Cannabinoid Metabolites as Inhibitors of Major Hepatic CYP450 Enzymes, with Implications for Cannabis-Drug Interactions
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ABSTRACT

The legalization of cannabis in many parts of the United States and other countries has led to a need for a more comprehensive understanding of cannabis constituents and their potential for drug-drug interactions. Although (−)-trans-Δ²-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), cannabidiol (CBD), and cannabinol (CBN) are the most abundant cannabinoids present in cannabis, THC metabolites are found in plasma at higher concentrations and for a longer duration than that of the parent cannabinoids. To understand the potential for drug-drug interactions, the inhibition potential of major cannabinoids and their metabolites on major hepatic cytochrome P450 (P450) enzymes was examined. In vitro assays with P450-overexpressing cell microsomes demonstrated that the major THC metabolites 11-hydroxy-Δ²-tetrahydrocannabinol and 11-nor-9-carboxy-Δ²-THC-glucuronide competitively inhibited several major P450 enzymes, including CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6 (apparent Ki,u values = 0.086 ± 0.066 μM and 0.90 ± 0.54 μM, 0.057 ± 0.044 μM and 2.1 ± 0.81 μM, 0.15 ± 0.067 μM and 2.3 ± 0.54 μM, respectively). 11-Nor-9-carboxy-Δ²-tetrahydrocannabinol exhibited no inhibitory activity against any CYP450 tested. THC competitively inhibited CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6; CBD competitively inhibited CYP3A4, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP2E1; and CBN competitively inhibited CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2E1. THC and CBD showed mixed-type inhibition for CYP2C19 and CYP1A2, respectively. These data suggest that cannabinoids and major THC metabolites are able to inhibit the activities of multiple P450 enzymes, and basic static modeling of these data suggest the possibility of pharmacokinetic interactions between these cannabinoids and xenobiotics extensively metabolized by CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Major cannabinoids and their metabolites found in the plasma of cannabis users inhibit several P450 enzymes, including CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6. This study is the first to show the inhibition potential of the most abundant plasma cannabinoid metabolite, THC-COO-Gluc, and suggests that circulating metabolites of cannabinoids play an essential role in CYP450 enzyme inhibition as well as drug-drug interactions.

Introduction

Cannabis and cannabis-derived substances are the most widely consumed psychopharmaceuticals in the world (Atakan, 2012). The legal and ethical implications of their use continue to be the subject of intense global debate because of potential medical applications of cannabis-derived products and the progressive legalization of its use as a recreational drug. Modern clinical applications include treatment of multiple neurologic transmitters to induce psychopharmacological effects among others (Solimini et al., 2017). However, concurrent usage of cannabis products (both recreational and medicinal) with conventional medications, nonprescription remedies, and other psychoactive substances can often lead to substance-use disorders (National Institute on Drug Abuse report, July 2020), suggesting that more information is needed to fully understand the effects of cannabis as a psychopharmaceutical (Weinberger et al., 2016).

More than 500 phytochemicals have been detected in commercial strains of the Cannabis sativa plant, with (−)-trans-Δ²-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), cannabidiol (CBD), and cannabinol (CBN) being the three main active constituents (Aizpurua-Olazia et al., 2016). THC is the most well described psychoactive constituent and interacts with the cannabinoid receptor type 1 (CB1) receptor and the complex network of neurologic transmitters to induce psychopharmacological effects (Pertwee, 2008). Guidelines from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) classify any cannabis-derived substance that contains more than 0.3% THC as a schedule I controlled substance, whereas products with less than 0.3% THC are classified as the agricultural product hemp. CBD does not bind to the CB1 receptor and does not produce the same
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psychoactive responses. In fact, when CBD and THC are administered simultaneously, CBD appears to block some of the effects of THC by acting as an antagonist at the cannabinoid receptors (Pertwee, 2008). CBD administered without THC has been shown to reduce subjective anxiety in both animals and humans and is thought to produce a positive effect on conditions such as inflammation, diabetes, cancer, and neurodegenerative diseases (Maroon and Bost, 2018). CBN is only weakly psychoactive and appears to be formed primarily from the degradation of THC within the Cannabis plant itself (Russo and Marcu, 2017).

Upon consumption, the first step of metabolism of THC is hydroxylation mediated by CYP2C9, resulting in the active metabolite 11-hydroxy-Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (11-OH-THC; see Fig. 1). Although 11-OH-THC predominates as the first oxidation product, small amounts of 8β-hydroxy-THC (8β-OH-THC) and 8α-hydroxy-THC (8α-OH-THC) are also formed (Matsunaga et al., 1995). Much lower plasma 11-OH-THC concentrations (approximately 10% of THC concentrations) are found after cannabis smoking than after oral administration (Wall et al., 1983). Further hydroxylation by CYP2C9 leads to the inactive carboxylic acid derivative 11-nor-9-carboxy-Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC-COOH), which does not interact with the CB1 receptor. THC-COOH is a substrate for UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzymes that add a glucuronide moiety to form 11-nor-9-carboxy-Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol-glucuronide (THC-COO-Gluc), the major end product of THC biotransformation in most species, including humans (Huestis, 2007). CBN is the nonenzymatic oxidation byproduct of THC and is most commonly found after prolonged storage, especially at higher temperatures.

