Assessment of the Potential for Veverimer Drug-Drug Interactions
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ABSTRACT

Veverimer is a polymer being developed as a potential treatment of metabolic acidosis in patients with chronic kidney disease. Veverimer selectively binds and removes hydrochloric acid from the gastrointestinal tract, resulting in an increase in serum bicarbonate. Veverimer is not systemically absorbed, so potential drug-drug interactions (DDIs) are limited to effects on the absorption of other oral drugs through binding to veverimer in the gastrointestinal tract or increases in gastric pH caused by veverimer binding to hydrochloric acid. In vitro binding experiments using a panel of 16 test drugs, no positively charged, neutral, or zwitterionic drugs bound to veverimer. Three negatively charged drugs (furosemide, aspirin, ethacrynic acid) bound to veverimer; however, this binding was reduced or eliminated in the presence of normal physiologic concentrations (100–170 mM) of chloride. Veverimer increased gastric pH in vivo by 1.5–3 pH units. This pH elevation peaked within 1 hour and had returned to baseline after 1.5–3 hours. Omeprazole did not alter the effect of veverimer on gastric pH. The clinical relevance of in vitro binding and the transient increase in gastric pH was evaluated in human DDI studies using two drugs with the most binding to veverimer (furosemide, aspirin) and two additional drugs with pH-dependent solubility effecting absorption (dabigatran, warfarin). None of the four drugs showed clinically meaningful DDI with veverimer in human studies. Based on the physicochemical characteristics of veverimer and results from in vitro and human studies, veverimer is unlikely to have significant DDIs.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Patients with chronic kidney disease, who are usually on many drugs, are vulnerable to drug-drug interactions (DDIs). The potential for DDIs with veverimer was evaluated based on the known site of action and physicochemical structure of the polymer, which restricts the compound to the gastrointestinal tract. Based on the findings from in vitro and human studies, we conclude that veverimer is unlikely to have clinically significant DDIs.

Introduction

Metabolic acidosis is a serious complication of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and accelerates its progression (Wesson et al., 2020). Patients with CKD generate metabolic acids and have a reduced capacity to excrete acid through the kidneys (Alpern and Sakhaee, 1997; Scialla and Anderson, 2013; Goraya and Wesson, 2017). Correction of metabolic acidosis in CKD slows kidney disease progression (de Brito-Ashurst et al., 2009; Mahajan et al., 2010; Phisitkul et al., 2010; Goraya et al., 2013; Goraya et al., 2014; Gameeta et al., 2016; Dubey et al., 2018), improves muscle mass and function (de Brito-Ashurst et al., 2009; Abramowizit et al., 2013; Dubey et al., 2018), and improves bone health (Domrongkitchaiporn et al., 2002).

Veverimer is being developed as a once-daily treatment of metabolic acidosis in patients with CKD. Veverimer is an orally administered, nonabsorbed, insoluble, free-amine polymer that combines high capacity and selectivity to bind and remove hydrochloric acid (HCl) from the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, resulting in an increase in serum bicarbonate (Bushinsky et al., 2018; Wesson et al., 2019a,b). Acid binding and removal using a nonabsorbed polymer is a novel approach to treating metabolic acidosis.
metabolic acidosis. Within the GI tract, the polymer restores the ability to excrete acid from the body. This mechanism of action is fundamental to the effectiveness of veverimer in treating metabolic acidosis and is distinct from the mechanisms of other drugs, such as proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) and histamine H2-receptor antagonists, that affect gastric pH but do not have an effect on systemic acid-base balance. The effect of veverimer on acid binding, as assessed by a change in gastric pH, was evaluated in this study both in the presence and absence of a PPI. The effect of veverimer on a background of PPI use was a relevant question because these drugs affect gastric pH and are commonly used.

Veverimer was designed to bind HCl with high capacity and specificity. After ingestion, veverimer is protonated, and the positively charged polymer selectively binds the smallest anion in the GI tract, chloride, with little or no binding of other anions (Klaerner et al., 2020). The high HCl-binding capacity is a function of the amine content of the polymer, whereas the high specificity for chloride binding is the result of extensive crosslinking within the polymer beads that excludes anions larger than chloride (e.g., phosphate, citrate, bile acids, and short-chain and long-chain fatty acids) and minimizes interaction between the polymer and other concomitantly administered oral drugs (Klaerner et al., 2020).

Polypharmacy is common in CKD, and drug-drug interactions (DDIs) are a continuing clinical concern (Rama et al., 2012; Sommer et al., 2020). We used a directed approach based on the known physical and chemical characteristics of veverimer to analyze its potential for DDIs. Veverimer is too large to be systemically absorbed and we evaluated the effect of veverimer on gastric pH in healthy volunteers. Results from these studies informed the selection of drugs for human DDI studies. Veverimer was designed to bind HCl with high capacity and specificity. After ingestion, veverimer is protonated, and the positively charged polymer selectively binds the smallest anion in the GI tract, chloride, with little or no binding of other anions (Klaerner et al., 2020). The high HCl-binding capacity is a function of the amine content of the polymer, whereas the high specificity for chloride binding is the result of extensive crosslinking within the polymer beads that excludes anions larger than chloride (e.g., phosphate, citrate, bile acids, and short-chain and long-chain fatty acids) and minimizes interaction between the polymer and other concomitantly administered oral drugs (Klaerner et al., 2020).

