Clinical Investigation of Metabolic and Renal Clearance Pathways Contributing to the Elimination of Fevipiprant Using Probencid as Perpetrator
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ABSTRACT

Fevipiprant, an oral, nonsteroidal, highly selective, reversible, and competitive prostaglandin D$_2$ receptor 2 antagonist, is eliminated by glucuronidation and by direct renal excretion predominantly via organic anion transporter (OAT) 3. This study aimed to assess the effect of simultaneous UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) and OAT3 inhibition by probenecid on the pharmacokinetics of fevipiprant and its acyl glucuronide (AG) metabolite to support the dosing recommendation of fevipiprant in the presence of drugs inhibiting these pathways; however, phase III clinical trial results did not support its submission. This was a single-center, open-label, single-sequence, two-period crossover study in healthy subjects. Liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry was used to measure concentrations of fevipiprant and its AG metabolite in plasma and urine. In the presence of probenecid, the mean maximum concentrations of fevipiprant increased approximately 1.7-fold, and the area under the concentration-time curve in plasma increased approximately 2.5-fold, whereas the mean apparent volume of distribution and the AG metabolite:fevipiprant ratio decreased. The apparent systemic clearance decreased by approximately 60% and the renal clearance decreased by approximately 88% in the presence of probenecid. Using these data and those from previous studies, the relative contribution of OAT and UGT inhibition to the overall effect of probenecid was estimated. Furthermore, a general disposition scheme for fevipiprant was developed, showing how a perpetrator drug such as probenecid, which interferes with two key elimination pathways of fevipiprant, causes only a moderate increase in exposure and allows estimation of the drug-drug inhibition when only one of the two pathways is inhibited.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

In this drug-drug interaction (DDI) study, probenecid was used as a tool to inhibit both glucuronidation and active renal secretion of fevipiprant. The combination of plasma and urine pharmacokinetic data from this study with available data allowed the development of a quantitative scheme to describe the fate of fevipiprant in the body, illustrating why the DDI effect on fevipiprant is weak-to-moderate even if a perpetrator drug inhibits several elimination pathways.

Introduction

Fevipiprant is an oral, nonsteroidal, highly selective, reversible, and competitive antagonist of the prostaglandin D$_2$ receptor 2 (DP$_2$) (Sykes et al., 2016). The DP$_2$ receptor, a G-protein–coupled receptor, is an important regulator of the inflammatory cascade with a key role in the pathophysiology of asthma (Domingo et al., 2018). Fevipiprant selectively antagonizes the DP$_2$ receptor, thereby targeting and reducing DP$_2$ receptor–mediated inflammation in the airways of people with asthma (Erpenbeck et al., 2016a; Gonem et al., 2016; Bateman et al., 2017); however, phase III clinical trial results did not support submission in this indication (Brightling et al., 2021).

Elimination of fevipiprant occurs via glucuronidation as well as by direct renal and possible biliary excretion (Pearson et al., 2017). Fevipiprant is metabolized to an acyl glucuronide (AG) metabolite (1-O-β form, which can rearrange to isomers), representing the only relevant metabolite in systemic circulation and excreta that is not pharmacologically active. Data from clinical mass balance and drug-drug interaction (DDI) studies revealed that hepatic and renal clearance contribute to the total systemic elimination of fevipiprant and that renal clearance involves an active secretion process (Pearson et al., 2017; Weiss et al., 2020). Because the contributions of both glucuronidation and renal excretion exceed 25% of the clearance of fevipiprant, clinical studies are recommended by health authority guidelines to study the DDI risk in humans (Zhang et al., 2009; European Medicines Agency, 2013; Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), 2020).

Fevipiprant was tested in vitro as a substrate of the major human UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzymes and drug transporters...
Fevipiprant is a substrate of the organic anion transporter (OAT) 3, P-glycoprotein (P-gp), and organic anion–transporting polypeptide (OATP) 1B3, and its metabolism is mediated by the human UGT enzymes UGT1A3, UGT2B7, and UGT2B17 (Pearson et al., 2017).

A previous DDI study showed that coadministration of cyclosporine, which is an inhibitor of OATP1B3 and P-gp, increased oral fevipiprant 150 mg area under the curve (AUC) by 2.5-fold and C<sub>max</sub> by 3-fold (Weiss et al., 2020). Our study investigates the effect of inhibition of the other relevant clearance pathways of fevipiprant by probenecid (i.e., metabolism by UGTs and OAT3-mediated renal clearance). OAT3 is expressed in proximal kidney tubule cells and plays an important role in the active secretion of low-permeable anionic compounds (Wang and Sweet, 2013). UGT1A3 and UGT2B7 are expressed in the liver; UGT2B7 and UGT2B17 are reported to have an important role in the intestine (Strassburg et al., 2001; Kiang et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2018).