CBD follows the same metabolic scheme as THC, with hydroxylation on the homologous 7 carbon via CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 to form 7-hydroxy-CBD (7-OH-CBD) and 7-carboxy-CBD (CBD-COOH), which is followed by glucuronidation to form 7-carboxy-CBD-glucuronide. However, 7-OH-CBD is only a minor biotransformation product in the plasma after cannabis inhalation, with unchanged CBD and, to a lesser extent, glucuronidated CBD as the main excretion products in urine (Harvey and Mechoulam, 1990; Huestis, 2007). Cannabinoids are highly lipophilic, eventually concentrating in adipose tissue, liver, lung, and spleen, with slow release back into the bloodstream. This leads to varying plasma concentrations of active and inactive metabolites that persist in the bloodstream for a much wider timeframe than that of THC. Plasma concentrations of THC and its active metabolite 11-OH-THC change rapidly over time and vary widely depending on the mode of consumption and expertise of the user (occasional vs. frequent user, depth of inhalation, puff duration, and breath-hold) (Sharma et al., 2012). In contrast, the inactive metabolites THC-COOH and THC-COO-Gluc are present at relatively high levels regardless of the mode of consumption. Inhaled and ingested THC produces the same approximate level of THC-COOH. Once reaching maximal levels at approximately 80 minutes and 2 hours postinhalation, the level of THC-COOH and its glucuronide THC-COO-Gluc remain very stable in the bloodstream over many days, whereas THC is rapidly metabolized and cleared from the circulation (Huestis, 2007; Schwope et al., 2011; Sharma et al., 2012).

Although previous studies have shown that the cannabinoids THC, CBD, and CBN can inhibit several major hepatic P450s (Yamaori et al., 2010, 2011a,b,c; Jiang et al., 2013; Cox et al., 2019), no studies have focused on the inhibitory potential of the major abundant metabolites of THC. The present study is the first to perform a comprehensive analysis of the inhibition activities of major cannabinoids and THC metabolites against major human hepatic CYP450 enzymes.

**Material and Methods**

**Chemicals and Reagents.** The purchase of THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COOH, THC-COO-Gluc, CBD, and CBN was approved by the Drug Enforcement Agency, and they were obtained from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI) or Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). The internal standards (ISs) D₃-THC and D₃-THC-COO-Gluc were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Pooled human liver microsomes (HLMs; n = 50 subjects, mixed sex) were obtained from Sekisui Xenotech, LLC (Lenexa, Kansas). NADPH-regenerating system (1.3 mM NADP, 3.3 mM glucose 6-phosphate, and 0.4 U/ml glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase) was obtained from Corning (Bedford, MA). CYP450 probe substrates (phenacetin, paracetamol, and ABCG2 transporter substrate escin) were purchased from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI). The probe substrates were added to the incubation at a concentration of 200 μM, and the IC₅₀ values were determined by measuring the residual activity of CYP450 enzymes after inhibition of the CYP450 probe substrate oxidation.

![Fig. 1](https://example.com/fig1.png)

**Fig. 1.** Major metabolic pathways and metabolic structures of cannabinoids. (A) Metabolic pathways for THC and CBD. (B) Structure of cannabinoids and major THC metabolites.
bupropion, (S)-bufuralol hydrochloride, amodiaquine, diclofenac, omeprazole, dextromethorphan, chloroxazone, and midazolam) and their corresponding metabolism standards (acetaminophen, hydroxybupropion, hydroxybupropion-D6, 4-hydroxydiclofenac, 4-hydroxydiclofenac-13C6, 5-hydroxymeprobamate, dextrophan, dextrophan-D6, 6-hydroxyclozapine, and 1-hydroxymidazolam) along with probe inhibitors (furafylline, clopidogrel, montelukast, sibufemelazone, tranlycromine, quinidine, chlorothiazide, and ketocazone) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. (–)Warfarin and efavirenz were purchased from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI). LC-MS grade methanol, acetonitrile, and formic acid as well as ultra–low-binding microcentrifuge tubes were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). All other chemicals and reagents were of analytical grade or the highest grade that was commercially available. Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline, fetal bovine serum, and genteticin (G418) were purchased from Gibco (Grand Island, NY). Bicinchoninic acid protein assays were purchased from Pierce (Rockford, Illinois).

