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ABSTRACT

There is a lack of translational preclinical models that can predict hepatic handling of drugs. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the applicability of normothermic machine perfusion (NMP) of porcine livers as a novel ex vivo model to predict hepatic clearance, biliary excretion, and plasma exposure of drugs. For this evaluation, we dosed atorvastatin, pitavastatin, and rosuvastatin as model drugs to porcine livers and studied the effect of common drug-drug interactions (DDIs) on these processes. After 120 minutes of perfusion, 0.104 mg atorvastatin (n = 3), 0.140 mg pitavastatin (n = 5), or 1.4 mg rosuvastatin (n = 4) was administered to the portal vein, which was followed 120 minutes later by a second bolus of the statin coadministered with OATP perpetrator drug rifampicin (67.7 mg). After the first dose, all statins were rapidly cleared from the circulation (hepatic extraction ratio > 0.7) and excreted into the bile. Presence of human-specific atorvastatin metabolites confirmed the metabolic capacity of porcine livers. The predicted biliary clearance of rosuvastatin was found to be closer to the observed biliary clearance. A rank order of the DDIs between the various systems upon coadministration with rifampicin could be observed: atorvastatin (AUC ratio 7.2) > rosuvastatin (AUC ratio 3.1) > pitavastatin (AUC ratio 2.6), which is in good agreement with the clinical DDI data. The results from this study demonstrated the applicability of using NMP of porcine livers as a novel preclinical model to study OATP-mediated DDI and its effect on hepatic clearance, biliary excretion, and plasma profile of drugs.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

This study evaluated the use of normothermic machine perfusion (NMP) of porcine livers as a novel preclinical model to study hepatic clearance, biliary excretion, plasma (metabolite) profile of statins, and OATP-mediated DDI. Results showed that NMP of porcine livers is a reliable model to study OATP-mediated DDI. Overall, the rank order of DDI severity indicated in these experiments is in good agreement with clinical data, indicating the potential importance of this new ex vivo model in early drug discovery.

Introduction

The liver is a complex organ involved in the uptake, metabolism, and biliary excretion of xenobiotics and endogenous compounds. Transporters located at the basolateral side of the plasma membrane, such as the organic anion transporting peptides (OATP) 1B1, 1B3, 2B1, Na+-taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (NTCP), and the organic anion transporter 2, are involved in the uptake of drugs and endogenous compounds from the portal and arterial circulation into hepatocytes. After uptake, compounds can be metabolized, for example by the cytochrome P450 family of enzymes, and subsequently effluxed into the bile across the canalicular membrane or back into the systemic circulation across the sinusoidal membrane (Yang et al., 2009). Several transporters are involved in the hepatic efflux, including multidrug resistance protein 1 (MDR1; P-glycoprotein), breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP), bile salt export pump (BSEP), and multidrug resistance–associated protein 2 (MRP2) at the canalicular membrane and multidrug resistance–associated protein 3 and 4 (MRP3 and MRP4) at the basolateral membrane. Concomitant administration of drugs that are substrates for the same transporters and/or metabolizing enzymes can result in a drug-drug interaction (DDI) affecting plasma as well as biliary levels of one of the drugs. As a result, this can change the drug concentration at
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the target, or it can even lead to toxicity (e.g., drug-induced liver injury). Therefore, interactions affecting hepatic uptake and biliary excretion are important to characterize in the preclinical phase of drug development (Ito et al., 1998; Fagerholm, 2008).

To evaluate the potential DDI for newly developed drugs, the Food and Drug Administration stated that it is important to understand the principal route of the drug’s elimination and to understand the contribution and effect of the drug on transporters and metabolizing enzymes. DDI at the transporter level is especially important to characterize since they control the absorption, distribution, and elimination of the drug in various organs (https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/vitro-drug-interaction-studies-cytochrome-p450-enzyme-and-transporter-mediated-drug-interactions). When a newly developed drug, for example, is intended to be used by a population that is likely to also use statins, the sponsor should examine the potential of the investigational drug to interact with OATP1B1/1B3 (https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/vitro-drug-interaction-studies-cytochrome-p450-enzyme-and-transporter-mediated-drug-interactions).

