DMD Fast Forward. Published on July 12, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/dmd.106.010132 DMD Fast to Forward be Published on fJuly to 2,72006 as soloin 10 alf 24/dmid. 106.010132 DMD #10132

# Use of Immortalized Human Hepatocytes to Predict the Magnitude of Clinical Drug-Drug Interactions Caused by CYP3A4 Induction<sup>§</sup>

Sharon L. Ripp, Jessica B. Mills, Odette A. Fahmi, Kristen A. Trevena, Jennifer L. Liras,

Tristan S. Maurer, and Sonia M. de Morais

Department of Pharmacokinetics, Dynamics & Metabolism (S.L.R., O.A.F., K.A.T.,

J.L.L., T.S.M., S.M.D.) and Department of Scientific Development (J.B.M.)

Pfizer Global Research & Development

Groton Labs, Groton, CT

# Running title: CYP3A4 induction in immortalized hepatocytes

# **Corresponding Author:**

Sonia M. de Morais, Ph.D.

Department of Pharmacokinetics, Dynamics, and Metabolism

Pfizer Global Research and Development

Groton, CT 06340

Phone: (860) 715-4338

Fax (860) 715-7857

e-mail: sonia.m.demorais@pfizer.com

Text Pages: 21

Tables: 2

Figures: 3

References: 33

Abstract: 242 words

Introduction: 735 words

Discussion: 1493 words

Nonstandard Abbreviations: AUC, area under the plasma concentration vs. time

curve; CYP, cytochrome P450; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; DDI, drug-drug interactions;

f<sub>u</sub>, fraction of unbound drug in plasma; MDR1, multidrug resistance protein 1; PXR,

pregnane X receptor; RIS, relative induction score.

# ABSTRACT

Cytochrome P4503A4 (CYP3A4) is the principal drug-metabolizing enzyme in human liver. Drug-drug interactions (DDI) caused by induction of CYP3A4 can result in decreased exposure to coadministered drugs, with potential loss of efficacy. Immortalized hepatocytes (Fa2N-4 cells) have been proposed as a tool to identify CYP3A4 inducers. The purpose of the current studies was to characterize the effect of known inducers on CYP3A4 in Fa2N-4 cells, and to determine whether these in vitro data could reliably project the magnitude of DDI caused by induction. Twenty-four compounds were chosen for these studies, based on previously published data using primary human hepatocytes. Eighteen compounds had been shown to be positive for induction, and 6 compounds had been shown to be negative for induction. In Fa2N-4 cells, all 18 positive controls produced greater than 2-fold maximal CYP3A4 induction, and all 6 negative controls produced less than 1.5-fold maximal CYP3A4 induction. Subsequent studies were conducted to determine the relationship between in vitro induction data and in vivo induction response. The approach was to relate in vitro induction data (E<sub>max</sub> and EC<sub>50</sub> values) with efficacious free plasma concentrations to calculate a relative induction score (RIS). This score was then correlated with decreases in AUC values for coadministered CYP3A4 object drugs (midazolam or ethinylestradiol) from previously published clinical DDI studies. Excellent correlations (r<sup>2</sup>) values > 0.92) were obtained, suggesting that Fa2N-4 cells can be used for identification of inducers as well as prediction of the magnitude of clinical DDI.

# Introduction

Cytochrome P4503A4 (CYP3A4) is the major drug-metabolizing enzyme in human liver and is responsible for the clearance of many commonly used drugs, including benzodiazepines, statins, calcium channel blockers, and HIV protease inhibitors. Certain drugs can modulate the level of CYP3A4 activity, thereby causing changes in clearance of coadministered drugs that are CYP3A4 substrates. Levels of CYP3A4 activity can be decreased by inhibition of enzyme activity, or increased by induction of new protein synthesis. Changes in CYP3A4 activity, either through inhibition or induction, can result in potentially serious drug-drug interactions (DDI). While assays for evaluating inhibition of CYP3A4 are routine and the relationship between in vitro data and in vivo effects relatively well understood (Bjornsson et al., 2003), assays for evaluating induction are less well characterized and the relationships between in vitro and in vivo data less clear.

Induction of CYP3A4 is thought to occur primarily through transcriptional activation of the gene. The 5'-regulatory region of the CYP3A4 gene contains elements that bind various transcription factors that can up- or down-regulate transcription. One such transcription factor is the pregnane X receptor (PXR). PXR is a ligand-activated transcription factor that is activated by a variety of drugs and endogenous compounds to increase transcription of CYP3A4 as well as other drug-metabolizing enzymes and transporters (Kliewer et al., 2002). Most drugs that induce levels of CYP3A4 are thought to do so primarily via PXR activation, e.g., rifampicin, phenytoin, hyperforin, clotrimazole (Lehmann et al., 1998).

- 4 -

There are several in vitro tools for assessment of CYP3A4 induction. To this point, primary human hepatocyte cultures have been considered the gold standard for in vitro induction assessment (Madan et al., 2003). Primary hepatocytes are typically treated with test compound for two or three days, then CYP3A4 levels compared to those in vehicle-treated cells. CYP3A4 expression can be evaluated by measuring enzymatic activity with a selective probe substrate, or by measuring CYP3A4 mRNA. Primary hepatocytes are considered a reliable way to assess induction, however, ability to attain data can be limited by quality and availability of human hepatocytes. Also, there is considerable interindividual variability in induction responses in primary hepatocytes, with some preparations showing very little response to inducers, and others showing a high degree of response (Madan et al., 2003). A weak inducer could be missed if hepatocytes from "low responders" are used. Also, this system is not amenable to higher throughput applications, and as such does not meet the needs of early drug discovery. In contrast, PXR-based reporter assays have provided a means for high throughput induction assessment. These assays typically involve cultured cell lines that have been transfected with both an expression vector for PXR and a reporter gene construct containing a responsive element for PXR (Goodwin et al., 1999). Induction potential is then measured by the ability of a test compound to activate transcription of the reporter gene. These systems generally have a wide dynamic range and are good indicators of a test compound's ability to activate PXR. However, there are several drawbacks to the use of PXR-based reporter assays. They are typically run in tumorigenic cell lines, which might or might not have all the coregulatory factors that

- 5 -

are required for full transcriptional activation. Also, reporter gene assays do not measure native gene expression or native enzyme activity.