In Vitro CYP450 Activity Assays and Metabolite Detection. Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells individually overexpressing V5-tagged P450 isoforms 1A2, 3A4, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, or 2E1 were developed and described previously (Peterson et al., 2017b). Microsomal membrane fractions of P450-overexpressing cell lines were prepared by differential centrifugation as previously described, with protein concentrations estimated using the bicinchoninic acid assay as per the manufacturer’s recommendations (Dellinger et al., 2006; Peterson et al., 2017a,b). An initial screen of the inhibition potential of individual cannabinoids and their metabolites against major P450s (1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, and 3A4) was determined using microsomes (20–50 μg) from CYP450-overexpressing HEK293 cell lines in reactions containing 1 μM or 10 μM probe inhibitors (see Supplemental Table 1) and 100 μM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and magnesium chloride (5 mM) in a final reaction volume of 30 μl. The probe substrates were used at concentrations near or just below their respective Michaelis-Menten constant (Km) values to minimize unwanted interactions (Supplemental Table 1). As THC exhibits extensive nonspecific binding (70%–90%) to protein and labware (Garrett and Hunt, 1974), microsomal incubation conditions were optimized to prevent underestimation of inhibitory potency [IC50 or inhibitory constant (Ki)]. To reduce nonspecific binding and adsorption to labware, low-binding 0.6-ml microcentrifuge tubes were used for all reactions. Assays were preincubated for 5 minutes at 37°C, initiated by the addition of NADPH-regenerating system, and incubated for 5–30 minutes (Supplemental Table 1) at 37°C. Reactions were terminated by the addition of 30 μl of ice-cold stop solution (acetonitrile/methanol; 1:1). Samples were mixed on a vortex mixer and centrifuged at 17,000 × g for 15 minutes. The supernatant (~50 μl) was transferred to an ultra–high-performance liquid chromatograph (UPLC) sample vial, and the specific probe substrate metabolite was detected using a UPLC (Waters Acquity; Waters Corp, Milford, MA) coupled to a triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters Xevo TQD; Waters Corp) by multiple reaction monitoring analysis (Supplemental Table 1). As a positive control for every inhibition experiment, 1 μM and 10 μM probe inhibitors (see Supplemental Table 1) were added instead of the cannabinoid compounds. As a negative control, only vehicle (3% methanol) was added (without inhibitor) to the reaction. Probe substrate without any inhibitor was used as a positive control for 100% activity. All analyses were performed in three independent experiments. Incubation conditions were optimized by incubations of the individual probe substrates with microsomes from overexpressing cell lines at 10, 20, 30, and 50 μg microsomal protein for different times (5, 15, 25, and 30 minutes). Remaining substrate and formed metabolite peaks for each reaction were analyzed, and conditions were chosen according to the following criteria: 1) metabolite formation was linear with time and enzyme concentration, 2) substrate consumption was no more than 20% of the initial amount, and 3) all metabolites could be easily detected by LC-MS/MS methods. For UPLC-MS/MS, the mobile phase consisted of solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) and solvent B (100% methanol). Samples (2–5 μl) were injected onto an Acquity UPLC column (BEH C18, 1.7 μm, 2.1 × 100 mm; Waters Corp). A 6-minute gradient elution was used as follows: 2 minutes at 95% A:5% B followed by a linear gradient for 2 minutes to 5% A:95% B, 1 minute at 5% A:95% B, and re-equilibration for 1 minute at 95% A:5% B. The flow rate was 0.4 ml/min, and the column temperature was 40°C.

Determination of IC50 and Ki Values. For those cannabinoids/THC metabolites that exhibited a >50% decrease in relative P450 activity for any given probe substrate at ≤10 μM, IC50 determinations were performed in both microsomes from HEK293 CYP450-overexpressing cell lines (described above) and in HLMs using multiple concentrations (ranging between 0.5 and 100 μM) of cannabinoid/metabolite in incubations as described above, with all determinations performed for three independent experiments with three replicates per experiment.

To identify possible metabolism-dependent inhibition of the potential enzymes, IC50 shift studies (Parkinson et al., 2011) were performed using HEK-overexpressing cell lines. Reactions containing the test cannabinoid or THC metabolite (inhibitor) but no probe substrate were incubated with or without NADPH at 37°C for 30 minutes. After this 30-minute preincubation, probe substrate was added to the incubation mixture and incubated at 37°C for up to 30 minutes (Supplemental Table 1). Peak areas corresponding to the probe metabolite were determined, and the percentage of relative activity was calculated by comparing the peak area in incubations containing the inhibitors to incubations containing only the vehicle control, as described below. The differences between the IC50 values obtained with or without the NADPH preincubation period were compared, and the fold-IC50 shift was determined. The cannabinoid/THC metabolite with a fold-IC50 shift of ≥1.5 was considered a time-dependent inhibitor as recommended by the FDA guidelines.

IC50 data were used as a guide to generate appropriate probe substrate and test inhibitor concentrations for the determination of the Ki values for each isoform. The P450 enzyme-specific probe substrate concentrations used were 1–25 μM phenacetin for CYP1A2, 12.5–100 μM bupropion for CYP2B6, 2.5–30 μM diclofenac for CYP2C9, 0.5–5 μM omeprazole for CYP2C19, 1–10 μM dextromethorphan for CYP2D6, 12.5–100 μM chlorozapine for CYP2E1, and 1–25 μM midazolam for CYP3A4.

Data were exported and analyzed using an Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft). The amount of metabolite formed at each concentration relative to the control (percent relative activity) of specific enzyme in the presence and absence of probe inhibitor or test compound was calculated as:

\[
\% \text{ Relative activity} = \left( \frac{\text{Peak area with inhibitor/Peak area without inhibitor}}{100} \right) \times 100
\]

Percent Inhibition = [(Peak area without inhibitor – peak area with inhibitor)/peak area without inhibitor] × 100

The IC50 values were calculated by plotting the percent inhibition of P450 enzyme activities versus the log concentration of the test inhibitors using Graph-Pad Prism 7.04 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA).

