verapamil (VER) as OCT inhibitors. Data presented as mean ± S.D. The dotted lines in (B) indicate a ratio of 1. *P < 0.05 using ANOVA, compared with no inhibitor. **P < 0.01 using ANOVA, compared with no inhibitor. ***P < 0.001 using ANOVA, compared with no inhibitor.
Fig. 7. Uptake of OCT1 substrates in mouse and human hepatocytes. Uptake was assessed in hepatocyte suspension via the oil–spin method. Each time point was assessed in triplicate. Solid black circles and open blue squares represent uptake in the absence and presence of 1 mM MPP⁺, respectively. Data presented as mean ± S.D.
trapping in vitro, for confirmation of the effect of OCT1 on these two compounds in the clinic would be valuable.

The current data point out an obvious limitation of the mouse model, in that species differences in OCT1-mediated hepatic uptake appear evident. Additionally, this double-knockout model warrants evaluation of CLR, as Oct2 is the predominant form in the mouse kidney; collection of urine in mice can be technically challenging and may confound the understanding of effects on hepatic clearance, although the ability to assess liver partitioning somewhat mitigates this limitation. Furthermore, although available LC-MS/MS transporter data in human liver and kidney are in general agreement with the current mouse data, with OCT1 expression in liver and OCT2 predominant in kidney (Prasad et al., 2016; Drozdzik et al., 2019), previous data in mouse Oct knockout models indicate that Oct1 can play a role in the renal excretion of cations, as demonstrated for tetraethylammonium (TEA) (Jonker et al., 2003). Even though expression may be lower than Oct2, the role of Oct1 in mouse kidney may be another mechanism of species difference, along with differences in the fractional excretion of any drug between mice and humans. Additionally, whereas current protein expression data by LC-MS/MS indicate expression of Oct3 in the liver to be undetectable, Oct3 mRNA has previously been detected in mouse liver (Chen et al., 2015) and OCT3 is detectable in human liver, from recent LC-MS/MS expression data (Khatti et al., 2019). However, the effect of Oct3 knockout on liver partitioning of metformin in previous studies is conflicting, and, in human subject OCT3, protein was found at much lower protein levels than that of OCT1 (Drozdzik et al., 2019). Data regarding uptake of these four clinical substrates currently investigated by OCT3 are not available; however, it appears that OCT3 is the predominant form in both mouse and human liver, from current data, and likely does not imply a role of OCT3 in the species differences currently observed. Our current data do indicate lack of compensatory upregulation of Oct3 protein with Oct1/2 knockout, in any tissues assessed.

In conclusion, the current data in knockout mouse support a primary role of Oct1-mediated hepatic uptake in vivo for sumatriptan and fenoterol. A minor role for OCT1 substrates ondansetron and tropisetron coincides with the minor inhibition in mouse hepatocytes and does not reflect in vitro results in human hepatocytes. These species differences need to be considered when using this model to predict a role of OCT1 in the hepatic uptake of other compounds, as does a potentially limiting effect of lysosomal trapping on in vitro investigations for OCT substrates. Human hepatocyte in vitro uptake appears to be the most predictive for initiating investigation into OCT1 pharmacogenomics in the clinic. The mouse model could be used for confirmation or mechanistic insight, as done in this study; however, negative results must also be interpreted in concordance with uptake into mouse and human hepatocytes.
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Drug Metabolism and Disposition
Supplemental Figure 1. Creatinine pharmacokinetics in wildtype and Oct1/2<sup>−/−</sup> mice. SCr=serum creatinine. Clcr=creatinine clearance. WT=wildtype mice. KO=Oct1/2 knockout mice. Serum was taken from mice (n=4) at steady-state (0 and 8 hrs). Urine was collected from 0-8 hrs. Clcr was calculated as the amount in urine/area under the serum concentration-time curve calculated from 0-24 hours. Data presented as mean ± SD.

Supplemental Figure 2. Pharmacokinetics and tissue partitioning of metformin in wildtype and Oct1/2<sup>−/−</sup> mice. Mice (n=4) were administered metformin intravenously (5 mg/kg) and orally 10 mg/kg) and blood samples collected. Separate mice were administered metformin intravenously and tissue and plasma collected at the indicated timepoints. Cl=total clearance. F=bioavailability. Kp=tissue partition coefficient. *p<0.05 using student’s t-test, compared to WT. ***p<0.001 using student’s t-test, compared to WT. Data presented as mean ± SD.

