Cannabinoid Metabolites as Inhibitors of Major Hepatic CYP450 Enzymes, with Implications for Cannabis-Drug Interactions
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ABSTRACT

The legalization of cannabis in many parts of the United States and other countries has led to a need for a more comprehensive understanding of cannabis constituents and their potential for drug-drug interactions. Although (−)-trans-Δ^2-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), cannabidiol (CBD), and cannabinol (CBN) are the most abundant cannabinoids present in cannabis, THC metabolites are found in plasma at higher concentrations and for a longer duration than that of the parent cannabinoids. To understand the potential for drug-drug interactions, the inhibition potential of major cannabinoids and their metabolites on major hepatic cytochrome P450 (P450) enzymes was examined. In vitro assays with P450-overexpressing cell microsomes demonstrated that the major THC metabolites 11-hydroxy-Δ^2-tetrahydrocannabinol and 11-nor-9-carboxy-Δ^2-THC-glucuronide competitively inhibited several major P450 enzymes, including CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6 (apparent K_{i} values = 0.086 ± 0.066 μM and 0.90 ± 0.54 μM, 0.057 ± 0.044 μM and 2.1 ± 0.81 μM, 0.15 ± 0.067 μM and 2.3 ± 0.54 μM, respectively). 11-Nor-9-carboxy-Δ^2-tetrahydrocannabinol exhibited no inhibitory activity against any CYP450 tested. THC competitively inhibited CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6; CBD competitively inhibited CYP3A4, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP2E1; and CBN competitively inhibited CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2E1. THC and CBD showed mixed-type inhibition for CYP2C19 and CYP1A2, respectively. These data suggest that cannabinoids and major THC metabolites are able to inhibit the activities of multiple P450 enzymes, and basic static modeling of these data suggest the possibility of pharmacokinetic interactions between these cannabinoids and xenobiotics extensively metabolized by CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Major cannabinoids and their metabolites found in the plasma of cannabis users inhibit several P450 enzymes, including CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6. This study is the first to show the inhibition potential of the most abundant plasma cannabinoid metabolite, THC-COO-Gluc, and suggests that circulating metabolites of cannabinoids play an essential role in CYP450 enzyme inhibition as well as drug-drug interactions.

Introduction

Cannabis and cannabis-derived substances are the most widely consumed psychopharmaceuticals in the world (Atakan, 2012). The legal and ethical implications of their use continue to be the subject of intense global debate because of potential medical applications of cannabis-derived products and the progressive legalization of its use as a recreational drug. Modern clinical applications include treatment of multiple sclerosis, epilepsy, neuropathic pain, arthritis, nausea and vomiting due to chemotherapy, appetite stimulation in HIV/AIDS, depression, anxiety disorders, sleep disorders, psychosis, glaucoma, and Tourette syndrome among others (Solimini et al., 2017). However, concurrent usage of cannabis products (both recreational and medicinal) with conventional medications, nonprescription remedies, and other psychoactive substances can often lead to substance-use disorders (National Institute on Drug Abuse report, July 2020), suggesting that more information is needed to fully understand the effects of cannabis as a psychopharmaceutical (Weinberger et al., 2016).

More than 500 phytochemicals have been detected in commercial strains of the Cannabis sativa plant, with (−)-trans-Δ^2-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), cannabidiol (CBD), and cannabinol (CBN) being the three main active constituents (Aizpurua-Olaizola et al., 2016). THC is the most well described psychoactive constituent and interacts with the cannabinoid receptor type 1 (CB1) receptor and the complex network of neurologic transmitters to induce psychopharmacological effects (Pertwee, 2008). Guidelines from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) classify any cannabis-derived substance that contains more than 0.3% THC as a schedule I controlled substance, whereas products with less than 0.3% THC are classified as the agricultural product hemp. CBD does not bind to the CB1 receptor and does not produce the same effect as THC.
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psychoactive responses. In fact, when CBD and THC are administered simultaneously, CBD appears to block some of the effects of THC by acting as an antagonist at the cannabinoid receptors (Pertwee, 2008). CBD administered without THC has been shown to reduce subjective anxiety in both animals and humans and is thought to produce a positive effect on conditions such as inflammation, diabetes, cancer, and neurodegenerative diseases (Maroon and Bost, 2018). CBN is only weakly psychoactive and appears to be formed primarily from the degradation of THC within the Cannabis plant itself (Russo and Marcu, 2017).

Upon consumption, the first step of metabolism of THC is hydroxylation mediated by CYP2C9, resulting in the active metabolite 11-hydroxy-Δ²-tetrahydrocannabinol (11-OH-THC; see Fig. 1). Although 11-OH-THC predominates as the first oxidation product, small amounts of 8β-hydroxy-THC (8β-OH-THC) and 8α-hydroxy-THC (8α-OH-THC) are also formed (Matsunaga et al., 1995). Much lower plasma 11-OH-THC concentrations (approximately 10% of THC concentrations) are found after cannabis smoking than after oral administration (Wall et al., 1983). Further hydroxylation by CYP2C9 leads to the inactive carboxylic acid derivative 11-nor-9-carboxy-Δ²-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC-COOH), which does not interact with the CB1 receptor. THC-COOH is a substrate for UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzymes that add a glucuronide moiety to form 11-nor-9-carboxy-Δ²-tetrahydrocannabinol-glucuronide (THC-COO-Gluc), the major end product of THC biotransformation in most species, including humans (Huestis, 2007). CBN is the nonenzymatic oxidation byproduct of THC and is most commonly found after prolonged storage, especially at higher temperatures.

CBD follows the same metabolic scheme as THC, with hydroxylation on the homologous 7 carbon via CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 to form 7-hydroxy-CBD (7-OH-CBD) and 7-carboxy-CBD (CBD-COOH), which is followed by glucuronidation to form 7-carboxy-CBD-glucuronide. However, 7-OH-CBD is only a minor biotransformation product in the plasma after cannabis inhalation, with unchanged CBD and, to a lesser extent, glucuronidated CBD as the main excretion products in urine (Harvey and Mechoulam, 1990; Huestis, 2007).