To calculate Ki values, inhibition data were fit to different models of enzyme inhibition (competitive, uncompetitive, or noncompetitive) by nonlinear least-squares regression analysis using the GraphPad Prism 7.04 software. Ki values were calculated with the use of nonlinear regression according to the equations:

\[
v = \left( \frac{V_{\max}S}{K_m + S} \right) (1 + 1/K_i) + S
\]

where I is compound concentration, Ki is the inhibition constant, S is the substrate concentration, and Km is the substrate concentration at half of the Vmax of the reaction (Cornish-Bowden, 1974).

Bound versus Unbound Cannabinoid Determinations. Cannabinoid binding to proteins and tube walls in the incubation mixture (I Bound) was measured using the tube adsorption method as described previously (Ishherran et al., 2004; Patleia-Virana et al., 2019). Briefly, in separate incubations, 50 μl of 0.5 μM THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COO-Gluc, CBD, or CBN was added to each of two 0.6 ml low-binding centrifuge tubes containing buffer without protein, two 0.6-mI low-binding centrifuge tubes containing buffer with HLMs (25 μg), or two 0.6-mI low-binding centrifuge tubes containing buffer with HEK293 micromolar protein (30 μg). This was performed for each cannabinoid separately. Mixtures were incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C with occasional shaking. For one tube of the three incubation conditions (buffer alone, buffer + HLMs, and buffer + HEK293 microsomes), ice-cold acetonitrile (100 μl) containing D3-labeled cannabinoid IS was added (subgroup A). For the other tube of each condition, the entire 50-μl mixture was removed and added to a fresh tube that contained 100 μl ice-cold acetonitrile with D3-labeled cannabinoid IS (subgroup B). Samples were processed for UPLC-
MS/MS as described above for the in vitro assay experiments. All analyses were performed in three independent experiments with four replicates per experiment.

The separation and detection of individual cannabinoids was achieved using the same ACQUITY XEVO TQD (Waters Corporation, Millford, MA) chromatographic system described above using a Waters BEH C18 column 1.7 mm, 2.1 × 50 mm, at 40°C. UPLC-MS/MS was performed with a gradient elution using mobile phase A (0.1% formic acid in water) and mobile phase B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile) at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min under the following conditions: 5% B for 1.0 minute, increasing to 95% B from 1.0 to 4.0 minutes, 95% B held for 2 minutes, which was was followed by a return to initial conditions for 1.5 minutes for a total time of 7.5 minutes. Detection of cannabinoids was performed in multiple reaction monitoring ESI+ mode using the following mass transitions: m/z 315.1 → 193.2 for THC, m/z 313.1 → 313.1 for 11-OH-THC, m/z 315.2 → 181.1 for CBD, m/z 311.2 → 232.0 for CBN, and m/z 521.2 → 345.0 for THC-COO-Gluc. Cone voltages (V) of 40, 40, 50, and 30, respectively, and collision energies of 25, 18, 20, and 27, respectively, were used for THC, 11-OH-THC, CBD, CBN, and THC-COO-Gluc. Because of the nonavailability of unbound test compound relative to the test compound adsorbed to the wall. CPA was used to quantify THC-COO-Gluc. The internal standards were detected using the same cone voltages and collision energies as their respective standards, with the CPA is the maximum concentration of total drug in plasma (C_{max}/I_{b,p}).

The AUCR_{hyp} is calculated using eq. 3, in which I_{b,p} is equal to C_{max,u}, which is the maximum concentration of total unbound drug in plasma (C_{max}/I_{b,p}).

An AUCR_{sys} ≥ 1.25 or an AUCR_{sys} ≥ 1.02 indicates a strong presystemic hepatic or systemic drug interaction potential.

Results

Prescreening of THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COOH, THC-COO-Gluc, CBD, and CBN as potential CYP450 inhibitors showed that 10 μM THC decreased the relative activity of several P450 isoforms to approximately 50%, including CYP1A2 (35%), CYP2B6 (50%), CYP2C9 (48%), CYP2D6 (48%), and CYP2C19 (51%), as compared with reactions without added cannabinoid (Fig. 2A). Similar levels of inhibition were observed for 11-OH-THC against CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6, with 10 μM 11-OH-THC resulting in relative activity levels between 27% and 48% for the three enzymes (Fig. 2B). Although no significant inhibition was observed using up to 10 μM THC-COOH against any of the P450 enzymes tested (Fig. 2C), 10 μM THC-COO-Gluc resulted in 25%–49% relative activity for CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6 (Fig. 2D). In addition, decreases in the relative activity to less than 50% was observed for 10 μM CBD against several P450 enzymes (CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, and CYP3A4) and for 1 μM CBD against CYP1A2 (45%), CYP2B6 (50%), CYP2E1 (49%), and CYP3A4 (47%; Fig. 2E). Similar decreases in relative activity were observed for CBN against CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2E1, with 75%, 55%, and 80% decreases in activity observed for 10 μM CBN against all three enzymes and a 77% decrease observed for 1 μM CBN against CYP2E1 (Fig. 2F). Initial screening results were validated in assays using commercially prepared pooled HLMs (unpublished data).

