Characterization of Cytosolic Glutathione S-Transferases Involved in the Metabolism of the Aromatase Inhibitor, Exemestane
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ABSTRACT

Exemestane (EXE) is a hormonal therapy used to treat estrogen receptor–positive breast cancer by inhibiting the final step of estrogen biosynthesis catalyzed by the enzyme aromatase. Cysteine conjugates of EXE and its active metabolite 17β-dihydro-EXE (DHE) are the major metabolites found in both the urine and plasma of patients taking EXE. The initial step in cysteine conjugate formation is glutathione conjugation catalyzed by the glutathione S-transferase (GST) family of enzymes. The goal of the present study was to identify cystolic hepatic GSTs active in the GST-mediated metabolism of EXE and 17β-DHE. Twelve recombinant cystolic hepatic GSTs were screened for their activity against EXE and 17β-DHE, and glutathionylated EXE and 17β-DHE conjugates were detected by ultra-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. GST α (GSTA) isoform 1, GST μ (GSTM) isoform 3 and isoform 1 were active against EXE, whereas only GSTA1 exhibited activity against 17β-DHE. GSTM1 exhibited the highest affinity against EXE with a Michaelis-Menten constant (Ka) value that was 3.8- and 7.1-fold lower than that observed for GSTA1 and GSTM3, respectively. Of the three GSTs, GSTM3 exhibited the highest intrinsic clearance against EXE (intrinsic clearance = 0.14 nl·min⁻¹·mg⁻¹). The Ka values observed for human liver cytosol against EXE (46 μM) and 17β-DHE (77 μM) were similar to those observed for recombinant GSTA1 (53 and 30 μM, respectively). Western blot analysis revealed that GSTA1 and GSTM1 composed 4.3% and 0.57%, respectively, of total protein in human liver cytosol; GSTM3 was not detected. These data suggest that GSTA1 is the major hepatic cystolic enzyme involved in the clearance of EXE and its major active metabolite, 17β-DHE.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Most previous studies related to the metabolism of the aromatase inhibitor exemestane (EXE) have focused mainly on phase I metabolic pathways and the glucuronidation phase II metabolic pathway. However, recent studies have indicated that glutathionylation is the major metabolic pathway for EXE. The present study is the first to characterize hepatic glutathione S-transferase (GST) activity against EXE and 17β-dihydro-EXE and to identify GST α 1 and GST μ 1 as the major cystolic GSTs involved in the hepatic metabolism of EXE.

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in women and, despite an overall improvement in breast cancer therapy, continues to be their second leading cause of death (American Cancer Society, 2021). More than 75% of diagnosed breast cancers are found to be expressing estrogen receptors (ERs) with estrogen contributing to tumor growth and proliferation (Howlader et al., 1975–2013; Osborne and Schiff, 2011). Hormone therapy is most commonly prescribed for early-stage, ER-positive breast cancer in postmenopausal women, including treatments with selective estrogen receptor modulators or aromatase inhibitors [Untch and Thomssen, 2010; Early Breast Cancer Trialists’ Collaborative Group (EBCTCG), 2015]. The mechanism of action of selective estrogen receptor modulators, including tamoxifen (TAM), is manifested through blocking the ER to prevent the binding of estrogen, whereas aromatase inhibitors, including exemestane (EXE), act through suicide inhibition of the enzyme aromatase and disrupt androgen conversion to estrogen in the final step of estrogen biosynthesis (Miller, 1999; Campos, 2004; Eisen et al., 2008; Patel and Bihani, 2018). When compared with tamoxifen, EXE demonstrates a higher clinical efficacy and safety profile (Kieback et al., 2010; Goss et al., 2011). Moreover, long-term use of EXE demonstrated a 65% reduction in the incidence of invasive breast cancer among high-risk but healthy postmenopausal women (Goss et al., 2011). In addition, unlike that observed for TAM, EXE has not been associated with higher risk for thromboembolism or gynecologic events, such as endometrial cancers, polyps, and fibroids (Coombes et al., 2007; Goss et al., 2011). However, although EXE demonstrated improved treatment efficacy as compared with TAM, the overall response rate of 46% is still relatively low (Paridaens et al., 2003; Paridaens et al., 2008). Additionally, compared with TAM, EXE has
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been associated with a higher incidence of hot flashes, musculoskeletal pain, and lower bone mineral density (Coombes et al., 2007).

