Oxidative metabolism of the alkaloid rutaecarpine by human cytochrome P450
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Abstract

Rutaecarpine is the main active alkaloid of the herbal medicine, *Evodia rutaecarpa*. To identify the major human cytochrome P450 (P450, CYP) participating in rutaecarpine oxidative metabolism, human liver microsomes and bacteria-expressed recombinant human P450 were studied. In liver microsomes, rutaecarpine was oxidized to 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine. Microsomal 10- and 3-hydroxylation activities were strongly inhibited by ketoconazole. The 11- and 12-hydroxylation activities were inhibited by α-naphthoflavone, quinidine, and ketoconazole. These results indicated that multiple hepatic CYPs including CYP1A2, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 participate in rutaecarpine hydroxylations. Among recombinant CYPs, CYP1A1 had the highest rutaecarpine hydroxylation activity. Decreased metabolite formation at high substrate concentration indicated that there was substrate inhibition of CYP1A1- and CYP1A2-catalyzed hydroxylations. CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylations had $V_{max}$ values of 1388 ~ 1893 pmol/min/nmol P450, $K_m$ values of 4.1 ~ 9.5 µM, and $K_i$ values of 45 ~ 103 µM. These results indicated that more than one molecule of rutaecarpine is accessible to the CYP1A active site. The major metabolite 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine decreased CYP1A1, CYP1A2 and CYP1B1 activities with respective $IC_{50}$ values of 2.56 ± 0.04, 2.57 ± 0.11, and 0.09 ± 0.01 µM, suggesting that product inhibition might occur during rutaecarpine hydroxylation. The metabolite profile and kinetic properties of rutaecarpine hydroxylation by human CYPs provides important information relevant to the clinical application of rutaecarpine and *E. rutaecarpa*. 
Introduction

Rutaecarpine is a main quinazolinocarboline alkaloid isolated from *Evodia rutaecarpa* (Wu-chu-yu), which has been used as a herbal medicine for the treatment of gastrointestinal disorder and headache (Liao et al., 1981; Tang and Eisenbrand, 1992). The remedy containing *E. rutaecarpa* is generally taken orally. The estimated dosage of rutaecarpine from ingestion of Wu-chu-yu tang, a remedy containing *E. rutaecarpa* is about 19 mg/day (Ueng et al., 2002a). Rutaecarpine has a variety of pharmacological actions including antithrombotic, antianoxic, hypotensive, and vasorelaxant effects (Sheu, 1999). Microsomal cytochrome P450 (P450, CYP)-dependent monooxygenase plays a major role in the oxidative metabolism of xenobiotics including drugs and natural products (Guengerich, 1995; Hasler et al., 1999). Our previous reports demonstrated that rutaecarpine was a CYP1A2-selective inhibitor in human liver microsomes (Ueng et al., 2002b). Rutaecarpine was metabolized by rat liver microsomal enzymes to form 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (Ueng et al., 2005). Lee et al. (2004) reported that the formation rate of total rat rutaecarpine metabolites was stimulated by P450 inducers, 3-methylcholanthrene and phenobarbital but not by acetone and dexamethasone. 3-Methylcholanthrene, phenobarbital, acetone, and dexamethasone are inducers of CYP1A, CYP2B, CYP2E1, and CYP3A in rats, respectively (Waxman, 1999; Waxman and Azaroff, 1992). These results suggested that CYP1A and CYP2B played main roles in rat rutaecarpine metabolism. However, the main human P450 forms catalyzing rutaecarpine hydroxylations were not identified and the quantification and kinetic analyses were not reported.

