Functional Proliferating Human Hepatocytes: In Vitro Hepatocyte Model for Drug Metabolism, Excretion, and Toxicity
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ABSTRACT

To develop a functional alternative hepatocyte model for primary human hepatocytes (PHHs) with proliferative property, essential drug metabolic, and transporter functions, proliferating human hepatocytes (ProliHHs) expanded from PHHs were fully characterized in vitro. Herein, ProliHHs generated from multiple PHHs donors could be expanded more than 200-fold within four passages and maintained their metabolic or transporter capacities partially. Furthermore, ProliHHs were able to regain the mature hepatic property after three-dimensional (3D) culture. Particularly, the downregulated mRNA expression and function of three major cytochrome P450 (P450) enzymes (CYP1A2, CYP2B6, and CYP3A4) in the proliferating process (ProliHHs-P) could be recovered by 3D culture. The metabolic variabilities across different PHHs donors could be inherited to their matured ProliHHs (ProliHHs-M). The intrinsic clearances of seven major P450 enzymes in ProliHHs-M correlated well (r = 0.87) with those in PHHs. Also, bile canaliculi structures could be observed in sandwich-cultured ProliHHs (SC-ProliHHs), and the biliary excretion index of four probe compounds [cholyl-lys-fluorescein, 5-(and-6)-carboxy-2', 7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (CDF), deuterium-labeled sodium taurocholate acid, and rosuvastatin] in SC-ProliHHs (>10%) were close to sandwich-cultured PHHs. More importantly, both ProliHHs-P and ProliHHs-M could be used to evaluate hepatotoxicity. Therefore, these findings demonstrated that the 3D and sandwich culture system could be used to recover the metabolic and transporter functions in ProliHHs for clearance prediction and cholestasis risk assessment, respectively. Together, ProliHHs could be a promising substitute for PHHs in drug metabolism, transport, and hepatotoxicity screening.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

This report describes the study of drug metabolic capacities, efflux transporter functions, and toxicity assessments of proliferating human hepatocytes (ProliHHs). The metabolic variability in different primary human hepatocyte donors could be inherited to their matured ProliHHs derivatives. Also, ProliHHs could form canalicular networks in sandwich culture and display biliary excretion capacities. More importantly, both the proliferative and maturation statuses of ProliHHs could be used to evaluate hepatotoxicity. Together, ProliHHs were feasible to support drug candidate screening in hepatic metabolism, disposition, and toxicity.

ABSTRACT

Assessments of drug metabolism, transport, and hepatotoxicity are essential parts of early drug discovery (Godoy et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2016). Primary human hepatocytes (PHHs) have been widely recognized as the gold standard for predicting drug clearance and hepatotoxicity (Gómez-Lechón et al., 2003, 2004; Hewitt et al., 2007). However, the loss of character, including proliferative properties and metabolic and transported functions of PHHs after in vitro culture, limits their applications (Gómez-Lechón et al., 2003; O’Brien et al., 2006). Moreover, it is time consuming and costly to determine the variabilities of drug-metabolizing enzymes (DMEs) and transporters from different PHHs batches (Donato et al., 2013). Therefore, the establishment of a functional substitutable hepatocyte model is an important issue.

Significant efforts have been made in the past decade. In addition to PHHs, embryonic stem cell–derived, induced pluripotent stem cell–derived, or epigenetic reprogramming–induced hepatocyte technology was developed to solve the lack of donors. However, a better differentiation protocol was still missing to generate physiologically relevant hepatocytes (Brolén et al., 2010; Si-Tayeb et al., 2010; Ogawa et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014). Also, there are several investigations
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This report describes the study of drug metabolic capacities, efflux transporter functions, and toxicity assessments of proliferating human hepatocytes (ProliHHs). The metabolic variability in different primary human hepatocyte donors could be inherited by their mature ProliHHs derivatives. Also, ProliHHs could form canalicular networks in sandwich culture and display biliary excretion capacities. More importantly, both the proliferative and maturation statuses of ProliHHs could be used to evaluate hepatotoxicity. Together, ProliHHs were feasible to support drug candidate screening in hepatic metabolism, disposition, and toxicity.
about reprogramming PHHs into hepatic progenitor cells to obtain cell proliferation features and maintain physiologically relevance, but these proliferative cells lose mature hepatic features quickly and have not been widely used in drug toxicity screening (Kim et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2019). Moreover, multiple reports on applications of HepaRG cells and Upcyte human hepatocytes (UHHs) in metabolic and toxicological evaluation were published (Andersson et al., 2012; Levy et al., 2015; Ramachandran et al., 2015). However, to the best of our knowledge, different clones, passages, and differentiation protocols in HepaRG cells may lead to poor repeatability (Petrov et al., 2018); the transcriptional levels of major cytochrome P450 (P450) enzymes in UHHs are still to be improved at donor-specific levels, and the efflux transporter functions for biliary excretion were not reported (Levy et al., 2015; Schaefer et al., 2016). Furthermore, genetic manipulation by overexpressing human papillomavirus genes (E6 and E7) or other immortal genes is not the best way to obtain expanded hepatocytes with genomic instability (Liang and Zhang, 2013; Ma et al., 2014).