EXE is extensively metabolized in patients with <1% and <10% remaining as unmetabolized EXE in urine and plasma, respectively (Pfizer, 2018). A major route of EXE metabolism is the formation of 17β-dihydroxymestane (DHE), an active metabolite catalyzed by cytochrome P450s, aldoketoreductases, and carbonyl reductases (Kamdem et al., 2011; Platt et al., 2016; Peterson et al., 2017). 17β-DHE is further conjugated through the glucuronidation pathway to form the inactive 17β-DHE-glucuronide (Gluc) by UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) 2B17 (Sun et al., 2010; Luo et al., 2017).

Recently, two novel cysteine (Cys) conjugates of EXE and 17β-DHE were identified: 6α-EXE-Cys and 6α-17β-DHE-Cys, which combined composed 77% and 35% of the total urinary and plasma EXE metabolites, respectively, in subjects taking EXE (Luo et al., 2018). Cysteine conjugates are formed through a three-step metabolic pathway, in which the first step involves conjugation with the tripptide glutathione (GSH; γ-Glu-Cys-Gly) catalyzed by the glutathione-S-transferase (GST) family of enzymes (Hinchliff and Ballatori, 1994; Hayes et al., 2005). The glutathione conjugates are subsequently metabolized by γ-glutamyl transpeptidase to remove the glutamyl moiety and by dipeptidase to remove the glycyl moiety, which ultimately forms the cysteine conjugate that is rapidly excreted. As the first step in this conjugation pathway, GST-mediated glutathionylation is likely a key step in EXE and 17β-DHE metabolism and excretion (Luo et al., 2018; Hanna and Anders, 2019). The ultimate cysteine conjugates render EXE and DHE more water-soluble and more easily excreted; although it is assumed that these conjugates also render EXE and DHE less active, this has not yet been directly tested.

GSTs are a multifunctional superfamily of enzymes involved in both catalytic and signaling processes. Cysolic GSTs constitute the largest subfamily of GSTs and are traditionally recognized as the major GSTs involved in phase II metabolism (Gabig et al., 1974b; Hayes et al., 2005; Jancova et al., 2010). They are largely expressed in human liver and involved in phase II metabolism (Habig et al., 1974b; Hayes et al., 2005). 17β-DHE metabolism and excretion (Luo et al., 2018; Hanna and Anders, 2019).

The materials and methods for the synthesis of EXE-17β-DHE-GS and DHE-17β-DHE-GS are described in detail in the supplementary materials.
were collected, and aliquots of 50 μl were injected onto the ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 × 100 mm; Waters). The ultra-pressure liquid chromatography (UPLC) conditions used were as described below. Fractions containing EXE-GS conjugates were collected at UPLC retention times of 1.2–2 minutes, whereas DHE-GS conjugates were collected at 0.8–1.8 minutes.

Recombinant Protein Production. The Human Protein Atlas was queried (April 19, 2018), and 12 cytosolic human GSTs were identified to be heparinically expressed: GSTA1, GSTA2, GSTA4, GSTK1, GSTM1, GSTP1, GSTO1, GSTM3, GSTM4, GST ε (GSTO1) 1, GST π (GSTP1) 1, GST ξ (GSTZ1) 1, and GST θ (GSTT1) 1. GSTA1, GSTA4, GSTK1, GSTM2, GSTM4, GSTM1, GSTM3, and GSTA2 were purchased commercially; GSTT1, GSTP1, and GSTO1 were not found to be commercially available and were cloned and expressed as described below.

