Inhibition of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferase Enzymes by Major Cannabinoids and Their Metabolites
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ABSTRACT

The UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) family of enzymes play a central role in the metabolism and detoxification of a wide range of endogenous and exogenous compounds. UGTs exhibit a high degree of structural similarity and display overlapping substrate specificity, often making estimations of potential drug-drug interactions difficult to fully elucidate. One such interaction yet to be examined may be occurring between UGTs and cannabinoids, as the legalization of recreational and medicinal cannabis and subsequent co-use of cannabis and therapeutic drugs increases in the United States and internationally. In the present study, the inhibition potential of the major cannabinoids 9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), cannabidiol (CBD), and cannabinol (CBN), as well as their major metabolites, was determined in microsomes isolated from HEK-293 cells overexpressing individual recombinant UGTs and in microsomes from human liver and kidney specimens. The highest inhibition was seen by CBD against the glucuronidation activity of UGTs 1A9, 2B4, 1A6, and 2B7, with binding-corrected IC50 values of 0.12 ± 0.020 μM, 0.22 ± 0.045 μM, 0.40 ± 0.10 μM, and 0.82 ± 0.15 μM, respectively. Strong inhibition of UGT1A9 was also demonstrated by THC and CBN, with binding-corrected IC50 values of 0.45 ± 0.12 μM and 0.51 ± 0.063 μM, respectively. Strong inhibition of UGT2B7 was also observed for THC and CBN; no or weak inhibition was observed with cannabinoid metabolites. This inhibition of UGT activity suggests that in addition to playing an important role in drug-drug interactions, cannabinoid exposure may have important implications in patients with impaired hepatic or kidney function.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Major cannabinoids found in the plasma of cannabis users inhibit several UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzymes, including UGT1A6, UGT1A9, UGT2B4, and UGT2B7. This study is the first to show the potential of cannabinoids and their metabolites to inhibit all the major kidney UGTs as well as the two most abundant UGTs present in liver. This study suggests that as all three major kidney UGTs are inhibited by cannabinoids, greater drug-drug interaction effects might be observed from co-use of cannabinoids and therapeutics that are cleared renally.
kidney, including UGT1A9 (45% of total renal UGT expression), UGT2B7 (41%), and UGT1A6 (7%) (Rowland et al., 2013). UGTs account for the metabolism of 15% of pharmaceuticals, and one-seventh of the drugs prescribed in the United States in 2002 are cleared by the UGTs (Williams et al., 2004). Although studies of drug-drug interactions (DDIs) are a major emphasis of research for phase I metabolizing enzymes including the cytochrome P450 enzyme family, UGT enzymes have historically received less scrutiny for their DDI potential, even though drug interactions via the inhibition of glucuronidation have been increasingly identified. Impaired glucuronidation activity can cause undesired effects resulting from the slow elimination of endogenous substances such as bilirubin (Sun et al., 2017) as well as the buildup of toxic drug metabolites, as has been documented in studies correlating individuals with UGT1A1-deficient phenotypes and irinotecan toxicity (Iyer et al., 1998; Tallman et al., 2007). DDIs between therapeutics and UGT inhibitors have also been observed in the case of UGT2B7 inhibition by both valproic acid and probenecid (Cimoch et al., 1998; Rowland et al., 2006).

The recent legalization of cannabis has caused a dramatic increase in the use of cannabis-derived products in both recreational and medicinal situations, where cannabis is frequently used or targeted for more chronic diseases like cancer, arthritis, and depression and often concurrently used with important groups of conventional medications including anticancer agents, antidepressants, and pain medications (Bridgeman and Abazia, 2017). Situations in which polypharmacy is occurring within a patient could result in deleterious DDIs between cannabinoids and any number of therapeutic agents. \(\Delta^8\)-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) is the best described psychoactive constituent of cannabis, and plasma concentrations of THC and its active metabolite, 11-hydroxy (OH)-THC, quickly peak after usage and decrease rapidly over a short duration, dependent on the specific mode of consumption (Fig. 1) (Sharma et al., 2012). In contrast, the inactive metabolites, 11-nor-9-carboxy-\(\Delta^8\)-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC-COOH) and 11-COO-\(\Delta^8\)-tetrahydrocannabinol-glucuronide (THC-COO-Gluc), peak much more slowly, to a lower level than the active cannabinoids, and remain present in plasma over a much longer duration of time (Huestis, 2007).