Polypharmacy is common in CKD, and drug-drug interactions (DDIs) are a continuing clinical concern (Rama et al., 2012; Sommer et al., 2020). We used a directed approach based on the known physical and chemical characteristics of veverimer to analyze its potential for DDIs (Fig. 1). Veverimer is too large to be systemically absorbed and we evaluated the effect of veverimer on gastric pH in healthy volunteers. Results from these studies informed the selection of drugs for human DDI studies.

![Fig. 1](image-url)

*Fig. 1. Strategy to assess potential for veverimer drug-drug interactions. We used a directed approach based on the known physical and chemical characteristics of veverimer to analyze its potential for DDIs. Veverimer is too large to be systemically absorbed; therefore, its potential for DDIs is limited to effects on the absorption of other orally administered drugs either through 1) binding to veverimer or 2) transient increases in gastric pH caused by veverimer binding to HCl. To identify candidate drugs for testing with veverimer in human DDI studies, we conducted in vitro studies to identify the characteristics most likely to lead to binding to veverimer, and we evaluated the effect of veverimer on gastric pH in healthy volunteers. Results from these studies informed the selection of drugs for human DDI studies.*
respectively. At each time point, a 400-μL aliquot was filtered, diluted 10-fold, and analyzed by ion chromatography to calculate the number of millimoles of acid bound per gram of veverimer.

**In Vitro Assessment of Direct Drug Binding to Veverimer: Test Drug Panel** An in vitro test system was designed to assess the potential for binding interactions between veverimer and a set of test drugs that included 14 oral medications used in patients with CKD as well as two water-soluble vitamins (Table 1). The test drug panel included prototypical drugs from 14 distinct drug classes that ranged in size from 129 to 482 Da; were positively charged (N = 5), neutral/zwitterionic (N = 4), or negatively charged (N = 7); and comprised all four Biopharmaceutics Classification System classes, covering a range of solubilities and permeabilities.

In vitro binding assays were conducted using seven matrices mimicking the pH and ionic conditions of the GI tract: simulated gastric fluid (SGF) with or without additional 60 mM HCl and without pepsin (pH 1−1.2); 50, 100, or 200 mM acetate buffer (pH 4.5); and simulated intestinal fluid (SIF) with or without an additional 50 mM phosphate (PO₄) and without pancreatin (pH 6.8). The matrices with higher buffering capacity (SGF + 60 mM HCl, 100 mM and 200 mM acetate buffer, SIF + 50 mM PO₄) were used to maintain the pH of the incubation mixture around the target pH values of 1.2, 4.5, and 6.8, respectively, in SIF.

Furosemide, metformin hydrochloride, rosuvastatin, spironolactone, valsartan, and warfarin) Syringe filters with a 0.45-μm polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) filter plate unit (allopurinol, aspirin, gliclazide, metoprolol tartrate, lisinopril, riboflavin, thiamine hydrochloride, and trimethoprim) or a 0.45-μm PVDF syringe filter (amlodipine besylate, etacytic acid, furosemide, metformin hydrochloride, rosvastatin, spironolactone, valsartan, and warfarin). Syringe filters were used for test drugs that adsorbed to PVDF filter plates. Filters were transferred to glass vials for analysis by high-performance liquid chromatography with UV absorbance detection. The 90% confidence intervals of test drug recovery with veverimer (population 1) and without veverimer (population 2) were calculated as follows.

### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Drug (Conc.; μg/mL)</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>BCS Class</th>
<th>MM</th>
<th>pKa</th>
<th>1.2</th>
<th>4.5</th>
<th>6.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positively charged drugs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amlodipine (5)</td>
<td>Calcium channel blocker</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>408.9</td>
<td>9.21B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metformin (500)</td>
<td>Biguanide</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>2.94B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metoprolol (25)</td>
<td>β blocker</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>267.4</td>
<td>9.61B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamine (1)</td>
<td>Vitamin B1</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>265.4</td>
<td>4.3B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim (100)</td>
<td>Antibiotic</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>290.3</td>
<td>7.14B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral/zwitterionic test drugs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allopurinol (100)</td>
<td>Xanthine oxidase inhibitor</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>136.1</td>
<td>9.31A</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisinopril (5)</td>
<td>ACE inhibitor</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>405.5</td>
<td>1.63A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin (1.2)</td>
<td>Vitamin B2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>376.4</td>
<td>9.69A</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone (25)</td>
<td>Aldosterone antagonists</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>416.6</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negatively charged drugs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin (81)</td>
<td>Platelet aggregation inhibitor</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>180.2</td>
<td>3.50A</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethacrynic acid (25)</td>
<td>Diuretic</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>303.1</td>
<td>3.50A</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furosemide (20)</td>
<td>Diuretic</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>330.7</td>
<td>3.62A</td>
<td>10.16A</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliclazide (40)</td>
<td>Sulfonylurea</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>323.4</td>
<td>5.80A</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosuvastatin (5)</td>
<td>HMG COA reductase inhibitor</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>481.5</td>
<td>4.6A</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N/–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valsartan (40)</td>
<td>Angiotensin II antagonist</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>435.5</td>
<td>3.9A</td>
<td>4.7A</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin (1)</td>
<td>Antithrombotic</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>308.3</td>
<td>4.94A</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N/–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ΔΔC_{T_{lower-sided}}^{90\% \text{ confidence interval}} = s_2 \sqrt{\frac{n_1 - 1}{n_1 n_2 - 2}}

wherein $s_2^2$ is the variance of $n_2$ observations from population 2, and $DF = n_1 + n_2 - 2$.