To study complex processes such as transporter-enzyme interplay, potential DDI, and biliary excretion, physiologically relevant models are needed that recapitulate all functions of the liver, including the ability to produce bile (Stevens et al., 2020). The sandwich-cultured hepatocyte and rat liver perfusion models are known to capture these complex processes and are currently used to investigate hepatobiliary disposition of drugs. Unfortunately, these models have their own limitations. In vitro to in vivo translation often fails because of species differences or differences in transporter expression, resulting in difficulties in the prediction of plasma profiles after oral and intravenous administration (Guillouzo, 1998). Therefore, extrapolation of data obtained using rodent models is not feasible for the prediction of human PK and DDI (Gores et al., 1986; Guillouzo, 1998; Chu et al., 2013).

At present, research in the field of organ transplantation is focused on organ preservation techniques using machine perfusion. These pressure-driven perfusion machines are able to perfuse human and porcine livers at a physiologic pressure under oxygenated and normothermic conditions. Porcine organs are often used for method validation and device development, and it has been shown that normothermic machine perfusion (NMP) of the porcine liver is an excellent platform to study hepatic processes (Borrie et al., 2001; Eshmuminov et al., 2020). The pig model is considered as a proper translational model because of anatomic, physiologic, and biochemical similarity to humans, and nowadays this model is increasingly used in biomedical research (Helke and Swindle, 2013). Compared with the rat model, the size of the pig model supports the collection of larger sample volumes, and there is the ability to take tissue biopsies in time. Furthermore, an advantage of using livers from pig origin is the similarity of phase I and phase II biotransformation reactions (Helke and Swindle, 2013), which was recently confirmed in a quantitative proteomic analysis comparing transporter and metabolizing enzyme expression in human and porcine liver (Elmorsi et al., 2020).

In this study, we evaluate using normothermic machine perfusion of porcine livers as a novel preclinical model to predict pharmacokinetic processes. Using three statins as model drug compounds (rosuvastatin, atorvastatin, and pitavastatin), we studied the transporter-mediated hepatic extraction and biliary excretion. Additionally, we examined the effect of rifampicin on the disposition of these three statins.
as described below. At 120 minutes, biopsies were taken (n = 2) to determine the intracellular concentration in the hepatocytes. After the first 120 minutes, a slow bolus at 10 minutes (1 ml/min) of 67.7 mg rifampicin was administered to the liver, and after 5 minutes (t = 125 minutes), a subsequent slow bolus of the statin was administered to the portal vein of the liver. The same sampling schedule for the following 120 minutes was applied. After the last sampling time point of the perfusion experiment, a biopsy was again taken from the liver to determine the intracellular concentration of the substrate and perpetrator. The biopsies were snap-frozen and immediately stored at ≤−70°C.

**Bioanalysis.** The concentration of atorvastatin and its metabolites, pitavastatin, rosuvastatin, and rifampicin in plasma and bile were quantified using LC/MS. Briefly, 20 μl of sample was extracted by adding 100 μl of acetonitrile containing internal standard. Samples were vortexed and centrifuged, and injected into LC/MS for quantification. The details of LC/MS conditions used for the analysis of each compound are shown in Supplemental Table 2. The mass spectrometer (AB Sciex API 5500) was operated in electrospray positive ion mode with the capillary voltage of 5.5 kV and spray temperature of 550°C. The multiple reaction monitoring transitions used for all the compounds are shown Supplemental Table 3.

**Liver Function Assessment.** During the perfusion experiment, blood analysis was executed every hour by measuring, among others, pH, pO2, pCO2, glucose, and lactate concentrations using a blood gas analyzer (i-STAT Corporation, Abbott). Additionally, hepatic artery and portal vein flow and resistance values were reported from the LiverAssist machine.

Multiple parameters were measured in perfusate and bile samples from the perfused livers to study liver viability. Total bilirubin, alanine transaminase (ALT), and aspartate transaminase (AST) concentrations in the plasma and bile samples were measured using a Refflotron (Roche). Additionally, at the end of the perfusion period (360 minutes of perfusion), a bolus (10 mg) of indocyanine green (ICG) was applied to the ex vivo liver to assess liver functionality by studying the clearance of ICG from the perfusate. Samples were taken at t = 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 minutes after dosing. Samples were centrifuged to obtain plasma, and thereafter, 100 μl was sampled and measured at 788 emission and 813 excitation using a microplate reader (Tecan infinite m200 pro).