Actual plasma levels of active and inactive cannabinoids are highly variable (in the micromolar to submicromolar range) and will vary widely depending on the user, dose, and method of ingestion. Cannabinol (CBN) appears to be a degradation product of THC within the Cannabis plant (Russo and Marcu, 2017) and has been shown to be only weakly psychoactive. Cannabidiol (CBD) is often termed as medical marijuana and interacts with the CB1 and CB2 receptors in the brain with a much lower affinity as compared with THC and 11-OH-THC, resulting in extremely low psychoactive effects (Pertwee, 2008). However, CBD usage is rapidly expanding among many patient populations due in part to its good safety profile (Larsen and Shahinas, 2020). Recent clinical and preclinical trials have shown that CBD has a broad range of potential applications, displaying anti-inflammatory properties, antipsychotic, and antiepileptic effects, as well as modulation of the immune system and the central nervous system (Esposito et al., 2013; Boychuk et al., 2015; Campos et al., 2016; Devinsky et al., 2016).

Previous studies have shown that THC, CBD, and CBN can strongly inhibit several major hepatic cytochrome P450s (P450s) (Yamaori et al., 2010; Yamaori et al., 2011a; Yamaori et al., 2011b; Yamaori et al., 2011c; Jiang et al., 2013; Cox et al., 2019; Nasrin et al., 2021). In addition, the major active metabolite of THC, 11-OH-THC, and two major inactive metabolites, THC-COOH and THC-COO-Gluc, also exhibited strong inhibition of a number of hepatic P450 enzymes (Nasrin et al., 2021). In the present study, the inhibition potential of major cannabinoids and their metabolites against major hepatic and renal human UGT enzymes were evaluated.

Fig. 1. Metabolic pathways and structures of major cannabinoids and their metabolites.
Material and Methods

Chemicals and Reagents. THC, 11-OH-THC, THC-COOH, THC-COO-Gluc, CBD, 7-OH-CBD, and CBN were purchased from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI) or Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Pooled human liver microsomes (HLMs) (n = 50, mixed gender (21 female and 29 male), race (4 Caucasian, 4 Hispanic, 2 African American, and 2 Asian), and age (5–77 years)) and pooled human kidney microsomes (HKMs) (n = 8, mixed gender (50% each), race (3 Caucasian, 3 Caucasian, and 2 Hispanic), and age (42–70 years)) were obtained from Sekisui Xenotech, LLC (Lexena, KS). β-estradiol, cheno-dexoycholic acid, trihydroperoxazine, serotonin, propofol, codeine, zidovudine (AZT), nicotine, oxazepam, dihydromethionine, ketocozaone, diclofenac, acetaminophen, and furosemide were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Optima grade methanol, acetonitrile, and formic acid were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). Ultra-low-binding microcentrifuge tubes, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline, UDPGA, alamethicin, MgCl2, and genetin (G418) were purchased from VWR (Radnor, PA). BCA protein assays were purchased from Pierce (Rockford, IL), premium grade FBS was purchased from Seradigm (Radnor, PA), and ChromatoPur bovine serum albumin (BSA) was purchased from MB Biomedicals (Santa Ana, CA).

Inhibition Assays. Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells individually overexpressing recombinant UGTs 1A1, 1A3, 1A4, 1A6, 1A9, 2B4, 2B7, 2B10, 2B15, and 2B17 were developed and described previously (Dellinger et al., 2006). Microsomal membrane fractions of UGT-overexpressing cell lines were prepared by differential centrifugation as previously described, with total microsomal protein concentrations determined using the BCA assay as per the manufacturer’s recommendations. An initial screen, performed in duplicate, of the inhibition potential of individual cannabinoids and their metabolites against UGTs 1A1, 1A3, 1A4, 1A6, 1A9, 2B4, 2B7, 2B10, 2B15, and 2B17 were determined using microsomes (50–100 μg) of UGT-overexpressing HEK293 cell lines in reactions containing either 10 μM or 100 μM of cannabinoid or metabolite, probe substrate (Supplemental Table 1), 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4), MgCl2 (5 mM), 2% BSA, and 4 mM UDPGA in a final reaction volume of 25 μl. All substrates were used at concentrations near their respective Michaelis–Menten constant (Km, Supplemental Table 1). As cannabinoids exhibit extensive nonspecific binding (70–90%) to protein and labware (Garrett and Hunt, 1974), microsomal incubation conditions were optimized to prevent underestimation of inhibitory potency (IC50). To reduce nonspecific binding and adsorption to labware, low-binding microcentrifuge tubes were used for all reactions, with BSA added to increase the solubility of cannabinoids as well as to sequester inhibitory long-chain unsaturated fatty acids (Rowland et al., 2008; Patiace-Vranas et al., 2019).