In addition to the noncommercially available GSTs (GSTT1, GSTP1, GSTZ1, and GSTO1), the GSTs that exhibited initial activity against EXE and 17β-DHE (GSTA1, GSTM1, and GSTM3) were also cloned and expressed as codon-optimized, recombinant proteins. All GSTs were cloned with a C-terminal His-tag. Codon-optimized plasmids encoding wild-type human GSTA1, GSTM3, GSTT1, GSTZ1, GSTP1, and GSTO1 were commercially synthesized by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ) in the pET-15b vector and transformed into chemically competent BL21 (DE3) E. coli. GSTM1 codon-optimized plasmid was similarly commercially cloned into the pET-15b vector but was transformed into BL21 (DE3)pLysS E. coli. Transformed E. coli cells were grown under ampicillin selection, and the DNA sequence of cloned genes were confirmed with Sanger sequencing (Genewiz, South Plainfield, NJ). Selected bacterial colonies were grown overnight in 10 ml of LB supplemented with 100 μg/ml of ampicillin at 37°C in a tabletop shaker (250 rpm). A total of 90 ml of fresh LB medium containing 100 μg/ml of ampicillin was inoculated with the overnight culture and grown an additional 2.5 hours to reach an optical density value of 0.6. The expression of GST protein was induced by the addition of isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside to a final concentration of 0.5 μM. After induction, cells were grown for an additional 4 hours at 37°C and then harvested by centrifugation. The cytosolic fraction was collected after cell lysis with 150 μl B-PER Complete Bacterial Protein Extraction Reagent and centrifugation per the manufacturer’s protocols.

His-tagged GST proteins were purified from the cytosol by nickel affinity chromatography. Ni-NTA resin spin columns were equilibrated with binding buffer containing 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, and 10 mM imidazole. Each cytosolic lysate was mixed with an equal volume of binding buffer, loaded onto the column, and incubated for 20 minutes at 4°C for maximal binding. After incubation, the columns were centrifuged at 700 x g for 2 minutes, and the flow through was discarded. Columns were subsequently washed with 20, 60, and 100 mM of imidazole in PBS (pH 7.4), and each of the elutions were collected; GSTP1 His-tagged protein was washed with 20, 60, 100, 150, and 200 mM of imidazole. Using dextran desalting columns, the imidazole was removed, and purified proteins were subsequently stored in PBS (pH 7.4) buffer. A total of 125 μg of protein for each reaction was loaded onto the GS gel, and all were found to be greater than 90% pure by silver staining (Supplemental Fig. 1). As performed for commercially purchased recombinant GST proteins, the activity of purified recombinant proteins was verified using the CDNB assay described below. Pure recombinant enzymes were stored in 200-μl aliquots in 25% glycerol at −20°C.

GST Activity Assays. The enzymatic activities of recombinant GSTs were verified using a spectrophotometric assay against CDNB, a known substrate for all cytosolic GSTs (Habig et al., 1974a). Reactions were performed using 1 mM CDNB and 1 mM GSH in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer at 30°C for 6 minutes. The absorbance of the CDNB-glutathione conjugate product was read at 340 nm (Habig et al., 1974b).

To screen for conjugation activity against EXE and 17β-DHE, activity assays were performed for the eight commercially purchased GSTs (GSTA1, GSTA4, GSTK1, GSTM2, GSTM4, GSTM1, GSTM3, and GSTA2) and the four noncommercially available GSTs that were cloned as recombinant proteins in our laboratory (GSTT1, GSTP1, GSTO1, and GSTZ1). GST recombinant protein (2.5 ng/μl) was mixed with 250 μM of EXE or 17β-DHE in a 25-μl reaction containing 100 mM potassium phosphate. The reaction was preincubated at 37°C for 3 minutes prior to the addition of 5 mM GSH to start the incubation (1 hour, 37°C). Incubations were terminated by adding an aliquot (2.5 μl) to ice-cold acetonitrile (5 μl) spiked with 2.5 μl of D2-EXE-GS or D3-17β-DHE-GS standard internal vortextion and centrifuging at 16,100 x g for 10 minutes at 4°C, supernatants (10 μl) were transferred to a glass sample vial containing 10 μl water. Reactions containing HLC were performed as a positive control, and reactions without enzyme were performed as a negative control.