The lower bound is calculated with the minus sign, and the upper bound is calculated with the plus sign. These bounds are 90% intervals on the differences of the natural log-transformed variables. Exponentiating differences in logs results in ratios. Thus, the 90% confidence interval can be expressed in % Ratios as 100 exp(lower bound), 100 exp(upper bound).

Based on information available for other nonabsorbed drugs [Velphoro (sucrofeny oxohydroxide), 2013; Auryxia (ferric citrate), 2014; Velattusa (patiromer), 2015], a threshold was set for test drug interaction with veverimer at >30% binding (i.e., test drugs, for which both the upper and lower bounds of the 90% confidence intervals (CI) on the ratio of probe recovery in the presence of veverimer to that without veverimer were <30%, were deemed to have no significant binding to veverimer under the conditions tested).

Results from these in vitro studies informed the selection of drugs for human DDI studies.

**Evaluation of Potential Veverimer Drug Binding in the Presence of Chloride** Because veverimer is an HCl-binding polymer, the potential impact of chloride levels on in vitro binding of veverimer to test drugs was assessed. Five representative test drugs from the set of 14 oral medications, each one with a positive (trimethoprim) or neutral (allopurinol) charge and three with a negative charge (aspirin, ethacytic acid, and furosemide), were assessed for veverimer binding in six test matrices supplemented with chloride. Chloride concentrations in the upper GI tract vary between 70 and 170 mM, with an average concentration of approximately 100 mM (Fordtran and Locklear, 1966; Binder, 2012). For binding experiments conducted in the presence of physiologic chloride levels, additional chloride was added to the test matrices to achieve a concentration of approximately 100 mM in SIF and 100 mM in acetate buffer and in SIF.

**Effect of Veverimer on Gastric pH in Healthy Volunteers with and without Omeprazole** An open-label, in-patient, randomized, crossover, 2-stage study in healthy volunteers was conducted to assess the effect of veverimer on gastric pH (Supplemental Fig. S1). The first stage evaluated the magnitude and duration of the effect under both fasted and fed conditions. The second stage
evaluated the effect under fasted and fed conditions in the presence of the proton pump inhibitor omeprazole. The same subjects were to be enrolled in stage 1 and stage 2; subjects who withdrew from the study after stage 1 were replaced to ensure that the same number of subjects (N = 40) participated in each stage. The sample size was estimated based on the integrated acidity variability when no drug was administered to show no difference and ensure that the effect observed with veverimer was due to the drug administration and not the natural variability of the pH levels in healthy subjects. The number of subjects enrolled was based on in-house data and conservative assumptions regarding intrasubject and intersubject coefficients of variation to obtain a power of at least 80%, which was defined as the probability of having a 90% CI for a treatment ratio within the range of 80.00–125.00%.

Both stage 1 and stage 2 had a randomized (1:1:1:1), 4-period, 4-way crossover design. One of four study drug treatments was administered on day 1 of each of four treatment periods in each stage. Periods 1 and 2 and periods 3 and 4 were each conducted over 2 consecutive days; period 2 and period 3 were separated by a 1-day rest period. As specified in the protocol, after completion of period 4 in stage 1 and review of the preliminary intragastric pH data, the decision was made to conduct stage 2.

Stage 2 began with a 6-day run-in period (days –6 to –1), during which subjects received omeprazole QD. Baseline 22-hour intragastric pH monitoring was performed beginning on day –1. After completion of the run-in period, subjects received omeprazole QD through day 1 of period 4. Key entry criteria for the study are provided in Supplemental Section 3.1.1.1. The study included nine pH-monitoring periods: four in stage 1 and five in stage 2. On day 1 of each treatment period in stage 1 as well as on day –1 of the run-in period and on day 1 of each treatment period in stage 2, gastric pH was measured and recorded continuously for 22 hours using a microelectrode probe. See Supplemental Section 3.1.1.2 for further details.

In stage 1, subjects were domiciled at the clinical research unit (CRU) from day –1 of period 1 through completion of study procedures on day 2 of period 4. In stage 2, subjects were domiciled at the CRU on day –7 through completion of study procedures on day –6 of the run-in period and again from day –2 of the run-in period through completion of study procedures on day 2 of period 4. Adverse event (AE) collection continued for 14 days after completion of pH monitoring.

In stage 1 of the study, subjects received the following treatments:

- **Treatment A:** water fasted. Ninety (90) mL of water administered at hour 0 on day 1 under fasted conditions.
- **Treatment B:** water fed. Ninety (90) mL of water administered at hour 0 on day 1 within 15 minutes after the start of a standard breakfast.
- **Treatment C:** veverimer fasted. Nine (9) g veverimer administered as an oral suspension with 90 mL of water at hour 0 on day 1 under fasted conditions.
- **Treatment D:** veverimer fed. Nine (9) g veverimer administered as an oral suspension with 90 mL of water at hour 0 on day 1 within 15 minutes after the start of a standard breakfast.