Liver perfusion studies were approved when the following acceptance criteria were met: 1) stable bile production and bile flow throughout the whole experiment with >12 ml/h after 120 minutes of perfusion; 2) plasma bilirubin levels <20 μmol/L after 120 minutes of perfusion; 3) bile bilirubin levels >200 μmol/L after 120 minutes of perfusion; 4) plateau phase of AST and ALT reached within 60 minutes of perfusion; 5) plasma ICG reduced by 50% within 40 minutes after administration of ICG, under rifampicin conditions.

In total, 13 livers were perfused for a period of 420 minutes. Of these livers, three perfusions were excluded from the PK analysis to study DDIs: one liver exposed to atorvastatin was excluded because of an experimental dosing error (Supplemental Figs. 1 and 2), and two livers exposed to pitavastatin showed acute hepatotoxic effects. The PK data of these livers are shown in the supplemental figures (Supplemental Figs. 1 and 2).

**Quantitative LC-MS/MS Analysis of Hepatic Transporters in Porcine and Human Livers.** To study transporter and enzyme expression in porcine liver tissue compared with the expression in human liver tissue, tissue from five healthy domestic pigs (S. s. domestica, two male and three female, age 10–14 weeks, and body weight between 15 and 25 kg) was collected. These animals were additionally used for educational purposes at the Utrecht University (Utrecht, The Netherlands). The local animal welfare office approved the use of these animals for these purposes, which was in full compliance with the aim to contribute to the reduction, refinement, and replacement of animal experiments. Before termination, pigs had free access to food and water. Liver tissue of domestic pigs was collected only when defined healthy as judged by a veterinarian.

Human liver samples were derived from 15 individuals, of which five were anonymously collected at the University Medical Center of Groningen (Groningen, The Netherlands) and were kindly provided by Prof. Dr. G.M.M. Groothuis (University of Groningen, The Netherlands) and 10 were collected at the Department of Surgery, Uppsala University Hospital (Uppsala, Sweden), and were kindly provided by Prof. Dr. P. Artursson [seven male and three female donors; human liver specimens also used in previously published study (Wegler et al., 2017)]. Collection of redundant tissue from surgeries (collected as waste
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**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate (Victim Drug)</th>
<th>Transporters Involved</th>
<th>Metabolism</th>
<th>Fraction Absorbed</th>
<th>Oral Doses</th>
<th>Bolus Applied to Ex Vivo Liver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin</td>
<td>NTCP, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, and OATP2B1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CYP3A4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitavastatin</td>
<td>BCRP, NTCP, OATP1B1, and OATP1B3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CYP2C9&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosuvastatin</td>
<td>OATP1B1, OATP1B3, NTCP, MRP2, and BCRP&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CYP2C9&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>50&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perpetrator drug</td>
<td>Rifampicin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>600&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>67.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Takehara et al. (2018).
<sup>b</sup>Mori et al. (2019).
<sup>c</sup>Mukhtar et al. (2005).
<sup>d</sup>Schneck et al. (2004).
<sup>e</sup>Sjöberg et al. (2013).
<sup>f</sup>Zwolska et al. (1998).
<sup>g</sup>Lennemas (2003).
<sup>h</sup>Bergman et al. (2006).
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material) was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the University Medical Center of Groningen or the Uppsala Ethical Review Board (ethical approval number 2009/028 and 2011/037). No clinically relevant or identifiable information from the patients was collected.

To determine the protein levels of BCRP, BSEP, MDR1, MRP1, MRP2, OATP2B1, OCT1, GLUT1, MCT1, MRP3, NTCP, OATP1B1, and OATP1B3 in porcine and human liver tissue, we followed the protocol of membrane isolation and trypsin digestion as previously described for tissue samples and cell lines (Bosgra et al., 2014; Vaessen et al., 2017). All samples were processed in duplicate. LC-MS/MS settings were as previously described (Vaessen et al., 2017). For each peptide, three transitions were chosen (Q3–1, Q3–2, and Q3–3) for quantitation and confirmation (Supplemental Table 4). In case no suitable prototypic peptide could be selected for the human and porcine transporter proteins, two separate peptides were selected and synthesized (Supplemental Table 4). Peptides labeled with 15N and 13C (AQUA peptide) were synthesized (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie, Steinheim, Germany) and used as an internal standard for quantification. For each peptide, a calibration curve of 0.01–50 ng/ml and quality controls were included in every run. Data are expressed as femtomoles transporter protein per milligram liver.