Microsomes were preincubated with alamethicin (50 μg/mg of microsomal protein) on ice for 20 minutes prior to incubation. The reaction was initiated by the addition of UDPGA and incubated for 60–120 minutes (Supplemental Table 1) at 37°C. Reactions were terminated and proteins precipitated by the addition of an equal volume (25 μl) of ice-cold stop solution (acetonitrile:meOH:conc H2SO4 90:90:1). Samples were mixed on a vortex mixer and centrifuged at 17,000g for 15 minutes. The supernatant (~50 μl) was transferred to an ultra-high-performance liquid chromatograph (UPLC) sample vial, and the probe metabolite was detected using a UPLC (Waters Acquity; Waters Corp, Milford, MA) coupled to a triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters Xevo TQD; Waters Corp) by multiple reaction monitoring analysis. As a positive control for every inhibition experiment, 10 μM or 100 μM probe inhibitors (ketocozazole/diclofenac) were added instead of the cannabinoid compounds. Reactions containing only vehicle (3% methanol) and without any inhibitor were used as an indicator of 100% activity for each substrate/enzyme combination. All IC50 analyses were performed in triplicate. Incubation conditions were optimized for HLMs, HKMs, and overexpressing cell lines for both microsomal protein and reaction time, with optimal conditions chosen based on the following criteria: 1) metabolite formation was linear with time and enzyme concentration, 2) substrate consumption was no more than 20% of the initial amount, and 3) metabolite formation was reliably and reproducibly detected by the UPLC–tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) method used.

For UPLC-MS/MS, samples (2–5 μl) were injected onto an Acquity UPLC column (BEH C18, 1.7 μM, 2.1 x 100 mm; Waters Corp). A 9-minute gradient elution was used with mobile phases A (0.1% formic acid in water) and B (100% methanol) as follows: 1 minute at 95% A:5% B followed by a linear gradient for 7 minutes to 5% A:95% B, 1 minute at 5% A:95% B, and re-equilibration for 1 minute at 95% A:5% B. The flow rate was 0.4 ml/min, and the column temperature was 40°C. Analyses were detected using a Waters Xevo TQD tandem mass spectrometer equipped with a Zspray electrospray ionization interface operated in the positive ion mode for all the UGT metabolites tested in this study except furosemide glucuronide, which was analyzed in negative ion mode, with the capillary voltage at 0.6 kV. Nitrogen was used as both the cone and desolvation gas at 50 and 800 L/h, respectively. Ultrapure argon was used for collision-induced dissociation. The desolvation temperature was 500°C. For detection of the metabolite peaks, the mass spectrometer was operated in multiple reaction monitoring mode using the ion-related parameters for each transition. The following transitions were used for the detection of each probe metabolite: β-estradiol-1-glucuronide (m/z 447 > 271), acyl cheno-dexoycholic acid-24-glucuronide (m/z 567 > 395), trihydroperoxazine N-glucuronide (m/z 584 > 408.2), serotonin-glucuronide (m/z 352 > 160.02), propofol-O-glucuronide (m/z 354 > 177.02), codeine-6-glucuronide (m/z 476.2 > 300.2), AZT-5'-glucuronide (m/z 442 > 125.05), nicotine-N-glucuronide (m/z 339.15 > 163.124), S-oxazepam-glucuronide (m/z 463.3 > 269.1), and exemestane-17-O-glucuronide (m/z 475.23 > 281.19).

Determination of IC50 Values. For those cannabinoids or metabolites that inhibited UGT activity ≥50% at cannabinoid concentrations ≤100 μM, IC50 determinations were performed in HLMs, HKMs, and microsomes from HEK293 UGT-overexpressing cell lines, using multiple concentrations of cannabinoi inhibitor ranging between 0.5 and 120 μM. Experiments were performed to determine nonspecific binding constants (fμ,m) for the individual cannabinoids in HEK293 microsomes, HKMs, and HLMs as previously described (Nasrin et al., 2021).