Recently, Mills et al. described the initial characterization of a non-tumorigenic immortalized human hepatocyte line, Fa2N-4, and demonstrated the inducibility of CYP3A4 in response to prototypical inducers (Mills et al., 2004). The Fa2N-4 cell line is derived from immortalization of primary human hepatocytes and maintains expression and inducibility of various drug-metabolizing enzymes and transporters, as well as morphological characteristics of primary hepatocytes (Xenotech, 2003). However, in contrast to primary hepatocytes, the immortalized hepatocytes are easily maintained and propagated in culture, are amenable to higher throughput applications, and provide a system with less intrinsic variability. In addition, Fa2N-4 cells do not require transfection of PXR or reporter genes, and induction assessment can be done using either mRNA levels or native enzyme activity. In addition to CYP3A4, other PXR-regulated genes (CYP2C9, MDR1) are induced in response to prototypical inducers (Mills et al., 2004).

The purpose of these studies is to further characterize CYP3A4 induction in Fa2N-4 cells, using a series of positive and negative controls. In addition, a method is proposed whereby potency and efficacy of induction in Fa2N-4 cells can be used to predict the magnitude of induction observed in clinical studies.

# Materials and Methods

# Chemicals

β-Naphthoflavone, carbamazepine, dexamethasone, methotrexate, methylprednisolone, mifepristone, nifedipine, phenobarbital, phenytoin, pioglitazone, probenecid, reserpine, rifampicin, roxithromycin, and sulfinpyrazone were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Clarithromycin, clotrimazole, efavirenz, rifabutin, rifapentine, ritonavir, and rosiglitazone were purchased from Sequoia Research Products (Oxford, UK). Troglitazone was purchased from TRC Research Chemicals (Toronto, Canada). Avasimibe and Compound A (6-methoxy-1-methyl-1-trifluoromethylisochroman-7-ylmethyl)-(2-phenyl-piperidin-3-yl)-amine) were produced at Pfizer Global Research and Development (Groton, CT).

# **Cell Culture**

The Fa2N-4 cell line of immortalized hepatocytes were generated in collaboration with MultiCell Technologies (Warwick, RI), as previously described (Mills et al., 2004). Cells were grown in Biocoat Type I collagen-coated flasks (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA) in a humidified 37° C incubator (5% CO<sub>2</sub>) in serum-free Multi-Function Enhancing (MFE<sup>™</sup>) medium (Xenotech LLC, Lenexa, KS).

# Induction Experiment

Cells were maintained in collagen-coated flasks until two-to-three days postconfluency. Medium was removed, cells trypsinized, and resuspended in MFE<sup>™</sup>

medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), at a concentration of approximately 750,000 cells per ml. One hundred microliters of cell solution was added to each well of 96-well collagen-coated plates (75,000 cells/well). After cell attachment, (approximately 3 hours), serum-containing medium was replaced with serum-free MFE<sup>™</sup> medium. Cells were incubated for 48 hours before treating with test compounds. This adaptation period was required in order for the cells to exhibit robust induction response. Stock solutions of test compounds (1000X) were prepared in DMSO and diluted 1000-fold with serum-free medium for cell treatment (0.1% DMSO final). After 24 hours of treatment with test compounds, or vehicle control, medium was removed and fresh medium containing test compounds was added and cells incubated for an additional 24 hours. At the end of the incubation period, medium was harvested as described below.

Rifampicin (1-50  $\mu$ M) was tested with each plate of compounds as a positive control. The experiment was considered acceptable if the maximal induction response with rifampicin was greater than 8-fold. This degree of response is needed in order to ensure adequate dynamic range to detect weak inducers. Test compounds were initially assayed at 1, 10, 30, and 50  $\mu$ M. If no evidence of induction was observed at these concentrations, the compound was considered negative for induction. Some compounds showed a positive response with at least one of these initial concentrations, but a full dose-response curve was not achieved. In these cases, the range was extended to either higher or lower concentrations until either a maximal fold induction was achieved, or further extension was limited by cell toxicity.

- 8 -

# **Cell Toxicity Assessment**

Parallel sets of plated cells were treated and cell viability was measured using a WST1 Cell Proliferation Assay (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN), which measures mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity as a marker for metabolically active cells. Briefly, after the 48-hour treatment period, WST1 reagent was added to cell culture medium (1 part reagent to 10 parts cell culture medium), mixed gently, and incubated at 37°C for 20 min. Plates were shaken vigorously on an orbital shaker for 1 min, and absorbance was measured at 440 nm. Wells containing culture medium, but no cells, were used as background (measured at 660 nm). Treatments were deemed toxic if there was a significant decrease in absorbance compared to vehicle-treatment.

# **Quantification of CYP3A4 mRNA**

Total RNA was extracted from cells using the RNeasy 96 kit according to instructions provided by the manufacturer (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). RNA (100 ng) was analyzed using the Invader RNA assay reagent kits according to instructions provided by the manufacturer (Third Wave Technologies, Madison, WI), and as previously described (Mills et al., 2004).