Using microsomes from both specific recombinant CYP450 (rCYP)-overexpressing HEK-293 cell lines and HLMs, the inhibitory effects of THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COOH, THC-COO-Gluc, CBD, and CBN were extended to establish IC_{50} values for each cannabinoid against the P450 enzymes shown to be inhibited in the rCYP screening assays (described above). The unbound fraction (I_{b,uncl}) of THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COO-Gluc, CBD, and CBN in incubation mixtures (I_{b,uncl}) was calculated as 0.051 ± 0.033 and 0.043 ± 0.012, 0.094 ± 0.014 and 0.078 ± 0.042, 0.43 ± 0.071 and 0.45 ± 0.061, 0.098 ± 0.023, and 0.062 ± 0.011, and 0.052 ± 0.081 and 0.100 ± 0.052 in mixtures containing HLMs or microsomes from HEK293 cells, respectively. The range in I_{b,uncl} was from 0.030 (CBN) to 0.45 (THC-COO-Gluc) for HEK293 microsomes and 0.051 (THC) to 0.43 (THC-COO-Gluc) for HLMs. The values for HLMs were similar to those obtained for HEK293 microsomes for all cannabinoids tested. Interestingly, THC-COO-Gluc exhibited a I_{b,uncl} that was approximately 10-fold higher than the other cannabinoids, indicating less adsorption to the components of the incubation mixture.

CBD exhibited strong inhibition against every CYP450 tested, with a range in IC_{50,u} values of 0.037–0.22 μM, with the strongest inhibition
exhibited slightly stronger inhibition against rCYP2D6 (IC$_{50,u}$ values of 0.34 ± 0.12 μM and 3.5 ± 0.72 μM, respectively) and rCYP2B6 (IC$_{50,u}$ values of 0.55 ± 0.26 μM and 2.5 ± 0.72 μM, respectively) than THC, while exhibiting similar or less effective inhibition against rCYP2C9 microsomes (IC$_{50,u}$ values of 0.30 ± 0.086 μM and 6.8 ± 2.0 μM, respectively). CBN exhibited strong inhibition against rCYP2B6 (IC$_{50,u}$ = 0.63 ± 0.26 μM), rCYP2C9 (IC$_{50,u}$ = 0.42 ± 0.13 μM), and rCYP2E1 (IC$_{50,u}$ = 0.28 ± 0.030 μM) microsomes. Similar IC$_{50}$ values were obtained for HLMs using specific probe substrates for all CYP450s examined (Table 1).

To confirm the high level of inhibitory activity observed with cannabinoids against CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6, IC$_{50}$ determinations were also performed for three additional probe substrates. Strong inhibition of activity against efavirenz, bufuralol, and S-warfarin in microsomes from rCYP2B6, rCYP2D6, and rCYP2C9 cell lines, respectively, was observed with THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COO-Gluc, CBD, and CBN (Supplemental Table 2). Consistent with that observed for CBN with dextromethorphan as a probe substrate for CYP2D6, no inhibition was observed with this cannabinoid for bufuralol. These data suggest that the inhibition observed with these cannabinoids against these three enzymes is seen across multiple substrates.

IC$_{50,\text{shift}}$ assays were performed for microsomes from each CYP450-overexpressing cell line with the goal of evaluating the time-dependent inhibition (TDI) potencies of cannabinoid metabolites (Table 2). Using a value of 1.5 as the cutoff, none of the cannabinoids tested demonstrated TDI, with the possible exception of CBD for CYP2D6 with an exhibited shift of 1.5.

Additional experiments to determine K$_{i,u}$ values were performed to further understand the strength and type of inhibition interactions occurring between the cannabinoids and the P450 enzymes. As shown in Lineweaver-Burk plots, the CYP2B6-catalyzed 4-hydroxylation of bupropion in microsomes from the rCYP2B6-overexpressing cell line was shown to be inhibited in a competitive manner by the THC metabolites 11-OH-THC and THC-COO-Gluc (Fig. 3) and the cannabinoids THC, CBD, and CBN (Supplemental Fig. 2), with K$_{i,u}$ values similar for all inhibitors ranging from 0.068 ± 0.015 μM for CBD to 0.90 ± 0.054 μM for THC-COO-Gluc (Table 3). Slightly higher K$_{i,u}$ values were observed for inhibition in HLMs, ranging from 0.22 ± 0.052 μM for CBD to 1.1 ± 0.47 μM for THC-COO-Gluc (Table 3).

K$_{i,u}$ values for the inhibition of rCYP2C9 were determined by monitoring the hydroxylation of the CYP2C9 probe substrate diclofenac. Lineweaver-Burk plots for the inhibition of rCYP2C9 (Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. 2) indicated a competitive type of inhibition for all cannabinoids tested in rCYP2C9-overexpressing cell microsomes, with K$_{i,u}$ values ranging from 0.057 ± 0.044 μM for 11-OH-THC to 2.1 ± 0.081 μM for THC-COO-Gluc (Table 3). The inhibition of diclofenac hydroxylation in HLMs was similar, ranging from 0.17 ± 0.046 μM for THC to 3.4 ± 0.28 μM for THC-COO-Gluc. Slightly higher K$_{i,u}$ values were observed for inhibition in HLMs, with THC and THC-COO-Gluc exhibiting mixed-type inhibition, whereas 11-OH-THC, CBD, and CBN exhibited competitive inhibition (Table 3).