Recently, the development of dedifferentiation strategy, a breakthrough in developing an in vitro surrogate hepatocyte model for PHHs, made it feasible for PHHs to expand as proliferating human hepatocytes (ProliHHs), which can be matured in a three-dimensional (3D) culture environment (Zhang et al., 2018). Unlike other dedifferentiation strategies for PHHs, ProliHHs are biphenotypic, partially maintaining mature hepatocyte features and gaining expression of progenitor-associated genes. Compared with human pluripotent stem cell–derived hepatocytes and human induced hepatocytes, ProliHHs show promising potential for in vitro drug safety assessment because of expression of transporter and activity of CYP2B6 (Zhang et al., 2018). However, it is still unclear whether ProliHHs can feasibly predict drug hepatic clearance, biliary excretion, and hepatotoxicity in vitro.

In this study, we generated ProliHHs from different PHHs donors. Three major DMEs’ expression and function in ProliHHs were systematically characterized, and the relevance of seven major DMEs was then investigated between the maturation status of ProliHHs (ProliHHs-M) and PHHs. After sandwich culture, cell polarization and efflux transporter function were identified in ProliHHs. Also, cytototoxicity induced by selected compounds was tested in ProliHHs. Together, these results suggested that ProliHHs can be an alternative hepatocyte model for PHHs in drug metabolism, transport, and hepatotoxicity screening.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and Reagents. Phenacetin, bupropion, testosterone, chloroxazone, diclofenac acid, S-mephenytoin, dexmethylphenothiazine, dexamethasone, N-acetyl-cysteine, human [leu15]-gastrin I, penicillin-streptomycin (100 U/ml), 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide, chir99021, ibuprofen, human [leu15]-gastrin I, 1 × 2 supplement 100 ×, 1 mM N-acetyl-cysteine, 1 × B27 supplement 50 × minus vitamin A, 1% FBS, 10 mM nicotinamide, and 1% PS) within 10–24 hours after PHHs seeding, and the HM medium was changed every 2 days. For monolayer expansion, ProliHHs were seeded at six-well plate (1 × 10^5 cells/well) under hypoxia condition and trypsinized at day 7 for passaging. The HM medium was changed every 2 days.

The Maturation of ProliHHs in 3D Culture System. For rapid hepatic maturation, ProliHHs were seeded at 2.5 × 10^5 cells per well and aggregated in an Ultra-Low Attachment 24-well plate (Kuraray, Corning, Tewksbury, MA) and formed spheres in hepatic maturation medium (HIM; HIM supplemented with 5 μM forskolin, 1 μM dexamethasone, 20 ng/ml oncostatin M) under normoxia condition (5% CO2 incubator). A 50% HIM was then exchanged daily to allow further maturation for about 7–10 days. These ProliHHs-M were further used in P450 metabolic assay or sandwich culture.

The Sandwich Culture of ProliHHs. For sandwich culture, trypsinized ProliHHs (3.5 × 10^5 cells/well) from proliferative or maturation status were seeded onto collagen I-coated 24-well plates. ProliHHs were incubated overnight, and HIM was renewed. Attached ProliHHs were overlaid with 0.25 mg/ml Matrigel in HIM and incubated under normoxia condition (5% CO2 incubator). A 50% HIM was then exchanged daily to allow further maturation for about 7–10 days. These ProliHHs-M were further used in CDFDA staining and biliary excretion measurement at day 7.

Phase Microscope Imaging. Hepatocyte morphology was assessed using phase-contrast microscopy to ensure healthy optimal culture for experiments. ProliHHs-P and PHHs were monitored on a daily basis. The images of ProliHHs-P were recorded on days 1, 7, 14, and 28. ProliHHs-M were recorded on days 1, 3, and 7 to recheck the sphere stage. Sandwich-cultured ProliHHs (SC-ProliHHs) were recorded and checked on days 1 and 5 to ensure bile canaliculi formation. Sandwich-cultured ProliHHs (SC-ProliHHs) were recorded and checked at days 1 and 7.

RNA Isolation and Real-Time Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction. Total RNA extracted from ProliHHs and PHHs was isolated from TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). The mRNA was transcribed by 1000 ng total RNA with a PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (Takara, Tokyo, Japan). Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was performed with a real-time qPCR kit (Yeasen, Shanghai, China) and SYBR Green Master Mix (Yeasen) on Applied Biosystems 7500 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Primers are listed in Supplemental Table 2.