In human liver, CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, and CYP3A4 are the main P450 forms responsible for drug oxidation (Guengerich, 1995). CYP1A2, CYP2C, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, and CYP3A constitute about 13%, 18%, 2%, 7%, and 29% of the total P450 content, respectively (Shimada et al., 1994). CYP2C9 represents about half to 75% of total
CYP2C (Lasker et al., 1998). CYP3A4 is the most abundant P450 form in human liver samples. CYP1A1 and CYP1B1 are expressed in low levels in human liver, but are inducible in the liver and play important roles in the activation of pro-toxins in induced liver and extrahepatic tissues. CYP2B6 constitutes about 0.2% of the total hepatic P450 content. Although the content of CYP2B6 was low in human liver, CYP2B6 was studied due to its main role in rat rutaecarpine hydroxylation (Lee et al., 2004). α-Naphthoflavone (α-NF), orphenadrine, sulfaphenazole, quinidine, 4-methylpyrazole, and ketoconazole preferentially inhibited CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, and CYP3A4 activities, respectively (Balawin et al., 1995; Bourrie et al., 1996; Chang et al., 1994). Thus, to identify the P450 forms participating in human rutaecarpine hydroxylations, the effects of P450 inhibitors were analyzed using human liver microsomes, and rutaecarpine hydroxylation activities were determined using *Escherichia coli* membranes expressing human P450s. Among expressed P450 forms, our results showed that CYP1A1 had the highest activity. The kinetic parameters of CYP1-catalyzed hydroxylations were determined.

**Methods**

**Chemicals**

Rutaecarpine was synthesized following the method of Bergman and Bergman (1985). Glucose-6-phosphate (G6P), G6P dehydrogenase, ketoconazole, 4-methylpyrazole, NADP, α-NF, orphenadrine, quinidine, and sulfaphenazole were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Inc. (St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.). The standards for metabolites, 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine were synthesized from corresponding methoxyrutaecarpines as described in previous report (Ueng et al., 2005).

**Microsomal preparation and bicistronic human P450 Expression**
Four Chinese Liver samples (HL1-4) were obtained from patients who underwent liver resection in National Taiwan University Hospital (Taipei, Taiwan). Four Caucasian liver samples (HL5-8) were obtained from Dr. F. Peter Guengerich through Tennessee Donor Services (Nashville, TN, U.S.A.). Microsomes of frozen human liver samples were prepared following the method of Guengerich (1994). Bicistronic human P450 plasmids were kindly provided by Dr. F. Peter Guengerich (Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, U.S.A.). P450 constructs consisting of the coding sequence of a P450 followed by that of NADPH-P450 reductase were transformed to *E. coli* DH5α by electroporation. Bacterial membrane fractions were prepared following the method of Parikh et al. (1997). Microsomes and bacterial membrane fractions were stored at -75°C until use.

**Enzyme assays**

Microsomal protein concentration was determined by the method of Lowry et al. (1951). P450 content was measured by the spectrophotometric method of Omura and Sato (1964). 7-Ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation activity was determined by measuring the fluorescence of resorufin (Pohl and Fouts, 1980). Rutaecarpine hydroxylation activity was determined as described before (Ueng et al., 2005). Incubation mixtures (1 ml total vol.) contained 1 mg/ml microsomal protein, a NADPH-generating system, and various concentrations of rutaecarpine as indicated in the Results. The NADPH-generating system consisted of 10 mM G6P, 0.5 mM NADP, and 0.25 u/ml G6P dehydrogenase. For *E. coli* membranes expressing human CYP1, 20 pmol P450 was added in the assay. For membranes expressing human CYP2A6, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, and CYP3A4, 100 pmol P450 was added in the assay. Hydroxylation metabolites were separated by HPLC using a C18 column (4.6 X 250 mm, 5 µm, Agilent, U.S.A. or 5C18-MS-II, Cosmosil, U.S.A.) and a mobile phase containing 10% methanol and 26% acetonitrile in 0.04% formic acid at a flow rate of 1 ml/min.
rate of 1 ml/min. An UV detector L-7420 (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was used and metabolites were detected by measuring the absorbance at 344 nm. The amounts of hydroxyrutaecarpines were determined using synthetic standards. Orphenadrine and 4-methylpyrazole were dissolved in water. α-NF, sulfaphenazole, quinidine, and ketoconazole were dissolved in ethanol. For the inhibition study, the concentrations of α-NF, orphenadrine, sulfaphenazole, quinidine, 4-methylpyrazole, and ketoconazole were 10, 300, 1, 10, 20, and 1 µM in the assay, respectively. The final concentration of ethanol was less than 0.4%. The same concentration of ethanol was added in the control incubation.