In stage 2, omeprazole (40 mg delayed-release capsule) was administered QD with 240 mL of water approximately 1 hour prior to a standard meal at the end of the afternoon or early evening on day –6 through day –1 of the run-in period and from day 1 of period 1 through day 1 of period 4 (within ±1 hour of omeprazole dosing time on day –6 of the run-in period).

In stage 2 of the study, subjects received the following treatments:

- **Treatment E:** water fasted. Ninety (90) mL of water administered at hour 0 on day 1 under fasted conditions.
- **Treatment F:** water fed. Ninety (90) mL of water administered at hour 0 on day 1 within 15 minutes after the start of a standard breakfast.
- **Treatment G:** veverimer fasted. Nine (9) g veverimer administered as an oral suspension with 90 mL of water at hour 0 on day 1 under fasted conditions.
- **Treatment H:** veverimer fed. Nine (9) g veverimer administered as an oral suspension with 90 mL of water at hour 0 on day 1 within 15 minutes after the start of a standard breakfast.

Safety was monitored throughout the study with clinical laboratory evaluations, reporting of AEs, physical examination, vital signs, and 12-lead ECGs.

Information on subject disposition is provided in Supplemental Table S2. Demographics and key baseline information for the study population are presented in Supplemental Table S3. Most subjects were White (80.0% in stage 1; 77.5% in stage 2), and approximately half were of Hispanic or Latino ethnicity (57.5% in each stage). Most subjects were female (67.5% in stage 1; 65.0% in stage 2), and the mean age was 33.4 years in stage 1 and 35.5 years in stage 2.

Results from this study informed the selection of additional drugs for human DDI studies. Three albums concluded with the two drugs that showed the highest binding with veverimer in vitro: aspirin and furosemide. Given the transient effect of veverimer on gastric pH, human DDI studies were also conducted to evaluate the potential for veverimer to affect the bioavailability of drugs with pH-dependent solubility; the victim drugs evaluated in these studies were the weak acids furosemide and warfarin and the weak base dabigatran (Supplemental Table S4).

Human DDI studies were open-label, in-patient, randomized, crossover studies that examined the effect of veverimer on the pharmacokinetics (PK) profile of each of the four victim drugs. The treatments administered comprised victim drug alone, victim drug coadministered with veverimer, and victim drug separated from veverimer by 1–3 hours, and subjects were randomly assigned to treatment sequence (Supplemental Figs. S2 and S3). Key entry criteria for the studies are provided in Supplemental Section 3.1.2.1. To maximize the possibility of observing binding to veverimer, the highest anticipated daily dose of veverimer (9 g) and the lowest feasible clinical dose of the victim drugs were administered (20 mg furosemide, 81 mg aspirin, 2 mg warfarin, 150 mg dabigatran). Study treatments were administered during treatment periods in which subjects were confined in a CRU where they were fed a standardized diet with an outpatient washout period between treatment periods and a 14-day follow-up period after the final study treatment. In each treatment period, serial blood samples for PK analysis were collected after administration of the victim drug; the sampling time periods and washout periods between treatments were based on the half-lives of the victim drugs (furosemide: 12-hour sampling time and ±4-day washout period; aspirin: 24-hour sampling time and ±3-day washout period; warfarin: 168-hour sampling time and ±21-day washout period; dabigatran: 72-hour sampling time and ±7-day washout period).

Sample sizes were determined separately for each study (furosemide: 52 subjects; aspirin: 51 subjects; warfarin: 15 subjects; dabigatran: 84 subjects). All analytes [furosemide, acetylsalicylic acid, salicylic acid, R-warfarin, S-warfarin, free dabigatran, total (free + conjugated) dabigatran] were measured in plasma using validated liquid chromatography with tandem mass-spectrometric detection methods.

The primary PK endpoint of each study was the area under the concentration-time curve (AUC0-t) from time 0 to the last observed nonzero concentration (AUC0 cs), AUC from time 0 extrapolated to infinity (AUC0–INF), and Cmax for the victim drug after a single oral dose administered with and without veverimer.

For analyses of PK parameters, treatment A (victim drug alone) was the reference treatment, and the test treatments were treatments B, C, and D (victim drug administered with veverimer). Comparisons of interest were treatment B/treatment A, treatment C/treatment A, and treatment D/treatment A. A mixed-model ANOVA was used to analyze the natural logarithm (ln)-transformed AUC0-t, AUC0-inf, and Cmax of the victim drug. The ANOVA model included sequence, treatment, and period as fixed effects and subject nested within sequence as a random effect. Estimates of least-squares means (LSMs) for victim drug (treatment A, reference), victim drug + veverimer (treatment B, treatment C, or treatment D test), difference in LSM and 90% CIs of the difference between the test and the reference LSM were first constructed in the logarithmic scale. Upon back-transformation, the geometric least-squares mean (GLSM), geometric least-squares-mean ratio (GLSMR), and 90% CI of GLSMR were calculated for AUC0 cs, AUC0 cs, and Cmax. The GLSMRs and 90% CIs of GLSMRs were expressed as percentages relative to treatment A, consistent with the two one-sided tests. The sample size in each study was calculated using a power of at least 80% and an x error of 5%. The power was defined as the probability of having a 90% CI for at least one of the treatment ratios within the interval of 80.00%–125.00%. A true ratio between 95% and 105% was assumed, and the intrasubject CV for each analyte was provided by the clinical research organization responsible for conduct of the studies.