Measurement of Human Albumin Levels. For ProliHHs-P and PHHs, supernatants were collected from six-well plate when cells were attached to the plate at day 1. For ProliHHs-M, supernatants were collected from 24-well plate at day 0 (trypsinized from ProliHHs-P), day 2, and day 8. Human albumin ELISA Quantitation Set was purchased from Bethyl Laboratory (Montgomery, TX) and used to measure human albumin secretion level.

P450 Metabolic Capacity Determination. Clearance of probe compounds was determined at designated time points (day 7, passage 4 in ProliHHs-P and day 7 in ProliHHs-M). For measurement of activities of three major DMEs (CYP1A2, CYP2B6 and CYP3A4), ProliHHs-P (5 × 10^5 cells/well) and PHHs (1 × 10^5 cells/well) were seeded in a 24-well plate. For the measurement of seven metabolic activities of P450 enzymes, ProliHHs-M were seeded at 2.5 × 10^5 cells per well and cultured in a 24-well Ultra-Low Attachment plate in HIM for 7 days. ProliHHs and PHHs were incubated in 1 ml medium with probe substrates listed in Supplemental Table 3. The supernatants (100 μl) were collected from PHHs,
ProliHHs-P, and ProliHHs-M at 0, 1, 2, and 3 hours after administration of substrate. The metabolic assay was stopped by adding 300 μl ice-cold acetonitrile. The probe compounds were analyzed by LCMS-8030 (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Drug clearance was determined through parent compound disappearance rate as follows:

\[ \text{Elimination rate constant (k) = (− gradient)} \]

\[ \frac{\text{Half life (t_{1/2})}}{\text{(minutes)}} = \frac{0.693}{\text{Incubation volume(μl)}} \]

\[ V(μl/million cells) = \frac{\text{Incubation volume}(μl)}{\text{Number of cells in incubation (×10^6)}} \]

\[ \text{Intrinsic Clearance (CL_{intrinsic}) (μl/min per million cells) = } \frac{0.693 × V}{t_{1/2}} \]

**Hepatocyte Polarization and Biliary Excretion Capacity Measurement**  
**Assay.** ProliHHs-P, ProliHHs-M, and PHHs were seeded at required density (3.5 × 10^5 cells/well) in 24-well plates. The formation of bile canaliculi networks in polarized hepatocytes were visualized by CDFDA (Levy et al., 2015). Generally, 5 mM stock CDFDA solution 1:500 was diluted in culture medium and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes in the dark to detect the hepatocyte polarization. To measure the efflux transporter activities of ProliHHs and PHHs, different transporter substrates were selected: CLF [bile salt efflux pump (BSEP)], CDF [multidrug resistance-associated protein 2 (MRP2)], d8-TCA (BSEP), and rosuvastatin (MRP2). Biliary excretion index (BEI) values were determined over a 15-minute interval after preincubation with warm HBSS with or without Ca^2+ (Liu et al., 1999). For PHHs, the BEI study was performed on day 5. The fluorescence intensity of CDF and CLF in sandwich-cultured PHHs or ProliHHs were measured at excitation/emission wavelengths of 495/529 and 492/536 nm, respectively. According to our previous report protocol, the concentration of d8-TCA and rosuvastatin in sandwich-cultured ProliHHs or PHHs was analyzed by TCA and rosuvastatin in sandwich-cultured ProliHHs or PHHs was analyzed by LCMS-8030 (Shimadzu) (Pan et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2014).

The BEI value was calculated as follows:

\[ \text{BEI} = \left( \frac{A_{\text{minimun, Ca^2+}} - A_{\text{maximum, Ca^2+}}}{A_{\text{maximum, Ca^2+}}} \right) × 100\% \]

A was the concentration or amount of test compound accumulated in the absence \((A_{\text{minimum, Ca^2+}})\) or presence \((A_{\text{maximum, Ca^2+}})\) of Ca^2+, which was achieved through adding warm Ca^2+-free or standard HBSS buffer (Wu et al., 2016).

**In Vitro Cytotoxicity Assay.** ProliHHs-P and PHHs were seeded at required density (3 × 10^5 cells/well) in collagen I-coated 96-well plates. The medium containing test compounds were replaced in each well after cells attached and then incubated 24 hours. For ProliHHs-M spheroids in toxicity testing, 1.5% agarose was added warm Ca^2+-free or standard HBSS buffer to make spheroids. Cell viability was measured by Cell Counting Kit 8 (Yeasen) or 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide assay.