Data Analysis. Data obtained during the perfusion studies were analyzed using GraphPad Prism version 8. Values for the area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) were calculated using the linear trapezoidal method. The AUC ratio (AUCR) was determined by dividing the AUC120–240 minutes/AUC0–120 minutes. Student’s t test was used to analyze differences between two groups.

Results

Assessment of Liver Functionality during Perfusion. During the perfusion of the livers, flow and bile production were monitored. The perfused livers (n = 3 for atorvastatin, n = 3 for pitavastatin, and n = 4 for rosuvastatin) included in the PK analysis showing a stable portal and arterial flow of 1548 ± 229 ml/min and 175 ± 67 ml/min, respectively, and substantial bile production throughout the experiment (on average 79.2 ± 27.6 ml in a period of 360 minutes) (Supplemental Fig. 1). With 57.1 ± 15.7 ml, the livers exposed to pitavastatin produced the least amount of bile during the total perfusion period. For atorvastatin- and rosuvastatin-exposed livers, bile production was 78.9 ± 24.7 ml and 107.2 ± 12.5 ml, respectively.

Plasma Concentration Profiles and Biliary Excretion of Atorvastatin, Pitavastatin, and Rosuvastatin. To study plasma and biliary clearance of the statins, atorvastatin (0.104 mg), pitavastatin (0.141 mg), or rosuvastatin (1.400 mg) was administered via the portal vein in a slow bolus (10 minutes, 1 ml/min), resulting in initial portal concentrations of 7.02 ng/ml, 8.50 ng/ml, and 108.73 ng/ml for atorvastatin, pitavastatin, and rosuvastatin, respectively. During the dosing period and thereafter, multiple perfusate and bile samples were taken to assess the pharmacokinetic profile of the drugs. Sampling at the portal vein during the 10-minute dosing period, and simultaneously at the arterial side, enabled us to calculate the hepatic extraction ratio. Figure 2 and Table 2 show the plasma and biliary profile of the statins and plasma rifampicin concentration. The livers showed a hepatic extraction ratio of 0.8 ± 0.1 for all statins (Fig. 2, A, B, D, E, G, and H; Table 2). Within 10 minutes after the start of the bolus administration, atorvastatin was detected in the bile with a Tmax of 35.0 ± 5.0 minutes. A total of 13.0% ± 5.6% of the parent compound was secreted into the bile within 120 minutes of perfusion. Pitavastatin secretion into the bile was slower and was detected in the bile 20 minutes after the start of the administration with a substantially lower total biliary excretion of 1.1% ± 0.1% within 120 minutes. Rosuvastatin was detected in the bile 10 minutes after the start of the administration, reaching peak levels at 22.5

Fig. 2. Pharmacokinetic profile of atorvastatin (dosed as slow bolus of 0.104 mg over 10 minutes to portal vein) in plasma (A) and bile (B), pitavastatin (dosed as slow bolus of 0.141 mg over 10 minutes to portal vein) in plasma (D) and bile (E), and rosuvastatin (dosed as slow bolus of 1.400 mg to portal vein) on plasma (G) and bile (H) Plasma pharmacokinetic profile of rifampicin (dosed as bolus of 67.7 mg to the portal vein) shown for each experiment (C, F, and I).
TABLE 2

Values are means ± S.D. (n = 3 for atorvastatin, n = 3 for pitavastatin, and n = 4 for rosuvastatin).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statin PK</th>
<th>Substrate Alone</th>
<th>Rifampicin Fold Change</th>
<th>Substrate Alone</th>
<th>Rifampicin Fold Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma Cmax (ng/ml)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.84</td>
<td>5.16 ± 1.03</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>216.88 ± 114.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitavastatin</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.43</td>
<td>2.43 ± 0.70</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>65.07 ± 7.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosuvastatin</td>
<td>18.43 ± 3.2</td>
<td>48.3 ± 10.3</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>8927 ± 1302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Plasma AUC0–240 min/120–240 min (ng/ml) | | | | |
| Atorvastatin | 18.09 ± 10.73 | 112.79 ± 46.06 | 7.24 | 13517.33 ± 5809.52 |
| Pitavastatin | — | — | — | — |
| Rosuvastatin | 186.57 ± 44.90 | 578.73 ± 152.91 | 3.10 | 140123 ± 34948 |

| Percent biliary excretion | | | | |
| Atorvastatin | — | — | 13.00 ± 5.59 | 0.75 |
| Pitavastatin | — | — | 1.03 ± 0.09 | 0.89 |
| Rosuvastatin | — | — | 10.01 ± 2.50 | 0.85 |

| Biliary clearance (L/h) | | | | |
| Atorvastatin | 87.78 ± 78.42 | 6.31 ± 6.38 | 0.07 | 6.70 ± 4.3 minutes |
| Pitavastatin | — | — | — | — |
| Rosuvastatin | 46.66 ± 13.27 | 13.18 ± 5.39 | 0.28 | 46.66 ± 13.27 |