**Binding spectral analysis**

Binding spectra were analyzed by using tandem cuvettes, which have two separate chambers in one cuvette. Various concentrations of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine and *E. coli* membrane suspension containing 0.2 µM P450 in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH7.4 were added in both sample and reference cuvettes at room temperature. In the reference cuvette, *E. coli* membrane suspension and 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine in potassium phosphate buffer were kept separated in two chambers. After mixing *E. coli* membrane suspension with 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine in the sample cuvette, the difference spectrum was recorded from 350 nm to 450 nm. The spectral dissociation constant (*K_s*) and maximal absorbance change (*ΔA_{max}*), were calculated by non-linear regression without weight according to the equation $\Delta A = I \cdot \Delta A_{max} / (K_s + I)$, where *I* is the inhibitor concentration (Sigma Plot, Jandel Scientific, San Rafael, CA, USA).

**Data and statistical analyses**

Substrate inhibition can be regarded as a form of uncompetitive inhibition (Houston and Kenworthy, 2000). Thus, kinetic parameters of CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine
hydroxylation were calculated according to uncompetitive inhibition by substrate. Values of velocities ($v$) at various substrate concentrations ($S$) were fit using nonlinear least-squares regression without weight due to the equation: $v = V_{\text{max}} \times S / (K_m + S(1 + S/K_i))$, where $V_{\text{max}}$ and $K_i$ are the maximal velocity and inhibition constant, respectively (Sigma Plot, Jandel Scientific, San Rafael, CA, U.S.A.). The concentrations of chemicals required for 50% inhibition of 7-ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation activity (IC$_{50}$) were calculated by curve fitting (Grafit, Erithacus Software Ltd., Staines, UK). For inhibitory kinetic analysis, values of velocities ($v$) at various substrate concentrations ($S$) were fit using nonlinear least-squares regression without weight due to the equation, consistent with the competitive inhibition according to the Michaelis-Menten equation: $v = V_{\text{max}} \times S / (K_m[1 + (I/K_i)] + S)$, where $I$ and $K_i$ are the inhibitor concentration and inhibition constant, respectively (Sigma Plot, Jandel Scientific, San Rafael, CA, USA). The significant relationship of pairs of variables was analyzed by Spearman rank correlation test using the software Sigma Stat 2.03 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, U.S.A.). A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Rutaecarpine hydroxylation by human liver microsomes**

Rutaecarpine was oxidized by human liver microsomal enzymes to form mainly 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (Fig. 1). In eight human liver samples, rutaecarpine 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation activities were in the range (mean ± SE) of 7.1 ~ 43.9 (25.9 ± 4.7), 8.5 ~ 32.8 (22.3 ± 3.0), not detectable ~ 13.3 (5.4 ± 1.6), and 5.0 ~ 23.0 (14.1 ± 2.5) pmol/min/mg protein, respectively. Except for the high 11-hydroxylation activity of HL8 sample, 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine was the most abundant product in human liver samples. Individual differences were as high as 6-, 4-, and 5-fold in 10-, 11-, and
3-hydroxyrutaecarpine formation, respectively. The formation of 12-hydroxyrutaecarpine by HL2 and HL3 microsomes were under the detection limit. α-NF caused 54%, 60%, and 51% decreases of 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation activities, respectively (Table 1). In contrast, the inhibition of 10-hydroxylation by α-NF was less than 30%. Quinidine decreased 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation activities by 55%, 53%, 55%, and 58%, respectively. Ketoconazole decreased 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine formation by 86% and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine by 78%. However, orphenadrine, sulfaphenazole, and 4-methylpyrazole caused less than 30% decreases.