Cannabinoids are highly lipophilic, eventually concentrating in adipose tissue, liver, lung, and spleen, with slow release back into the bloodstream. This leads to varying plasma concentrations of active and inactive metabolites that persist in the bloodstream for a much wider timeframe than that of THC. Plasma concentrations of THC and its active metabolite 11-OH-THC change rapidly over time and vary widely depending on the mode of consumption and expertise of the user (occasional vs. frequent user, depth of inhalation, puff duration, and breath-hold) (Sharma et al., 2012). In contrast, the inactive metabolites THC-COOH and THC-COO-Gluc are present at relatively high levels regardless of the mode of consumption. Inhaled and ingested THC produces the same approximate level of THC-COOH. Once reaching maximal levels at approximately 80 minutes and 2 hours postinhalation, the level of THC-COOH and its glucuronide THC-COO-Gluc remain very stable in the bloodstream over many days, whereas THC is rapidly metabolized and cleared from the circulation (Huestis, 2007; Schwoppe et al., 2011; Sharma et al., 2012).

Although previous studies have shown that the cannabinoids THC, CBD, and CBN can inhibit several major hepatic P450s (Yamaori et al., 2010, 2011a,b,c; Jiang et al., 2013; Cox et al., 2019), no studies have focused on the inhibitory potential of the major abundant metabolites of THC. The present study is the first to perform a comprehensive analysis of the inhibition activities of major cannabinoids and THC metabolites against major human hepatic CYP450 enzymes.

**Material and Methods**

**Chemicals and Reagents.** The purchase of THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COOH, THC-COO-Gluc, CBD, and CBN was approved by the Drug Enforcement Agency, and they were obtained from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI) or Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). The internal standards (ISs) D₇-THC and D₇-THC-COO-Gluc were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Pooled human liver microsomes (HLMs; n = 50 subjects, mixed sex) were obtained from Sekisui Xentech, LLC (Lenexa, Kansas). NADPH-regenerating system (1.3 mM NADP, 3.3 mM glucose 6-phosphate, and 0.4 U/ml glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase) was obtained from Corning (Bedford, MA). CYP450 probe substrates (phenacetin, ibuprofen, tolbutamide, and midazolam) were purchased from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI).

![Fig. 1. Major metabolic pathways and metabolic structures of cannabinoids. (A) Metabolic pathways for THC and CBD. (B) Structure of cannabinoids and major THC metabolites.](https://example.com/fig1.png)
bupropion, (S)-bufuralol hydrochloride, amodiaquine, diclofenac, omeprazole, dextromethorphan, chlorzoxazone, and midazolam) and their corresponding metabolite standards (acetaminophen, hydroxybupropion, hydroxybupropion-D₈, 4-hydroxydiclofenac, 4-hydroxydiclofenac-D₁₄, 5-hydroxymepropazole, dextromethorphan-D₄, 6-hydroxychlorzoxazone, and 1-hydroxymidazolam) along with probe inhibitors (furafylline, clopidogrel, montelukast, sulfaphenazole, tranlycypromine, quinidine, chlorothiazole, and ketocoxazone) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. (-)Warfarin and efavirenz were purchased from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI). LC-MS grade methanol, acetonitrile, and formic acid or the highest grade that was commercially available. Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline, fetal bovine serum, and gelatin (G418) were purchased from Gibco (Grand Island, NY). Bicinchoninic acid protein assay kits were purchased from Pierce (Rockford, Illinois).

**In Vitro CYP450 Activity Assays and Metabolite Determination.** Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells individually overexpressing V5-tagged P450 isoforms 1A2, 3A4, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, or 2E1 were developed and described previously (Peterson et al., 2017b). Microsomal membrane fractions of P450-overexpressing cell lines were prepared by differential centrifugation as previously described, with protein concentrations estimated using the bicinchoninic acid assay as per the manufacturer’s recommendations (Dellinger et al., 2006; Peterson et al., 2017a,b). An initial screen of the inhibition potential of individual cannabinoids and their metabolites against major P450s (1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, and 3A4) were determined using microsomes as per the manufacturer's recommendations (Dellinger et al., 2006; Peterson et al., 2017a,b). An initial screen of the inhibition potential of individual cannabinoids and their metabolites against major P450s (1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, and 3A4) were determined using microsomes (20–50 µM) from CYP450-overexpressing HEK293 cell lines in reactions containing 0.05–0.1 mg/mL of cabindinoid/THC metabolite, specific probe substrate (see Supplemental Table 1), 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and magnesium chloride (5 mM) in a final reaction volume of 30 µL. The probe substrates were used at concentrations near or just below their respective Michaelis-Menten constant (Kₘ) values to minimize unwanted interactions (Supplemental Table 1). As THC exhibits extensive nonspecific binding (70%–90%) to protein and labware (Garrett and Hunt, 1974), microsomal incubation conditions were optimized to prevent underestimation of inhibitory potency [IC₅₀ or inhibitory constant (Kᵢ)]. To reduce nonspecific binding and adsorption to labware, low-binding 0.6-ml microcentrifuge tubes were used for all reactions. Assays were preincubated for 5 minutes at 37°C, initiated by the addition of NADPH-regenerating system, and incubated for 5–30 minutes (Supplemental Table 1) at 37°C. Reactions were terminated by the addition of 30 µL of ice-cold stop solution (acetonitrile/methanol; 1:1). Samples were mixed on a vortex mixer and centrifuged at 17,000 × g for 15 minutes. The supernatant (~50 µL) was transferred to an ultra–high-performance liquid chromatograph (UPLC) sample vial, and the specific probe substrate metabolite was detected using a UPLC (Waters Acquity; Waters Corp, Milford, MA) coupled to a triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters XEVO TQD; Waters Corp) by multiple reaction monitoring analysis (Supplemental Table 1). As a positive control for every inhibition experiment, 1 µM and 10 µM probe inhibitors (see Supplemental Table 1) were added instead of the cannabinoid compounds. As a negative control, only vehicle (3% methanol) was added (without inhibitor) to the reaction. Probe substrate without any inhibitor was used as a positive control for 100% activity. All analyses were performed in three independent experiments. Incubation conditions were optimized by incubations of the individual probe substrates with microsomes from overexpressing cell lines at 10, 20, 30, and 50 µg microsomal protein for different times (5, 15, 25, and 30 minutes). Remaining substrate and formed metabolite peaks for each reaction were analyzed, and conditions were chosen according to the following criteria: 1) metabolite formation was linear with time and enzyme concentration, 2) substrate consumption was no more than 20% of the initial amount, and 3) all metabolites could be easily detected by LC-MS/MS methods.