# **Statistics and Curve-Fitting**

Experiments were carried out in triplicate and each compound was tested on at least 2 different days. All statistical analyses were carried out using Microsoft Excel. All curve fitting was carried out using SigmaPlot 8.0 (Systat Software, Inc., Chicago, IL). To DMD Fast Forward. Published on July 12, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/dmd.106.010132 This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

DMD #10132

determine  $EC_{50}$  and  $E_{max}$  values, concentration-response data were fit to a 3-parameter

sigmoid (Hill) function, according to the following equation:  $y=(E_{max}*x^{\gamma})/(EC_{50}^{\gamma}+x^{\gamma})$ .

#### **Results**

Characterization of Fa2N-4 cells using positive and negative controls. In order to assess the utility of the Fa2N-4 cell line to correctly identify inducers of CYP3A4, cells were treated with a variety of compounds that had previously been identified as positive or negative for CYP3A4 induction. The criterion for inclusion was that data from primary human hepatocyte experiments conclusively identified a compound as either positive or negative for induction of CYP3A4 using either enzyme activity or mRNA as an endpoint. Compounds used as negative controls were  $\beta$ -naphthoflavone, methotrexate,

methylprednisolone, clarithromycin, roxithromycin, and probenecid (Pichard et al., 1992; Ledirac et al., 2000; Luo et al., 2002; Madan et al., 2003). Compounds used as positive controls were mifepristone, troglitazone, carbamazepine, dexamethasone, efavirenz, rosiglitazone, clotrimazole, avasimibe, ritonavir, phenytoin, pioglitazone, phenobarbital, reserpine, rifabutin, sulfinpyrazone, nifedipine, rifapentine, and rifampicin (Kocarek et al., 1995; Li et al., 1997; Drocourt et al., 2001; Luo et al., 2002; Madan et al., 2003; Sahi et al., 2003a; Sahi et al., 2003b; Hariparsad et al., 2004). Fa2N-4 cells were treated for 2 days with varying concentrations of test compounds and CYP3A4 mRNA was measured. CYP3A4 mRNA levels were normalized to the vehicle control, and expressed as fold-induction. Maximal fold-induction values for the 24 test compounds and the vehicle control are shown in Fig. 1. Note that the concentrations required for maximal fold-induction varied from 0.1  $\mu$ M for mifepristone to 1000  $\mu$ M for phenobarbital. Maximal fold-induction produced with compounds identified as negative controls was less than 1.5-fold, and the maximal fold-induction produced with positive controls was greater than 2-fold. No false positives or false negatives were observed.

Concentration-response for inducers in Fa2N-4 cells. Since induction of CYP3A4 is a result of receptor-mediated processes, it should be concentration-dependent and saturable. Concentration-response relationships for the current set of compounds fell into one of three categories. Nine compounds - carbamazepine, dexamethasone, nifedipine, phenobarbital, phenytoin, pioglitazone, rifampicin, rosiglitazone, and sulfinpyrazone - showed standard dose-response curves with fold-induction increasing with dose to a point of saturation. These concentration-response data were fitted to a sigmoidal curve and EC<sub>50</sub> and E<sub>max</sub> parameters determined. (Fig. 2A; Table 2). Nine compounds showed atypical dose-response curves, in that fold-induction increased with dose to a point, then decreased at higher concentrations (Fig. 2B). In five of these cases (avasimibe, clotrimazole, efavirenz, mifepristone, and troglitazone), the bellshaped dose-response could be attributed to toxicity (Fig. 2B, right graphs). However, in the other four cases, the atypical dose-response was not associated with toxicity, as assessed by the mitochondrial dehydrogenase assay (reserpine, rifabutin, rifapentine, and ritonavir)(Fig. 2B, left graphs). The reason for this bell-shaped dose response is not known, but has been observed in other studies of induction (Reinach et al., 1999; Raucy, 2003; Xenotech, 2003).

**Assay reproducibility.** In order to assess the inter-assay variability in CYP3A4 induction in Fa2N-4 cells; concentration-response experiments with rifampicin were included each time the assay was run. Intra-assay variability (variability among replicate wells) was generally less than 25% (Table 1). Inter-assay variability in maximal fold-

- 12 -

induction and EC<sub>50</sub> values was also assessed (Table 1). Using mRNA as an endpoint, maximal fold-induction values ranged from 9.7- to 19-fold with an average of 13-fold and standard deviation of 3.7-fold (coefficient of variation of 28%). EC<sub>50</sub> values ranged from 1.3  $\mu$ M to 3.1  $\mu$ M, with an average of 1.9  $\mu$ M and standard deviation of 0.70 (coefficient of variation of 37%). Concentration response curves for the additional 23 test compounds were carried out at least twice. Intra-assay variability was generally less than 25%. Inter-assay variability ranged from 3% to 53%, with a mean (± S.D.) inter-assay variability of 30 ± 16% (data not shown).

The stability of induction response with passage number has been shown in previous publications, and results indicated that fold-induction is stable at least up to passage number 47 (Xenotech, 2003; Mills et al., 2004). In the current studies, the passage number of the cells used was between passages 22 and 35.

# Modeling the relationship between induction of CYP3A4 in Fa2N-4 cells and

*clinical induction.* In order to establish a correlation between data generated in vitro and that observed in clinical studies, the potency and efficacy of inducers in Fa2N-4 cells was related to exposures achieved in vivo. Inducers were scored based on the relationship of the  $EC_{50}$  and  $E_{max}$  values in Fa2N-4 cells and the efficacious concentration observed in vivo, according to the following equation:

Relative Induction Score = 
$$\frac{C_{eff, free} * E_{max}}{C_{eff, free} + EC_{50}}$$

The value C<sub>eff,free</sub> is the published plasma concentration of inducer achieved after a standard therapeutic dose, corrected for protein binding. This concentration was chosen to approximate the concentration of drug available for binding to PXR and effecting induction of CYP3A4. The RIS for various inducers using the above equation are shown in Table 2. The published clinical changes in either midazolam or ethinylestradiol AUC upon coadministration with inducers are also shown. Note that the relationship between RIS and clinical DDI was evaluated only for inducers. Compounds that are capable of simultaneous inhibition and induction of CYP3A4 at clinically relevant concentrations (e.g., ritonavir and efavirenz) were excluded from this analysis.