**Rutaecarpine hydroxylation by human P450 forms**

At rutaecarpine concentration less than 50 µM in the assay, some P450-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylations were under the detection limit. Thus, 50 µM rutaecarpine was used in the assay of all bicistronic human P450 forms determined. Hydroxylation product formation was linear at CYP1A1 and CYP1A2 concentrations in the range of 1 to 20 pmol P450/ml (Fig. 2). However, at the concentrations higher than 20 pmol P450/ml, the activities approached the plateau due to substrate saturation. For CYP2C9, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4, hydroxylation was linear at P450 concentrations up to 100 pmol P450/ml. Thus, 20 pmol P450/ml was used in the assay of CYP1 members and 100 pmol P450/ml was used in the assay of the other CYPs. Rutaecarpine hydroxylation by CYP1A1 was linear with the incubation time up to 20 min (Fig. 3). Thus, the formation of hydroxylation products was determined at 20 min incubation. HPLC analysis of rutaecarpine hydroxylation products showed that CYP1A1-catalyzed hydroxylation formed 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (Fig. 1). Determination of rutaecarpine hydroxylation by *E. coli* membrane expressing human P450 showed that CYP1A1 had the highest 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation activities (Table 2). 10-Hydroxyrutaecarpine was the most abundant
metabolite of rutaecarpine hydroxylation by CYP1A1. CYP1A2 had rutaecarpine 11-, 12-,
and 3-hydroxylation activity. In contrast, no 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine was detected. CYP1B1
had rutaecarpine 10- and 3-hydroxylation activities. However, an additional peak appeared at
14 min, which also appeared as a metabolite by some human liver samples (Fig. 1A (B)). The
structure of this metabolite was not identified. Rutaecarpine 10-, 11-, 12-, and
3-hydroxylation activities were detected with CYP2C9 and CYP2D6. CYP2E1 showed
rutaecarpine 11-hydroxylation activity and CYP3A4 showed rutaecarpine 10- and
3-hydroxylation activities. In contrast, CYP2A6 had no detectable rutaecarpine hydroxylation
activity.

**Kinetic analysis of CYP1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation**

For CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation, the velocities decreased at
rutaecarpine concentration higher than 25 µM (Fig. 4A). At low concentration of rutaecarpine
(≤ 5 µM), the plot did not follow a hyperbolic pattern (Fig. 4B). The Lineweaver-Burk plot of
CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation showed a non-linear pattern (Fig. 4C). Kinetic
analysis was performed according to the substrate inhibition pattern as described in Methods.
The results generated kinetic parameters of CYP1A1 for each hydroxylation as follows:
10-hydroxylation: $V_{\text{max}} = 1799 \pm 326$ pmol/min/nmol P450, $K_m = 5.1 \pm 2.0$ µM, $K_i = 103 \pm 53$
µM ($r = 0.97$); 11-hydroxylation: $V_{\text{max}} = 1535 \pm 292$ pmol/min/nmol P450, $K_m = 4.1 \pm 1.8$ µM,
$K_i = 105 \pm 61$ µM ($r = 0.96$); 12-hydroxylation: $V_{\text{max}} = 1388 \pm 350$ pmol/min/nmol P450, $K_m$
$= 4.6 \pm 2.6$ µM, $K_i = 91 \pm 60$ µM ($r = 0.95$); 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine: $V_{\text{max}} = 1893 \pm 607$
$pmol/min/nmol P450, K_m = 9.5 \pm 5.1$ µM, $K_i = 45 \pm 27$ µM ($r = 0.97$). The Eadie-Hofstee plot
showed a convex curve indicating positive cooperation (Fig. 4D). At a substrate concentration
higher than 10 µM, the Hill’s plot did not show linearity (Fig. 4E). At the linear range (0.75 -
8 µM), results of linear regression showed cooperativities of 1.6 ($r = 0.96$), 1.7 ($r = 0.96$), 1.4
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Determination of the relationship between rutaecarpine concentrations and CYP1A2-catalyzed hydroxylation activities showed that activity decreased at a rutaecarpine concentration higher than 100 µM (Fig. 5A). The hydroxylation activity of CYP1A2 also showed substrate inhibition at a high rutaecarpine concentration. In contrast to CYP1A1 and CYP1A2, there was no substrate inhibition observed in CYP1B1-catalyzed rutaecarpine 10-hydroxylation (Fig. 5B).