For UPLC-MS/MS, the mobile phase consisted of solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) and solvent B (100% methanol). Samples (2–5 µL) were injected onto an Acquity UPLC column (BEH C₈, 1.7 µm, 2.1 × 100 mm; Waters Corp). A 6-minute gradient elution was used as follows: 2 minutes at 95% A:5% B followed by a linear gradient for 2 minutes to 5% A:95% B, 1 minute at 5% A:95% B, and re-equilibration for 1 minute at 95% A:5% B. The flow rate was 0.4 mL/min, and the column temperature was 40°C.

**Determination of IC₅₀ and Kᵢ Values.** For those cannabinoid/THC metabolites that exhibited a >50% decrease in relative P450 activity for any given probe substrate at ≤10 µM, IC₅₀ determinations were performed in both microsomes from HEK293 CYP450-overexpressing cell lines (described above) and in HLMs using multiple concentrations (ranging between 0.5 and 100 µM) of cannabinoid/metabolite in incubations as described above, with all determinations performed for three independent experiments with three replicates per experiment.

To identify possible metabolism-dependent inhibition of the potential enzymes, IC₅₀ shift studies (Parkinson et al., 2011) were performed using HEK-overexpressing cell lines. Reactions containing the test cannabinoid or THC metabolite (inhibitor) but no probe substrate were incubated with or without NADPH at 37°C for 30 minutes. After this 30-minute preincubation, probe substrate was added to the incubation mixture and incubated at 37°C for up to 30 minutes (Supplemental Table 1). Peak areas corresponding to the probe metabolite were determined, and the percentage of relative activity was calculated by comparing the peak area in incubations containing the inhibitors to incubations containing only the vehicle control, as described below. The differences between the IC₅₀ values obtained with or without the NADPH preincubation period were compared, and the fold-IC₅₀ shift was determined. The cannabinoid/THC metabolite with a fold-IC₅₀ shift of ≥1.5 was considered a time-dependent inhibitor as recommended by the FDA guidelines.

IC₅₀ data were used as a guide to generate appropriate probe substrate and test inhibitor concentrations for the determination of the Kᵢ values for each isoform. The P450 enzyme-specific probe substrate concentrations used were 1–25 µM phencetin for CYP1A2, 12.5–100 µM Buprofyn for CYP2B6, 2.5–30 µM diclofenac for CYP2C9, 0.5–5 µM omeprazole for CYP2C19, 1–10 µM dextromethorphan for CYP2D6, 12.5–100 µM chlorzoxazone for CYP2E1, and 1–25 µM midazolam for CYP3A4.

Data were exported and analyzed using an Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft). The amount of metabolite formed at each concentration relative to the control (percent relative activity) of specific enzyme in the presence and absence of probe inhibitor or test compound was calculated as:

\[
\% \text{ Relative activity} = \left( \frac{\text{Peak area with inhibitor/Peak area without inhibitor}}{1} \right) \times 100%
\]

% Inhibition = \left( \frac{\left[ \left( \frac{\text{Peak area without inhibitor}}{\text{Peak area with inhibitor}} \right) - 1 \right]}{\text{Percent Inhibition} = \left( \frac{\left[ \left( \frac{\text{Peak area without inhibitor}}{\text{Peak area with inhibitor}} \right) - 1 \right]}{100} \right) \times 100\% \right)

where I is compound concentration, Kᵢ is the inhibition constant, S is the substrate concentration, and Kₘ is the substrate concentration at half of the Vₘₐₓ of the reaction (Cornish-Bowden, 1974).

**Bound versus Unbound Cannabinoid Determinations.** Cannabinoid binding to proteins and tube walls in the incubation mixture (q_unbound) was measured using the tube adsorption method as described previously (Isaherranen et al., 2004; Patilea-Vana et al., 2019). Briefly, in separate incubations, 50 µL of 30 µM THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COO-Glu, CBD, or CBN was added to each of two 0.6-mL low-binding centrifuge tubes containing buffer without protein, two 0.6-mL low-binding centrifuge tubes containing buffer with HLMs (25 µg), or two 0.6-mL low-binding centrifuge tubes containing buffer with HEK293 microsomal protein (30 µg). This was performed for each cannabinoid separately. Mixtures were incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C with occasional shaking. For one tube of the three incubation conditions (buffer alone, buffer + HLMs, and buffer + HEK293 microsomes), ice-cold acetonitrile (100 µL) containing D₃-labeled cannabinoid IS was added (subgroup A). For the other tube of each condition, the entire 50-µL mixture was removed and added to a fresh tube that contained 100 µL ice-cold acetonitrile with D₃-labeled cannabinoid IS (subgroup B). Samples were processed for UPLC-
MS/MS as described above for the in vitro assay experiments. All analyses were performed in three independent experiments with four replicates per experiment.