Effect of Rifampicin on Statin Kinetics and Biliary Clearance.

To study the effect of rifampicin on statin plasma kinetics and biliary clearance, a second bolus of the statin was coadministered with a bolus of rifampicin (67.7 mg). Upon coadministration of rifampicin, the plasma Cmax increased ~3-fold for all statin drugs: from 1.8 ± 0.8 ng/ml to 5.2 ± 1.1 ng/ml for atorvastatin, from 1.5 ± 0.4 ng/ml to 4.5 ± 1.9 ng/ml for pitavastatin, and from 18.4 ± 3.2 ng/ml to 48.3 ± 10.3 ng/ml for rosuvastatin (Fig. 2; Table 2). Additionally, all plasma AUCs of the statins increased upon coadministration of rifampicin: livers exposed to atorvastatin showed the highest AUCR of 7.2, followed by rosuvastatin (AUCR 3.1) and pitavastatin (AUCR 2.6) upon rifampicin coadministration (Fig. 3A). In line with these data, the most profound effect of rifampicin on the hepatic extraction was also observed for atorvastatin, decreasing from 0.8 ± 0.1 to 0.5 ± 0.1, whereas it decreased from 0.8 ± 0.1 to 0.7 ± 0.1 for both pitavastatin and rosuvastatin. The addition of rifampicin resulted in a biliary secretion of 6.80% ± 3.85% of administered atorvastatin, corresponding to a 0.47-fold reduction in biliary clearance. Interestingly, a more profound reduction in biliary excretion was observed for rosuvastatin and pitavastatin upon coadministration with rifampicin, i.e., 0.15-fold and 0.11-fold, respectively.

Pharmacokinetics of Rifampicin.

Figure 2, C, F, and I show the concentration time profile of the perpetrator rifampicin in the three statin groups. The livers exposed to atorvastatin showed the lowest Cmax:3.4 ± 1.3 μg/ml for rifampicin compared with livers exposed to pitavastatin (5.1 ± 1.2 μg/ml) and rosuvastatin (5.3 ± 1.0 μg/ml; Fig. 2, C, F, and I; Table 3). After administration, rifampicin was rapidly detected in the bile with a Tmax of 46.7 ± 4.7 minutes, 30.0 ± 0.0 minutes, and 35.0 ± 11.2 minutes for livers exposed to atorvastatin, pitavastatin, and rosuvastatin, respectively. The biliary clearance of rifampicin was 6.7% ± 4.8%, 5.7% ± 2.5%, and 10.9% ± 1.6%, respectively, in livers treated with atorvastatin, pitavastatin, and rosuvastatin livers.

Metabolism of Atorvastatin and the Effect of Rifampicin on Metabolism.

Since atorvastatin is subjected to CYP3A4-mediated hepatic metabolism, we also investigated the presence of its known metabolites (atorvastatin lactone, 2-OH atorvastatin, 2-OH atorvastatin lactone, 4-OH atorvastatin, and 4-OH atorvastatin lactone) in plasma and bile samples obtained from the perfused livers exposed to atorvastatin (Fig. 4; Table 3). All five clinically known metabolites were detected in the bile, but no metabolites were detected in plasma. For all metabolites, the biliary secretion was decreased upon the coadministration of rifampicin (Table 4). Main inhibition was shown for the metabolites atorvastatin lactone (AUCR of 0.1), followed by 2-OH atorvastatin (AUCR of 0.8) and 4-OH atorvastatin (AUCR of 0.7).

Bilirubin as Potential Endogenous Biomarker for OATP Function.