Inhibition of CYP1 enzymes by the metabolite 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine

10-Hydroxyrutaecarpine inhibited CYP1A1-, CYP1A2-, and CYP1B1-catalyzed 7-ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation activity with IC$_{50}$ values of 2.56 ± 0.04, 2.57 ± 0.11, and 0.09 ± 0.01 µM, respectively. Among CYP1 enzymes, 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine had the highest inhibitory effect on CYP1B1. 10-Hydroxyrutaecarpine was a competitive inhibitor of CYP1B1 with a $K_i$ value of 0.11 ± 0.02 µM ($r = 0.99$, Fig. 6A and B). The binding of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine to CYP1B1 exhibited a binding spectra with an absorbance maxima at 377 nm and a minimum at 417 nm (Fig. 6C). The absorbance change (377-417 nm) was unsaturable and showed a linear relationship with 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine concentration in a range of 0.01 – 9.0 µM ($r = 0.97$) (Fig. 6C and D).

Discussion

Our previous report demonstrated that the alkaloid rutaecarpine was oxidized by rat liver microsomes to form 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (Ueng et al., 2005). In this report, our results revealed that rutaecarpine was also oxidized by human liver microsomal
enzymes to form 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (Fig. 1). This is the first report to
determine human metabolism of rutaecarpine in vitro. The results of microsomal inhibition
were consistent with hydroxylation activities of bacterial membranes expressing bicistronic
human P450s. Microsomal 10-hydroxylation activity was strongly inhibited by quinidine and
ketoconazole (Table 1). Consistent with this inhibition result, E.coli-expressed human
CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 had 10-hydroxylation activities higher than those of the other P450
enzymes (Table 2). Microsomal 12-hydroxylation was inhibited by α-NF, quinidine, and
ketoconazole. Human CYP1A2, CYP2C9, and CYP2D6 had detectable 12-hydroxylation
activities (Table 2). Microsomal 11-hydroxylation was inhibited by α-NF, quinidine, and
ketoconazole. Human CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 had higher 11-hydroxylation activities than the
other CYPs. However, CYP3A4 had no detectable 11-hydroxylation activity. Microsomal
3-hydroxylation was inhibited by α-NF, quinidine, and ketoconazole. 3-Hydroxylation
activities of CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 were higher than those of the other CYPs. However,
CYP1A2 had relatively low 3-hydroxylation activity.

Thus, to further clarify the roles of CYP1A2 and CYP3A4 in rutaecarpine
hydroxylations, correlation analysis (Spearman rank order correlation) was carried out to
delineate the association of rutaecarpine hydroxylation with CYP1A2- and
CYP3A4-catalyzed model reactions. Correlation analysis showed that liver microsomal
rutaecarpine 11- and 12-hydroxylation activities had significant correlation (p < 0.05) with
CYP1A2 model reaction, 7-methoxyresorufin O-demethylation activity (correlation
coefficient (r) values of 0.857 and 0.762, respectively). In contrast, rutaecarpine 10- and
3-hydroxylation activities were not significantly correlated with 7-methoxyresorufin
O-demethylation activity (r = 0.190 and 0.357, respectively). Liver microsomal rutaecarpine
10- and 3-hydroxylation activities were significantly correlated with the CYP3A4 model
reaction, nifedipine oxidation activity (r values of 0.943 and 0.886, respectively). In contrast,
rutaecarpine 11- and 12-hydroxylation activities had no significant correlation with nifedipine oxidation activity (r = -0.029 and 0.429, respectively). These results were consistent with the rutaecarpine hydroxylation activities of recombinant human P450. In contrast, orphenadrine, sulfaphenazole, and 4-methylpyrazole decreased microsomal 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation by less than 30%, suggesting that CYP2B6, CYP2C, and CYP2E1 do not play main roles in human rutaecarpine hydroxylations (Table 1). Although CYP2C9 had detectable rutaecarpine 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation activities (Table 2), the activities of CYP2C9 may not be high enough for sulfaphenazole to cause inhibition in human liver microsomes. Similar to CYP2C9, CYP2E1 also had low 11-hydroxylation activity. Thus, the P450 enzymes, whose selective inhibition decreased hydroxylation by more than 50% and showed high hydroxylation activities, were identified as the main P450 forms involved in each hydroxylation reaction in human liver (Fig. 7). For rutaecarpine metabolism, CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 were involved in the 10-hydroxylation, CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 in 11-hydroxylation, CYP2D6 in 12-hydroxylation, and CYP2D6 and CYP3A4 in 3-hydroxylation. These results revealed the regio-selective hydroxylation by P450 enzymes.