The separation and detection of individual cannabinoids was achieved using the same ACQUITY XEVO TQD (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA) chromatographic system described above using a Waters BEH C18 column 1.7 mm, 2.1 x 50 mm, at 40°C. UPLC-MS/MS was performed with a gradient elution using mobile phase A (0.1% formic acid in water) and mobile phase B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min under the following initial conditions: 5% B for 1.0 minute, increasing to 95% B from 1.0 to 4.0 minutes, 95% B held for 2 minutes, which was followed by a return to initial conditions for 1.5 minutes for a total period of 7.5 minutes. Detection of cannabinoids was performed in multiple reaction monitoring ES+ mode using the following mass transitions: m/z 315.1 —> 193.2 for THC, m/z 331.3 —> 313.1 for 11-OH-THC, m/z 315.2 —> 181.1 for CBD, m/z 311.2 —> 223.0 for CBND, and m/z 521.2 —> 345.0 for THC-COO-Gluc. Cone voltages (V) of 40, 40, 50, and 30, respectively, and collision energies of 25, 18, 20, and 27, respectively, were used for THC, 11-OH-THC, CBD, CBND, and THC-COO-Gluc. Because of the nonavailability of deuterated CBD or CBND at the time of this study and because of the similar molecular weights and UPLC retention times between these cannabinoids, THC-D3 was used as the IS for the quantification of THC, CBD, and CBND; 11-OH-THC-D3 was used to quantify 11-OH-THC; and THC-COO-Gluc-D3 was used to quantify THC-COO-Gluc. The internal standards were detected using the following equations:

\[ \text{IC} = \frac{I_{\text{sys}}}{I_{\text{fl}}} \times \frac{C_{\text{sys}}}{K_{\text{sys}}} \]

where \( I_{\text{sys}} \) is the total (adsorbed and unadsorbed) test compound in the incubation mixture, and \( C_{\text{sys}} \) is the test compound adsorbed to the tube wall, and \( C_{\text{fl}} \) is the test compound adsorbed to the tube wall, and \( C_{\text{fl}} \) is the test compound adsorbed to the tube wall when mixed with microsomes. Calculations of \( f_u \) were performed in three independent experiments with four replicates per group. IC50 and Ki values were calculated using the following equations:

\[ IC_{50} = f_u,inc \times IC_{50} \]

\[ IC_{50} = f_u,inc \times IC_{50} \]

Prediction of Potential In Vivo Drug-Drug Interactions by Static Modeling. To predict the risk of a clinical drug-drug interaction, basic static models of reversible inhibition were used following FDA recommended guidelines. The predicted hepatic and systemic area under the curve ratios (AUCRsys and AUCRinc, respectively) were calculated to determine the overall effect of cannabinoids and their metabolites on a given probe substrate. The basic static model is described by the following equations:

\[ \text{AUCR}_{\text{sys}} = 1 + \frac{I_{\text{sys}}}{K_{\text{sys}}} \]

\[ \text{AUCR}_{\text{sys}} = 1 + \frac{I_{\text{sys}}}{K_{\text{sys}}} \]

where \( I_{\text{sys}} \) is the maximum concentration of total drug in plasma (parameters described below), \( f_u \) is the fraction of dose absorbed from the gut lumen [set to 1 as recommended by the FDA (Food and Drug Administration, 2020)], \( K_{\text{sys}} \) is the rate of intestinal absorption time [set to 0.02; (Cox et al., 2019)], \( R_B \) is the ratio of drug concentration in blood (Cp) to drug concentration in plasma [set to 0.4 as recommended by Schülke et al. (2009)], and \( Q_{\text{sys}} \) is the hepatic blood flow = 1500 mL/min (Food and Drug Administration, 2020). For THC, the \( C_{\text{sys}} \) was set to 65 nM for a 40-mg oral dose of THC and 254 nM for a 54-mg smoked dose of THC (Schwone et al., 2011; Cox et al., 2019). For 11-OH-THC, the \( C_{\text{sys}} \) was set to 67.9 nM for a 20-mg oral dose of THC and 50 nM for a 46-mg smoked dose of THC (Ménétrey et al., 2005; Hunault et al., 2008). For THC-COO-Gluc, the \( C_{\text{sys}} \) was set to 405 nM for an oral dose of 50 mg of THC and to 385 nM for a 54-mg smoked dose of THC (Spindler et al., 2020). For CBD, the \( C_{\text{sys}} \) was set to 703 nM for an oral dose of 800 mg of CBD and to 103 nM for a 20-mg smoked dose of CBD (Manini et al., 2015; Cox et al., 2019).

The AUCRsys is calculated using eq. 3, in which \( I_{\text{sys}} \) is equal to \( C_{\text{sys}}/K_{\text{sys}} \), which is the maximum concentration of total unbound drug in plasma (\( C_{\text{sys}}/K_{\text{sys}} \)).

An AUCRsys > 1.25 or an AUCRsys < 1.02 indicates a strong presystemic hepatic or systemic drug interaction potential.

Results

Prescreening of THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COOH, THC-COO-Gluc, and CBND as potential CYP450 inhibitors showed that 10 μM THC decreased the relative activity of several P450 isoforms to approximately 50%, including CYP1A2 (35%), CYP2B6 (50%), CYP2C9 (48%), CYP2D6 (48%), and CYP2C19 (51%), as compared with reactions without added cannabinoid (Fig. 2A). Similar levels of inhibition were observed for 11-OH-THC against CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6, with 10 μM 11-OH-THC resulting in relative activity levels between 27% and 48% for the three enzymes (Fig. 2B). Although no significant inhibition was observed using up to 10 μM THC-COOH against any of the P450 enzymes tested (Fig. 2C), 10 μM THC-COO-Gluc resulted in 25%–49% relative activity for CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6 (Fig. 2D). In addition, decreases in the relative activity to less than 50% was observed for 10 μM CBND against several P450 enzymes (CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, and CYP3A4) and for 1 μM CBND against CYP1A2 (45%), CYP2B6 (50%), CYP2C19 (49%), and CYP3A4 (47%; Fig. 2E). Similar decreases in relative activity were observed for CBND against CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2E1, with 75%, 55%, and 80% decreases in activity observed for 10 μM CBND against all three enzymes and a 77% decrease observed for 1 μM CBND against CYP2E1 (Fig. 2F). Initial screening results were validated in assays using commercially prepared pooled HLMs (unpublished data).