Statistical Analysis. Data were exported and analyzed using an Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft). The amount of metabolite formed at each concentration of inhibitor relative to the control (percent relative activity) was calculated as Peak area of metabolite with inhibitor/Peak area of metabolite without inhibitor × 100%. IC50 values were calculated by plotting the percent relative activity of UGT enzymes versus the log concentration of the test inhibitors using GraphPad Prism 7.04 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA).

Results

Glucuronide metabolite peaks were detected by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry in incubations of each probe substrate analyzed in these studies (Fig. 2). Using recombinant UGT (rUGT)–overexpressing cell microsomes and probe UGT substrates, preliminary screening studies demonstrated that 100 μM THC decreased the relative activity of microsomes from rUGT 1A9, 2B4, and 2B7 overexpressing cells by 74%, 79%, and 69%, respectively, as compared with control reactions without added cannabinoid (Fig. 3). A similar pattern was observed for CBD, with 10 μM CBD exhibiting 25%, 91%, 66%, and 58% inhibition and 100 μM CBD exhibiting 54%, 98%, 94%, and 96% inhibition, against microsomes from rUGTs 1A6, 1A9, 2B4, and 2B7 overexpressing cells, respectively, as compared with control reactions without added cannabinoid (Fig. 3). Similar to that observed for THC and CBD, CBN exhibited significant inhibition against rUGT1A9 and rUGT2B7 microsomes. Unlike that observed for THC and CBD, significant inhibition was not observed for rUGT2B4 microsomes with CBN. Although no significant inhibition was observed in rUGT1A1, rUGT1A3, rUGT1A4, and rUGT2B15 microsomes by THC, CBD, and CBN, marginal inhibition was observed for 100 μM CBD and CBN against rUGT2B17 microsomes (43% and 34%, respectively). Marginal inhibition (43% and 47%, respectively) was also observed for 100 μM CBN against rUGT1A6 and rUGT2B10 microsomes.

For THC and CBD metabolites, no significant inhibition was observed using up to 100 μM THC-COOH or THC-COO-Gluc against any of the UGT enzymes tested. However, 100 μM 11-OH-THC resulted in marginal inhibition of the activities of rUGT1A9 (41%).
rUGT2B4 (40%), and rUGT2B7 (53%) microsomes, whereas 100 µM 7-OH-CBD resulted in marginal decreases in the activities of rUGT1A9 (40%) and rUGT2B7 (45%) microsomes (Fig. 3).

The inhibitory effects of THC, 11-OH-THC, CBD and CBN were extended to establish IC₅₀ values and binding-corrected IC₅₀,u values (IC₅₀,u) for each cannabinoid against the UGT enzymes shown to be inhibited by ≥ 50% using 100 µM cannabinoid in the rUGT screening assays (described above). The unbound fraction in the incubation mixture were 0.042 ± 0.003, 0.038 ± 0.002, and 0.085 ± 0.005 in overexpressing HEK cell lines for THC, CBD and CBN respectively. For HLMs, the unbound fractions were 0.052 ± 0.005, 0.038 ± 0.002, and 0.085 ± 0.005 in overexpressing HEK cell lines for THC, CBD and CBN respectively. For HKMs the unbound fractions were 0.042 ± 0.003, 0.038 ± 0.002, and 0.085 ± 0.005 in overexpressing HEK cell lines for THC, CBD and CBN respectively.