The correlation between RIS and clinical DDI was assessed by plotting the RIS versus the percent decrease in AUC of a coadministered CYP3A substrate, data that was obtained from published clinical DDI trials. Figure 3 shows the correlation plots for the widely used CYP3A probe substrate, midazolam (Fig. 3A) and ethinylestradiol (Fig. 3B). The relationship between RIS and percent decrease in midazolam AUC fits a Hill function with an  $E_{max}$  of 97%. A positive correlation is also apparent between RIS and percent decrease in ethinylestradiol AUC, and achieves an  $E_{max}$  of 66%. Excellent correlations between the RIS and clinical DDI were obtained using either midazolam or ethinylestradiol clinical data ( $r^2 \ge 0.92$ ).

# **Discussion**

Since induction of CYP3A4 can result in significant DDI, it is important to assess a new drug candidate's ability to cause CYP3A4 induction. In the current work, we demonstrate that the Fa2N-4 line of immortalized hepatocytes can be used not only to identify inducers, but are a robust and reproducible enough system to be used to predict extent of clinical induction.

Induction of CYP3A4, CYP2C9, and MDR1 had previously been demonstrated in Fa2N-4 cells in response to rifampicin, phenobarbital, or dexamethasone (Mills et al., 2004). The current work indicates that these immortalized hepatocytes can appropriately identify a larger diversity of inducers, including those compounds that are relatively weak inducers in vitro. Since the 18 positive controls tested had maximal fold-induction values of greater than 2, and the 6 negative controls had maximal fold-induction values of less than 1.5, it seems appropriate that when screening compounds with unknown induction potential to consider a compound in vitro positive if it produces a maximal fold-induction of greater than or equal to 2. Using this criterion, even the weak in vitro inducers such as carbamazepine and dexamethasone would be correctly identified.

In order to assess the utility of the Fa2N-4 immortalized hepatocytes for developing an in vitro-in vivo correlation for induction, we first examined the robustness and reproducibility of the data. The inter-assay variability observed with Fa2N-4 cells (21-37%, depending on the parameter) was considerably less than that reported for primary human hepatocyte cultures. For example, Madan et al. assessed induction response across primary hepatocytes from 62 individuals using enzyme activity as an

- 15 -

endpoint. Fold-induction for 50 μM rifampicin ranged from 1.5- to 145-fold, with an average of 9.7-fold and standard deviation of 19-fold (coefficient of variation of 200%) (Madan et al., 2003; Xenotech, 2003). The relatively smaller variability in response in Fa2N-4 cells suggested that this system could provide a means to develop in vitro-in vivo correlations, and clinical predictions.

El-Sankary et al. demonstrated the utility of using in vitro potency and efficacy to rank order inducers (EI-Sankary et al., 2001). These investigators generated E<sub>max</sub> and EC<sub>50</sub> values for several inducers, using HepG2 cells transfected with glucocorticoid receptor, PXR, and a CYP3A4 reporter gene. Ranking of compounds was achieved by dividing maximal fold-induction by EC<sub>50</sub>. We extended this approach and demonstrated that by incorporating free plasma concentrations into a simple E<sub>max</sub> model to generate the RIS, one could attain an excellent in vitro-in vivo correlation for CYP3A4 induction. Ideally, the RIS equation would incorporate the intracellular free concentration of drug in hepatocytes, which should reflect the amount of drug available for binding to PXR. However, concentration of drug within hepatocytes is not readily measurable, and as a surrogate, the published free plasma concentration at C<sub>max</sub> was used. Using free C<sub>max</sub>, the in vitro-in vivo correlation, using either midazolam or ethinylestradiol as probe substrate, was excellent ( $r^2 \ge 0.92$ ). The in vitro-in vivo correlation was also attempted using total plasma concentration, but the correlation coefficient was low ( $r^2 < 0.55$ ; not shown). Note that treatment of Fa2N-4 cells with test compounds was done under serum-free conditions, and therefore, it is not surprising that free plasma concentrations produced a better correlation. The incorporation of free plasma concentrations is critical to appropriately identifying induction risk. For example, nifedipine and pioglitazone are

relatively potent and efficacious inducers in vitro, and might be predicted to be strong inducers in vivo. However, by factoring in the low plasma concentrations and free fractions of these two drugs, the actual RIS is very low and indeed these compounds show very little to no clinical induction (Horsmans et al., 1991; Sachse et al., 1998). Conversely, carbamazepine is a very weak inducer in vitro, with a high EC<sub>50</sub> and low E<sub>max</sub>. However, when the high plasma concentration and free fractions of carbamazepine are considered, the RIS is at least an order of magnitude higher than pioglitazone and nifedipine, and this correlates with the clinical DDI observed with this compound (Crawford et al., 1990; Backman et al., 1996b).

It is interesting to note that the  $EC_{50}$  values from the in vitro experiments were in many cases higher than the published plasma concentrations for a given drug, yet in several cases the drug still produced clinically measurable induction. This suggests that in order to appropriately assess clinical induction risk, the ratio of the plasma concentration to  $EC_{50}$  is not enough. This is in contrast to the risk assessment for inhibition, which typically can be predicted from the ratio of the in vivo inhibitor concentrations and the in vitro K<sub>i</sub> for inhibition. It appears that for induction, it is important to include not only the in vivo concentration and in vitro  $EC_{50}$ , but also the maximal fold-induction achieved in vitro. The RIS equation incorporates these three parameters.