Assessment of Potential Veverimer Drug-Drug Interactions 493

493

Downloaded from dmd.aspetjournals.org at ASPET Journals on November 20, 2021

at ASPET Journals on November 20, 2021 dmd.aspetjournals.org Downloaded from
Two pharmacodynamics (PD) parameters were assessed in the warfarin DDI study: the area under the international normalized ratio (INR) versus time curve from time 0 to the 168-hour time point after warfarin dosing (INR AUC$_{0-168}$) and the maximum observed INR measurement (INR$_{\text{max}}$).

All DDI studies included assessment of the safety and tolerability of veverimer when coadministered with the victim drug. Safety was evaluated from 12-lead ECGs, measurements of vital signs and clinical laboratory parameters, assessment of AEs, and physical examinations.

Information on the disposition of subjects in the human DDI studies is summarized in Supplemental Table S6. A total of 52 subjects were randomized, enrolled, and received at least one dose of study drug in the furosemide DDI study. The furosemide PK analysis set comprised 51 subjects; one subject was excluded during administration of the first dose of veverimer in treatment period 1 and was withdrawn from the study. Per protocol, because the subject did not have ≥2 consecutive time points with measurable furosemide concentrations, he was excluded from the furosemide PK analysis set.

In the aspirin DDI study, a total of 51 subjects were randomized, enrolled, and received at least one dose of study drug and were included in the aspirin PK analysis set. Forty-eight subjects completed the study; three subjects withdrew for diverse reasons (i.e., mild headache, difficulty with study blood draws, personal reasons).

In the warfarin DDI study, a total of 15 subjects were randomized, enrolled, received at least one dose of study drug, and completed the study. The warfarin PK and PD analysis sets comprised 15 subjects who completed sufficiently with the protocol and displayed evaluable PK and PD profiles, respectively. A subset analysis was prespecified that excluded obviously aberrant PK profiles based on the presence of outlier values in one or more of the key PK parameters, which are defined as those falling below the first quartile or above the third quartile by more than 1.5 times the interquartile range, in the event that outlier values were noted during administration of the first dose of veverimer in treatment period 1 and was withdrawn from the study. Per protocol, because the subject did not have ≥2 consecutive time points with measurable warfarin concentrations, he was excluded from the veverimer PK analysis set.

In the aspirin DDI study, a total of 51 subjects were randomized, enrolled, and received at least one dose of study drug and were included in the aspirin PK analysis set. Forty-eight subjects completed the study; three subjects withdrew for diverse reasons (i.e., mild headache, difficulty with study blood draws, personal reasons).

In the warfarin DDI study, Plasma R- and S-warfarin levels were below the limit of quantitation (i.e., <1.00 ng/mL) in all samples collected prior to dosing in period 1. Quantifiable R- and S-warfarin concentrations were observed in all predose plasma samples in periods 2 and 3, which was consistent with carryover from the prior dose. This suggests that the 21-day washout period was insufficient. However, individual predose concentrations were low, ranging from approximately 1.5%-6.8% of the subsequent C$_{\text{max}}$ for R- warfarin, with nine instances exceeding 5%. For S-warfarin, predose concentrations ranged from approximately 2.0%-8.6% of C$_{\text{max}}$ with four instances exceeding 5%. Therefore, it is highly improbable that the measurable predose concentrations had a discernable impact on the PK data.

In the dabigatran DDI study, a total of 84 subjects were randomized, enrolled, and received at least one dose of study drug. Of the 84 subjects in the dabigatran PK analysis set, 81 subjects (96.4%) completed the study, receiving all doses of each study drug; three subjects had incomplete PK data; one subject was discontinued for a protocol violation and had no PK data for treatment D; and three subjects withdrew early for diverse reasons (i.e., mild headache, difficulty with study blood draws, personal reasons).

The three test drugs that bound to veverimer in the acetate buffer matrix (aspirin, ethacrynic acid, and furosemide) all have similar pKa (3.5, 3.5 and 3.62, respectively) and are negatively charged in the acetate buffer matrix. Another test drug, valsartan, has a similar pKa (3.9) but did not bind to veverimer (Fig. 3). Valsartan has a molecular mass of 435.5 Da, whereas the other three test drugs are relatively small, with molecular masses ranging from 180.2 to 330.7 Da, suggesting that the size cutoff for a negatively charged compound to bind to veverimer was between 330 and 435 Da. Furosemide (MM = 330.7) is similar in size to gliclazide (MM = 323.4) and warfarin (MM = 308.3), but of these three weak acids, only furosemide bound to veverimer (Fig. 3). Importantly, only furosemide (pKa = 3.62) but neither gliclazide (pKa = 5.80) nor warfarin (pKa = 4.94) are negatively charged in the acetate buffer matrix.

In the presence of physiologically relevant concentrations of chloride (100 mM), the three test drugs (aspirin, ethacrynic acid, furosemide) that bound to veverimer in acetate buffer were unable to bind, likely because of preferential binding of the small chloride ion (Fig. 4). Drugs previously shown not to bind veverimer (allopurinol, trimethoprim) also did not bind to the polymer in the presence of 100 mM chloride.