**Statistical Analysis.** All data were expressed as means ± S.D. unless otherwise stated using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA). Unpaired t test and one-way ANOVA were used to analyze comparisons of two or more than two groups, respectively. Correlations were calculated using Pearson correlation coefficient. In all analyses, a difference was considered as significant: P value <0.05, P value <0.01, and P value <0.001. The intrinsic clearance \((\text{CL}_{\text{intrinsic}})\) and median toxic concentration \((\text{TC}_{50})\) value in ProliHHs were compared with the observed values in PHHs to determine the predictability using absolute average fold error (AAFE), and AAFE value was calculated by the following equation (Kimoto et al., 2017):

\[ \text{AAFE} = 10^6 ∑\frac{\text{error} × \text{inherent}}{\text{original}} \]

**Results**

**Generation, Maturation, and Cultured Characteristics of ProliHHs.** The generation and maturation strategies are illustrated in Fig. 1A. ProliHHs in proliferative or maturation status [two-dimensional (2D) or 3D cultured] are abbreviated as ProliHHs-P or ProliHHs-M, respectively. In this study, with the help of HM medium and hypoxia culture conditions, PHHs started to proliferate and gradually transformed from hepatocytes to progenitor-like cells: ProliHHs-P (Fig. 1B; Supplemental Fig. 1). After four passages, the cell number of ProliHHs could be expanded 200-fold, especially more than 1000-fold in donor MRW (Supplemental Fig. 2).

When the proliferated culture conditions (HM medium/hypoxia) were removed and ProliHHs were transferred to 3D culture plates with HIM, ProliHHs were able to form spheroids and display a well defined shape (Fig. 1B, right), which suggested the maturation of ProliHHs. Meanwhile, the progenitor genes, such as SRY-box transcription factor 17 (SOX17) and cytokertatin 7 (CK7), were significantly upregulated in ProliHHs-P and returned to levels comparable to PHHs after 3D culture (Fig. 1C). In contrast, the hepatic genes, such as hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha (HNF4A), CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha (CEBPA), albumin (ALB), and alpha-1 antitrypsin (AAT), were significantly downregulated in ProliHHs-P and went back to levels comparable to PHHs in ProliHHs-M (Fig. 1, D and E).

To our knowledge, albumin secretion was always used as a functional biomarker of hepatocytes. Being consistent with the gene expression levels, albumin excretion was gradually reduced with serial passages in ProliHHs-P (Fig. 1F) and significantly increased to 3.8 ± 0.1 μg/d per million cells in ProliHHs-M after 8 days of 3D culture comparable to and even better than their original PHHs (Fig. 1F). Together, these results indicated that ProliHHs were able to generate from PHHs and mature after 3D culture.

**The Expressions and Metabolic Activities of Three Major P450 Enzymes in ProliHHs.** The metabolic capacities of ProliHHs were assessed by gene expressions and probe substrate clearances of selected major DMEs. We first analyzed the expression levels of CYP1A2, CYP2B6, and CYP3A4 in ProliHHs-P and found that the expression levels of these genes were downregulated dramatically with serial passage compared with PHHs (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Fig. 3). Similarly, a decrease of metabolic capacity was also observed in ProliHHs-P, but weak metabolic activities were still maintained at passage 4 (Fig. 2B), whereas after the 3D maturation (ProliHHs-M), the expressions and functions of these indicated enzymes recovered significantly. The expression levels of CYP1A2, 2B6, and 3A4 in ProliHHs-M were significantly higher than ProliHHs-P but still less than PHHs (Fig. 2A). The intrinsic clearances of selected P450 substrates also increased significantly (Fig. 2B). The results suggested that ProliHHs-M could achieve the P450 metabolic capacities of their donor PHHs to some extent, especially for CYP1A2 and 3A4 (Fig. 2C). Together, these findings indicated that ProliHHs were able to improve the gene expression and metabolic activities of three major DMEs after 3D culture.

**The Metabolic Activities of P450 Enzymes in ProliHHs-M and PHHs.** Furthermore, a comparison of metabolic capacities of seven DMEs between ProliHHs-M and their derived PHHs were performed with three independent donors (Fig. 3). Except for CYP2C19, the intrinsic clearances of CYP1A2, 2D6, 3A4, and 2E1 in ProliHHs-M were approximately within 3-fold of PHHs. CYP2B6 and 2C9 enzyme activities were within a 5-fold range compared between ProliHHs-M and PHHs. The ProliHHs-M CL_{intrinsic} values of CYP2C19 and 2D6 were above the proportion of PHHs, whereas CYP2B6, 2C9, and 3A4 were below the proportion of PHHs. Together, these data suggested that the ProliHHs-M system may be used to estimate the clearance of major DMEs in their PHH counterparts to some extent.

**The Formation of Bile Canalicul Networks in Sandwich-Cultured ProliHHs.** According to the preliminary study, ProliHHs can only revert to mature status in a 3D culture environment (e.g., ProliHHs-derived 3D
organoids) (Zhang et al., 2018). However, 3D organoids are not suitable for quantitative transporter studies. Therefore, a sandwich-culture model was employed to evaluate if ProliHHs could form canalicular networks after sandwich culture.