The relationship between RIS and percent decrease in AUC of coadministered drug can be used as a calibration curve for clinical induction, and hence it is critical when evaluating induction response for new compounds that the assay conditions are consistent with those in which this calibration curve was generated. In other words,

- 17 -

under experimental conditions whereby rifampicin exhibits a greater fold-induction, then a test compound would also tend to have a greater fold-induction. Therefore, it is recommended that in order to use the in vitro-in vivo correlation shown here, the rifampicin response in the same experiment should be within the range of rifampicin responses observed in the calibration experiments, that is  $E_{max}$  between 9- and19-fold and  $EC_{50}$  between 1.3 and 3.1  $\mu$ M. Alternatively, an abbreviated calibration curve could be generated for each experiment. For example, for each set of test compounds, rosiglitazone or nifedipine could be included as a low standard, pioglitazone or avasimibe as a middle standard, and rifampicin as a high standard, and a RIS/DDI relationship generated in each run.

One caveat with predicting clinical induction from in vitro data is the potential effect of metabolites. If metabolites are also inducers, and if exposure to these metabolites is significant, the RIS could under-predict clinical induction. In fact, we have encountered an example of a compound that had a major circulating metabolite that was also an inducer. If the RIS were calculated with the parent compound, no clinical DDI would have been predicted. However, when the RIS of the metabolite was added in, the data correlated well with the actual induction observed in clinical DDI studies (unpublished observations).

Another caveat to the use of the RIS concerns compounds that are both inducers and inhibitors of CYP3A4 at clinically relevant concentrations (e.g., ritonavir and efavirenz). These compounds would not be accurately predicted by factoring in only the induction component. Note that some compounds might be competitive inhibitors of CYP3A4, but have K<sub>i</sub> values that are significantly higher than therapeutic

- 18 -

concentrations. In these cases, inhibition would not be expected to be clinically relevant, and the RIS could be used. Pioglitazone and troglitazone are examples of such compounds. The K<sub>i</sub> values for CYP3A4 inhibition are approximately 12  $\mu$ M and 1  $\mu$ M for pioglitazone and troglitazone, respectively (Sahi et al., 2003a). These values are much higher than the unbound in vivo concentrations, and therefore, these compounds would not be expected to produce inhibition in vivo. In these cases, the RIS could be used to predict effects due to induction, without the need to incorporate an inhibition term. Work is underway to evaluate the utility of Fa2N-4 cell assay to accurately predict DDI for compounds that are simultaneous inducers and inhibitors of CYP3A4 at clinically relevant concentrations.

It is interesting to note that the most potent clinical inducer published (rifampicin) produced a 97% decrease in midazolam AUC, but only a 67% decrease in ethinylestradiol AUC. Some substrates, such as midazolam, are cleared almost exclusively by CYP3A metabolism, and therefore might be more susceptible to CYP3A induction than other substrates that are cleared via multiple pathways. Ethinylestradiol is cleared by a combination of CYP3A4 metabolism, sulfation, and glucuronidation (Maggs et al., 1983; Guengerich, 1990). It would also be expected that compounds that are cleared by both intestinal and hepatic CYP3A would be more susceptible to the effects of inducers than those cleared by hepatic enzymes only. An example of this is the difference in clinical DDI observed with midazolam depending on whether it is administered orally or intravenously (Gorski et al., 2003). Since most DDI studies with midazolam are conducted using an oral route of administration, we chose to investigate the in vitro-in vivo correlation using oral midazolam data.

In conclusion, our findings indicate that Fa2N-4 immortalized hepatocytes can be used to identify a wide range of CYP3A4 inducers, including those compounds that are weak in vitro inducers. Moreover, potency and efficacy data from Fa2N-4 cells can be combined with plasma concentration data to generate a RIS that correlates with clinical DDI. The low inter-assay variability of the Fa2N-4 cells relative to primary hepatocytes makes this in vitro-in vivo correlation possible. Future studies will be directed at using these immortalized hepatocytes to develop clinical DDI prediction tools for additional drug-metabolizing enzymes.

# Acknowledgments.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the following Pfizer scientists for contributing data as well as scientific insights: Mike Banker, Puja Billis, Cuiping Chen, Angela Doran, John Gibbs, Jae Lee, Carol Mcrobie, Scott Obach, Dennis Scott, and Karthik Venkatakrishnan.

# References

- Back DJ, Bates M, Bowden A, Breckenridge AM, Hall MJ, Jones H, MacIver M, Orme
   M, Perucca E, Richens A, Rowe PH and Smith E (1980) The interaction of
   phenobarbital and other anticonvulsants with oral contraceptive steroid therapy.
   *Contraception* 22:495-503.
- Backman JT, Olkkola KT and Neuvonen PJ (1996a) Rifampin Drastically Reduces
   Plasma Concentrations and Effects of Oral Midazolam. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 59:7-13.
- Backman JT, Olkkola KT, Ojala M, Laaksovirta H and Neuvonen PJ (1996b)
   Concentrations and Effects of Oral Midazolam are Greatly Reduced in Patients
   Treated with Carbamazepine or Phenytoin. *Epilepsia* 37:253-257.
- Bjornsson TD, Callaghan JT, Einolf HJ, Fischer V, Gan L, Grimm S, Kao J, King SP,
  Miwa G, Ni L, Kumar G, McLeod J, Obach RS, Roberts S, Roe A, Shah A,
  Snikeris F, Sullivan JT, Tweedie D, Vega JM, Walsh J and Wrighton SA (2003)
  The Conduct Of In Vitro And In Vivo Drug-Drug Interaction Studies: A
  Pharmaceutical Research And Manufacturers Of America (PhRMA) Perspective. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31**:815-832.
- Crawford P, Chadwick DJ, Martin C, Tjia J, Back DJ and Orme M (1990) The Interaction of Phenytoin and Carbamazepine with Combined Oral Contraceptive Steroids. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **30**:892-896.
- Drocourt L, Pascussi J-M, Assenat E, Fabre J-M, Maurel P and Vilarem M-J (2001) Calcium Channel Modulators of the Dihydropyridine Family Are Human

Pregnane X Receptor Activators and Inducers of CYP3A, CYP2B, and CYP2C in Human Hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **29**:1325-1331.