Probenecid was used as a nonselective inhibitor of UGTs (UCHIPICHAT ET AL., 2004) and is recommended by the Food and Drug Administration to assess sensitivity to DDI for drugs that are metabolized by several UGTs, such as fevipiprant. It is also recommended as an index perpetrator of OAT1 and OAT3 (FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, 2020). The purpose of this study was to assess the effect of concurrent UGT and OAT3 inhibition by probenecid on the pharmacokinetics (PK) of fevipiprant. The assessment of the systemic PK as well as urinary excretion of both fevipiprant and its pharmacologically inactive AG metabolite allows for some distinction of the metabolic and renal effects of probenecid. The results are discussed further in the context of existing in vitro data and clinical results from human mass balance and DDI studies to establish an overall quantitative understanding of the disposition of fevipiprant.

**Materials and Methods**

**Regulatory and Ethical Compliance.** The study protocol was reviewed by the Institutional Review Board, and the study was conducted according to the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from each subject in writing before any study-specific procedures took place.

**Study Objectives.** The primary objective of the study was to determine the effect of probenecid 1000 mg twice daily on the key PK parameters of fevipiprant 150 mg in healthy subjects. The secondary objectives were to assess the safety and tolerability of fevipiprant with and without coadministration of probenecid and to determine the effect of probenecid on the PK of the AG metabolite of fevipiprant.

**Study Design.** This was a single-center, open-label, single-sequence, two-period crossover study in healthy subjects. The study consisted of a 28-day screening period with two baseline visits and two treatment periods, which were separated by a washout of 7–14 days, and an end-of-study assessment (Fig. 1). In treatment period 1, subjects received a single oral fevipiprant dose of 150 mg on day 1, and this was followed by collection of reference plasma PK samples over 96 hours and urine collection over 24 hours. In treatment period 2, subjects received oral doses of probenecid 1000 mg twice daily on days 16–21 and a single oral fevipiprant dose of 150 mg (which was followed by collection of plasma PK samples over 96 hours and urine over 24 hours) together with the probenecid morning dose on day 18.

**Key Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria.** Healthy men and women aged 18–55 years weighing between 50 and 90 kg were eligible to participate in the study and were required to have a body mass index within the range of 18–30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Written informed consent was obtained before any assessment was carried out. Subjects using any prescription drugs (with the exception of oral or injectable contraceptives) were excluded from the study. Pregnant or nursing women and those who smoked were excluded. Those with a history of clinically significant ECG abnormalities or history or presence of long

**ABBREVIATIONS:** AE, adverse event; Ae<sub>24–24</sub>, cumulative amount of an analyte excreted in urine from zero to 24 hours; AG, acyl glucuronide; AUC<sub>0–24</sub>, area under the curve; AUC<sub>0–24</sub> (concentration-time curve in plasma from time 0 extrapolated to infinite time); AUC<sub>0–t</sub>, area under the concentration-time curve in plasma from time 0 to time of last quantifiable concentration; CI, confidence interval; CL, systemic clearance; CL<sub>F</sub>, apparent systemic clearance; CLr, renal clearance from plasma (volume/time); DDI, drug-drug interaction; DP<sub>2</sub>, prostaglandin D<sub>2</sub> receptor 2; F, bioavailability; fu, unbound fraction; GFR, glomerular filtration rate (approximately 7.5 l/h in a healthy subject); MR, metabolite-to-parent drug ratio; OAT, organic anion transporter; OATP, organic anion–transporting polypeptide; P-gp, P-glycoprotein; PK, pharmacokinetics; UGT, UDP-glucuronosyltransferase; Vz/F, apparent volume of distribution.
**Table 1**