The sandwich-culture strategy is illustrated in Fig. 4A. Typically, ProliHHs-P or ProliHHs-M were trypsinized and then sandwich-cultured in 24-well plates. SC-PHHS, cultured for 5 days, were used as a positive control. After sandwich culture for 7 days, cell morphology, bile canaliculi structure, and cell polarization of ProliHHs were investigated. Sandwich-cultured ProliHHs-M (SC-ProliHHs-M) exhibited good hepatocyte-like morphology, including cubical shape, tight junctions, and bile canalicular-like structures, whereas the hepatocyte-like cell appearance in sandwich-cultured ProliHHs-P (SC-ProliHHs-P) was not as obvious as in SC-ProliHHs-M (Fig. 4B). Both SC-ProliHHs-P and SC-ProliHHs-M were able to form bile canalicular networks, evidenced by fluorescent dye (Fig. 4C).

Furthermore, the expression levels of essential uptake/efflux transporters were investigated for cells derived from both ProliHHs systems. First, real-time qPCR results showed the expression levels of these transporters were downregulated significantly in ProliHHs-P but significantly upregulated in ProliHHs-M (Fig. 5A). To examine efflux transporter functions, such as BSEP and MRP2, sandwich-cultured cells were incubated with their substrates (CLF and d8-TCA for BSEP, CDF and rosuvastatin for MRP2). Although the expression levels of these genes in ProliHHs-P were rarely detected than PHHS, all the tested compounds showed biliary excretion trends in ProliHHs-P (BEI values >10%). For SC-ProliHHs-M, the BEI values of tested compounds were increased or even comparable to PHHS (Fig. 5B). Together, these findings suggested that ProliHHs in sandwich-culture could maintain polarity, form bile canaliculi networks, and display biliary excretion capacity.

Hepatotoxicity Assessment in ProliHHs. To further investigate whether ProliHHs can be used to evaluate drug-induced hepatotoxicity,
12 compounds were selected to perform 24-hour acute toxicity assessment. The TC\textsubscript{50} values of three compounds (rifampicin, isoniazid, and valproic acid) in PHHs were from reported literature (Supplemental Table 4). Both ProliHHs-P and ProliHHs-M responded to these drugs in 24 hours and displayed good correlation with PHHs (Fig. 6, A and B). Compared with PHHs, the 2D culture system gave a much higher TC\textsubscript{50} estimation for imipramine and tamoxifen approximately above 3-fold (Fig. 6A), whereas the TC\textsubscript{50} value of troglitazone and imipramine in ProliHHs-M was much higher than PHHs (Fig. 6B). When TC\textsubscript{50} values were compared with PHHs, the AAFE was 1.27 and 2.13 for ProliHHs-P and ProliHHs-M, respectively. Together, these results demonstrated that both ProliHHs-M and ProliHHs-P could be an alternative hepatocyte model for PHHs in drug cytotoxicity evaluation. Considering the cost and convenience, ProliHHs-P should be a better choice for early discovery drug screening phase.

**Discussion**

A variety of hepatocyte models, such as embryonic stem cell– or induced pluripotent stem cell–derived hepatocyte-like cells, human induced hepatocytes, HepaRG cells, and UHHs, have been developed to study drug metabolism, transport, and drug-induced liver injury (DILI) (McGill et al., 2011; Levy et al., 2015; Ni et al., 2016; Bell et al., 2017). However, these hepatocyte models for application are limited by the lack of physiologic relevance or donor variability (Brolén et al., 2010; Katsuda et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2014). For example, the transcripts of major DMEs in UHHs still need to be improved at donor-specific levels (Levy et al., 2015; Schaefer et al., 2016). It is vital to develop new promising cell models that address these issues. Recently, with the help of dedifferentiation strategy, PHHs could be expanded, display biphenotypic and stable genetic characteristics, and also could be converted back to mature "hepatocytes" after 3D culture (Zhang et al., 2018). Compared with PHHs, the advantages of ProliHHs include low cost, ease of obtaining, diversified donor background, etc. However, it is not clear if it is feasible to evaluate drug metabolism, biliary excretion, and toxicity, especially from the transport aspect, using this novel cell model. Therefore, in this paper, for the first time, the drug metabolic capacities and efflux transporter functions of ProliHHs, as well as their potential in toxicity assessments, were fully characterized.

According to the previous study, ProliHHs could be expanded up to 10,000-fold at passage 8 from young PHH donor cells (Zhang et al., 2018). In this study, the total cell number of ProliHHs was enlarged more than 200-fold at passage 4, which is enough for the following studies, and no further proliferation test was performed (Supplemental Fig. 2).
These results are consistent with previous reporting that PHHs will lose their hepatic phenotype and metabolic capacity rapidly during in vitro culture (Gómez-Lechón et al., 2014), and the 3D scaffold culture system could preserve the P450 gene expression and hepatocyte-specific functions for PHHs (Schaefer et al., 2016; Heslop et al., 2017; Bell et al., 2018). These data indicated that ProliHHs from different donors

Fig. 4. Bile canaliculi formation and polarization of ProliHHs. (A) The schematic overview showed the protocol for sandwich culture of PHHs, ProliHHs-P, and ProliHHs-M. FM, feeding medium. (B) Phase microscopy showed morphology of SC-ProliHHs-M similar to SC-PHHs. (C) CDFDA staining: CDFDA fluorescence intensity tends to converge to the junction of ProliHHs. The bile canaliculi structure is marked with a red arrow. ProliHHs were derived from donor MRW. Scale bars, 100 μm.