The strongest inhibition was observed by CBD against rUGTs 1A9 and 2B4, with IC₅₀,u values of 0.12 ± 0.020 and 2B4, with IC₅₀,u values of 3.2 ± 0.52 and 0.12 ± 0.020 µM and 22 ± 0.045 µM, using propofol and codeine as UGT1A9 and UGT2B4 probe substrates, respectively (Table 1). CBD also exhibited significant inhibition of the glucuronidation of serotonin (a probe substrate for rUGT2B7 microsomes (IC₅₀ = 10 ± 2.6 µM and IC₅₀,u = 0.40 ± 0.10 µM), and AZT glucuronidation as a probe substrate in rUGT2B7 microsomes (IC₅₀ = 21 ± 3.9 µM and IC₅₀,u = 0.82 ± 0.15 µM). The IC₅₀,u values for CBD for propofol glucuronidation were similar in HKMs (IC₅₀,u = 5.5 ± 0.56 µM and IC₅₀,u = 0.34 ± 0.035 µM) but higher in HLMs (IC₅₀,u = 19 ± 4.6 µM and IC₅₀,u = 1.0 ± 0.24 µM) as compared with that observed for rUGT1A9 microsomes (Table 1), a pattern that was reversed in HKMs (IC₅₀,u = 39 ± 5.9 µM and IC₅₀,u = 2.5 ± 0.37 µM) versus HLMs (IC₅₀ = 8.0 ± 1.1 µM and IC₅₀,u = 0.40 ± 0.058 µM) for CBD inhibition of codeine glucuronidation. The decreased level of inhibition of propofol glucuronidation by CBD in HLMs versus HKMs and the similar inhibition pattern of HKMs and rUGT1A9 microsomes is apparent when examining plots of percent glucuronidation activity versus CBD concentrations (Fig. 4). Similar to that observed for rUGT2B7 microsomes, more moderate inhibition was observed for CBD of AZT glucuronidation in HLMs (IC₅₀ = 30 ± 4.1 µM and IC₅₀,u = 1.5 ± 0.21 µM) and HKMs (IC₅₀ = 35 ± 3.5 µM and IC₅₀,u = 2.2 ± 0.22 µM), with IC₅₀,u values that were only slightly higher than that observed for rUGT2B7 microsomes (Table 1; Fig. 4). The IC₅₀,u values for serotonin glucuronidation of 28 ± 6.5 µM (IC₅₀,u = 1.4 ± 0.33 µM), and 17 ± 3.7 µM (IC₅₀,u = 1.0 ± 0.23 µM) in HLMs and HKMs, respectively, were slightly higher than that observed for rUGT1A9 microsomes (Table 1).

THC exhibited IC₅₀,u values that were slightly higher than CBD for propofol, codeine, and AZT glucuronidation in rUGT microsomes, HLMs, and HKMs (Table 1). Similar to that observed for CBD, THC exhibited similar IC₅₀,u values for propofol and codeine as UGT1A9 microsomes (IC₅₀,u = 11 ± 3.0 µM and IC₅₀,u = 0.45 ± 0.12 µM) and codeine glucuronidation in rUGT2B4 microsomes (IC₅₀,u = 11 ± 2.7 µM and IC₅₀,u = 0.47 ± 0.11 µM), with a higher value observed for AZT glucuronidation in rUGT2B7 microsomes (IC₅₀,u = 13 ± 8.5 µM and IC₅₀,u = 1.4 ± 0.36 µM). Also similar to that observed for CBD, the IC₅₀,u values for THC for propofol glucuronidation was similar in HLMs (IC₅₀,u = 12 ± 3.4 µM and IC₅₀,u = 0.64 ± 0.18 µM) but higher in HKMs (IC₅₀,u = 30 ± 6.4 µM and IC₅₀,u = 1.4 ± 0.31 µM) as compared with that observed for rUGT1A9 microsomes, a pattern that was
reversed for THC inhibition of codeine glucuronidation in HKMs (IC$_{50}$ = 55 ± 5.2 µM and IC$_{50,u}$ = 2.9 ± 0.27 µM) versus HLMs (IC$_{50}$ = 13 ± 2.6 µM and IC$_{50,u}$ = 0.61 ± 0.13 µM). Again similar to that observed for CBD, more moderate inhibition was observed for THC inhibition of AZT glucuronidation in HLMs and HKMs, with IC$_{50}$ values that were only slightly higher than that observed for rUGT2B7 microsomes [IC$_{50}$ values = 59 ± 6.6 (IC$_{50,u}$ = 2.8 ± 0.32 µM) and 51 ± 12 µM (IC$_{50,u}$ = 2.6 ± 0.65 µM), respectively].

The pattern of inhibition observed for CBN for propofol glucuronidation was virtually identical to that observed for both THC and CBD, with similar IC$_{50}$ values observed for rUGT1A9 microsomes (IC$_{50}$ = 6.0 ± 0.75 µM and IC$_{50,u}$ = 0.51 ± 0.063 µM) and HKMs (IC$_{50}$ = 7.5 ± 1.7 µM and IC$_{50,u}$ = 0.90 ± 0.20 µM) and a higher IC$_{50}$ value observed for HLMs (IC$_{50}$ = 31 ± 4.1 µM and IC$_{50,u}$ = 2.9 ± 0.38 µM; Table 1).

TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe substrate</th>
<th>Microsomes</th>
<th>THC</th>
<th>CBD</th>
<th>CBN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>IC$_{50,u}$</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serotonin</td>
<td>rUGT1A6</td>
<td>11 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.11</td>
<td>10 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HKM</td>
<td>55 ± 5.2</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.27</td>
<td>17 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>13 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.13</td>
<td>28 ± 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codeine</td>
<td>rUGT2B4</td>
<td>33 ± 8.5</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.36</td>
<td>58 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HKM</td>
<td>51 ± 12</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.65</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>59 ± 6.6</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.32</td>
<td>30 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZT</td>
<td>rUGT2B7</td>
<td>11 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.12</td>
<td>21 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HKM</td>
<td>12 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.18</td>
<td>35 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>30 ± 6.4</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.31</td>
<td>30 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propofol</td>
<td>rUGT1A9</td>
<td>11 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.12</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HKM</td>
<td>12 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.18</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>30 ± 6.4</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.31</td>
<td>19 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furosemide</td>
<td>rUGT1A9</td>
<td>11 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.12</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HKM</td>
<td>40 ± 4.1</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.30</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>32 ± 6.3</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.30</td>
<td>29 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen</td>
<td>rUGT1A9</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.47</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.020</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HKM</td>
<td>15 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.16</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>28 ± 8.9</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.43</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IC$_{50}$ values are presented as means ± S.D. of three independent experiments. IC$_{50,u}$, binding-corrected IC$_{50}$; NA, not analyzed.
micromolar activity (IC$_{50}$ = 79 ± 11 µM and IC$_{50,a}$ = 4.9 ± 41 µM), calculated using the 11-OH-THC fu,inc value from previous studies (Nasrin et al., 2021). The IC$_{50}$ values for AZT glucuronidation in HLMs and HKMs were not determined as inhibition did not occur at >50% at the concentration range tested (up to 100 µM AZT).

To better validate the inhibitory effects of cannabinoids on UGT1A9-mediated glucuronidation, two additional UGT1A9 probe substrates, furosemide and acetaminophen, were examined. As shown in Table 1, the glucuronidation of both agents was strongly inhibited by THC, CBD and CBN at levels similar to those observed for propofol glucuronidation in rUGT1A9 microsomes. The highest level of inhibition was again observed with CBD, with IC$_{50}$ values in rUGT1A9 microsomes of 2.4 ± 0.66 µM (IC$_{50,a}$ = 0.090 ± 0.025 µM) and 1.9 ± 0.29 µM (IC$_{50,a}$ = 0.073 ± 0.011 µM) for furosemide and acetaminophen glucuronidation, respectively (Table 1). The IC$_{50}$ values observed in HKMs were very similar to those determined in rUGT1A9 microsomes, with IC$_{50}$ values of 29 ± 4.0 µM (IC$_{50,a}$ = 1.5 ± 0.20 µM) and 12 ± 3.2 µM (IC$_{50,a}$ = 0.64 ± 0.16 µM), respectively. The decreased level of inhibition of furosemide and acetaminophen glucuronidation by CBD in HLMs versus HKMs and the similar inhibition pattern of HKMs and rUGT1A9 microsomes is apparent when examining plots of percent glucuronidation activity versus CBD concentrations (Supplemental Fig. 1).