For CYP2D6, K$_{i,u}$ values were determined by examining the O-demethylation of dextromethorphan to dextrorphan. Lineweaver-Burk plots for the inhibition of CYP2D6 (Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. 2) suggested a competitive type of inhibition for all inhibitors for both rCYP2D6 microsomes and HLMs. The K$_{i,u}$ values for THC, THC-OH,
### TABLE 1

IC$_{50}$ values of cannabinoids and THC metabolites against major hepatic CYP450 enzymes in microsomes from recombinant CYP450-overexpressing cells or HLMs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe Substrate</th>
<th>Microsomal Protein</th>
<th>THC</th>
<th>CB1</th>
<th>CBN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenacetin</td>
<td>rCYP1A2</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.93</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midazolam</td>
<td>rCYP3A4</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.52</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupropion</td>
<td>rCYP2B6</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>10 ± 6.4</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>19 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac</td>
<td>rCYP2C9</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>4.5 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omeprazole</td>
<td>rCYP2C19</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.70</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextromethorphan</td>
<td>rCYP2D6</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>11 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>15 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are the mean ± S.D. in $\mu$M for four independent experiments with three replicates per group. IC$_{50,u}$ values represent $K_i,u$, which is the value of $K_i$ at which the enzyme activity is inhibited by 50%.*
performed. In the present study, 11-OH-THC and THC-COO-Gluc competitively inhibited CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6 activity, with no inhibition of any P450 enzyme observed with THC-COOH. Inhibition by 11-OH-THC was shown to be highest for CYP2C9, followed by CYP2B6 and then CYP2D6, whereas inhibition by THC-COO-Gluc was highest for CYP2B6, followed by CYP2C9  CYP2D6. In contrast to THC and its active metabolite THC-OH, THC-COOH and THC-COO-Gluc are present in the plasma at constant and relatively high levels and remain stable in the bloodstream over many days. THC-COOH and THC-COO-Gluc levels are approximately 2.0- and 7.6-fold higher, respectively, than THC after administration by cannabis inhalation, whereas after oral administration, 11-OH-THC and COOH-THC are 2.5- and 40-fold higher than the levels of THC (THCR-COO-Gluc levels have not been tested in plasma after oral administration) (Nadulski et al., 2005; Schwope et al., 2011; Bansal et al., 2020). These data suggest that 11-OH-THC and THC-COO-Gluc potentially play an important role in the inhibition of major hepatic P450 enzymes upon cannabis consumption.

All the cannabinoids and metabolites tested in the present study were reversible inhibitors of CYP2B6 bupropion hydroxylation activity. A strong inhibition was observed by the cannabinoid metabolites 11-THC-OH and THC-COO-Gluc acting in a competitive and reversible manner. In addition to the fact that CYP2B6 is responsible for the metabolism of numerous drugs and other agents, including bupropion, efavirenz, cyclophosphamide, ifosamid, pethidine, artesiminin, propofol, ketamine, and selegiline, current estimates indicate that CYP2B6 accounts for 2%-10% of total hepatic P450 content (Code et al., 1997; Hanna et al., 2000; Hesse et al., 2000; Hedrich et al., 2016). Two of the most well studied and better-understood drugs with respect to CYP2B6 metabolism are the anticancer drug cyclophosphamide and the anti-HIV medication efavirenz. Both of these widely used drugs have very narrow therapeutic indices, and variations in CYP2B6 expression along with enzyme inhibition lead to significantly altered drug plasma concentrations and associated toxicities (Hedrich et al., 2016). In recent years, cannabinoids have been extensively used for the treatment of various cancers to alleviate the side effects from anticancer agents, such as cyclophosphamide, which has been used as a treatment of cancer and autoimmune disorders for more than half a century. In a clinical study, cyclophosphamide did not demonstrate an improvement in nausea and vomiting when administered in combination with cannabis or oral THC as compared with placebo, which might be explained by the inhibition of CYP2B6 activity by THC and its metabolites and the subsequent increase of in vivo AUC of cyclophosphamide, which is primarily metabolized by CYP2B6 (Abrams, 2016). Additionally, there is growing recognition that individuals with HIV report greater cannabis use than the general population. Efavirenz is reported to carry a risk of side effects that includes adverse neuropsychiatric complications, such as depression, anxiety, hallucinations, and delusions. Several studies showed that the combination of EFV and THC might result in stronger hallucinating power and increased depression, which potentially could be caused by the inhibitory effects of cannabinoids and their metabolites (Mthembu et al., 2018). In addition, bupropion is an antidepressant that is often used as an aid to quit smoking and is almost exclusively metabolized by CYP2B6 (Hesse et al., 2000). Bupropion has been shown to cause increased adverse effects (irritability, restlessness, insomnia) in a clinical study when participants actively smoked cannabis (Haney et al., 2001). These increased adverse effects might also be caused by the inhibitory effects of cannabinoids on CYP2B6 when coadministered with bupropion. Lastly, ketamine is a medical-grade anesthetic that can be swallowed, snorted, injected, or smoked, often together with cannabis or tobacco. Although there are no studies exploring how ketamine and
cannabinoid metabolites (Shannon, 2007). Although there are no reports on in vivo studies, the dextromethorphan-metabolizing CYP2D6 enzyme activity has been shown that phenytoin caused severe adverse effects when coadministered (Yamreudeewong et al., 2009). In addition, a case report showed the diminished clinical studies, an increased anticoagulant effect of warfarin was previously observed in smokers of cannabis, potentially due to inhibition of CYP2C9 enzyme activity. These in vitro predictions are largely consistent with the presence of multiple P450s within HLMs; with THC, THC-COO-Gluc, and CBD all exhibiting competitive inhibition when analyzing their corresponding rCYP microsomes versus HLMs.