Fig. 5. Transporter expression and function of ProliHHs in sandwich culture system. (A) Real-time qPCR analyses of major transporter genes (NTCP, OATP1B1, BSEP, and MRP2) in ProliHHs at indicated stages, gene expressions are normalized to SC-PHHs. (B) Four different compounds were used to determine the BEI of SC-PHHs and SC-ProliHHs. ProliHHs were generated from donor MRW. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.
The loss of the phenotype of hepatocytes and the increase of the phenotype of liver progenitors were observed during the proliferating process of ProliHHs (Fig. 1, C–E; Supplemental Fig. 3). The expression of major DMEs and transporters also rapidly declined in ProliHHs-M (Fig. 2A; Fig. 5A), which was common for the dedifferentiation process (Kim et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2019). The phenotypes of PHHs could be regained in ProliHHs-M after 3D culture, for instance, the improvement of metabolic capacity given the fact that ProliHHs-M were more flexible to predict intrinsic clearances of DMEs (Fig. 2, B and C; Fig. 3). The intrinsic clearances of selected P450 substrates in ProliHHs-M were able to predict the CLint ranking of their PHH donors to some extent (Fig. 2C). These results indicated that the metabolic variabilities in different donors (interindividual variability) could be inherited by their derived ProliHHs, respectively, and the donor specific functions could be rebuilt in a short term.

It is worthwhile to note that although mRNA expression of P450 enzymes in ProliHHs-M is much less than that in PHHs, metabolic activity mediated by these enzymes in ProliHHs-M was close to that in PHHs. For example, CYP2B6 mRNA level in ProliHHs was about 1/300 as much as that in metabolic capacity, whereas its CLint was about one-third of PHHs (Fig. 2, A and B). To clarify this disconnection, the protein expression level of CYP2B6 in ProliHHs-M and PHHs was determined by Western blotting. It was found that although the mRNA level of CYP2B6 in ProliHHs was about 1/300 in PHHs, its protein level was comparable to PHHs (one-third of PHHs) (Supplemental Fig. 4). This disconnection could partially be attributed to the post-transcriptional process of these related genes and the different half-life of mRNA and protein (Berger et al., 2016).

In addition, ProliHHs-M were more efficient as an alternative hepatocyte model for drug metabolic investigation with donor-specific character compared with ProliHHs-P (Fig. 2C). Based on it, the functional comparison of seven major DMEs between ProliHHs-M and PHHs indicated ProliHHs-M could predict intrinsic clearances of these DMEs in PHHs successfully. A positive correlation (r = 0.87) was found, and four DMEs of the CLint values were within 3-fold (AAFE = 0.92) accuracy (Fig. 3). Especially, a good prediction of CYP2E1 was displayed in ProliHHs-M, which may be attributed to their higher mRNA expression level as previously reported (Zhang et al., 2018). The higher CYP2C19 activity (above 3-fold accuracy range) in ProliHHs-M may be attributed to dexamethasone (CYP2C19 inducer) added in HIM (Raucy et al., 2002). However, because ProliHHs-M are different from HepaRG cells and UHHs, the expression and function of CYP2B6 in ProliHHs-M was lower than PHHs, which might be associated with suboptimal 3D culture conditions (without dimethylsulfoxide or FGF19 in HIM) (Kanebratt and Andersson, 2008; Schaefer et al., 2016). Therefore, further manipulation of the culture system will be required to generate more functional ProliHHs-M that are capable of efficiently replacing PHHs for drug metabolic studies.

Sinusoidal and canalicular transport properties are critical for primary hepatocytes. The lack of uptake and efflux transporter functions limits various alternative hepatocyte models in the preclinical evaluation of DILI and cholestasis (Donato et al., 2013; Bell et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016). Previous studies reported that CDFDα has been commonly used to study the function of efflux transporters, e.g., MRP2 (Anthérieu et al., 2010; Levy et al., 2015). In this study, we found that ProliHHs could be polarized in sandwich culture, which was essential for the proper efflux of bile acids or toxic drugs, and both cells from proliferative and maturation status of ProliHHs could form canalicular networks in sandwich culture (Fig. 4, B and C). Furthermore, compounds that may lead to cholestasis were investigated for their potential DILI risk using SC-ProliHHs. To evaluate the BSEP efflux function, d8-TCA was selected to assess the efflux transporter functions in SC-ProliHHs (Fig. 5B). Three selected cholestatic drugs (rifampin, glibenclamide, and ketoconazole) were reported as the inhibitors of BSEP (Ni et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2016). In this study, all of them significantly inhibited d8-TCA biliary excretion in SC-ProliHHs-P (Supplemental Fig. 5). Of course, the sandwich-cultured cells derived from ProliHHs-M displayed higher BEI values than ProliHHs-P, which were close to the BEI values of PHHs (Fig. 5B). However, considering the timing and cost of 3D culture, SC-ProliHHs-P were a better choice for the early drug discovery stage. More comprehensive studies, including organic anion-transporting polypeptide/sodium-taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide uptake and multidrug resistance gene 1 (MDR1) (P-glycoprotein) efflux function, are required to fully characterized in SC-ProliHH in the future.