Among CYPs, our results showed that CYP1A1 had the highest rutaecarpine 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation activities (Table 2). Our previous report demonstrated that rutaecarpine inhibited CYP1A1- and CYP1A2-catalyzed 7-ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation activities (Don et al., 2003). The IC_{50} value of rutaecarpine for CYP1A2 was only 8% of that for CYP1A1 (Don et al., 2003). This strong CYP1A2 inhibition may result in relatively low rutaecarpine oxidation activity of CYP1A2 (Table 2). Kinetic analysis revealed that substrate inhibition occurred for both CYP1A1- and CYP1A2-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylations (Fig. 4A and 5A). At a rutaecarpine concentration higher than 25 µM, hydroxylation activities decreased. In the in vitro systems, the pharmacologically active concentrations of rutaecarpine were in the range of 0.1-200 µM (Chen et al., 2002; Sheu, 1999). Substrate
inhibition is possible at high pharmacologically active concentrations. The hydroxylations by CYP1A1 had $K_i$ values 5- to 26-fold higher than $K_m$ values. Substrate inhibition can be due to a variety of mechanisms including allosteric interaction and multiple active sites (Lin et al., 2001). For most P450-catalyzed oxidations, substrate inhibition was partial, suggesting that the substrate inhibition was due to the binding of a second molecule of substrate to the P450-substrate complex to form a poorly productive or nonproductive enzyme complex and reduce the oxidation rates (Lin et al., 2001). Consistent with this allosteric interaction in substrate inhibition, rutaecarpine strongly inhibited CYP1A2-catalyzed 7-ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation activity in a mixed type of noncompetitive and uncompetitive inhibition. The $K_i$ value for CYP1A2-substrate complex was less than that for CYP1A2 only, suggesting a strong binding of rutaecarpine to CYP1A2-substrate complex (unpublished result). Besides of CYP1A1 and CYP1A2, rutaecarpine also inhibited CYP1B1-catalyzed 7-ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation noncompetitively with an IC$_{50}$ value about 3-fold higher than that for CYP1A2, suggesting that rutaecarpine bound to CYP1B1 and CYP1B1-substrate complexes with similar dissociation constants (Don et al., 2003). However, CYP1B1 did not show the substrate inhibition as obviously as CYP1A1 and CYP1A2.

10-Hydroxyrutaecarpine was the most abundant metabolite formed by most human liver samples. Among CYP1 members, CYP1A1 and CYP1B1 oxidized rutaecarpine to form mainly 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine. For CYP1A1, the plot of velocity versus substrate concentration at the concentration less than 10 µM showed a sigmoidal pattern (Fig. 4B). Eadie-Hofstee and Hill plots showed a kinetic pattern with cooperativity higher than 1 for CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylations (Fig. 4D and E). The positive cooperativity seen in the sigmoidal velocity vs substrate concentration plot could be attributed to several factors. These factors include that more than one substrate binding site or conformation is present in CYP1A1 and/or product inhibition (Duggleby, 1994). Thus, the
effect of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine on CYP1 members were studied. 10-Hydroxyrutaecarpine strongly inhibited CYP1A1-, CYP1A2-, and CYP1B1-catalyzed 7-ethoxyresorufin O-deethylation activities with the IC$_{50}$ values in the order of CYP1A1 $\approx$ CYP1A2 $>$ CYP1B1. The inhibition of CYP1 by this oxidation product may shift the velocity versus substrate concentration plot to a sigmoidal pattern. In the future, it will be of interest to determine the plasma concentrations of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine and/or its conjugates to assess the role of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine in drug interactions of the rutaecarpine containing remedy in our previous report (Ueng et al., 2003).