Cannabis Metabolites as CYP450 Inhibitors

For CYP1A2 and CYP2C19, a mixed-type inhibition was observed for CBD and THC, respectively, in both rCYPs and HLMs, suggesting that, in contrast to the competitive type inhibition observed for most of the cannabinoids and THC metabolites analyzed in this study, THC and CBD are not interacting with CYP1A2 and CYP2C19 in a similar fashion. In addition, CYP2C9 and CYP2C19 showed different types of inhibition when analyzing their corresponding rCYP microsomes versus HLMs; with THC, THC-COO-Gluc, and CBD all exhibiting competitive inhibition in the rCYP and mixed inhibition in HLMs, possibly due to a confounding effect of the presence of multiple P450s within HLMs.

Although cannabis can be consumed through oral dosing, smoking cannabis is still the most common way of consumption. These differences become important when analyzing metabolite levels, as the major THC metabolites will differ in plasma concentration based on the route of administration. After smoking a cannabis cigarette containing 10 mg THC, the plasma AUCs of THC, 11-OH-THC, and THC-COO-Gluc are 110 ng·h/ml (0.35 µM), 19 ng·h/ml (0.06 µM), and 840 ng·h/ml (1.6 µM), respectively. In contrast, after oral dosing of a consumable containing 10 mg of THC, the AUCs of THC, the plasma AUCs of THC, 11-OH-THC, and THC-COO-Gluc are even higher at 360 ng·h/ml (1.14 µM) and 14,600 ng·h/ml (28 µM), respectively (Nadulski et al., 2005). The cannabinoid metabolites analyzed in this study, THC and 11-OH-THC, the plasma AUCs of THC, 11-OH-THC, and THC-COO-Gluc were 139 ug/l (0.27 µM), 14,600 ug/l (28 µM), and narcotics, including antineoplastic agents, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents, selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, antibiotics, antiepileptics, antihypertensives, anticoagulants, and antihyperlipidemics (Ghodke-Puranik and Lamba, 2017). Drug-drug interactions have been shown to occur when a CYP2C9 inhibitor is added to a therapeutic regimen, including low therapeutic index drugs like (S)-warfarin, tolbutamide, or phenytoin. In these cases, patients can risk life-threatening bleeding episodes, hypoglycemia, and neurotoxicity as a result of the diminished CYP2C9 enzyme activity. These in vitro predictions are largely consistent with the presence of multiple P450s within HLMs; with THC, THC-COO-Gluc, and CBD all exhibiting competitive inhibition when analyzing their corresponding rCYP microsomes versus HLMs.

For CYP1A2 and CYP2C19, a mixed-type inhibition was observed for CBD and THC, respectively, in both rCYPs and HLMs, suggesting that, in contrast to the competitive type inhibition observed for most of the cannabinoids and THC metabolites analyzed in this study, THC and CBD are not interacting with CYP1A2 and CYP2C19 in a similar fashion. In addition, CYP2C9 and CYP2C19 showed different types of inhibition when analyzing their corresponding rCYP microsomes versus HLMs; with THC, THC-COO-Gluc, and CBD all exhibiting competitive inhibition in the rCYP and mixed inhibition in HLMs, possibly due to a confounding effect of the presence of multiple P450s within HLMs.

Although cannabis can be consumed through oral dosing, smoking cannabis is still the most common way of consumption. These differences become important when analyzing metabolite levels, as the major THC metabolites will differ in plasma concentration based on the route of administration. After smoking a cannabis cigarette containing 10 mg THC, the plasma AUCs of THC, 11-OH-THC, and THC-COO-Gluc are 110 ng·h/ml (0.35 µM), 19 ng·h/ml (0.06 µM), and 840 ng·h/ml (1.6 µM), respectively. In contrast, after oral dosing of a consumable containing 10 mg of THC, the AUCs of THC, the AUCs of THC and 11-OH-THC are even higher at 360 ng·h/ml (1.14 µM) and 14,600 ng·h/ml (28 µM), respectively (Nadulski et al., 2005). THC-COO-Gluc levels were 139 ng·h/ml (0.27 µM) after a controlled oral administration of 51 mg THC (Newmeyer et al., 2016). The results from the present study can potentially explain the in vivo mechanism for increased adverse effects or potency of CYP2D6-metabolized agents. For example, dextromethorphan is a widely used antitussive agent metabolized by CYP2D6 into an active metabolite, dextrophan. Using dextromethorphan together with cannabis has been shown to increase side effects, such as dizziness, drowsiness, confusion, and difficulty concentrating (Ziaee et al., 2005). In addition, the widely used antineoplastic drug and CYP2D6 substrate tamoxifen showed decreased tamoxifen metabolism in vivo, and the level of CYP2D6-mediated active metabolite endoxifen decreased in plasma in subjects also taking cannabinoids (Parihar et al., 2020).