**Effects of Veverimer on Gastric pH with and without a Proton Pump Inhibitor.** The magnitude and duration of effect of veverimer on gastric pH were measured continuously in vivo in healthy volunteers using a microelectrode pH probe.

Veverimer increased gastric pH by approximately 3 pH units and approximately 1.5 pH units in fasted and fed subjects, respectively (Fig. 5, A and B). The increase in gastric pH peaked within 1 hour after veverimer dosing and returned to baseline after approximately 1.5 hours and approximately 3 hours under fasting and fed conditions, respectively. The effect on gastric pH after administration of veverimer was similar in the presence and absence of a proton pump inhibitor (omeprazole) (Fig. 5, C and D). Supplemental Figures S4 and S5 show the independent effects of food or omeprazole (in the absence of veverimer) on gastric pH.

A total of 12 of 40 subjects (30%) in stage 1 and 13 of 40 subjects (32.5%) in stage 2 had one or more AEs during the study. No deaths or other serious AEs were reported, and no subject discontinued the study because of an AE. The most common AEs observed in the veverimer treatment periods (i.e., reported by more than one subject in either study stage in the veverimer-fasted and veverimer-fed treatment periods)
combined) were headache, nausea, and oropharyngeal pain. All AEs were mild (22.5% of subjects in stage 1 and 30% of subjects in stage 2) or moderate (7.5% of subjects in stage 1 and 2.5% of subjects in stage 2); there were no severe AEs reported for any treatment group. There were no treatment effects noted in this study on clinical laboratory or vital signs parameters, physical examination findings, or ECG intervals.

**Human Drug-Drug Interaction Studies.** Human DDI studies were conducted with drugs that demonstrated the greatest potential for direct interaction with veverimer in vitro (furosemide, aspirin) and those with susceptibility to gastric pH changes (furosemide, warfarin, dabigatran).

No DDIs were observed between veverimer and any of the drugs tested, either with concomitant administration (Fig. 6) or with 1–3-hour dosing separation intervals (Table 2; Supplemental Figs. S6–S19; Supplemental Table S8). For furosemide, acetylsalicylic acid, salicylic acid, R-warfarin, and S-warfarin, GLSM ratios and 90% CIs of C_{max}, AUC_{0-3}, and AUC_{0-inf} for the test treatments (vereverimer coadministered with or separated by 3 hours from the victim drug) all fell within the range of 80.00%–125.00%. When veverimer and dabigatran were coadministered, the 90% CIs of GLSM ratios for free and total dabigatran C_{max} were within the range of 80.00%–125.00%, whereas the lower bound of the 90% CIs for AUC_{0-3} and AUC_{0-inf} fell slightly below 80.00% for free (77.3% and 77.6%, respectively) and total (77.1% and 77.7%, respectively) dabigatran, with GLSM ratio point estimates of 82.4% to 82.8% for both analytes. The GLSM ratios and 90% CIs for free and total dabigatran C_{max}, AUC_{0-3}, and AUC_{0-inf} were within 80.00%–125.00% when veverimer and dabigatran doses were separated by 1 or 2 hours.

Median INR AUC_{0-168} and INR_{max} values after administration of warfarin alone and coadministered with veverimer and administered between two doses of veverimer with a 3-hour dosing separation were 168 hours to 170 hours and 1.00 to 1.10, respectively (Supplemental Figs. S20 and S21; Supplemental Table S9). The 90% CIs for INR AUC_{0-168} and INR_{max} fell within the range of 80.00%–125.00% for both test/reference assessments (Supplemental Table S10).

In the furosemide DDI study, there were no deaths, serious AEs, or severe treatment-emergent AEs. Three subjects were withdrawn because...
of treatment-emergent AEs (mild postural dizziness, mild eosinophil count increase and mild white blood cell count increase, mild vomiting). In the aspirin DDI study, there were no deaths, serious AEs, or severe treatment-emergent AEs. All treatment-emergent AEs were mild. One subject withdrew because of a mild headache. In the warfarin DDI study, there were no deaths or treatment-emergent AEs leading to discontinuation of study drug. One subject had a serious AE [jaw fracture (due to trauma)] 10 days after study treatment in period 1. This event was assessed as unrelated to study drug. All other treatment-emergent AEs that occurred were mild or moderate and nonserious. In the dabigatran DDI study, there were no deaths, serious AEs, or severe treatment-emergent AEs. There were no treatment-emergent AEs that led to discontinuation of study drug.

There were no notable changes from baseline to any time point for hematology, serum chemistry, or urinalysis parameters or for vital signs or ECG parameters in any of the DDI studies.

Discussion

Reported here are results from in vitro and in vivo studies that evaluated potential interactions of veverimer with other orally administered drugs. We considered the physicochemical and biopharmaceutical
properties and method of use of veverimer to design a rational, sequential, and tailored approach that examined possible mechanisms of interaction. Because the polymer is not absorbed from the GI tract, it is unlikely that it would alter the pharmacokinetics of concomitantly administered drugs through inhibition and/or induction of drug-metabolizing enzymes or transporters. Potential interactions with veverimer are restricted to those that could affect absorption of other drugs from the GI tract, such as through direct binding or indirect effects on bioavailability resulting from transient increases in gastric pH.