Summary of pharmacokinetic parameters for fevipiprant and AG metabolite by treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (Unit)</th>
<th>Fevipiprant 150 mg Oral</th>
<th>Probenecid + Fevipiprant 150 mg Oral</th>
<th>AG Metabolite (after Dosing with Fevipiprant 150 mg Oral)</th>
<th>AG Metabolite (after Dosing with Probenecid and Fevipiprant 150 mg Oral)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cmax (ng/ml)</td>
<td>812 ± 386 (47.5) [n = 16]</td>
<td>1350 ± 682 (50.6) [n = 15]</td>
<td>1070 ± 380 (35.7) [n = 16]</td>
<td>1410 ± 703 (49.9) [n = 15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUClast (ng*h/ml)</td>
<td>3530 ± 945 (26.8) [n = 16]</td>
<td>9320 ± 4180 (44.9) [n = 15]</td>
<td>6910 ± 2280 (33.0) [n = 16]</td>
<td>12600 ± 7560 (60.1) [n = 15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUCinf (ng*h/ml)</td>
<td>3680 ± 1010 (27.4) [n = 15]</td>
<td>9980 ± 4520 (45.3) [n = 15]</td>
<td>7220 ± 2340 (32.5) [n = 16]</td>
<td>14400 ± 9270 (64.4) [n = 12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1/2 (h)</td>
<td>1.50 (1.00–6.00) [n = 16]</td>
<td>2.00 (1.00–6.00) [n = 15]</td>
<td>2.50 (2.00–6.00) [n = 16]</td>
<td>2.02 (1.50–6.00) [n = 15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL/F (l/h)</td>
<td>43.7 ± 11.7 (26.8) [n = 15]</td>
<td>17.8 ± 7.12 (40.0) [n = 15]</td>
<td>17.8 ± 7.12 (40.0) [n = 15]</td>
<td>17.8 ± 7.12 (40.0) [n = 15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vz/F (l)</td>
<td>1470 ± 926 (63.2) [n = 15]</td>
<td>600 ± 299 (49.8) [n = 15]</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t1/2 (h)</td>
<td>23.5 ± 14.6 (62.0) [n = 15]</td>
<td>24.9 ± 11.2 (45.0) [n = 15]</td>
<td>24.7 ± 14.5 (58.8) [n = 16]</td>
<td>35.2 ± 18.9 (53.8) [n = 15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR Cmax</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.382 (36.3) [n = 15]</td>
<td>0.802 ± 0.329 (41.0) [n = 15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR AUClast</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.362 (25.7) [n = 15]</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.363 (34.4) [n = 12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR AUCinf</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.342 (24.1) [n = 16]</td>
<td>0.977 ± 0.354 (36.3) [n = 15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR60 (0 ng/ml)</td>
<td>27.7 ± 8.57 [n = 16]</td>
<td>7.08 ± 2.15 [n = 15]</td>
<td>36.5 ± 9.62 [n = 16]</td>
<td>13.0 ± 5.80 [n = 15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLR (l/h)</td>
<td>9.87 ± 1.85 (18.7) [n = 16]</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.378 (31.2) [n = 15]</td>
<td>7.14 ± 1.79 (25.0) [n = 16]</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.350 (21.1) [n = 15]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

exp, exponent; max, maximum value; min, minimum value; sqt, square root; T1/2, time of maximal observed plasma concentration.

*For Tmax, data are median (min–max) [n].

The concentrations of fevipiprant and its AG metabolite in plasma and urine were measured using a validated liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry combo method (simultaneous quantification of both analytes with same injection), which consisted of a robotized supported liquid extraction using Isolute SLE+ 200-µL, 96-well plates for extraction plasma samples and liquid-liquid extraction for urine samples, respectively, which was followed by reverse-phase liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry using ElectroSpray Ionization in the positive ion mode (Epenbeck et al., 2016b). The plasma method was suitable for quantification of fevipiprant in the range of 1–400 ng/ml and in the range of 0.48–192 ng/ml for the AG metabolite using 50 µL plasma. The method for urine could quantify fevipiprant in the range of 0.2–80.0 µg/ml and its AG metabolite in the range of 0.096–38.4 µg/ml using 50 µL urine.

The bioanalytical method for probenecid consisted of protein precipitation followed by reverse-phase liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometric detection using ElectroSpray Ionization in the negative ion mode. The method was suitable for the determination of probenecid in human blood over the range of 1.00 (lower limit of quantitation) to 400 µg/ml using 50 µL of human blood (further details may be found in the supplement).

Fevipiprant PK parameters were determined using the actual recorded sampling times and noncompartmental method(s) with Phoenix WinNonLin (Version 6.4 or higher) from the plasma and urine concentration data.

**Key Safety and Tolerability Assessments.** All adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events with their severity and relationship to study drug were collected. Evaluations included safety assessments (hematology, clinical chemistry, and urinalysis), pregnancy and assessments of fertility, and regular assessments of vital signs, physical condition, and body weight as well as a standard 12-lead ECG.

**Statistical Analyses.** To assess the effect of probenecid on the PK of fevipiprant, log-transformed primary plasma/urine PK parameters of fevipiprant were analyzed using a mixed-effects model with a fixed effect for treatment (fevipiprant plus probenecid vs. fevipiprant alone) and a random effect for subject. Least-squares mean differences between treatments and associated 90% confidence intervals (CIs) in the logarithmic scale were back-transformed to produce geometric mean ratio and associated 90% CIs for each PK parameter.

**Results**

**Demographics.** In total, 16 subjects entered the study, all of whom completed treatment period 1 and were included in the safety and PK analysis sets. All subjects except one who discontinued because of an adverse event completed treatment period 2. Fourteen out of sixteen subjects (87.5%) were female, and most were white (68.8%; Supplemental Table 1 provides additional demographic information). The median age was 27.5 (range, 19–55) years; mean weight was 68.3 ± 10.4 kg; and mean body mass index was 24.2 ± 2.44 kg/m² (Supplemental Table 1).

The percentages of fevipiprant and its AG metabolite in plasma and urine were measured using a validated liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry method (simultaneous quantification of both analytes with same injection), which consisted of a robotized supported liquid extraction using Isolute SLE+ 200-µL, 96-well plates for extraction plasma samples and liquid-liquid extraction for urine samples, respectively, which was followed by reverse-phase liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry using ElectroSpray Ionization in the positive ion mode (Epenbeck et al., 2016b). The plasma method was suitable for quantification of fevipiprant in the range of 1–400 ng/ml and in the range of 0.48–192 ng/ml for the AG metabolite using 50 µL plasma. The method for urine could quantify fevipiprant in the range of 0.2–80.0 µg/ml and its AG metabolite in the range of 0.096–38.4 µg/ml using 50 µL urine.