For enzyme kinetic analysis, EXE-GS formation was examined as described above in reactions incubated for 1 hour at 37°C using 2.5–8.0 ng/μl of purified recombinant GSTA1, GSTM1, or GSTM3 protein and varying concentrations of EXE (2–250 μM). Similar kinetic assays were also performed with pooled HLC (1 μg/μl) 17β-DHE-GS conjugate formation was examined using recombinant GSTA1 (5 ng/μl) or pooled HLC protein (2 μg/μl) as described above for EXE, using 17β-DHE (2–250 μM) as the substrate. Reaction mixtures were processed as described above and loaded onto the ultra-pressure liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (UPLC/MS) to monitor glutathione (GS) conjugate formation. EXE-GS and 17β-DHE-GS concentrations were quantified using a standard curve generated from a serial dilution of known amounts of chemically synthesized EXE-GS or 17β-DHE-GS (synthesis described above).

UPLC/MS Analysis of GS Conjugates. EXE-GS and 17β-DHE-GS conjugate formation was monitored in individual reactions using a UPLC/MS system (Waters) consisting of an Acquity UPLC, Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 × 100 mm), and Xevo G2-S QTof mass spectrometer. The UPLC flow rate was 0.4 ml/min with a column temperature of 35°C. UPLC conditions for EXE-GS separation were as follows: 0.5 minutes at 25%:75% of mobile phase B (100%:acetonitrile); mobile phase A (5 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid), a linear gradient to 100% B in 4 minutes, and 1.5 minutes at 100% B, which was followed by re-equilibrium with 25% B for 2 minutes. The UPLC conditions for DHE-GS separation were 2 minutes at 20% mobile phase B, a linear gradient to 100% B in 4 minutes, followed by re-equilibrium with 20% B for 2 minutes. The Waters Xevo G2-S QTof MS was operated in tandem mass spectrometry mode, and the ESI probe operated in the positive-ion mode with a capillary voltage at 0.6 kV. Nitrogen was used as both the cone and desolvation gases with flow rates maintained at 50 and 800 l/h, respectively. The collision energy for EXE-GS and DHE-GS detection was optimized at 25 V with a cone voltage of 30 V. Glutathione conjugate formation was detected using the following mass transitions (m/z): 604.2692→299.2, and 609.3037→302.203 to monitor EXE-GS, D2-EXE-GS, 17β-DHE-GS, and D3-17β-DHE-GS, respectively.

Recombinant GSTA1, GSTM1, and GSTM3 Quantification in HLC. Western blot analysis was performed to quantify the amount of GSTA1, GSTM1, and GSTM3 protein present in commercial-pooled HLC. Serial dilutions of HLC (25–6.25 μg of total protein) and purified protein recombinant protein (GSTA1 = 1–0.125 μg, GSTM1 = 0.25–0.03 μg. or GSTM3 = 1–0.03 μg) were loaded on three separate 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and, after electrophoresis, were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes using an iBlot system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The membranes were blocked overnight at 4°C in blocking buffer (5% nonfat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline containing 50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.6; 0.9% NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20), and subsequently washed three times (10 minutes each) with Tris-buffered saline/Tween 20. For GSTA1, GSTM1, and GSTM3, membranes were probed with mouse monoclonal GSTA1 antibody (1:400 dilution), mouse monoclonal GSTM1 antibody (1:1,000 dilution), and mouse polyclonal GSTM3 antibody (1:250), respectively, for 2 hours in blocking buffer, which was followed by a goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:10,000 dilution) in blocking buffer for 1 hour. Bands on the membranes probed with anti-GSTM1 and anti-GST1 were visualized with the Novex ECL Chemiluminescent Kit, whereas the membrane probed with anti-GSTM3 was visualized with SuperSignal Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate. The densitometry analysis of images at 400-second exposure time were performed using Image J software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Western blot experiments were performed in triplicate.