The evaluation of drug hepatotoxicity is essential for preclinical drug safety study (Donato et al., 2013; Sebastian et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016). In this study, to investigate whether ProliHHs can be used to evaluate drug-induced cytotoxicity, 12 compounds were selected to perform acute toxicity assessment. All tested compounds covered a broad toxicity dose range (TC50 ranged from 1 to 5000 μM) with varied liver toxic mechanisms, for example, metabolic activation (chenodeoxycholic acid, ibuprofen, and isoniazid), cholestasis (lithocholic acid, chlorpromazine, and imipramine), reactive oxygen species (cyclosporin A, rifampin, amiodarone, and valproic acid), and multiple
mechanisms (troglitazone and tamoxifen) (Wang et al., 2002; Kemp and Brouwer, 2004; Ni et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2019). In most cases, the TC50 values of the selected compounds in both ProliHHs-M and ProliHHs-P were within 3-fold accuracy with those in PHHs (Fig. 6, A and B). The higher TC50 values of troglitazone in ProliHHs-M may be attributed to the low expression of uptake transporter organic anion-transporting polypeptide 1B1 during the proliferating process (Fig. 5A) (Gao et al., 2017), whereas the higher TC50 value of troglitazone in ProliHHs-M may be attributed to the increasing function of DMEs (CYP2C19, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4) and efflux transporters (BSEP and MRP2) in ProliHHs-M (Fig. 3; Fig. 5B) (Mueller et al., 2014). In addition, both ProliHHs-P and ProliHHs-M were insensitive to imipramine, for which liver toxicity was related to the production of metabolic intermediates (Lemoine et al., 1993; Su et al., 1993). We attributed these to the lower functions of related metabolic enzymes compared with their PHHs counterparts. Together, these results showed that both of 3D cultured ProliHHs-M spheroids and ProliHHs-P were able to predict transporter mediated cytotoxicity, and ProliHHs-P could be used to assess drug cytotoxicity in a quick, convenient, and efficient way.