The bioanalytical method for probenecid consisted of protein precipitation followed by reverse-phase liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometric detection using ElectroSpray Ionization in the negative ion mode. The method was suitable for the determination of probenecid in human blood over the range of 1.00 (lower limit of quantitation) to 400 µg/ml using 50 µL of human blood (further details may be found in the supplement).
Pharmacokinetics of Fevipiprant Administered with and without Probenecid. Coadministration of probenecid increased mean fevipiprant plasma concentrations (Fig. 2a); mean concentration-time data for fevipiprant and its AG metabolite with and without probenecid are provided in Supplemental Table 2. PK parameters for fevipiprant with and without probenecid are summarized in Table 1, and corresponding statistical analysis is in Table 2. Fevipiprant mean Cmax increased approximately 1.7-fold, and AUClast and AUCinf increased approximately 2.5-fold in the presence of probenecid (Fig. 2a; Table 2). The mean Vz/F decreased from 1470 to 600 l. Geometric mean ratios showed a decrease in CL/F of 25.8 l/h (a reduction of approximately 2.5-fold) and overall exposure to the metabolite results from the 4.3-fold decrease in CLr of the parent drug (Table 1). The decrease in the MR for systemic exposure (CL/F) and overall exposure (MR AUClast and MR AUCinf) decreased in the presence of probenecid (Table 1). The decrease in the MR for systemic exposure suggests a reduced rate of metabolite formation resulting from reduced UGT activity in the presence of probenecid. The net increase in exposure to the metabolite results from the 4.3-fold decrease in CLr of the AG metabolite by probenecid (Fig. 2b; Table 1). The mean amount of the AG metabolite excreted in urine (Ae0–24 h) decreased from 27.7 ± 8.57 mg (approximately 19% of the dose) for fevipiprant treatment alone to 7.08 ± 2.15 mg (approximately 5% of the dose) for treatment with fevipiprant plus probenecid (Table 1).

Pharmacokinetics of Fevipiprant AG Metabolite Administered with and without Probenecid. Although coadministration of probenecid is expected to reduce glucuronidation of fevipiprant, it resulted in an increased plasma exposure to the metabolite (Table 1). However, the metabolite-to-parent drug ratios (MRs) (AG metabolite to fevipiprant) for maximum concentration (MR Cmax) and overall exposure (MR AUClast and MR AUCinf) decreased in the presence of probenecid (Table 1). The decrease in the MR for systemic exposure suggests a reduced rate of metabolite formation resulting from reduced UGT activity in the presence of probenecid. The net increase in exposure to the metabolite results from the 4.3-fold decrease in CLr of the AG metabolite by probenecid (Fig. 2b; Table 1). The mean amount of the AG metabolite excreted in urine (Ae0–24 h) decreased from 36.5 ± 9.62 mg (approximately 17% of the dose considering difference in molecular weight) with fevipiprant alone to 13.0 ± 5.80 mg (approximately 6% of the dose) when probenecid was coadministered.

Adverse Events. Headache was the most frequently reported AE (see Supplemental Table 3 for all adverse events). Two AEs (postural dizziness, headache) reported for one (6.3%) subject were considered to be related to both fevipiprant and probenecid. Eight AEs reported for four (25.0%) subjects were considered to be related to probenecid. All reported AEs were mild in severity (Supplemental Table 3). One subject discontinued from the study because of an AE. No deaths or serious AEs were reported.

Discussion

In this study we investigated the effect of simultaneous inhibition of UGTs and OAT3 by probenecid on the PK of fevipiprant. The inhibition of two important clearance pathways resulted in a weak (<2-fold) effect on Cmax, and a moderate (2.5-fold) increase in AUC considering DDI categories also used by the health authorities (European Medicines Agency, 2013; Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), 2020). The exposure of healthy subjects to 150 mg fevipiprant either with or without coadministration of probenecid was found to be safe and generally well tolerated with no unexpected adverse events reported. This is consistent with previous safety and tolerability findings (Erpenbeck et al., 2016a,b; Gonen et al., 2016; Bateman et al., 2017).

The metabolic and renal effects of probenecid can be distinguished using the following information: plasma concentration data and the urinary excretion of both fevipiprant and its AG metabolite; complementary literature providing in vitro and absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion data (Pearson et al., 2017); and oral and intravenous DDI data with and without the OATP1B3 and P-gp inhibitor cyclosporine (Weiss et al., 2020). Based on this, the fractional contribution of OAT and UGT inhibition to the observed effect was estimated, and a general disposition scheme for fevipiprant was established. This information in combination with safety and efficacy data from patient trials would have provided the basis to assess
the need for a dose adjustment or contraindication of fevipiprant in presence of comediations affecting its pharmacokinetics.