Enzyme kinetic analysis. Kinetic parameters were determined utilizing the Michaelis-Menten equation using GraphPad Prism software (version 6.01). Vmax values were calculated as mmol/min/mg of pure recombinant protein. All reported values were results of three independent experiments.

Results

Identification of EXE-GS and DHE-GS Conjugates. Chemically synthesized standard EXE-GS and 17β-DHE-GS at 10 ppm were used to confirm the identity of EXE-GS and 17β-DHE-GS conjugates in the
screening assays. As shown by representative chromatograms in Fig. 1, Fig. 1A depicts the EXE-GS standard with one major UV peak (254 nm) at 1.2 minutes and a corresponding parent ion spectrum with mass to charge ratio (m/z)$^+$ of 604.27, which matches with the predicted [EXE-GS$^+$H]$^+$ ion (C$_{30}$H$_{44}$N$_3$O$_8$S) (m/z)$^+$ = 604.28. The chemical structure of the synthesized EXE-GS was confirmed by NMR (described in Materials and Methods) and found to be 95% pure. A representative UV chromatogram of a glutathione conjugation assay utilizing HLC and EXE as a substrate (Fig. 1B) shows the EXE-GS parent ion of (m/z)$^+$ = 604.28 at 1.2 minutes. A comparable UV trace of the 17β-DHE-GS standard is shown in Fig. 1D with the main peak detected by UV at 0.80 minutes and the corresponding parent ion (m/z)$^+$ = 606.28 matching with the predicted [17β-DHE-GS$^+$H]$^+$ ion (C$_{30}$H$_{44}$N$_3$O$_8$S) [m/z$^+$ = 606.28]. The synthesized 17β-DHE-GS standard was also confirmed by NMR with an estimated purity of 94%. Fig. 1E shows glutathione conjugate formation in HLC with 17β-DHE as the substrate. The peak at 0.90 minutes in Fig. 1E has an (m/z)$^+$ = 606.28, and matched with the synthesized standard in Fig. 1D, which confirmed the identity of the peak as the glutathione conjugate of 17β-DHE.

A total of 12 recombinant hepatic cytosolic GSTs (GSTA1, GSTA2, GSTA4, GSTK1, GSTM1, GSTM2, GSTM3, GSTM4, GSTO1, GSTP1, GSTZ1, and GSTT1) were screened for activity against EXE and its active metabolite 17β-DHE. All 12 GSTs were active against the universal GST substrate, CDNB (Supplemental Fig. 2). A sensitive tandem mass spectrometry method (described above) was developed to detect glutathione conjugates of EXE and 17β-DHE. As shown in Fig. 2, GSTM1, and GSTM3 exhibited significant activity against EXE in screening assays (panel A) with GSTA1 $>$ GSTM3 $\simeq$ GSTM1. None of the other recombinant cytosolic GST enzymes tested (GSTA2, GSTA4, GSTK1, GSTM2, GSTM4, GSTO1, GSTP1, GSTZ1, and GSTT1) exhibited activity against EXE. Interestingly, only GSTA1 exhibited activity against 17β-DHE among the 12 cytosolic GSTs tested (Fig. 2B).