- El-Sankary W, Gibson GG, Ayrton A and Plant N (2001) Use of a Reporter Gene Assay to Predict and Rank the Potency and Efficacy of CYP3A4 Inducers. *Drug Metab Dispos* **29**:1499-1504.
- Goodwin B, Hodgson E and Liddle C (1999) The Orphan Human Pregnane X Receptor Mediates the Transcriptional Activation of CYP3A4 by Rifampicin through a Distal Enhancer Module. *Mol Pharmacol* **56**:1329-1339.
- Gorski JC, Vannaprasaht S, Hamman MA, Ambrosius WT, Bruce MA, Haehner-Daniels B and Hall SD (2003) The effect of age, sex, and rifampin administration on intestinal and hepatic cytochrome P450 3A activity. *Clinical Pharmacology* & *Therapeutics* **74**:275-287.
- Guengerich F (1990) Metabolism of 17 alpha-ethynylestradiol in humans. *Life Sci* **47**:1981-1988.
- Hariparsad N, Nallani SC, Sane RS, Buckley DJ, Buckley AR and Desai PB (2004) Induction of CYP3A4 by Efavirenz in Primary Human Hepatocytes: Comparison With Rifampin and Phenobarbital. *J Clin Pharmacol* **44**:1273-1281.
- Harris R, Inglis A, Miller A, Thompson K, Finnerty D, Patterson S, Jorkasky D and Freed
   M (1999) Rosiglitazone has no clinically significant effect on nifedipine
   pharmacokinetics. *J Clin Pharmacol* **39**:1189-1194.
- Horsmans Y, Desager J, Pauwels S and Harvengt C (1991) Lack of effect by nifedipine on hepatic mixed function oxidase in man. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* **5**:193-201.

- Inglis A, Miller A, Culkin K, Finnerty D, Patterson S, Jorkasky D and Freed M (2001) Lack of effect of rosiglitazone on the pharmacokinetics of oral contraceptives in healthy female volunteers. J Clin Pharmacol 41:683-690.
- Kliewer SA, Goodwin B and Willson TM (2002) The Nuclear Pregnane X Receptor: A Key Regulator of Xenobiotic Metabolism. *Endocr Rev* **23**:687-702.
- Kocarek TA, Schuetz EG, Strom SC, Fisher RA and Guzelian PS (1995) Comparative Analysis of Cytochrome P4503A Induction in Primary Cultures of Rat, Rabbit, and Human Hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **23**:415-421.
- LeBel M, Masson E, Guilbert E, Colborn D, Paquet F, Allard S, Vallee F and Narang PK (1998) Effects of Rifabutin and Rifampicin on the Pharmacokinetics of Ethinylestradiol and Norethindrone. *J Clin Pharmacol* **38**:1042-1050.
- Ledirac N, de Sousa G, Fontaine F, Agouridas C, Gugenheim J, Lorenzon G and Rahmani R (2000) Effects of Macrolide Antibiotics on CYP3A Expression in Human and Rat Hepatocytes: Interspecies Differences in Response to Troleandomycin. *Drug Metab Dispos* **28**:1391-1393.
- Lehmann JM, McKee DD, Watson MA, Willson TM, Moore JT and Kliewer SA (1998)
  The Human Orphan Nuclear Receptor PXR Is Activated by Compounds That
  Regulate CYP3A4 Gene Expression and Cause Drug Interactions. *J. Clin. Invest.*102:1016-1023.
- Li AP, Reith MK, Rasmussen A, Gorski JC, Hall SD, Xu L, Kaminski DL and Cheng LK (1997) Primary human hepatocytes as a tool for the evaluation of structureactivity relationship in cytochrome P450 induction potential of xenobiotics: evaluation of rifampin, rifapentine and rifabutin. *Chem Biol Interact* **107**:17-30.

Loi C-M, Stern R, Koup JR, Vassos AB, Knowlton P, and Sedman AJ (1999) Effect of Troglitazone on the Pharmacokinetics of an Oral Contraceptive Agent. *J Clin Pharmacol* **39:**410-417.

Luo G, Cunningham M, Kim S, Burn T, Lin J, Sinz M, Hamilton G, Rizzo C, Jolley S, Gilbert D, Downey A, Mudra D, Graham R, Carroll K, Xie J, Madan A, Parkinson A, Christ D, Selling B, LeCluyse E and Gan L-S (2002) CYP3A4 Induction by Drugs: Correlation between a Pregnane X Receptor Reporter Gene Assay and CYP3A4 Expression in Human Hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **30**:795-804.

- Madan A, Graham RA, Carroll KM, Mudra DR, Burton LA, Krueger LA, Downey AD,
  Czerwinski M, Forster J, Ribadeneira MD, Gan L-S, LeCluyse EL, Zech K,
  Robertson P, Jr., Koch P, Antonian L, Wagner G, Yu L and Parkinson A (2003)
  Effects of Prototypical Microsomal Enzyme Inducers on Cytochrome P450
  Expression in Cultured Human Hepatocytes. *Drug Metab Dispos* 31:421-431.
- Maggs JL, Grimmer SF, Orme ML, Breckenridge AM, Park BK and Gilmore IT (1983) The biliary and urinary metabolites of [<sup>3</sup>H]17 alpha-ethynylestradiol in women. *Xenobiotica* **13**:421-431.
- Mcrobie C, Kaplan I, Abi-Sabb W, Mancuso J, Legg J, Fullerton T, and Benincosa, L (2004) Use of Midazolam and Fexofenadine to Assess Induction of Cytochrome P4503A4 and P-Glycoprotein in Humans. *The AAPS Journal* **6**:R6201. Available from http://www.aapspharmsci.org/
- Mills JB, Rose KA, Sadagopan N, Sahi J and de Morais SMF (2004) Induction of Drug Metabolism Enzymes and MDR1 Using a Novel Human Hepatocyte Cell Line. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **309**:303-309.