Renal elimination of fevipiprant involves glomerular filtration as well as OAT3-mediated active secretion. Fevipiprant has an unbound fraction (fu) in plasma of 0.118 (Pearson et al., 2017); therefore, the clearance by glomerular filtration can be estimated to be approximately 0.9 l/h (fu × GFR = 0.118 × 7.5 l/h = 0.9 l/h), assuming a GFR of 7.5 l/h (Davies and Morris, 1993). This suggests a contribution of active secretion to the renal clearance of fevipiprant of approximately 91% (9.0 l/h, Table 3). In the presence of probenecid, the active secretion was reduced to approximately 0.3 l/h (1.2–0.9 l/h), indicating near-complete (97%) inhibition of active secretion by probenecid. The AG metabolite has an unbound fraction in plasma of 0.234, and therefore, glomerular filtration can be estimated to be approximately 1.8 l/h (fu × GFR = 0.234 × 7.5 l/h = 1.8 l/h), indicating a contribution of active secretion to the renal clearance of approximately 75% (5.3 l/h, Table 3). In the presence of probenecid, urinary secretion decreased to a value close to the estimated glomerular filtration (1.7 vs. 1.8 l/h), indicating near-complete inhibition of active metabolite secretion by probenecid. This sensitivity to probenecid suggests that the metabolite is subject to active renal secretion likely involving transporters of the OAT family also.

The systemic clearance (CL) of fevipiprant based on intravenous data is 19 l/h (Weiss et al., 2020), which allows an estimation of bioavailability (F) of 0.43 using the observed CL/F of 43.7 l/h in this study population. The measured CLr (9.87 l/h) accounts for half of CL (19 l/h) and is comparable to the observed CLr reported previously (9.49 l/h) (Weiss et al., 2020). Inhibition of OAT3-mediated active renal excretion by probenecid reduces CLr by 8.7 l/h (Table 1) and, consequently, CL to 10.3 l/h (19–8.7 l/h) and CL/F to 24 l/h (10.3 l/h/0.43). Therefore, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Without Probenecid</th>
<th>With Probenecid</th>
<th>Without Probenecid</th>
<th>With Probenecid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLr (l/h)</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glomerular filtration CLr (l/h)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active CLr (l/h)</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution of active CLr to CLr (%)</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 3

Renal clearance of fevipiprant and its AG metabolite with and without probenecid

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)

Fig. 4. Drug disposition of fevipiprant (blue arrows) and the AG metabolite (AG met, dashed purple arrows) in humans based on in vitro phenotyping data (Pearson et al., 2017) and clinical study results. Oral F was determined in Weiss et al. (2020). Hepatic first pass (Fh) was calculated with Fh = 1−(CLh/Rb)/Qh = 0.8, wherein CLh represents the hepatic plasma clearance (CLh = CL = 19 l/h) and Rb is the blood-to-plasma concentration ratio (0.56), and Qh is the hepatic blood flow (86.9 l/h) (Davies and Morris, 1993). The combined contribution of fraction absorbed and intestinal first pass (Fa × Fg) was estimated from the equation Fa × Fg = Fh/F. fe (fraction excreted), represents the fractional contribution of each pathway to the extraction of fevipiprant from plasma. Details on active vs. filtration clearance in the kidney are provided in the discussion and Table 3. Red lines represent inhibition mechanisms by cyclosporine (OATP1B3) and probenecid (OAT, UGTs). CLr, m represents the renal clearance of the AG metabolite.
expected exposure increase of only inhibiting renal excretion is ∼1.8-fold (43.7/24 1/h), which is lower than the observed ∼2.5-fold AUC change. Accordingly, inhibiting glucuronidation is expected to have a smaller impact (2.5/1.8 = 1.4-fold). The smaller impact of inhibiting glucuronidation is consistent with findings that the clinical effects of UGT inhibitors on the clearance of UGT substrates are generally weak (Williams et al., 2004). Taken together, inhibitors of a single pathway (OAT3 or UGTs) are expected to cause only a weak increase in AUC of fevipiprant, whereas simultaneous inhibition results in a weak-to-moderate exposure increase.

Although UGT1A3 and UGT2B7 are expressed in the liver, extrahepatic glucuronidation of fevipiprant cannot be excluded. Based on recent protein abundance data, UGT2B7 and UGT2B17 may contribute to the intestinal first pass, and both enzymes are also expressed in the kidney (Margaillan et al., 2015). Probenecid inhibits all three UGT isoforms in vitro (Uchaipichat et al., 2004), with highest potency for UGT2B7. Therefore, inhibition of the intestinal UGT2B7 and UGT2B17 could result in a reduced intestinal first-pass metabolism, which is consistent with the reduction in Vz/F seen in this study. In addition, reduced distribution of fevipiprant into the kidney in the presence of probenecid can also contribute to the reduced Vz/F.