Using microsomes from both specific recombinant CYP450 (cYCP) -overexpressing HEK-293 cell lines and HLMs, the inhibitory effects of THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COOH, THC-COO-Gluc, CBD, and CBND were extended to establish IC50 values for each cannabinoid against the P450 enzymes shown to be inhibited in the cYCP screening assays (described above). The unbound fraction (\( f_u,\text{inc} \)) of THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COO-Gluc, CBD, and CBND in incubation mixtures (\( f_u,\text{inc} \)) was calculated as 0.051 ± 0.033 and 0.043 ± 0.012, 0.094 ± 0.014 and 0.078 ± 0.042, 0.43 ± 0.071 and 0.45 ± 0.061, 0.098 ± 0.023 and 0.062 ± 0.011, and 0.052 ± 0.081 and 0.030 ± 0.052 in mixtures containing HLMs or microsomes from HEK-293 cells, respectively. The range in \( f_u,\text{inc} \) was from 0.030 (CBN) to 0.45 (THC-COO-Gluc) for HEK-293 microsomes and 0.051 (THC) to 0.43 (THC-COO-Gluc) for HLMs. The values for HLMs were similar to those obtained for HEK-293 microsomes for all cannabinoids tested. Interestingly, THC-COO-Gluc exhibited a \( f_u,\text{inc} \) that was approximately 10-fold higher than the other cannabinoids, indicating less adsorption to the components of the incubation mixture.

CBD exhibited strong inhibition against every CYP450 tested, with a range in IC50 values of 0.037–0.22 μM, with the strongest inhibition
exhibited slightly stronger inhibition against rCYP2D6 (IC50, u values of respectively). The THC metabolites 11-OH-THC and THC-COO-Gluc both rCYP2E1 (IC50, u values of 0.12 μM and 3.5 ± 0.72 μM, respectively) and rCYP2B6 (IC50, u values of 0.55 ± 0.26 μM and 2.5 ± 0.72 μM, respectively) than THC, while exhibiting similar or less effective inhibition against rCYP2C9 microsomes (IC50, u values of 0.30 ± 0.086 μM and 6.8 ± 2.0 μM, respectively). CBN exhibited strong inhibition against rCYP2B6 (IC50, u = 0.63 ± 0.26 μM), rCYP2C9 (IC50, u = 0.42 ± 0.13 μM), and rCYP2E1 (IC50, u = 0.28 ± 0.030 μM) microsomes. Similar IC50 values were obtained for HLMs using specific probe substrates for all CYP450s examined (Table 1).

To confirm the high level of inhibitory activity observed with cannabinoids against CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6, IC50 determinations were also performed for three additional probe substrates. Strong inhibition of activity against efavirenz, bufuralol, and S-warfarin in microsomes from rCYP2B6, rCYP2D6, and rCYP2C9 cell lines, respectively, was observed with THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COO-Gluc, CBD, and CBN (Supplemental Table 2). Consistent with that observed for CBn with dextromethorphan as a probe substrate for CYP2D6, no inhibition was observed with this cannabinoid for bufuralol. These data suggest that the inhibition observed with these cannabinoids against these three enzymes is seen across multiple substrates.

IC50-shift assays were performed for microsomes from each CYP450-overexpressing cell line with the goal of evaluating the time-dependent inhibition (TDI) potencies of cannabinoid metabolites (Table 2). Using a value of 1.5 as the cutoff, none of the cannabinoids tested demonstrated TDI, with the possible exception of CBD for CYP2D6 with an exhibited shift of 1.5.

Additional experiments to determine Ki,u values were performed to further understand the strength and type of inhibition interactions occurring between the cannabinoids and the P450 enzymes. As shown in Lineweaver-Burk plots, the CYP2B6-catalyzed 4-hydroxylation of bupropion in microsomes from the rCYP2B6-overexpressing cell line was shown to be inhibited in a competitive manner by the THC metabolites 11-OH-THC and THC-COO-Gluc (Fig. 3) and the cannabinoids THC, CBD, and CBN (Supplemental Fig. 2), with Ki,u values similar for all inhibitors ranging from 0.068 ± 0.015 μM for CBD to 0.90 ± 0.054 μM for THC-COO-Gluc (Table 3). Slightly higher Ki,u values were observed for inhibition in HLMs, ranging from 0.22 ± 0.052 μM for CBD to 1.1 ± 0.47 μM for THC-COO-Gluc (Table 3).

Ki,u values for the inhibition of rCYP2C9 were determined by monitoring the hydroxylolation of the CYP2C9 probe substrate diclofenac. Lineweaver-Burk plots for the inhibition of rCYP2C9 (Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. 2) indicated a competitive type of inhibition for all cannabinoids tested in rCYP2C9-overexpressing cell microsomes, with Ki,u values ranging from 0.057 ± 0.044 μM for 11-OH-THC to 2.1 ± 0.081 μM for THC-COO-Gluc (Table 3). The inhibition of diclofenac hydroxylation in HLMs was similar, ranging from 0.17 ± 0.046 μM for THC to 3.4 ± 0.28 μM for THC-COO-Gluc. Slightly higher Ki,u values were observed for inhibition in HLMs, with THC and THC-COO-Gluc exhibiting mixed-type inhibition, whereas 11-OH-THC, CBD, and CBN exhibited competitive inhibition (Table 3).