- Pichard L, Fabre I, Daujat M, Domergue J, Joyeux H and Maurel P (1992) Effect of Corticosteroids on the Expression of Cytochromes P450 and on Cyclosporin A Oxidase Activity in Primary Cultures of Human Hepatocytes. *Mol Pharmacol* 41:1047-1055.
- Raucy JL (2003) Regulation of CYP3A4 Expression in Human Hepatocytes by Pharmaceuticals and Natural Products. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31**:533-539.
- Reinach B, de Sousa G, Dostert P, Ings R, Gugenheim J and Rahmani R (1999)
   Comparative effects of rifabutin and rifampicin on cytochromes P450 and UDPglucuronosyl-transferases expression in fresh and cryopreserved human hepatocytes. *Chem Biol Interact* **121**:37-48.
- Sachse R, Brendel E, Muck W, Rohde G, Ochmann K, Horstmann R and Kuhlmann J (1998) Lack of drug-drug interaction between cerivastatin and nifedipine. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* **36**:409-413.
- Sahi J, Black CB, Hamilton GA, Zheng X, Jolley S, Rose KA, Gilbert D, LeCluyse EL and Sinz MW (2003a) Comparative Effects of Thiazolidinediones on in Vitro P450 Enzyme Induction and Inhibition. *Drug Metab Dispos* **31**:439-446.
- Sahi J, Milad MA, Zheng X, Rose KA, Wang H, Stilgenbauer L, Gilbert D, Jolley S,
  Stern RH and LeCluyse EL (2003b) Avasimibe Induces CYP3A4 and Multiple
  Drug Resistance Protein 1 Gene Expression through Activation of the Pregnane
  X Receptor. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **306**:1027-1034.
- Xenotech LLC (2003) Immortalized Hepatocytes: A New *In Vitro* Approach to Enzyme Induction Studies, in *Xenotechniques* pp 1-11, Lenexa.

DMD Fast Forward. Published on July 12, 2006 as DOI: 10.1124/dmd.106.010132 This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

DMD #10132

# Footnotes

<sup>5</sup>This work was presented in part at the 2004 & 2005 Institute for Scientific Exchange Conferences on Drug-Drug Interactions, and at the 2005 International Society for the Study of Xenobiotics (ISSX) National meeting.

Send reprint requests to:

Sonia M. de Morais, Ph.D. Pfizer Global Research & Development 400 Eastern Pt Rd Groton, CT 06340 Sonia.m.demorais@pfizer.com

# Fig. Legends

# Fig. 1. Maximal fold-induction of CYP3A4 in Fa2N-4 cells treated with various

**inducers and non-inducers.** Gray bars indicate compounds previously identified as negative for CYP3A4 induction in primary hepatocytes, and black bars indicate compounds previously identified as positive for induction of CYP3A4 in primary hepatocytes. Cells were treated for 2 days with compounds in 0.1% DMSO, followed by cell harvest, RNA isolation, and measurement of CYP3A4 mRNA. Data were normalized to vehicle control (0.1% DMSO). Concentrations shown (μM) and are those that produced maximal fold-induction of CYP3A4 for a given compound.

# Fig. 2. Concentration-response curves for compounds producing a positive

**response in Fa2N-4 cells.** Carbamazepine, dexamethasone, phenytoin, phenobarbital, sulfinpyrazone, nifedipine, pioglitazone, rosiglitazone, and rifampicin exhibited typical concentration-response relationships that could be fit using a sigmoidal curve-fitting program (symbols denote observed data ( $\pm$  S.D.), while line denotes curve fit) (**A**). Reserpine, rifabutin, rifapentine, and ritonavir exhibited bell-shaped concentration response relationships (**B**, left graphs). Attainment of full concentration-response relationships for avasimibe, clotrimazole, efavirenz, mifepristone, and troglitazone was limited by cell toxicity (**B**, right graphs).

Fig 3. Relationship between relative induction score (RIS) and clinical effects on coadministered midazolam (A) and ethinylestradiol (B). Compound abbreviations:

CBM, carbamazepine; CP A, 6-methoxy-1-methyl-1-trifluoromethyl-isochroman-7ylmethyl)-(2-phenyl-piperidin-3-yl)-amine; NIF, nifedipine; PB, phenobarbital; PHT, phenytoin; PIO, pioglitazone; RIF, rifampicin; RSG, rosiglitazone; TRG, troglitazone. Data points were fitted to a 3-parameter Hill function,  $y=(E_{max}*x^{\gamma})/(RIS_{50}^{\gamma}+x^{\gamma})$ . For graph A,  $E_{max}=97.0$ ,  $\gamma=1.24$ , and RIS<sub>50</sub>=0.031. For graph B,  $E_{max}=65.6$ ,  $\gamma=0.997$ , and