In previous in vitro and clinical DDI data revealed that OATP1B3-mediated uptake into the liver is a key mechanism of fevipiprant systemic elimination (Weiss et al., 2020). The results from the present study further corroborate that hepatic and renal elimination each contribute approximately 50% to the total systemic clearance of fevipiprant (Fig. 4). When fevipiprant was administered together with cyclosporine or probenecid, hepatic clearance and active renal secretion, respectively, were nearly completely inhibited. Hence, the data indicate that transporter-mediated uptake processes (OATP1B3 and OAT3) are the rate-limiting clearance steps in both organs, which is in line with moderate passive permeability of fevipiprant (Pearson et al., 2017).

In summary, results from interaction studies with probenecid and cyclosporine revealed the elimination of fevipiprant is dependent on OATP1B1-mediated hepatic uptake, OAT3-mediated renal excretion, and glucuronidation (via UGT1A3, UGT2B7, UGT2B17) (Fig. 4). These parallel elimination pathways result in a low risk of major victim DDI or pharmacogenetic/ethnic variability for this compound (Pearson et al., 2017). This is exemplified in the reported study, in which a perpetrator drug interferes with more than one fevipiprant elimination pathway, but the DDI effect remains weak to moderate.
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Materials and methods

Inclusion criteria

Healthy volunteers eligible for inclusion in this study had to fulfill all of the following criteria:

1. Written informed consent was obtained before any assessment was performed.
2. Healthy male and/or female subjects 18 to 55 years of age, inclusive, and in good health as determined by past medical history, physical examination, vital signs, ECG, and laboratory tests at screening and/or first baseline visit as indicated.
3. At screening and first baseline visit, vital signs (systolic and diastolic blood pressure and pulse rate) were assessed in the sitting position after the subject had rested for at least 3 minutes, and again after 3 minutes in the standing position. Sitting vital signs were to be within the following ranges:
   - oral body temperature 35.0°C to 37.5°C;
   - systolic blood pressure, 90 to 139 mmHg;
   - diastolic blood pressure, 50 to 89 mmHg;
   - pulse rate, 40 to 90 bpm.

   If vital signs were out-of-range, the Investigator could obtain two additional readings so that a total of up to three consecutive assessments were made, with the subject seated quietly for approximately 5 minutes preceding each repeat assessment. At least the last reading was to be within the ranges provided above in order for the subject to qualify. Subjects were to be excluded if their standing vital signs (relative to sitting) showed findings which, in the opinion of the Investigator, were associated with clinical manifestation of postural hypotension (i.e. absence of any other cause). The Investigator was required to carefully consider enrolling subjects with either a > 20 mmHg decrease in systolic or a > 10 mmHg decrease in diastolic blood pressure, accompanied by a > 20 bpm increase in heart rate (comparing standing to sitting results).
4. Subjects were required to weigh between 50 and 90 kg (inclusive) to participate in the study, and were required to have a body mass index (BMI) within the range of 18 to 30 kg/m². BMI = Body weight (kg)/(height [m])².
5. Able to communicate well with the Investigator, to understand and comply with the requirements of the study.

Exclusion criteria

Healthy volunteers fulfilling any of the following criteria were not eligible for inclusion in this study:
1. Use of other investigational drugs at the time of enrollment, or within 5 half-lives of initial study drug administration, or within 30 days of initial study drug administration, whichever was longer; or longer if required by local regulations.

2. A history of clinically significant ECG abnormalities, or any of the following ECG abnormalities at screening or first baseline visit:
   - PR > 200 msec;
   - QRS complex > 120 msec;
   - Fridericia QT correction formula (QTcF) > 450 msec (males);
   - QTcF > 460 msec (females).

3. History or presence of long QT syndrome or other clinically significant ECG abnormalities, e.g., arrhythmia or tachycardia.

4. History or presence of malignancy of any organ system (other than localized basal cell carcinoma of the skin or in-situ cervical cancer), treated or untreated, within the past 5 years, regardless of whether there was evidence of local recurrence or metastases.

5. Pregnant or nursing (lactating) women, where pregnancy was defined as the state of a female after conception and until the termination of gestation, confirmed by a positive human chorionic gonadotropin laboratory test.

6. Women of childbearing potential, defined as all women physiologically capable of becoming pregnant, unless they were using basic methods of contraception during study drug treatment. Basic contraception methods included:
   - Total abstinence from heterosexual intercourse (when this was in line with the preferred and usual lifestyle of the subject). Periodic abstinence (e.g., calendar, ovulation, symptothermal, post-ovulation methods) and withdrawal were not acceptable methods of contraception;
   - Female sterilization (had surgical bilateral oophorectomy with or without hysterectomy), total hysterectomy or tubal ligation at least 6 weeks before taking investigational drug. In case of oophorectomy alone, only when the reproductive status of the woman had been confirmed by follow-up hormone level assessment;
   - Male sterilization (at least 6 months prior to screening). For female subjects on the study, the vasectomized male partner was to be the sole partner for that subject;
   - Barrier methods of contraception: condom or occlusive cap (diaphragm or cervical/vault caps);
   - Use of oral, injected or implanted hormonal methods of contraception or other forms of hormonal contraception that had comparable efficacy (failure rate < 1%), for example hormone vaginal ring or transdermal hormone contraception or placement of an intra-uterine device or intra-uterine system.