For CYP2D6, Ki,u values were determined by examining the O-demethylation of dextromethorphan to dextrorphan. Lineweaver-Burk plots for the inhibition of CYP2D6 (Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. 2) suggested a competitive type of inhibition for all inhibitors for both rCYP2D6 microsomes and HLMs. The Ki,u values for THC, THC-OH,
TABLE 1

IC\textsubscript{50} values of cannabinoids and THC metabolites against major hepatic CYP450 enzymes in microsomes from recombinant CYP450-overexpressing cells or HLMs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe Substrate</th>
<th>Microsomal Protein</th>
<th>THCA-COO-Gluc</th>
<th>CBD</th>
<th>CBN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenacetin</td>
<td>rCYP1A2</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.052</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.11</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midazolam</td>
<td>rCYP3A4</td>
<td>10 ± 6.4</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.28</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rCYP2B6</td>
<td>4.5 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.13</td>
<td>8.2 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buproprion</td>
<td>rCYP2C9</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.13</td>
<td>4.8 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac</td>
<td>rCYP2C19</td>
<td>11 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.077</td>
<td>6.5 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rCYP2D6</td>
<td>15 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.27</td>
<td>6.5 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>15 ± 5.3</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.27</td>
<td>6.5 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THC-COO-Gluc, and CBD against rCYP2D6 were 0.11 ± 0.015 \(\mu\)M, 0.15 ± 0.067 \(\mu\)M, 2.3 ± 0.54 \(\mu\)M, and 0.074 ± 0.048 \(\mu\)M, respectively; similar \(K_{i,u}\) values were observed for dextromethorphan-O-demethylation activity for the four cannabinoids in HLMs (Table 3).

In addition to CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6, THC also inhibited CYP1A2-catalyzed phenacetin \(O\)-deethylatation in rCYP1A2 microsomes, with a \(K_{i,u}\) value of 0.090 ± 0.027 \(\mu\)M; a similar level of inhibition (\(K_{i,u}\) = 0.12 ± 0.074 \(\mu\)M) was also observed for CBD. Inhibition by THC was determined to be competitive, whereas inhibition by CBD was mixed (Table 3). Highly similar \(K_{i,u}\) values were observed for the two cannabinoidis in HLMs (Table 3).

Among the cannabinoid compounds tested, only CBD inhibited CYP3A4-catalyzed midazolam \(1^\prime\)-hydroxylation. This inhibition was competitive, with \(K_{i,u}\) values of 0.093 ± 0.037 \(\mu\)M and 0.22 ± 0.044 \(\mu\)M in rCYP3A4 microsomes and HLMs, respectively. CYP2C19-catalyzed omeprazole \(5^\prime\)-hydroxylation activity was inhibited by THC with a mixed-type inhibition mechanism for rCYP2C19 microsomes (\(K_{i,u}\) = 0.056 ± 0.018 \(\mu\)M) and HLMs (\(K_{i,u}\) = 0.21 ± 0.082 \(\mu\)M) and by CBD with a competitive mechanism in rCYP2C19 microsomes and a mixed-type in HLMs (\(K_{i,u}\) = 0.050 ± 0.0057 \(\mu\)M and 0.092 ± 0.0062 \(\mu\)M, respectively). CYP2E1-catalyzed chlorzoxazone \(6^\prime\)-hydroxylation activity was competitively inhibited by CBD and CBN, with \(K_{i,u}\) values for rCYP2E1 of 0.021 ± 0.0061 \(\mu\)M and 0.063 ± 0.029 \(\mu\)M, respectively; the \(K_{i,u}\) values for the inhibition of chlorzoxazone \(6^\prime\)-hydroxylation activity in HLMs were again similar to those observed for rCYP microsomes, with \(K_{i,u}\) values of 0.058 ± 0.023 \(\mu\)M and 0.17 ± 0.047 \(\mu\)M for CBD and CBN, respectively (Table 3).

A basic mechanistic static model populated with \(K_{i,u}\) data from the present study predicts AUC\textsubscript{R} and AUC\textsubscript{sys}, with a strong potential for pharmacokinetic interactions between CBD (both oral and inhaled) and CYP1A2, CYP3A4, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, and CYP2E1 (Table 4). For oral dosing of THC, the potential for pharmacokinetic interactions was observed for CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, and CYP2D6, whereas for inhaled THC there is a potential for pharmacokinetic interactions with CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, and CYP2D6. 11-OH-THC showed potential for pharmacokinetic interactions with CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6 for both oral and inhaled dosing of THC.

Discussion

In the present study, the inhibitory effects of major cannabinoids and THC metabolites on major hepatic CYP450s were investigated. Consistent with previous studies (Jiang et al., 2011, 2013; Yamaori et al., 2011a,b,c; Cox et al., 2019), THC demonstrated reversible inhibition of CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, and CYP2D6 activity; CBD demonstrated reversible inhibition of CYP1A2, CYP3A4, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, and CYP2D6; and CBN demonstrated reversible inhibition of CYP2B6 and CYP2C9. Although not examined in previous studies, CYP2E1 was also inhibited by the major cannabinoids, with both CBD and CBN demonstrating strong, competitive inhibition of this P450. In ranking the magnitude of inhibition observed in CYP450-overexpressing cell lines, inhibition by THC was shown to be highest for CYP2C19, followed by 2C9 > 1A2 > 2D6 > 2B6; inhibition by CBD was highest for CYP2E1, followed by 2C9 > 2B6 > 2D6 > 3A4 ~ 2C9 > 1A2; and inhibition by CBN was also highest for CYP2E1, followed by 2B6 > 2C9.