Although cannabis can be consumed through oral dosing, smoking cannabis is still the most common way of consumption. These differences become important when analyzing metabolite levels, as the major THC metabolites will differ in plasma concentration based on the route of administration. After smoking a cannabis cigarette containing 10 mg THC, the plasma AUCs of THC, 11-OH-THC, and THC-COO-Gluc are 110 ng·h/ml (0.35 µM), 19 ng·h/ml (0.06 µM), and 840 ng·h/ml (1.6 µM), respectively. In contrast, after oral dosing of a consumable containing 10 mg of THC, the AUCs of THC, the AUCs of THC and 11-OH-THC are even higher at 360 ng·h/ml (1.14 µM) and 14,600 ng·h/ml (28 µM), respectively (Nadulski et al., 2005). THC-COO-Gluc levels were 139 ng·h/ml (0.27 µM) after a controlled oral administration of 51 mg THC (Newmeyer et al., 2016). The
### TABLE 3
Summary of $K_i$ values and inhibition type for major cannabinoids and THC metabolites against hepatic CYP450 enzymes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microsomal Protein</th>
<th>THC</th>
<th>11-OH-THC</th>
<th>THC-COO-Gluc</th>
<th>CBD</th>
<th>CBN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_i$</td>
<td>$K_{ia}$</td>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>$K_i$</td>
<td>$K_{ia}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP1A2$^a$</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.62</td>
<td>0.090 ± 0.027</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NA$^b$</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.056</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP3A4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>5.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.043</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.85</td>
<td>0.086 ± 0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP2B6</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.56</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.029</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.44</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP2C9</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.91</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.046</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP2C19</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.082</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.015</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.86</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP2D6</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.58</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.030</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.4 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.098</td>
<td>0.021 ± 0.0061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP2E1</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>NA$^b$</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP2B6</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP2C9</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP2D6</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rCYP2E1</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.058 ± 0.023</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.091</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Values are expressed as $\mu$M; shown are the mean ± S.D. for three independent experiments performed for the inhibition of each CYP450 enzyme by each cannabinoid.

$^b$Type of inhibition. C, competitive inhibition; M, mixed inhibition.

$^c$The probe substrate used were phenacetin, midazolam, bupropion, diclofenac, omeprazole, dextromethorphan, and chlorzoxazone for CYP1A2, CYP3A4, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, and CYP2E1, respectively.

$^d$NA, not analyzed.

### TABLE 4
Prediction of P450-mediated clinical drug interaction potential after oral or inhaled dose of THC or CBD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>THC</th>
<th>11-OH-THC</th>
<th>THC-COO-Gluc</th>
<th>CBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;sys&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Inhaled</td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;sys&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;sys&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;sys&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;sys&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;sys&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP1A2</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2B6</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C9</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C19</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2D6</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2E1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The AUC<sub>sys</sub> and AUC<sub>sys</sub> were calculated for THC, 11-OH-THC and THC-COO-Gluc based on oral or inhaled dosing of THC; the AUC<sub>sys</sub> and AUC<sub>sys</sub> were calculated for CBD based on oral or inhaled dosing of CBD as described in Materials and Methods.

$^b$NA, not analyzed.
daily dosage of cannabis varies widely among users, dependent on such factors as the THC:CBD ratio of the product, cannabis use history, and puff duration. THC is recreationally used at around 30–100 mg per joint or vaporizer, whereas the inhaled dose for medicinal use is lower at approximately 6–80 mg THC (Bansal et al., 2020). Based on these data, the plasma levels of THC and its metabolites will be at higher or similar levels compared with the K_{i} values and inhibitory concentrations calculated for the different CYP450 enzymes in the present study. In addition, since cannabinoids are readily distributed in various tissues because of their high lipophilicity, the tissue concentration of THC and its metabolites may be even higher than the plasma concentration.

Unlike that described by Bansal et al. (2020), TDI was not observed for CBD for the P450 enzymes tested in the present study, with the possible exception of CYP2D6. These differences are likely due to experimental conditions, with the present studies conducted using microsomes from CYP450-overexpressing cell lines, with each inhibition assay performed independently using individual probe substrates. In contrast, Bansal et al. (2020) performed their assays using a “cocktail method” with multiple probes added into one reaction with HLMs as the CYP450 protein source. In addition, although BSA was used in the reaction mixture in the Bansal studies, none was added to the assays within the present study.

In conclusion, cannabinoids and the major THC metabolites were shown to strongly inhibit several P450 enzymes, including CYP2B6, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6. Most importantly, the most abundant and previously untested THC metabolite in plasma, THC-COO-Gluc, is likely to play a major role in the inhibition of several hepatic P450 enzymes. Further investigations into the nature of inhibition of cannabinoids and their metabolites against specific agents will help to clarify the precise mechanism of in vivo cannabis-drug interactions.
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