In case of use of oral contraception women were to be stable on the same pill for a minimum of 3 months before taking study drug. Women were
considered postmenopausal and not of childbearing potential if they had 12 months of natural (spontaneous) amenorrhea with an appropriate clinical profile (e.g., age appropriate, history of vasomotor symptoms) or had surgical bilateral oophorectomy (with or without hysterectomy), total hysterectomy or tubal ligation at least 6 weeks before. In the case of oophorectomy alone, only when the reproductive status of the woman had been confirmed by follow-up hormone level assessment was she considered not of childbearing potential.

7. Smokers (use of tobacco products in the previous 3 months). Smokers were defined as any subject who reported tobacco use and/or who had a urine cotinine ≥ 200 ng/mL at screening, first baseline or second baseline.

8. Use of any prescription drugs (with the exception of oral or injectable contraceptives) and/or herbal supplements within 4 weeks prior to initial study drug administration, and/or over-the-counter (OTC) medication, dietary supplements (vitamins included) within 2 weeks prior to initial study drug administration. If the subject had an incidental and limited need for a medication (e.g., for a headache), refer to Appendix 16.1.1-Section 5.2 for additional considerations. Medications must have been documented in the Concomitant Medications/Significant Non-drug Therapies page of the electronic case report form (eCRF).

9. Donation or loss of 400 mL or more of blood within 8 weeks prior to initial study drug administration, or longer if required by local regulation.

10. Plasma donation within 4 weeks prior to initial study drug administration.

11. Hemoglobin levels outside of normal ranges of local laboratory for males and females, respectively at screening and first baseline visit. The laboratory assessment could be repeated once prior to initial treatment assignment.

12. Uric acid plasma concentrations above normal ranges of local laboratory at screening and first baseline.

13. Significant illness or infection which had not resolved within 2 weeks prior to initial study drug administration.

14. Recent (within the last 3 years) and/or recurrent history of autonomic dysfunction (e.g., recurrent episodes of fainting, palpitations, etc.).

15. History of multiple and recurring allergies or allergies/hypersensitivities or allergy/hypersensitivity to the investigational compounds/compound class being used in this study (e.g., probenecid or any of its ingredients and DP2 antagonists).

16. History of or current nephrolithiasis.

17. History of or current diagnosis of gout.


19. Known or suspected glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency.


21. Any surgical or medical condition which could significantly alter the absorption, distribution, metabolism, or excretion of drugs, or which could jeopardize the subject in case of participation in the study. The Investigator was to make this
determination in consideration of the subject’s medical history and/or clinical or laboratory evidence of any of the following:

- Inflammatory bowel disease, peptic ulcers, gastrointestinal including rectal bleeding within 12 months prior to screening;
- History of major gastrointestinal tract surgery such as gastrectomy, gastroenterostomy, or bowel resection;
- Pancreatic injury or pancreatitis within 12 months prior to screening;
- Liver disease or liver injury as indicated by abnormal liver function tests. Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase), gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT), alkaline phosphatase and serum bilirubin were tested;
- Alanine aminotransferase or AST > 2.0 × the upper limit of normal (ULN) or total bilirubin > 1.3 × ULN at screening or at the first baseline visit;
- Gamma-glutamyltransferase or alkaline phosphatase > 1.5 × ULN at screening or at the first baseline visit;
- Any elevation above ULN of more than 1 parameter of ALT, AST, GGT, alkaline phosphatase or serum bilirubin at screening or at the first baseline visit excluded a subject from participation in the study;
- Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase enzyme testing to rule out G6PD deficiencies. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase result had to be within normal range according to the reference range of the local laboratory. If necessary, laboratory testing could be repeated on 1 occasion (as soon as possible prior to enrollment, to rule out any laboratory error);
- History or presence of impaired renal function as indicated by elevated creatinine or blood urea nitrogen, and/or urea values above limits of local laboratory, or abnormal urinary constituents (e.g., albuminuria), or estimated glomerular filtration rate < 90 mL/min/1.73m²
- History of urinary obstruction or difficulty in voiding at screening or at the first baseline visit.

22. History of immunodeficiency diseases or active disease, including a positive human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (e.g., chemiluminescence assay and MultiSpot) test result.
23. A positive hepatitis B surface antigen or hepatitis C test result.
24. History of drug or alcohol abuse within the 12 months prior to study drug administration, or evidence of such abuse as indicated by the laboratory assays conducted during screening, first baseline and second baseline.