Total bilirubin levels were measured in plasma and bile samples of the perfused livers (Fig. 5), as bilirubin was recently recommended as an endogenous biomarker for OATP1B1/1B3 function (Fromm 2012; Chu et al., 2017). Rifampicin, an inhibitor of multiple transporters including OATP1B1/1B3, should therefore also block the (re)uptake of (glucuronidated) bilirubin from the plasma. During the first 120 minutes of perfusion, when only the statins were administered to the livers, a stable concentration of plasma bilirubin was measured (Fig. 5A). Upon rifampicin exposure (120–240 minutes of perfusion), an increase in total plasma bilirubin was measured in all livers. For all three statins, the AUC120–240/AUC1–120 ratio showed a mean ratio of 1.6, indicating interference of rifampicin on the hepatic uptake of (glucuronidated) bilirubin. Total bilirubin concentration in the bile decreased upon rifampicin exposure, and a mean AUCR of 0.5 ± 0.14 was measured (Fig. 5B).
First Signs of Drug-Induced Toxicity by Pitavastatin. Two out of five livers exposed to pitavastatin coadministered with rifampicin were excluded from the PK analysis. Compared with the other three livers exposed to pitavastatin, these two livers demonstrated a delay in plasma clearance of pitavastatin and rifampicin, with a plasma AUCR of 19.8 and 11.8 after the second bolus of pitavastatin (Fig. 6, A and C) and a lower hepatic extraction ratio of 0.5 for both affected livers (Fig. 6H). Immediately after the second administration of pitavastatin, a reduction in the bile flow was observed for these two livers, and the bile production completely stopped after 360 minutes of perfusion (Fig. 6G). Upon the addition of rifampicin, these same livers showed an increase in plasma bilirubin compared with all the other perfused livers (60.1 μmol/L and 62.9 μmol/L at 360 minutes of perfusion) compared with the atorvastatin and rosuvastatin perfused livers (23.2 ± 7.4 μmol/L at 360 minutes of perfusion) (Fig. 6D). Plasma rifampicin concentrations were also elevated compared with the other livers exposed to pitavastatin (Fig. 6C). To verify liver toxicity, plasma alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and AST levels were assessed (Fig. 6, E and F). The two livers showed increasing AST levels, indicating induced liver toxicity. No effect on ALT was measured, as all livers showed stable ALT levels, reaching a plateau phase after 60 minutes (Fig. 6F).

Protein Expression of Hepatic Transporters. Figure 7 and Supplemental Table 5 show the absolute expression of drug transporters in liver tissue of porcine (domestic pig) and human origin. Although the expression of the main transporter proteins BCRP, MDR1, MRP1, MRP2, GLUT1, and MCT1 was similarly abundant in porcine and human livers, the expression of OCT1 was approximately 1.8-fold higher in human livers compared with porcine livers (P < 0.001). In contrast, the expression of BSEP, MRP3, NTCP, and OATP2B1 was 1.9-, 1.7-, 1.7-, and 2.6-fold higher, respectively, in porcine livers than in human livers (P < 0.01). OATP1B4 is the porcine ortholog for OATP1B1 and OATP1B3. The expression of OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 in human liver together was significantly lower (P < 0.05) than the protein expression level of OATP1B4 in porcine livers (2.8 ± 1.0 fmol/mg tissue in human livers; 5.8 ± 1.8 fmol/mg tissue in porcine liver).