The major finding is that multiple P450 enzymes, including CYP1A2, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 participated in human liver microsomal rutaecarpine hydroxylation (Fig. 7). Genetic variations of CYP1A2, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4 have been reported in humans (Hasler et al., 1999). To clarify the possible individual differences in pharmacological response to $E$. rutaecarpa due to differential metabolic capacity, it will be of interest to investigate the pharmacological effects of rutaecarpine metabolites. The main pharmacological targets of rutaecarpine are the cardiovascular and circulation systems. The pharmacological effects of rutaecarpine may show tissue-specificity. Among the P450 enzymes studied here, CYP1A1 had 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxylation activities 6~26-, 6~9-, 10~16-, and 7~27-fold higher than the activities of the other CYPs, respectively. CYP1A1 is essentially extrahepatic and is inducible in the endothelial cells of blood vessels (Ding and Kaminsky, 2003; Dey et al., 1999). Therefore, CYP1A1 may also play an important role in the toxicity and pharmacological activities of rutaecarpine in extrahepatic tissues.
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Legends

Figure 1. A. The HPLC chromatograms of rutaecarpine hydroxylation metabolites by recombinant human CYP1A1 ((A)) and human liver microsomes (B). Metabolites, 10-, 11-, 12-, and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine appeared at 16, 20, 31, and 35 min, respectively. Microsomes were prepared and activities were determined as described in the Methods. Panel (B) shows the chromatogram of microsomal hydroxylation metabolites by human liver samples HL1. B. Microsomal rutaecarpine hydroxylation activities of human liver samples. Results represent the mean of duplicated determinations.

Figure 2. Relationship between rutaecarpine hydroxylation activity and P450 concentrations in the assay. Rutaecarpine hydroxylation activity of bacterial membrane expressing CYP1A1 (●), CYP1A2 (▲), CYP1B1 (□), CYP2C9 (■), CYP2D6 (●), and CYP3A4 (○) was determined using 50 µM rutaecarpine in the reaction mixture.

Figure 3. Relationship between CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation and the incubation time of the assay. Rutaecarpine hydroxylation activity of bacterial membrane expressing CYP1A1 was determined using 20 pmol P450 and 50 µM rutaecarpine in the reaction mixture. The formation rates of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine (●), 11-hydroxyrutaecarpine (■), 12-hydroxyrutaecarpine (●), and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (▲) were determined. Results represent the means of duplicate determinations.

Figure 4. Kinetic analyses of rutaecarpine hydroxylations by human CYP1A1. (A) velocity (v) versus of rutaecarpine concentration (S) plot of CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation. (A) velocity (v) versus of rutaecarpine concentration (S) plot of
CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation. Lines show the best fit as determined by nonlinear least-squares regression according to substrate inhibition as described in the Methods. (B) velocity ($v$) versus of rutaecarpine concentration ($S$) plots of CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylations at rutaecarpine concentration less than 10 µM. (C) Lineweaver-Burk plots of CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylations. Lines show the best fit as determined by nonlinear least-squares regression according to substrate inhibition. (D) The plots of $v$ versus $v/S$ (Eadie-Hofstee plot). (E) The plots of $\log(v/V_{max} - v)$ versus $\log S$ (Hill plot). The formation rates of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine (●), 11-hydroxyrutaecarpine (■), 12-hydroxyrutaecarpine (◆), and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (▲) were determined. Results represent the means of duplicate determinations.