 $RIS_{50}=0.0924.$ 

# TABLE 1

Intra-day (shaded) and inter-day (italicized) assay variability from 5 rifampicin

concentration-response experiments conducted in triplicate

| Exp't<br># | 1 uM RIF |                 | 10 uM RIF |     | 30 uM RIF |     | 50 uM RIF |     | Curve fit parameters <sup>a</sup> |                         |                |      |
|------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------|
|            | AVG      | CV <sup>b</sup> | AVG       | CV  | AVG       | CV  | AVG       | CV  | EC <sub>50</sub>                  | <b>E</b> <sub>max</sub> | r <sup>2</sup> | γ    |
| 1          | 4.1      | 6%              | 7.4       | 3%  | 8.2       | 2%  | 9.0       | 11% | 2.1                               | 10                      | 0.98           | 0.56 |
| 2          | 5.5      | 27%             | 12        | 23% | 13        | 23% | 14        | 22% | 1.7                               | 14                      | 0.99           | 0.93 |
| 3          | 5.4      | 17%             | 10        | 14% | 10        | 14% | 12        | 13% | 1.3                               | 12                      | 0.98           | 0.76 |
| 4          | 6.3      | 23%             | 12        | 15% | 16        | 15% | 16        | 16% | 3.1                               | 19                      | 0.99           | 0.62 |
| 5          | 3.8      | 15%             | 8.1       | 25% | 11        | 16% | 8.1       | 29% | 1.5                               | 9.7                     | 0.95           | 1.1  |
| AVG        | 5.0      |                 | 9.9       |     | 12        |     | 12        |     | 1.9                               | 13                      |                |      |
| CV         | 21%      |                 | 22%       |     | 25%       |     | 28%       |     | 37%                               | 28%                     |                |      |

<sup>a</sup>Data were fit using a Hill function (3-parameter) according to the following equation: y =

 $(E_{max} * x^{\gamma})/(EC_{50}^{\gamma} + x^{\gamma})$ . <sup>*b*</sup>CV = coefficient of variation, (standard deviation/average).

TABLE 2. List of compounds with corresponding in vitro induction data and published clinical data used to generate relative induction scores (RIS).

| Drug                      | Dose <sup>a</sup> Tot<br>(mg) C <sub>ma</sub><br>(μΝ |       | Free C <sub>max</sub> <sup>a</sup><br>(μΜ) |      | E <sub>max</sub> <sup>b</sup><br>(fold-<br>induc-<br>tion) | RIS     | Clinical DDI<br>(Decrease in AUC<br>of Coadministered<br>Drug) |                                    |  |
|---------------------------|--|-------|--|------|--|---------|--|------------------------------------|--|
|                           |  |       |  |      |  |         | Midaz-<br>olam   | Ethinyl-<br>estradiol              | References for<br>Clinical DDI Data            |
| Carbamaze-<br>pine        | 400-<br>800  | 34    | 8.5 $(f_u=0.25)^c$                         | 62   | 2.5  | 0.30    | 94%  | 41%                                | Backman et al., 1996b<br>Crawford et al., 1990 |
| Nifedipine                | 30-60  | 0.29  | 0.014<br>(f <sub>u</sub> =0.05)            | 17   | 8.3  | 0.0068  | with oth   | cal induction<br>her CYP<br>trates | Horsmans et al., 1991<br>Sachse et al., 1998   |
| Phenobarbital             | 75   | 52    | 26<br>(f <sub>u</sub> =0.50)               | 222  | 5.5  | 0.58    | NA <sup>d</sup>  | 70%                                | Back et al., 1980                              |
| Phenytoin                 | 200-<br>300  | 70    | 5.2<br>(f <sub>u</sub> =0.075)             | 44   | 5.2  | 0.55    | NA   | 50%                                | Crawford et al., 1990                          |
| Pioglitazone              | 15-45  | 2.8   | 0.028<br>(f <sub>u</sub> <0.01)            | 4.6  | 4.1  | 0.025   | 26%  | 11%                                | pioglitazone product<br>label                  |
| Rifampicin                | 300-<br>600  | 10    | 2.5<br>(f <sub>u</sub> =0.25)              | 1.9  | 13   | 7.4     | 95%  | 64%                                | Backman et al., 1996a<br>LeBel et al., 1998    |
| Rosiglitazone             | 8  | 1.4   | 0.0028<br>(f <sub>u</sub> =0.002)          | 14.5 | 2.9  | 0.00056 | No effect on<br>ethinylestradiol or<br>nifedipine PK           |                                    | Harris et al., 1999<br>Inglis et al., 2001     |
| Troglitazone <sup>e</sup> | 600  | 6.3   | 0.063<br>(fu=0.01)                         | 3.0  | 2.4  | 0.049   | NA   | 30%                                | Loi et al., 1999                               |
| Compound A                | 40   | 0.028 | 0.0028<br>(f <sub>u</sub> =0.1)            | 1.3  | 4.8  | 0.010   | 39%  | NA                                 | Mcrobie et al., 2004                           |

| Compound A   | 120 | 0.106 | 0.011<br>(f <sub>u</sub> =0.1) | 1.3 | 4.8 | 0.039 | 62% | NA |  |
|--|-----|-------|--------------------------------|-----|-----|-------|-----|----|--|
| <sup>a</sup> Dosage and plasma concentration information obtained from product labels and/or cited DDI studies. <sup>b</sup> EC <sub>50</sub> and E <sub>max</sub> |     |       |                                |     |     |       |     |    |  |
| parameters generated by curve-fitting of Fa2N-4 cell data, with the exception of troglitazone. $c_{f_u}$ = unbound fraction in                                     |     |       |                                |     |     |       |     |    |  |
| parameters generated by curve name of aziv $+$ centratia, with the exception of troginazone. $T_{ij}$ = unbound fraction in  |     |       |                                |     |     |       |     |    |  |
| plasma. <sup>d</sup> NA = no data available. <sup>e</sup> Concentration-response curves for troglitazone were limited by toxicity at higher                        |     |       |                                |     |     |       |     |    |  |
| concentrations, therefore, $E_{max}$ was estimated as the highest fold-induction at a non-toxic concentration, and $EC_{50}$ the                                   |     |       |                                |     |     |       |     |    |  |
| concentration producing half maximal fold induction.   |     |       |                                |     |     |       |     |    |  |