Prior to the present analysis, a comprehensive inhibition study of hepatic P450 enzymes by all major THC metabolites (11-OH-THC, THC-COOH, and THC-COO-Gluc) had not been previously...
TABLE 2

Effect of preincubation on inhibition of P450 activity by cannabinoids and THC metabolites in microsomes from CYP450-overexpressing cell lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cannabinoid</th>
<th>THC</th>
<th>11-OH-THC</th>
<th>THC-COOH-Gluc</th>
<th>CBD</th>
<th>CBN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shift (%)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift (%)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a, AE (μM) value of three experiments with 30-min preincubation of test cannabinoid with enzyme without NADPH.

b, AE (μM) value of three experiments with 30-min preincubation of test cannabinoid with enzyme and NADPH.

c, Shift IC50 minus NADPH IC50, ± S.D. of three experiments.

d, NA, not analyzed.

All the cannabinoids and metabolites tested in the present study were reversible inhibitors of CYP2B6 bupropion hydroxylisation activity. A strong inhibition was observed by the cannabinoid metabolites 11-OH-THC and THC-COO-Gluc acting in a competitive and reversible manner. In addition to the fact that CYP2B6 is responsible for the metabolism of numerous drugs and other agents, including bupropion, efavirenz, cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide, pethidine, artesiminin, propofol, ketamine, and selegiline, current estimates indicate that CYP2B6 accounts for 2%–10% of total hepatic P450 content (Code et al., 1997; Hanna et al., 2000; Hesse et al., 2000; Hederich et al., 2016). Two of the most well studied and better-understood drugs with respect to CYP2B6 metabolism are the anticancer drug cyclophosphamide and the anti-HIV medication efavirenz. Both of these widely used drugs have very narrow therapeutic indices, and variations in CYP2B6 expression along with enzyme inhibition lead to significantly altered drug plasma concentrations and associated toxicities (Hederich et al., 2016). In recent years, cannabinoids have been extensively used for the treatment of various cancers to alleviate the side effects from anticancer agents, such as cyclophosphamide, which has been used as a treatment of cancer and autoimmune disorders for more than half a century. In a clinical study, cyclophosphamide did not demonstrate an improvement in nausea and vomiting when administered in combination with cannabis or oral THC as compared with placebo, which might be explained by the inhibition of CYP2B6 activity by THC and its metabolites and the subsequent increase of in vivo AUC of cyclophosphamide, which is primarily metabolized by CYP2B6 (Abrams, 2016). Additionally, there is growing recognition that individuals with HIV report greater cannabis use than the general population. Efavirenz is reported to carry a risk of side effects that includes adverse neuropsychiatric complications, such as depression, anxiety, hallucinations, and delusions. Several studies showed that the combination of EFV and THC might result in stronger hallucinating power and increased depression, which potentially could be caused by the inhibitory effects of cannabinoids and their metabolites (Mthembi et al., 2018). In addition, bupropion is an antidepressant that is often used as an aid to quit smoking and is almost exclusively metabolized by CYP2B6 (Hesse et al., 2000). Bupropion has been shown to cause increased adverse effects (irritability, restlessness, insomnia) in a clinical study when participants actively smoked cannabis (Haney et al., 2001). These increased adverse effects might also be caused by the inhibitory effects of cannabinoids on CYP2B6 when coadministered with bupropion. Lastly, ketamine is a medical-grade anesthetic that can be swallowed, snorted, injected, or smoked, often together with cannabis or tobacco. Although there are no studies exploring how ketamine and.
cannabis interact, co-users tend to report that cannabis increases the ketamine high and can, in some instances, exacerbate effects like drowsiness and dizziness (Garcia-Romeu et al., 2016).

The CYP2C9 hydroxylation of diclofenac was also strongly inhibited by the cannabinoid metabolites 11-THC-OH and THC-COO-Gluc in a reversible manner, with a competitive type in the rCYP and mixed in HLMs. Previous studies have also found potent inhibition of CYP2C9 by THC, CBD, and 11-OH-THC (Bansal et al., 2020). CYP2C9 is one of the most abundant P450 enzymes in the liver (about 20% of the hepatic total P450 content) (Ghodke-Puranik and Lamba, 2017). It metabolizes several important drugs, including anticancer agents, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents, selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, antibiotics, antiepileptics, antihypertensives, anticoagulants, and antihyperlipidemics (Ghodke-Puranik and Lamba, 2017). Drug-drug interactions have been shown to arise when a CYP2C9 inhibitor is added to a therapeutic regimen, including low therapeutic index drugs like (S)-warfarin, tolbutamide, or phenytoin. In these cases, patients can risk life-threatening bleeding episodes, hypoglycemia, and neurotoxicity as a result of the diminished CYP2C9 enzyme activity. These in vitro predictions are largely consistent with many clinical CBD- or THC-drug interactions reported to date. In clinical studies, an increased anticoagulant effect of warfarin was previously observed in smokers of cannabis, potentially due to inhibition of CYP2C9-mediated warfarin metabolism by cannabinoids and THC metabolites (Yamreudeewong et al., 2009). In addition, a case report showed that phenytoin caused severe adverse effects when coadministered with cannabis (Jessen, 2004).

THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COO-Gluc, and CBD also strongly inhibited the dextromethorphan-0-demethylase activity of CYP2D6 in a reversible and competitive manner. Although CYP2D6 comprises a relatively small percentage (2%-6%) of the total CYP450 protein in the liver, it is involved in the metabolism of 25% of the most commonly prescribed drugs (e.g., antidepressants, antipsychotics, opioids, and β blockers) (Shannon, 2007). Although there are no reports on in vivo drug interactions between cannabinoids and CYP2D6 substrates, the results from the present study can potentially explain the in vivo mechanism for increased adverse effects or potency of CYP2D6-metabolized agents. For example, dextromethorphan is a widely used antitussive agent metabolized by CYP2D6 into an active metabolite, dextrophen. Using dextromethorphan together with cannabis has been shown to increase side effects, such as dizziness, drowsiness, confusion, and difficulty concentrating (Ziaee et al., 2005). In addition, the widely used anticancer drug and CYP2D6 substrate tamoxifen showed decreased tamoxifen metabolism in vivo, and the level of CYP2D6-mediated active metabolite endoxifen decreased in plasma in subjects also taking cannabinoids (Parihar et al., 2020).