Discussion

The present study is the first to conduct a comprehensive examination of the inhibitory effects of major cannabinoids (THC, CBD and CBN) on the enzymatic activities of each of the primary hepatic UGT enzymes (UGTs 1A1, 1A3, 1A4, 1A9, 2B4, 2B7, 2B10, 2B15, and 2B17). In addition, the major metabolites of THC and CBD (11-OH-THC, THC-COOH, THC-COO-Gluc, and 7-OH-CBD) were also screened as potential inhibitors. The results from the present study indicate that the parent cannabinoids (THC, CBD and CBN) exhibit strong inhibition of the glucuronidation activities of UGTs 1A6, 1A9, 2B4 and 2B7, and marginal inhibition of a number of additional UGTs including...
UGT2B17 by both CBD and CBN, and UGT2B10 by CBN. In contrast to that observed previously for major hepatic P450 enzymes (Yamaori et al., 2011a; Yamaori et al., 201b; Yamaori et al., 2011c; Yamaori et al., 2012; Bansal et al., 2020; Nasrin et al., 2021), major THC metabolites exhibited little inhibition of hepatic UGT enzymes, with only 11-OH-THC exhibiting significant inhibition against a single UGT (UGT2B7). Similar to that observed for THCs, the major CBD metabolite, 7-OH-CBD, exhibited no significant inhibition against the UGTs tested, with only marginal inhibition observed for UGTs 1A9 and 2B7. In a pattern similar to that observed for P450 enzymes, THC-COOH exhibited no significant inhibition against any of the UGT enzymes tested in the present study.

Tissue and plasma concentrations of cannabinoids vary widely by user and are dependent upon a number of factors including dose, cannabis strain, mode of consumption and expertise of the user (Sharma et al., 2012). Average plasma concentrations of THC from a 10 mg dose by inhalation are 110 ug/L (0.35 μM), which are about 3-fold higher than those observed for oral dosing (360 ug/L; 1.1 μM). The average CBD plasma level after a 400 mg oral dose is 181 ug/L (0.76 μM) (Manini et al., 2015). The IC50 values observed in the present study for THC and CBD against several UGT enzymes are in the micromolar to submicromolar range, suggesting that unwanted DDIs with xenobiotics metabolized by the same UGT enzymes may occur in co-users of cannabis.

Of the cannabinoids tested, the strongest inhibition in rUGT microsomes was observed by CBD against the glucuronidation of propofol (UGT1A9), serotonin (UGT1A6), codeine (UGT2B4), and AZT (UGT2B7), followed by THC, which also exhibited strong inhibition against the same suite of enzymes. CBN was shown to be similarly effective at inhibiting the glucuronidation of propofol and AZT in rUGT1A9 and rUGT2B7 microsomes, respectively; however, unlike that observed for CBD and THC, no inhibition of UGT2B4 microsomal activity was observed by CBN using codeine as the probe substrate.

Although the liver is considered the most important organ for the metabolism of drugs and other xenobiotics, the kidney also plays an important role, especially when glucuronidation is a primary component of a drug’s metabolism and elimination (Margaillan et al., 2015). UGT protein expression in both the human liver and human kidney has large interindividual variability; however, current literature estimates that 13 UGTs are expressed in significant amounts in liver, whereas only 3 UGTs are appreciably expressed in human kidney, including UGTs 1A9 and 2B7, which are expressed at similar levels, and UGT1A6, which is expressed at a much lower level; UGT2B4 shows negligible expression in human kidney (Margaillan et al., 2015; Basit et al., 2020).

Consistent with the relatively high expression pattern of UGT1A9 in human kidney, the IC50 values observed in HKMs for propofol, furosemide, and acetaminophen, all UGT1A9 substrates, were similar to that observed for each agent in rUGT1A9 microsomes for CBD, THC and CBN. This contrasts with HLMs, where the IC50 values were higher (approximately 3-fold) than those observed in rUGT1A9 microsomes in all cases. In addition, the 6-fold lower IC50 exhibited by UGT1A9 as compared with UGT2B7 in rUGT microsomes by THC, CBD, and CBN corresponds with the larger IC50 values observed in HKMs using a UGT2B7 probe substrate (AZT) versus that observed for UGT1A9 probe substrates, reflecting the relative inhibition of the two enzymes by cannabinoids. These data support the possibility that the major cannabinoids in Cannabis, CBD, THC, and CBN, may all act to inhibit the two highly expressed UGT enzymes in human kidney, UGT1A9, and UGT2B7, in vivo.