Supplemental Figure 3. A) Uptake kinetics of OCT1 substrates in OCT1- and OCT2-expressing cells. B) Inhibition of sumatriptan uptake by MPP+ in OCT1- and OCT2-expressing cells. Uptake data presented as mean ± SD.
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Supplemental Table 1. Peptides utilized for LC-MS/MS quantitation of individual mouse transporter proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRANSPORTER/MARKER</th>
<th>PEPTIDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OCT1</td>
<td>ENTIYLQVQTGK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT2</td>
<td>WLISQNK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT3</td>
<td>GIALPETVEDVEK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP1A1/(3)/4/5</td>
<td>YLEQQYGK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP1A3/4/(6)</td>
<td>IYLGLPAALR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP1A5</td>
<td>EGLQDNVAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP2A1</td>
<td>HLPGLLPSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP3A1</td>
<td>SGELQGDDEAQR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP12</td>
<td>GAVSNPAFGK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP1B2</td>
<td>SVQPELK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP2B1</td>
<td>LVDIDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAT3</td>
<td>TALAVFGK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR1A</td>
<td>VVSYEEIVR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR1B</td>
<td>TVIAFGGQQK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-GP</td>
<td>IATEIAENFR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCRP</td>
<td>SSLDVLAAAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP1</td>
<td>TPSGNLVNR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP2</td>
<td>LTIIPQDPILFSGNLR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP3</td>
<td>GALVAVGVPVCGK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP4</td>
<td>LNTIIDSDK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSEP</td>
<td>STALQLIQR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTCP</td>
<td>GIYDGDNLK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OST-ALPHA</td>
<td>NTL[CAM]PIK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAK ATPASE</td>
<td>VDNSLTLGESEPQTR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplemental Methods

LC-MS/MS details for measurement of each OCT1 substrate

Sumatriptan

Study samples were analyzed by LC-MS/MS using a Sciex API 4000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems/MDS; Foster City, CA) equipped with a TurboIonSpray interface, and operated in positive ion mode. The analytes were chromatographically separated using a Betasil C18 20x2.1mm 5 micron Javelin HPLC column (Thermo Electron Corp.). The pumps were Shimadzu LC-10AD units with a SCL-10A controller (Kyoto, Japan), and a Gilson 215 liquid handler (Middleton, WI) was used as the autosampler. Water / trifluoroacetic acid / 1 M ammonium bicarbonate (2000:8:2, v/v) (Mobile Phase A), and acetonitrile/ trifluoroacetic acid / 1 M ammonium bicarbonate, (2000:8:2, v/v) (Mobile Phase B). The gradient profile from start to 0.2 min was 5%, 35% from 0.3 to 0.4 min, and 98% from 0.41 to 0.72 min. The flow rate was 1.5 mL/min. Chromatography was performed at ambient temperature, with flow directed to the mass spectrometer between 0.25 and 0.50 min. The selected reaction monitoring (SRM) (M+H) transition m/z 296.2 > 58.1 for Sumatriptan. Sumatriptan-d6 was used as stable label internal standard with SRM (M+H) transition m/z 302.2 > 64.2. The TurboIonSpray temperature was maintained at 740°C, with collision, curtain, nebulizing, and desolvation gas (nitrogen) settings of 46, 10, 50, and 70, respectively. The ionspray voltage was set to 1500 V, while the respective declustering, entrance, and exit potentials were 80, 6, and 9.