Figure 5. The velocity ($v$) versus of rutaecarpine concentration ($S$) plots of CYP1A2- (A) and CYP1B1- (B) catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation. The formation rates of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine (●), 11-hydroxyrutaecarpine (■), 12-hydroxyrutaecarpine (◆), and 3-hydroxyrutaecarpine (▲) were determined. Results represent the mean ± SEM and means of three and two separated experiments with duplicate determinations, respectively.

Figure 6. (A) and (B): Inhibitory kinetic analysis of the inhibition of CYP1B1-catalyzed 7-ethoxyresorufin $O$-deethylation activity by 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine. (A) the velocity ($v$) versus of rutaecarpine concentration ($S$) plots of CYP1A1-catalyzed rutaecarpine hydroxylation at the presence of 0 (○), 0.1 (●), and 0.2 (▲) µM 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine. Solid lines represent the lines as determined by non-linear regression analysis. (B) the Lineweaver-Burke plot. Solid lines represent the lines as determined by linear regression.
analysis. (C): Binding spectra of 10-hydroxyrutaecarpine (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 7 μM) with CYP1B1 in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 at room temperature.

Figure 7. Rutaecarpine hydroxylations by human P450 forms.
Table 1. Effects of P450 inhibitors on rutaecarpine hydroxylation activity in human liver microsomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>10-hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
<th>11-hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
<th>12-hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
<th>3-hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-Naphthoflavone</td>
<td>72 ± 3</td>
<td>46 ± 4</td>
<td>40 ± 14</td>
<td>49 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orphenadrine</td>
<td>88 ± 2</td>
<td>90 ± 6</td>
<td>70 ± 9</td>
<td>83 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfaphenazole</td>
<td>82 ± 3</td>
<td>88 ± 3</td>
<td>86 ± 6</td>
<td>75 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinidine</td>
<td>45 ± 15</td>
<td>47 ± 27</td>
<td>45 ± 28</td>
<td>42 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Methylpyrazole</td>
<td>84 ± 7</td>
<td>85 ± 8</td>
<td>79 ± 13</td>
<td>81 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketoconazole</td>
<td>14 ± 3</td>
<td>46 ± 19</td>
<td>55 ± 20</td>
<td>22 ± 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results represent mean ± SEM of three human liver samples. Rutaecarpine concentration was 200 µM in the assay. P450 inhibitors were added simultaneously with rutaecarpine in the assay as described in Methods.
Table 2. Rutaecarpine hydroxylation activities of human P450s expressed in *E. coli*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P450 form</th>
<th>10-Hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
<th>11-Hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
<th>12-Hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
<th>3-Hydroxyrutaecarpine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A1</td>
<td>1258 ± 125</td>
<td>1040 ± 37</td>
<td>885 ± 32</td>
<td>825 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A2</td>
<td>n.d.*</td>
<td>181 ± 32</td>
<td>78 ± 11</td>
<td>31 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B1</td>
<td>217 ± 22</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>29 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C9</td>
<td>48 ± 10</td>
<td>139 ± 13</td>
<td>93 ± 19</td>
<td>98 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D6</td>
<td>67 ± 22</td>
<td>173 ± 30</td>
<td>56 ± 4</td>
<td>86 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E1</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>112 ± 21</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A4</td>
<td>107 ± 41</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>117 ± 46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*E. coli* membranes expressing CYP1A1, CYP1A2, and CYP1B1 had 7-ethoxyresorufin *O*-deethylation activities of 41.7, 1.44, and 4.41 nmol/min/nmol P450, respectively. *E. coli* membranes expressing CYP2A6, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, and CYP3A4 had oxidation activities toward their model substrates (as indicated in parenthesis) were 0.32 (coumarin), 11.6 (tolbutamide), 3.20 (dextromethorphan), 4.10 (chlorzoxazone), and 13.6 nmol/min/nmol P450 (nifedipine). Results represent mean ± SEM of three independent determinations with duplicated incubation. *n.d.: not detectable.
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Figure 6