The relative inhibition of codeine glucuronidation observed in HKMs was approximately 5–7-fold higher for THC and CBD as compared with rUGT2B4 microsomes, suggesting that UGT2B4 is likely not a major glucuronidating enzyme in kidney. This pattern is consistent with the low relative expression of UGT2B4 in this organ (Basit et al., 2020). This activity pattern contrasts to the very similar IC50 values observed in HLMs for codeine glucuronidation as compared with those observed in rUGT2B4 microsomes, a pattern consistent with the high expression of UGT2B4 in human liver. Although codeine glucuronidation is considered a probe substrate of UGT2B4 activity, UGT2B7 is also likely a major contributor to the hepatic glucuronidation of this agent (Court et al., 2003). When comparing codeine glucuronidation activities for both THC and CBD in the present study, the IC50 values are nearly identical in HLMs to those determined for rUGT2B4 microsomes. In addition, the IC50 values were approximately 3.6-fold lower for rUGT2B4 microsomes than for rUGT2B7 microsomes for both cannabinoids. These data indicate that although these two UGT enzymes are highly homologous, they may have unique binding interactions with cannabinoids and suggest that CBD, THC, and CBN strongly inhibit hepatic UGT2B4 activity.

UGT2B7 is arguably the most important UGT enzyme involved in phase II metabolism, as it is expressed at high levels in the liver and is the most commonly listed UGT involved in the biotransformation of the top 200 drugs currently prescribed in the United States (Williams et al., 2004). Inhibition of this enzyme has the potential to impact thousands of patients through unwanted drug-drug interactions, toxicities, and off-target effects. As seen from the IC50 values of THC, CBD, and CBN against the UGT2B7 probe substrate AZT in HLMs, the UGT2B7-mediated glucuronidation of AZT is moderately inhibited by these cannabinoids. In all three cases, the IC50 determined in HLMs is similar to the value determined in rUGT2B7, suggesting that UGT2B7 is inhibited by these cannabinoids and that this inhibition can be translated to the human liver, where the potential for unwanted DDIs may occur. Indeed, one such interaction has been observed when Epidolex (CBD) is prescribed as an antiseizure medication concurrently with the sedative midazolam (Patsalos et al., 2020). Although midazolam itself is not glucuronidated by UGT2B7, its active metabolite, 1-hydroxymidazolam, is a well documented UGT2B7 substrate (See et al., 2010). Administration of midazolam with steady state levels of Epidolex results in increased plasma concentrations of active 1-hydroxymidazolam (Cmax = 112%, area under the plasma concentration versus time curve from 0 to t = 168%), as well as a delay in t1/2 (difference in median of 2.2 hours) and an increase in t1/2 of 35%. Another study examined the disposition kinetics of the opioid morphine with and without concurrent inhaled vaporized cannabis (900 mg, 3.56% THC) (Abrams et al., 2011). Morphine is a well studied substrate for UGT2B7 (Osborne et al., 1990) and is glucuronidated to both the inactive 3-glucuronide and the highly active 6-glucuronide. A statistically significant decrease in steady state plasma levels of morphine was found when administered with vaporized cannabis (which was attributed to a decrease in the uptake of morphine), and a near significant decrease in the Cmax of inactive metabolite 3-glucuronide was also observed, indicating reduced UGT2B7 glucuronidation activity in the presence of the inhaled vaporized THC.

Although the role of renal metabolism is still an underexplored area compared with hepatic metabolism, mounting evidence from recent publications indicates that the human kidney has significant metabolic capacity. Renal metabolism by UGT enzymes plays a major role in clearance of many drugs including acetaminophen and furosemide (assayed in this study) as well as carbamazepine, codeine, gemfibrozil, morphine, and the commonly used over the counter nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs ibuprofen, ketoprofen, and S-naproxen (Knights et al., 2013). Preferential inhibition of the renal UGTs may have a larger effect on drugs that are mainly excreted by renal glucuronidation, and
interestingly, the two most highly expressed UGTs in human kidney (UGTs 1A9 and 2B7) were inhibited by CBD, THC, and CBN in both phase I and phase II are warranted. Further, further in vivo studies examining cannabinoid-drug interactions of function and cannabis use are occurring simultaneously. In light of the cannabinoids, suggesting that deleterious drug-drug interactions may be shown that two major hepatic UGTs and three of the most highly THC metabolites are potent inhibitors of several major P450 enzymes...THC and several major cannabinoids present in cannabis, previous reports indicate that CBD, THC, and several...marijuana-drug interaction primer: precipitants, pharmacology, and pharmacokinetics. Pharmacol Ther 2021;25–38. Delling FJ, Chong G, Weiler R, Lazzaro P (2006) Importance of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase...(UGT1A1) in the detoxification of polyacrylamide hydrocarbons: decreased catalytic activity of the UGT1A10.2199.isoy.ism. Drug Metab Dispos 2007:99–104.
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