Fig. 4. In vitro binding of test drugs to veverimer in the presence of physiologically relevant concentrations of chloride. Five representative test drugs from the set of 14 oral medications, one each with a positive (trimethoprim) or neutral (allopurinol) charge and three with a negative charge (aspirin, ethacrynic acid, and furosemide) were assessed for veverimer binding in six test matrices supplemented with 100 mM chloride: (A) 4.5 mg/mL veverimer in SGF + 100 mM chloride; (B) 9.0 mg/mL veverimer in SGF + 100 mM chloride; (C) 4.5 mg/mL veverimer in 50 mM acetate buffer + 100 mM chloride; (D) 9.0 mg/mL veverimer in 100 mM acetate buffer + 100 mM chloride; (E) 4.5 mg/mL veverimer in SIF with 100 mM chloride; (F) 9.0 mg/mL veverimer in SIF + 50 mM PO4 with 100 mM chloride. The dashed line represents the threshold for test drug interaction with veverimer. PO4, phosphate. N = 6, mean ± 90% confidence interval.
The mechanism by which veverimer transiently reduces acidity in the GI tract after ingestion involves protonation of the polyamine polymer with subsequent binding of chloride and the removal of HCl from the GI tract in the feces (Bushinsky et al., 2018). The highly crosslinked structure of veverimer confers a marked size exclusion selectivity to the negatively charged moieties that bind to the protonated polymer, strongly favoring binding of the smallest anions and restricting binding of larger anions (Klaerner et al., 2020). These properties were affirmed in studies reported here evaluating the in vitro binding of a range of anionic probe molecules and a diverse panel of test drugs clinically relevant to the CKD population. The results of these studies illustrated the predisposition of veverimer to bind negatively charged molecules, with an inverse relationship between size of the anion and its propensity for binding to the polymer (Fig. 2); data on furosemide, gliclazide, and warfarin suggested that size is secondary to negative charge in this regard. Although binding of aspirin, ethacrynic acid, and furosemide to veverimer was significant at pH 4.5 in acetate buffer, it was reduced or eliminated in the presence of physiologically relevant concentrations of chloride (100–170 mM) (Fig. 4). This is consistent with results from previous in vitro studies in matrices mimicking the lower GI tract demonstrating that veverimer preferentially bound chloride in the presence of competing organic and inorganic anions [e.g., acetate, phosphate, citrate, taurocholate, oleic acid (Klaerner et al., 2020)]. In the current study, chloride effectively competed for veverimer binding sites with the three negatively charged test drugs at chloride concentrations normally present in the GI tract, which predicted a low likelihood of clinically meaningful DDIs mediated by direct binding of even the smallest coadministered anionic drugs.

Consistent with the binding properties of veverimer, administration of the drug did not impact absorption of fat-soluble vitamins from the GI tract in rat and dog chronic toxicity studies (Supplemental Section 2). Since the essential nutrient requirements of rats and dogs are qualitatively similar to humans, the absence of clinically relevant binding of veverimer to any essential dietary substance in the chronic toxicology studies is expected to extrapolate to humans.

The mechanistic effects of veverimer on gastric acidity were evaluated in human volunteers to elucidate the extent and time course of gastric pH changes mediated by the intended removal of HCl from the GI tract by the polymer. Continuous monitoring of stomach acidity demonstrated a modest and transient increase in gastric pH observed after ingestion of a meal or when the PPI omeprazole was given without food was similar to that seen with veverimer given in the fasted state. All three factors individually appeared to increase mean gastric pH by 2–4 pH units, although the time course of the effect on gastric pH differed. Although omeprazole, which had been dosed to steady state prior to the test, increased gastric pH throughout the 22-hour monitoring period, the effects of food and veverimer were short-lived (i.e., disappearing within 1–4 hours). In this way, the effect of veverimer on gastric pH more closely resembled the transient effect of food than the long-lasting effect of a PPI. Thus, the mechanism of action of veverimer (i.e., increasing serum bicarbonate by binding and removing HCl from the GI tract), as assessed by its effect on gastric pH, was unaffected by proton pump inhibition. These findings are consistent with clinical trials in patients with CKD and metabolic acidosis, which showed that the effect of veverimer on serum bicarbonate was
 binding or modulation of acidity in the gastric compartment. Human DDI studies were performed to test these hypotheses. Furosemide and aspirin were chosen as probe drugs to characterize the DDI potential of veverimer because these drugs showed the highest binding to veverimer in vitro. The human DDI studies were designed to maximize the potential for binding to the polymer by administering the highest therapeutic dose of veverimer with the lowest dose of the victim drug that would allow adequate characterization of its pharmacokinetic parameters. In addition, the transient increase in gastric pH caused by veverimer could represent a potential DDI risk for drugs with pH-sensitive solubility. Therefore, DDI studies were conducted using three drugs with pH-dependent solubility that are used in the veverimer target population (warfarin, furosemide, dabigatran).