No additional exclusions were to be applied by the Investigator, in order to ensure that the study population was representative of all eligible subjects. In the case where a safety laboratory assessment at screening and/or initial baseline was outside of the range specified in the exclusion criteria, the assessment could be repeated once prior to enrollment. If the repeat value remained outside of the specified ranges, the subject was excluded from the study.
Pharmacokinetic analyses

In the frame of the validation of the bioanalytical method for fevipiprant and its AG metabolite in human urine, the stability of both fevipiprant and its AG was tested at different pH (physiological pH of human urine varies from 4 to about 8, whereas the average pH of urine is below 7). It could be demonstrated that AG is stable for at least 24h at pH 4.1, pH 6.8 and for 8.5h at pH 8 at +5°C ± 5°C (in this study, during the urine sampling interval, the urine was pooled either on ice or in a refrigerator at approximately 0-4°C. Afterwards an aliquot of 1ml urine was transferred into FC tubes and was frozen until analysis).

In the BA validation study, the pH of urine samples after transfer into FC tubes was 4.1. However, as the pH of untreated human urine varies from 4 to about 8, it cannot be concluded that for all urine samples the pH was 4.1. Nevertheless, taking the above mentioned stability data of AG at different pH, and the sample collection procedure into consideration, it has been concluded that there was no impact on stability of AG after collection.

Blood plasma is normally tightly regulated at approximately pH 7.4. Stability of the AG metabolite in human plasma was clearly demonstrated during the method validation for 0.5 mL to 1.5 mL plasma in FC tubes.

LC-MS/MS methods for probenecid

The method for probenecid consists of protein precipitation followed by reverse phase liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometric detection (LC-MS/MS) in negative mode using ESI as the ionization technique (probenecid precursor ion m/z
284.2, product ion m/z 198.0, probenecid –d7 (internal standard) precursor ion m/z 291.3, product ion m/z 205.3. The method is suitable for the determination of probenecid in human EDTA blood over the range of 1.00 (LLOQ) to 400 μg/mL using 50 μL of human blood.

The selectivity of the method against interference of endogenous components of the matrix (blood) and against fevipiprant and its AG metabolite was tested. There were no significant chromatographic peaks detected at the mass transitions and expected retention times of the probenecid and its internal standard that would interfere with quantitation of study samples.
Table S1 Baseline demographics (safety analysis set)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N = 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years (median [min–max])</td>
<td>27.5 [19, 55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (87.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>11 (68.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>4 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>167.7 ± 8.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>68.3 ± 10.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>24.2 ± 2.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SD, unless otherwise specified

BMI = body mass index
Table S2 Mean concentration-time data for fevipiprant and its AG metabolite without and with probenecid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheduled Sampling Time (h)</th>
<th>Fevipiprant Without probenecid</th>
<th>Fevipiprant With probenecid</th>
<th>AG metabolite Without probenecid</th>
<th>AG metabolite With probenecid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>BLQ (0)</td>
<td>BLQ (0)</td>
<td>BLQ (0)</td>
<td>BLQ (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>67.5 (82.5)</td>
<td>179 (169)</td>
<td>5.62 (7.44)</td>
<td>63.4 (75.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>127 (97.9)</td>
<td>518 (463)</td>
<td>49.4 (55.6)</td>
<td>377 (326)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>363 (302)</td>
<td>777 (637)</td>
<td>238 (185)</td>
<td>788 (553)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>504 (416)</td>
<td>934 (744)</td>
<td>366 (261)</td>
<td>906 (589)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>601 (429)</td>
<td>967 (720)</td>
<td>549 (388)</td>
<td>1010 (645)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>675 (357)</td>
<td>975 (590)</td>
<td>823 (489)</td>
<td>1160 (584)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>385 (194)</td>
<td>743 (370)</td>
<td>847 (433)</td>
<td>956 (395)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>619 (438)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>821 (583)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>216 (122)</td>
<td>576 (473)</td>
<td>593 (332)</td>
<td>789 (711)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>151 (113)</td>
<td>417 (317)</td>
<td>389 (292)</td>
<td>633 (649)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>51.6 (27.5)</td>
<td>142 (64.3)</td>
<td>174 (120)</td>
<td>266 (258)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>54.3 (14.9)</td>
<td>110 (65.1)</td>
<td>108 (40.8)</td>
<td>156 (122)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>21.7 (6.18)</td>
<td>73.0 (54.7)</td>
<td>51.5 (14.2)</td>
<td>107 (83.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.5 (5.64)</td>
<td>60.2 (40.2)</td>
<td>23.2 (10.4)</td>
<td>81.7 (68.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>6.23 (4.66)</td>
<td>26.0 (16.2)</td>
<td>13.1 (8.56)</td>
<td>40.5 (30.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>2.60 (2.25)</td>
<td>14.3 (11.3)</td>
<td>6.20 (5.02)</td>
<td>25.4 (20.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BLQ: Below the limit of quantification
### Table S3 Adverse events by treatment (safety analysis set)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse event</th>
<th>Fevipirant (N = 16)</th>
<th>Probenecid (N = 16)</th>
<th>Fevipirant + probenecid (N = 16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects with any adverse event, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (12.5)</td>
<td>4 (25.0)</td>
<td>8 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatulence</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel puncture site pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroenteritis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper respiratory tract infection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral pharyngitis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased appetite</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal chest pain</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postural dizziness</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>