Discussion

The ability to study the biliary excretion and intracellular concentrations provides valuable information regarding metabolism and excretion of the drug. Thus far, to predict the hepatobiliary disposition of drugs, experimental studies have been performed using sandwich-cultured hepatocytes, isolated perfused organ systems with rat livers, or cannulated animal studies. In this study, we perfused porcine livers using a novel advanced pressure-driven perfusion machine with a red blood cell-based perfusate that is suitable for normothermic and oxygenated conditions (Borie et al., 2001; Boehnert et al., 2013; Watson et al., 2017). We describe for the first time the use of NMP of porcine livers to predict human hepatobiliary disposition and DDI of drugs as demonstrated by using known OATP substrate drugs such as atorvastatin, pitavastatin, and rosuvastatin with known OATP inhibitor rifampicin as a perpetrator drug. Hepatobiliary toxicity in humans is poorly predicted from animal studies, primarily due to the fact that many animals differ markedly from humans in response to pharmacological agents (Helke and Swindle, 2013). In contrast to dogs, mice, and rats, there is not much data on pigs in preclinical testing. Nevertheless, for more complex research questions, ex vivo models from pig origin are regularly used to study...
intestinal absorption or the hepatic and biliary disposition of drugs (Kararl, 1995; Anzenbacher et al., 1998; Bergman et al., 2009; Westerhout et al., 2014; Stevens et al., 2019). In a comprehensive overview, Vaessen and coworkers provided the protein and mRNA expression in human and pig intestinal tissues for a variety of transporters and enzymes. In general, the protein and mRNA expression were well comparable between humans and pigs (Vaessen et al., 2017). Furthermore, Elmorsi and coworkers recently showed a proteomic characterization of drug-metabolizing enzymes and transporters in the pig liver showing the high resemblance with humans (Elmorsi et al., 2020). These data on transporters are in line with the proteomic data we show here comparing the high resemblance with humans (Elmorsi et al., 2020). Although an almost 2-fold difference in human OATP1B1/IB3 versus pig OATP1B4 protein expression was observed, the obtained AUCRs were comparable to the human in vivo condition. Despite these differences, we here provide evidence that AUCR can be predicted by making use of ex vivo porcine NMP. The compounds tested are very good OATP (and NTCP) substrates, and the results suggest that the hepatic uptake contributes to a similar percentage to the total clearance in human and pigs. Other factors such as permeability differences between species and Km differences (for OATP-mediated uptake transporters) may compensate for the protein expression differences.

For this study, three statins were selected to study transporter-mediated DDI at the hepatic level. These statins (atorvastatin, rosuvastatin, and pitavastatin) are listed as clinical substrates for the assessment of OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 (Takehara et al., 2018). Additionally, the clearance of these drugs is mediated via diverse mechanism: CYP3A4 and OATP for atorvastatin, MRP2 and BCRP for rosuvastatin, and BCRP for pitavastatin. All three statins were shown to be good substrates for the uptake transporters, since a hepatic extraction ratio of >0.7 was observed. This is in line with clinically observed data (Bergman et al., 2006; Elsby et al., 2012) demonstrating that the experimental setup is sufficient to predict the hepatic extraction ratio in humans.

In previous studies, statins have been applied to several preclinical models to predict rifampicin-induced DDI. Bergman et al. (2006) performed an in vivo human study in which rosuvastatin was administered into the jejunum via a Loc-I-Gut-catheter. The biliary clearance of rosuvastatin was measured after intestinal administration (Bergman et al., 2006). The Cmax of rosuvastatin was detected 40 minutes after administration with a total biliary secretion of 11%; these data correspond very well to that from our experiments, showing a biliary secretion of 10%. Using the IPRL model, Lau et al. studied the interplay between transporters and enzymes in the disposition of atorvastatin (Lau et al., 2006a). Compared with our porcine perfusion model, the IPRL model showed an extensively reduced interaction between atorvastatin and rifampicin. The biliary secretion in the IPRL model of atorvastatin was 7.4%, whereas in our model the biliary clearance was 13.0% ± 5.6%. Also, the effect of rifampicin on the inhibition of the biliary clearance was remarkably lower (21% in the IPRL versus 50% in our model). The IPRL may therefore underpredict the DDI for atorvastatin and rifampicin. Together, these data show the IPRL model underpredicts the biliary clearance, whereas a good comparability is observed between the porcine and human in vivo situation to the ex vivo perfused pig liver model. The metabolic activity of porcine livers during perfusion was shown by the presence of five different atorvastatin metabolites in the bile. The presence of all these metabolites in our study indicates that CYP3A4, CYP2C8, and UGT1A3 are metabolically active in the perfused pig liver.

The IPRL may therefore underpredict the DDI for atorvastatin and rifampicin. Together, these data show the IPRL model underpredicts the biliary clearance, whereas a good comparability is observed between the porcine and human in vivo situation to the ex vivo perfused pig liver model. The metabolic activity of porcine livers during perfusion was shown by the presence of five different atorvastatin metabolites in the bile. The presence of all these metabolites in our study indicates that CYP3A4, CYP2C8, and UGT1A3 are metabolically active in the perfused pig liver.