No DDIs were observed between veverimer and any of the four victim drugs tested, either with concomitant administration or with dose separations of 1 to 3 hours. Administration of veverimer with the victim drugs did not result in a clinically relevant change in exposure of aspirin or its metabolite, salicylic acid, furosemide, or warfarin (R- and S-enantiomers) and did not alter the pharmacodynamic effect of warfarin, as indicated by international normalized ratio values. The 90% confidence intervals for GLSM ratios for all analytes under both dosing conditions were completely contained within a reference range of 80.00% to 125.00%, which are the boundaries used in evaluating bioequivalence (Food and Drug Administration, 2014). With both free and total dabigatran, GLSM ratio point estimates were reduced by less than 18% when coadministered with veverimer, with the effect lessening slightly with a widening of the dose separation interval (e.g., 1 and 2 hours). This minimal reduction is substantially less than that observed when dabigatran was coadministered with the PPI pantoprazole, which diminished dabigatran $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC by 40% and 28%, respectively, changes that are not clinically meaningful (Zhang et al., 2014). Notably, the lack of effect on aspirin, furosemide, warfarin, and dabigatran exposures indicates that veverimer does not interact in a clinically relevant manner.

![Fig. 6. Effects of veverimer on the pharmacokinetic exposures of other orally administered medications. The effect of veverimer on the bioavailability of test drugs chosen to represent medications most likely to interact with veverimer via direct binding (furosemide, aspirin) or via indirect effects on gastric pH (furosemide, warfarin, dabigatran) was assessed in healthy volunteers.](image-url)

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte Parameter</th>
<th>Concomitant Administration</th>
<th>1-Hour Separation</th>
<th>2-Hour Separation</th>
<th>3-Hour Separation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetylsalicylic acid</td>
<td>94.4% (86.0%, 103.5%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>94.3% (85.9%, 103.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)</td>
<td>85.1% (81.4%, 89.0%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>98.3% (94.0%, 102.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{0-t} (hour·ng/mL)</td>
<td>85.1% (81.4%, 89.0%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>98.2% (94.0%, 102.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylsalicylic acid</td>
<td>103.7% (100.3%, 107.2%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>101.4% (98.0%, 104.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (mg/mL)</td>
<td>91.8% (90.0%, 93.5%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>97.9% (96.0%, 99.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{0-t} (mg/mL)</td>
<td>91.7% (90.0%, 93.5%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>97.5% (95.6%, 99.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furosemide</td>
<td>99.5% (89.8%, 110.3%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>107.3% (96.8%, 118.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (mg/mL)</td>
<td>91.1% (87.5%, 94.9%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>101.3% (97.3%, 105.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{0-t} (mg/mL)</td>
<td>89.9% (86.5%, 93.5%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>99.9% (96.1%, 103.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-Warfarin</td>
<td>105.5% (99.6%, 111.7%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100.7% (95.1%, 106.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)</td>
<td>100.9% (98.2%, 103.7%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>99.7% (97.0%, 102.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{0-t} (mg/mL)</td>
<td>100.6% (93.9%, 105.6%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>99.7% (95.4%, 104.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Warfarin</td>
<td>107.3% (96.5%, 119.3%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>101.5% (91.3%, 113.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)</td>
<td>98.0% (93.5%, 102.6%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>98.9% (94.4%, 103.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{0-t} (mg/mL)</td>
<td>98.5% (93.2%, 104.2%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>98.1% (92.8%, 103.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free dabigatran</td>
<td>87.8% (81.3%, 94.8%)</td>
<td>93.6% (84.0%, 104.0%)</td>
<td>111.0% (103.0%, 120.0%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)</td>
<td>82.4% (77.3%, 87.8%)</td>
<td>88.5% (80.3%, 97.6%)</td>
<td>94.5% (88.7%, 101.0%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{0-t} (mg/mL)</td>
<td>82.6% (77.6%, 87.9%)</td>
<td>88.5% (80.4%, 97.5%)</td>
<td>94.6% (88.9%, 101.0%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dabigatran</td>
<td>88.3% (81.5%, 95.7%)</td>
<td>95.6% (86.0%, 106.0%)</td>
<td>115.0% (107.0%, 125.0%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/mL)</td>
<td>82.4% (77.1%, 88.0%)</td>
<td>89.1% (80.9%, 98.1%)</td>
<td>97.9% (91.6%, 105.0%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{0-t} (mg/mL)</td>
<td>82.8% (77.7%, 88.2%)</td>
<td>89.2% (81.1%, 98.0%)</td>
<td>98.0% (91.9%, 104.0%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA, not applicable.
with small, negatively charged drugs or drugs that have pH-dependent solubility, demonstrating the lack of two potential mechanisms by which the veverimer polymer might foster DDIs.

In summary, the potential for DDIs with veverimer was evaluated based on the known site of action and physicochemical structure of the polymer, which restricts the compound to the GI tract and direct binding interactions. In vitro studies defined three parameters of test drugs that controlled potential direct DDIs with veverimer, including charge, molecular mass, and competition with chloride anion. Human DDIs in healthy volunteers demonstrated that concomitant dosing of veverimer with drugs showing in vitro binding had no effect on test drug bioavailability; similarly, drugs with pH-sensitive solubility were not affected by coadministration with veverimer. Patients with CKD, who are usually on many drugs, are particularly vulnerable to DDIs (Sommer et al., 2020). Based on the physicochemical characteristics of veverimer and the findings from the in vitro and human studies presented here, we conclude that veverimer is unlikely to have clinically significant DDIs.
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