In conclusion, the proliferative and maturation protocol of ProliHHs were fully characterized from perspectives of metabolic enzyme and transporter. The metabolic capacities of 3D cultured ProliHHs-M are comparable to PHHs. The sandwich-cultured ProliHHs recovered and maintained transporter function for biliary excretion and cholestasis risk assessment. Both ProliHHs-P and ProliHHs-M could be used to evaluate cytotoxicity. ProliHHs-P have the potential to be developed into a screening tool to detect cytotoxicity. Therefore, a novel strategy combining 3D and sandwich culture makes it feasible for ProliHHs to support drug candidate screening in hepatobiliary metabolism, disposition, and toxicity.
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Supplemental Table 1. Demographics, supplier and metabolic activity of primary human hepatocytes used for metabolism, disposition and toxicity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Thaw viability</th>
<th>CYP isoform (pmol/min/million cells)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MRW¹</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>11mos</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>QIE¹</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>7mos</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LHum15101²</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LHuf17905A²</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C: Caucasian; ¹) BioreclamationIVT GmbH (Frankfurt/Main, Germany/Baltimore, MD, USA). Cells were incubated in suspension either for 4 hours at 37°C with the following test substrates: phenacetin (15µM), midazolam (15µM), bupropion (250µM).²) XenoTech (Lenexa, KS, USA). Cells were incubated in suspension either for 1 hour at 37°C with the following test substrates: phenacetin (200µM), midazolam (50µM), bupropion (100µM). Metabolite rate of formation was measured in CYP1A2 acetaminophen, CYP2B6 hydroxybupropion, and CYP3A4 1-hydromidazolam.
Supplemental Table 2. HPLC/MS-MS conditions and parameters for CYP450 enzyme activity assays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CYP450 isofom</th>
<th>Probe Substrate</th>
<th>HPLC column</th>
<th>Flow rate (mL/min)</th>
<th>Q1/Q3 (m/z)</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>CE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A2</td>
<td>Phenacetin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>180/110.1</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B6</td>
<td>Bupropion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>235.2/86.15</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C9</td>
<td>Diclofenac acid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>294.1/250.1</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C19</td>
<td>s-Mephenytoin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>219.2/133.9</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D6</td>
<td>Dextromethorphan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>271.8/171</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E1</td>
<td>Chlorzoxazone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>167.9/132.0</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A4</td>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>289.1/97.05</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HPLC column: 1 INERTSIL ODS-4 C18 (2.1×100 mm), GL Sciences, Japan
### Supplemental Table 3. Primer sequences used for RT-qPCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Sequences (Forward, 5' to 3')</th>
<th>Sequences (Reverse, 5' to 3')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CK7</td>
<td>GACATCTTTGAGGCCCGAGTT</td>
<td>CTTGAAGTCCCTCCACCACATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX17</td>
<td>CTGGTGATGGTGCAACAATTC</td>
<td>CGCCCTCACCTTCATGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNF4A</td>
<td>TCCAACCCACCTCATCCCTCTTTTT</td>
<td>TCCTCTCCACTCCAAAGTCTCTTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEBPA</td>
<td>GATAAACCTTGCTGCTGGAATG</td>
<td>GAGGCAGGAAACCTCCAATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALB</td>
<td>GTGAAACACAAGGGCCAGGCAACA</td>
<td>TCCTCGGCAAAGGAGGTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>AGGCCCTGAAGCTAGTGAGATAAGT</td>
<td>TCTGTTTCTTGCCCTCTTGCCTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP1A2</td>
<td>ATGCCCTCAACACCTTCTC</td>
<td>TCTCCGCAACCTGTGCTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2B6</td>
<td>TTGGATGTGTAGAGGACAGAGA</td>
<td>ATACACAGCAAGGCTACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
<td>GTGACCAAATCTAGTGAGGAGTAGA</td>
<td>AGGAGTGTATTCTGGCTGTAACTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSEP</td>
<td>TACACAGAGGGCGGCTCTATAA</td>
<td>CTGGTTCTCAGTTCTTCTTCTTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP2</td>
<td>CCACAGGGCCAGAAAAAGGTAGA</td>
<td>ACTGACAAATGGTAGGTAAGGAAAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTCP</td>
<td>GTGGCAATCAAAGAGTTGGTGT</td>
<td>ACTGGGTCTCTGGTTCTCTCTATTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP1B1</td>
<td>TTGGAGGTGTTTGTGACTGCTT</td>
<td>AAAAAAGGAAAGGCTGATGTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBP</td>
<td>TTGGCTGAGAAAGAGTGCTGAGATG</td>
<td>CGTAAGGTGGCGAGGCTGTGTT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Supplemental Table 4. In vitro** toxicity test TC$_{50}$ values in PHH, ProliHH-P and ProliHH-M for 12 compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>TC$_{50}$ values (µM)</th>
<th>literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHH</td>
<td>ProliHH-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithocholic acid</td>
<td>153.9</td>
<td>108.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclosporin A</td>
<td>17.87</td>
<td>6.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troglitazone</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamoxifen</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>24.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpromazine</td>
<td>34.83</td>
<td>15.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipramine</td>
<td>44.43</td>
<td>425.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chenodeoxycholic Acid</td>
<td>754.7</td>
<td>526.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen</td>
<td>3717</td>
<td>3486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproic acid</td>
<td>$&gt;5000$</td>
<td>$&gt;5000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoniazid</td>
<td>$&gt;5000$ $^1$</td>
<td>$&gt;5000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampicin</td>
<td>255 $^1$</td>
<td>878.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplemental Figure 1: Morphology of ProliHH at indicated days in HM culture.

Phase microscopy shows colonies of ProliHH generated from primary hepatocytes in day 1, day 3, and day 14. ProliHH were derived from donor QIE. Scale bar, 100 μm.
**Supplemental Figure 2:** ProliHH were proliferative in HM culture.

Growth curves of cultured ProliHH were analyzed at indicated passages.
Supplemental Figure 3: Impact of culture time on CYP450 mRNA expression in ProliHH.

Comparison of CYP450 mRNA expression determined at PHH (freshly thawed PHH source) to P4 (week four) of ProliHH-P (donor QIE) by qPCR. (A) CYP1A2 (B) CYP2B6 (C) CYP3A4. Data were normalized to PHH. All error bars indicate ± SD.
Supplemental Figure 4: Protein expression level of CYP2B6 enzyme in ProliHH-M.

Protein expression level of CYP2B6 enzyme in ProliHH-M was analyzed by Western blotting compared with the PHH. (A) The Western blotting results of CYP2B6 expression in ProliHH-M and PHH (B) The statistical analysis for (A).
**Supplemental Figure 5:** Effect of cholestatic drugs on d8-TCA efflux in sandwich cultured ProliHH.

SC-ProliHH-P were treated with 5 μM d8-TCA alone or in combination with BSEP inhibitors for 15 min. BSEP inhibitors include 25 μM rifampicin, 10 μM glibenclamide and 30 μM ketoconazole. ProliHH were generated from donor LHum15101.
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