For CYP1A2 and CYP2C19, a mixed-type inhibition was observed for CBD and THC, respectively, in both rCYPs and HLMs, suggesting that, in contrast to the competitive type inhibition observed for most of the cannabinoids and THC metabolites analyzed in this study, THC and CBD are not interacting with CYP1A2 and CYP2C19 in a similar fashion. In addition, CYP2C9 and CYP2C19 showed different types of inhibition when analyzing their corresponding rCYP microsomes versus HLMs; with THC, THC-COO-Gluc, and CBD all exhibiting competitive inhibition in the rCYP and mixed inhibition in HLMs, possibly due to a confounding effect of the presence of multiple P450s within HLMs.

Although cannabis can be consumed through oral dosing, smoking cannabis is still the most common way of consumption. These differences become important when analyzing metabolite levels, as the major THC metabolites will differ in plasma concentration based on the route of administration. After smoking a cannabis cigarette containing 10 mg THC, the plasma AUCs of THC, 11-OH-THC, and THC-COO-Gluc are 110 µg/l (0.35 µM), 19 µg/l (0.06 µM), and 840 µg/l (1.6 µM), respectively. In contrast, after oral dosing of a consumable containing 10 mg of THC, the AUCs of THC and 11-OH-THC are even higher at 360 µg/l (1.14 µM) and 14,600 µg/l (28 µM), respectively (Nadulski et al., 2005). THC-COO-Gluc levels were 139 µg/l (0.27 µM) after a controlled oral administration of 51 mg THC (Newmeyer et al., 2016). The

![Fig. 3. Lineweaver-Burk plots for the inhibition of CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6 in microsomes from recombinant CYP450-overexpressing cells by THC metabolites. (A) Inhibition by 11-OH-THC; (B) inhibition by THC-COO-Gluc.](image-url)
### TABLE 3

Summary of $K_i$ values and inhibition type for major cannabinoids and THC metabolites against hepatic CYP450 enzymes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microsomal Protein</th>
<th>THC</th>
<th>11-OH-THC</th>
<th>THC-COO-Gluc</th>
<th>CBD</th>
<th>CBN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_{ia}$</td>
<td>$K_{i,ub}$</td>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>$K_{ia}$</td>
<td>$K_{i,ub}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP1A2$^a$</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.62</td>
<td>0.090 ± 0.027</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NA$^b$</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.056</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP3A4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.56</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.029</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.53</td>
<td>0.073 ± 0.023</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP2C9</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.91</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.046</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP2C19</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.42</td>
<td>0.056 ± 0.018</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.65</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.082</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP2D6</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.015</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.58</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.030</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.7</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP2E1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.058 ± 0.023</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.091</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Values are expressed as $\mu$M; shown are the mean ± S.D. for three independent experiments performed for the inhibition of each CYP450 enzyme by each cannabinoid.

$^b$T, type of inhibition. C, competitive inhibition; M, mixed inhibition.

$^c$The probe substrate used were phenacetin, midazolam, bupropion, diclofenac, omeprazole, dextromethorphan, and chlorzoxazone for CYP1A2, CYP3A4, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, and CYP2E1, respectively.

### TABLE 4

Prediction of P450-mediated clinical drug interaction potential after oral or inhaled dose of THC or CBD$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>THC</th>
<th>11-OH-THC</th>
<th>THC-COO-Gluc</th>
<th>CBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Inhaled</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Inhaled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP1A2</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>NA$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2B6</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C9</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C19</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2D6</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2E1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The $AUC_{sys}$ and $AUC_{sys}$ were calculated for THC, 11-OH-THC and THC-COO-Gluc based on oral or inhaled dosing of THC; the $AUC_{sys}$ and $AUC_{sys}$ were calculated for CBD based on oral or inhaled dosing of CBD as described in Materials and Methods.

$^b$NA, not analyzed.
daily dosage of cannabis varies widely among users, dependent on such factors as the THC/CBD ratio of the product, cannabis use history, and puff duration. THC is recreationally used at around 30–100 mg per joint or vaporizer, whereas the inhaled dose for medicinal use is lower at approximately 6–80 mg THC (Bansal et al., 2020). Based on these data, the plasma levels of THC and its metabolites will be at higher or similar levels compared with the $K_i$ values and inhibitory concentrations calculated for the different CYP450 enzymes in the present study. In addition, since cannabinoids are readily distributed in various tissues because of their high lipophilicity, the tissue concentration of THC and its metabolites may be even higher than the plasma concentration.

Unlike that described by Bansal et al. (2020), TDI was not observed for CBD for the P450 enzymes tested in the present study, with the possible exception of CYP2D6. These differences are likely due to experimental conditions, with the present studies conducted using microsomes from CYP450-overexpressing cell lines, with each inhibition assay performed independently using individual probe substrates. In contrast, Bansal et al. (2020) performed their assays using a “cocktail method” with multiple probes added into one reaction with HLMs as the CYP450 protein source. In addition, although BSA was used in the reaction mixture in the Bansal studies, none was added to the assays within the present study.

In conclusion, cannabinoids and the major THC metabolites were shown to strongly inhibit several P450 enzymes, including CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6. Most importantly, the most abundant and previously untested THC metabolism in plasma, THC-COO-GluC, is likely to play a major role in the inhibition of several hepatic P450 enzymes. Further investigations into the nature of inhibition of cannabinoids and their metabolites against specific agents will help to clarify the precise mechanism of in vivo cannabis-drug interactions.
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