In the experiments with atorvastatin, variability in AUCR was observed between replicates. Although rifampicin was administered in a similar slow bolus in each experiment, variability in plasma rifampicin concentrations was observed, possibly due to experimental handling and difference in total perfusate volume. Additionally, in clinical studies, the highest variation in AUCR is also observed for atorvastatin compared with the pitavastatin and rosuvastatin groups (Prueksaritanont et al., 2017; Takehara et al., 2018; Mori et al., 2019). Our results showed a relation between plasma rifampicin AUC and AUCR for atorvastatin, whereas minor variation was observed for the pitavastatin and

---

**TABLE 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent Biliary Clearance of Metabolites</th>
<th>Atorvastatin</th>
<th>Atorvastatin + Rifampicin</th>
<th>AUCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin lactone</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Hydroxy atorvastatin</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Hydroxy atorvastatin</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Hydroxy atorvastatin lactone</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Hydroxy atorvastatin lactone</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A comparable concentration-dependent inhibition effect of rifampicin on atorvastatin clearance was previously shown in vivo by Takehara et al. (2018) and Mori et al. (2019) and in vitro by Lau et al. (2006a). Upon coadministration with rifampicin, the most profound effect was observed for atorvastatin (AUCR of 7.24), followed by rosuvastatin (AUCR of 3.07) and pitavastatin (AUCR of 3.03). As can be observed in Table 5, this same absolute AUCR as well as rank order of DDI magnitude was observed in studies with healthy

**Fig. 5.** Total bilirubin levels in plasma (A) and bile (B) of all liver perfusion experiments, discriminating atorvastatin (closed circles), pitavastatin (closed squares), and rosuvastatin (closed triangles), before (0–120 minutes) and after coadministration with perpetrator rifampicin (125–245 minutes).

**Fig. 6.** First signs of drug-induced liver toxicity in two of five livers exposed to pitavastatin (0.141 mg). These two livers are indicated with red lines (compared with the other three livers in gray). (A) Plasma pitavastatin levels of two livers that showed signs of pitavastatin-induced liver toxicity by the inability to take up pitavastatin compared with the other livers. (B) Biliary clearance of pitavastatin. (C) Plasma rifampicin concentration. (D) Plasma bilirubin increases dramatically after rifampicin coadministration compared with the atorvastatin and rosuvastatin perfused livers (black line). (E) Plasma AST levels, (F) plasma ALT levels, and (G) total bile production during 360 minutes of perfusion. (H) Hepatic extraction during dosing ex vivo perfused livers (dotted lined represents the coadministration of rifampicin).
In this study, pitavastatin exposure was shown to affect hepatic function in two out of five perfused livers. Pitavastatin is one of the least prescribed statins (Amiri, 2020), and although there are infrequent cases known of pitavastatin-induced liver injury, it is known that pitavastatin can increase plasma AST, ALT, and bilirubin concentration in the clinic (Teramoto et al., 2010; Thapar et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2018; Bhatti et al., 2019). Here, increased plasma AST was observed in two out of five livers exposed to pitavastatin. These same livers also showed the lowest bile production, the lowest bile bilirubin AUCR, the most prolonged ICG liver (Fromm, 2012; Chu et al., 2017). In our model, after administration of rifampicin, a direct increase in plasma bilirubin concentration was measured (AUCR 1.89), showing the direct inhibition of OATP-mediated hepatic uptake of (glucuronidated) bilirubin. Furthermore, a decrease in biliary total bilirubin concentration was measured after the addition of rifampicin, reflecting the inhibition of MRP2 and possibly UGT1A1. Unfortunately, no good correlation between plasma rifampicin concentration and plasma and bile bilirubin AUCR was observed, indicating that bilirubin fits more as a control marker rather than a precise biomarker. The current practice involves the use of coproporphyrin I and III (CP-I, CP-III), and conjugated bile salts as clinical biomarkers for OATPs (Mori et al., 2019). Therefore, studies should incorporate these suggested biomarkers upon assessing DDI potential of drugs.

**Conclusion.** In conclusion, we have demonstrated that NMP of porcine livers is a potential novel and reliable model to study OATP-mediated DDI and its effect on hepatic clearance, biliary excretion, and plasma (metabolite) profile of statins. Overall, the rank order of DDI severity indicated in our experiments is in good agreement with clinical data, with the lowest DDI for pitavastatin and the highest for atorvastatin, indicating the potential importance of this new ex vivo model in early drug discovery.

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NMP Porcine Liver Model (Current Study)</th>
<th>Mori et al., 2019</th>
<th>Takehara et al., 2018</th>
<th>Prueksaritanont et al., 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitavastatin</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosuvastatin</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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