Representative Michaelis-Menten plots of EXE-GS and 17β-DHE-GS formation are shown in Fig. 3. Kinetic analysis of EXE-GS formation by recombinant GSTA1, GSTM1, and GSTM3 enzymes demonstrated that GSTM1 exhibited the highest affinity for EXE [Michaelis-Menten constant (K$_M$) = 14 ± 4.1 μM] followed by GSTA1 (K$_M$ = 53 ± 17 μM) and GSTM3 (K$_M$ = 99 ± 6.0 μM; Table 1). The apparent K$_M$ (46 ± 12 μM) for EXE-GS formation in HLC was similar to that observed for GSTA1. GSTM3 exhibited the highest rate of EXE-GS formation (V$_{max}$ = 14 ± 1.4 nmol·min$^{-1}$·mg$^{-1}$), which was approximately 3- and 33-fold higher than that observed for GSTA1 (V$_{max}$ = 4.7 ± 2.9 nmol·min$^{-1}$·mg$^{-1}$) and GSTM1 (V$_{max}$ = 0.43 ± 0.29 nmol·min$^{-1}$·mg$^{-1}$), respectively. Overall, recombinant GSTM3 exhibited a marginally higher intrinsic clearance (CL$_{INT}$) of EXE (CL$_{INT}$ = 0.14 ± 0.092 nl·min$^{-1}$·mg$^{-1}$) compared with GSTA1 (CL$_{INT}$ = 0.085 ± 0.043 nl·min$^{-1}$·mg$^{-1}$) but exhibited a CL$_{INT}$ that was 4.4-fold higher than that observed for GSTM1 (CL$_{INT}$ = 0.032 ± 0.017 nl·min$^{-1}$·mg$^{-1}$). Similar to that observed for EXE-GS formation, the K$_M$ observed for 17β-DHE in HLC (51 ± 14 μM) was similar to that observed for GSTA1 (32 ± 12 μM).

Relative Expression of GSTA1, GSTM1, and GSTM3 in Pooled HLC. Quantitative Western blot analysis was performed to determine the relative amounts of each active GST present in pooled HLC. Commercial antibodies for GSTA1, GSTM1, and GSTM3 were first verified for specificity and cross-reactivity (Supplemental Fig. 3). Western blots containing serial dilutions of HLC and purified recombinant GSTA1, GSTM1, and GSTM3 proteins were then probed with each specific antibody (representative images shown in Fig. 4). Densitometry analysis of three independent experiments, each containing pure recombinant protein as a standard, estimated that GSTA1 and GSTM1 composed 0.043 ± 0.0051 and 0.0057 ± 0.00043 μg of GST per microgram of HLC, respectively (Table 2). No GSTM3 proteins were detected in HLC by Western blot analysis.
Glutathionylation is an important step in the xenobiotic detoxification pathway of many compounds and might play an important role in the rate of excretion and elimination of EXE, thereby contributing to the variation observed in EXE treatment efficacy and side effects (Jancova et al., 2010). As shown in previous studies, cysteine conjugates of EXE compose 77% of total urinary metabolites, whereas in plasma, cysteine conjugate levels are similar to another major metabolite, 17β-DHE-Gluc (Luo et al., 2018). These data suggest that the formation of cysteine conjugates is a major route of metabolism of EXE. Cysteine conjugates are formed through a three-step pathway similar to that observed in the mercapturic acid synthesis pathway with the first step, GSH conjugation, catalyzed by the GST family of enzymes (Hanna and Anders, 2019). Glutathione conjugates are typically inactive, less toxic, more water soluble, and more readily excreted than parent unconjugated compounds (Allocati et al., 2018). Subsequently, the glutamyl moiety is removed by γ-glutamyltransferases, the glycyl moiety is removed by...
TABLE 1
Kinetic analysis of GSTs against EXE and 17β-DHE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EXE-GS</th>
<th>17β-DHE-GS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(K_m) (\mu)M</td>
<td>(V_{max}) nmol min (^{-1}) mg (^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLC</td>
<td>46 ± 12</td>
<td>0.044 ± 0.0067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTA1</td>
<td>53 ± 17</td>
<td>4.7 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTM3</td>
<td>99 ± 6.0</td>
<td>14 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTM1</td>
<td>14 ± 4.1</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are expressed as milligrams of total cytosolic protein.