Fenoterol

Study samples were analyzed by LC-MS/MS using a Sciex API 4000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems/MDS; Foster City, CA) equipped with a TurboIonSpray interface, and operated in positive ion mode. The analytes were chromatographically separated using a Betasil C18 20x2.1mm 5 micron Javelin HPLC column (Thermo Electron Corp.). The
pumps were Shimadzu LC-10AD units with a SCL-10A controller (Kyoto, Japan), and a Gilson 215 liquid handler (Middleton, WI) was used as the autosampler. Water / trifluoroacetic acid / 1 M ammonium bicarbonate (2000:8:2, v/v) (Mobile Phase A), and acetonitrile/ trifluoroacetic acid / 1 M ammonium bicarbonate, (2000:8:2, v/v) (Mobile Phase B). The gradient profile from start to 0.2 min was 10%, 25% from 0.3 to 0.4 min, and 98% from 0.41 to 0.72 min. The flow rate was 1.5 mL/min. Chromatography was performed at ambient temperature, with flow directed to the mass spectrometer between 0.25 and 0.50 min. The selected reaction monitoring (SRM) (M+H) transition m/z 304.3 > 107.1 for Fenoterol. Fenoterol-d6 was used as stable label internal standard with SRM (M+H) transition m/z 310.5 > 141.1. The TurboIonSpray temperature was maintained at 740ºC, with collision, curtain, nebulizing, and desolvation gas (nitrogen) settings of 45, 10, 50, and 70, respectively. The ionspray voltage was set to 1500 V, while the respective declustering, entrance, and exit potentials were 80, 10, and 7.

**Ondansetron**

Study samples were analyzed by LC-MS/MS using a Sciex API 4000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems/MDS; Foster City, CA) equipped with a TurboIonSpray interface, and operated in positive ion mode. The analytes were chromatographically separated using a Betasil C18 20x2.1mm 5 micron Javelin HPLC column (Thermo Electron Corp.). The pumps were Nexera LC-30AD units with a Shimadzu CMB-20A controller (Kyoto, Japan), and a PAL System (Zwingen, Switzerland) was used as the autosampler. Water / 1 M ammonium bicarbonate (2000:10, v/v) (Mobile Phase A), and methanol / 1 M ammonium bicarbonate, (2000:10, v/v) (Mobile Phase B). The gradient profile from start to 0.2 min was 42%, 72% from 0.3 to 0.4 min, and 98% from 0.41 to 0.72 min. The flow rate was 1.5 mL/min. Chromatography was performed at ambient temperature, with flow directed to the mass spectrometer between 0.25 and 0.50 min. The selected reaction monitoring (SRM) (M+H) transition m/z 294.2 > 184.1 for Ondansetron. Ondansetron-d3 was used as stable label internal standard with SRM (M+H) transition m/z 297.2 > 187.0. The TurboIonSpray temperature was maintained at 740ºC,
collision, curtain, nebulizing, and desolvation gas (nitrogen) settings of 35, 10, 50, and 70, respectively. The ionspray voltage was set to 1500 V, while the respective declustering, entrance, and exit potentials were 70, 10, and 13.

Tropisetron

Study samples were analyzed by LC-MS/MS using a Sciex API 4000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems/MDS; Foster City, CA) equipped with a TurboIonSpray interface, and operated in positive ion mode. The analytes were chromatographically separated using a Betasil C18 20x2.1mm 5 micron Javelin HPLC column (Thermo Electron Corp.). The pumps were Nexera LC-30AD units with a Shimadzu CMB-20A controller (Kyoto, Japan), and a PAL System (Zwingen, Switzerland) was used as the autosampler. Water / trifluoroacetic acid / 1 M ammonium bicarbonate (2000:8:2, v/v) (Mobile Phase A), and acetonitrile/ trifluoroacetic acid / 1 M ammonium bicarbonate, (2000:8:2, v/v) (Mobile Phase B). The gradient profile from start to 0.2 min was 17%, 47% from 0.3 to 0.4 min, and 98% from 0.41 to 0.72 min. The flow rate was 1.5 mL/min. Chromatography was performed at ambient temperature, with flow directed to the mass spectrometer between 0.25 and 0.50 min. The selected reaction monitoring (SRM) (M+H) transition m/z 285.3 >124.3 for Tropisetron. Tropisetron-d5 was used as stable label internal standard with SRM (M+H) transition m/z 290.3 > 124.0. The TurboIonSpray temperature was maintained at 740°C, with collision, curtain, nebulizing, and desolvation gas (nitrogen) settings of 30, 10, 50, and 70, respectively. The ionspray voltage was set to 3000 V, while the respective declustering, entrance, and exit potentials were 80, 10, and 8.