Western blot quantification of GSTA1, GSTM3, and GSTM1 in HLC. (A) Serial dilution of HLC (25–6.25 \(\mu\)g of total protein) and purified recombinant GSTA1 (1–0.125 \(\mu\)g) used as standard, probed with a GSTA1-specific antibody; (B) serial dilution of HLC (25–6.25 \(\mu\)g of total protein) and purified recombinant GSTM1 (0.25–0.03 \(\mu\)g) used as standard, probed with a GSTM1-specific antibody; (C) serial dilution of HLC (25–6.25 \(\mu\)g of total protein) and purified recombinant GSTM3 (1–0.03 \(\mu\)g) used as standard, probed with a GSTM3-specific antibody. Western blots were conducted as described in Materials and Methods.
present study suggest that GSTM1 also plays an important role in the hepatic clearance of EXE. GSTM1 exhibited the highest affinity of any GST enzyme for EXE with a $K_M$ value of 14 $\mu$M, which is 3.7-fold lower than GSTA1 ($K_M = 53 \pm 17$ $\mu$M). However, GSTM1 composed only 0.57% of all hepatic cytosolic protein as determined by quantitative Western blot analysis, a level that was >7.5-fold lower than that observed for GSTA1 in the same experiments, suggesting that its role in hepatic EXE metabolism may be secondary to GSTA1.

The third isoform, GSTM3, shows the highest intrinsic clearance values for EXE in vitro (0.14 $\text{nl} \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{mg}^{-1}$) among the three GSTs. However, it likely plays a minimal role in the hepatic clearance of EXE since it was not detected in HLC. These data are consistent with that observed in the Human Protein Atlas database, which showed that GSTM3 mRNA levels are 169-fold less abundant than GSTA1 and 29-fold less abundant than GSTM1 in liver [http://www.proteinatlas.org; queried on July 10, 2021; (Uhlén et al., 2015)]. GSTM3 might, however, contribute to the first-pass metabolism of EXE since its expression is about 2-fold higher than GSTM1 in the small intestine (http://www.proteinatlas.org; queried on July 10, 2021).

EXE reduction to $17\beta$-DHE during phase I metabolism and the subsequent glucuronidation of $17\beta$-DHE by UGT2B17 has been extensively studied (Sun et al., 2010; Kamdem et al., 2011; Platt et al., 2016; Peterson et al., 2017). Deletion of UGT2B17 was associated only 0.57% of all hepatic cytosolic protein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GSTA1</th>
<th>GSTM1</th>
<th>GSTM3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.043 ± 0.0051*</td>
<td>0.0057 ± 0.00043*</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are expressed as micrograms of GST per micrograms of liver cytosolic protein.

In conclusion, in vitro studies suggest that GSTA1 is the major isoform responsible for the hepatic metabolism of EXE and $17\beta$-DHE. GSTM1 contributes to the hepatic clearance of EXE but not $17\beta$-DHE. Although GSTM3 is active against EXE, it does not contribute significantly to hepatic clearance, given its negligible expression in the liver. Further studies examining the
role of functional polymorphisms in GSTA1 and GSTM1 on EXE metabolism should be performed to better evaluate the role of these enzymes on individual differences in EXE efficacy, side effects, and overall treatment outcomes.

Authorship Contributions

Participated in research design: Teslenko, Lazarus.

Conducted experiments: Teslenko.

Contributed new reagents or analytic tools: Xia.

Performed data analysis: Teslenko, Chen, Lazarus.

Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Teslenko, Watson, Xia, Chen, Lazarus.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Shamane Luo for her helpful contributions to the study.

References


Teslenko et al.


**Citation of meeting abstracts**

Address correspondence to: Dr. Philip Lazarus, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Washington State University, 412 E. Spokane Falls Blvd., PBS Building Room 431, P.O. Box 1495, Spokane, WA 99202-2131. E-mail: phil.lazarus@wsu.edu