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Abstract

The extents to which small intestinal (SI) cytochrome P450 (P450) enzymes control the bioavailability of oral drugs are not well defined, particularly for drugs that are substrates for both P450 and the P-glycoprotein (P-gp). Here, we have determined the role of SI P450 in the clearance of orally administered lovastatin (LVS), an anti-hypercholesterolemia drug, using an intestinal epithelium (IE)-specific P450 reductase knockout (IE-Cpr-null) mouse model. In the IE-Cpr-null mouse, which has little P450 activities in the IE, the oral bioavailability of LVS was substantially higher than in wild-type (WT) mice (15% and 5%, respectively). In control experiments, the LVS clearance rates were not different between the two strains, either for intraperitoneally dosed LVS, which bypasses SI metabolism, or for orally administered pravastatin, which is known to be poorly metabolized by P450. Thus, our results demonstrate a predominant role of SI P450 enzymes in the first-pass clearance of oral LVS. The absence of IE P450 activities in the IE-Cpr-null mice also facilitated the identification of the molecular targets for orally administered grapefruit juice (GFJ), which is known to inhibit LVS clearance in humans. We found that pretreatment of mice with oral GFJ enhanced the systemic exposure of LVS in WT, but not in IE-Cpr-null mice, a result suggesting that the main target of GFJ action in the small intestine is P450, but not P-gp.


Introduction

Cytochrome P450 (P450)-mediated drug metabolism in the small intestine could have a major impact on the bioavailability and, consequently, the therapeutic efficacy or toxicity of a given drug (Thummel et al., 1997; Lin et al 1999; Suzuki and Sugiyama, 2000; Kaminsky and Zhang, 2003). Orally administered drugs are potentially subject to first-pass metabolism, initially in the small intestine, and then in the liver, before they reach systemic circulation. Knowledge of the relative organ contributions of liver and small intestine to the first-pass metabolism of a given drug is important, for improvements in drug bioavailability and for identification of sites of potential drug-drug interactions. Given the tissue differences between liver and small intestine in the expression of various P450 enzymes, other drug metabolism enzymes, and drug transporters (Ding and Kaminsky, 2003; Paine et al., 2006; Suzuki and Sugiyama, 2000; Doherty and Charman, 2002), the relative contributions of liver and small intestinal (SI) P450 enzymes to first-pass metabolism will likely vary for each drug.

It has been difficult to directly demonstrate the specific contributions of SI P450 enzymes to the first-pass clearance of orally-administered drugs in vivo, until the recent generation of mouse models that have tissue-specific alterations of P450 activities in the intestine. These models include our intestinal epithelium (IE)-specific cytochrome P450 reductase (CPR) knockout mouse model (IE-Cpr-null), in which the activities of all microsomal P450s are suppressed in the intestinal epithelial cells (Zhang, et al., 2009), and the Cyp3αV model, in which human CYP3A4 is expressed specifically in IE cells of the Cyp3α-null mouse (van Herwaarden et al., 2007). Previously, we have used the IE-Cpr-null mouse to demonstrate the role of SI P450s in modulating the bioavailability of nifedipine (NFP) (Zhang et al., 2009), a drug with high solubility and high permeability (Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS) class 1), and thus expected to be eliminated mainly through metabolism, rather than by the efflux transporter P-glycoprotein (P-gp) (Wu and Benet, 2005). Here, we have further evaluated the role of SI P450 enzymes in the first-pass metabolism of lovastatin (LVS), as a representative of BCS class-2 drugs (with low solubility and high permeability), which are expected to be eliminated by both P450-mediated metabolism and efflux transport (Wu and Benet, 2005).
LVS belongs to the statin family of drugs that are widely used for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia (Hsu et al., 1995; Schachter 2004). Clinically, LVS is administered orally in its lactone form (as a prodrug), which is readily converted to the active, \( \beta \)-hydroxy acid form (LVA) in vivo. LVS has a very low oral bioavailability (~5%) (Schachter 2004). In the liver, LVS is mainly metabolized by CYP3A (Wang et al 1991), and both LVS and LVA are CYP3A substrates (Ishigami et al., 2001). LVS and LVA are also substrates for P-gp; the latter has been suggested to influence the pharmacokinetic properties of various statins (Chen et al., 2005). However, the precise roles of SI P450 enzymes (including CYP3A), as well as P-gp, in the first-pass clearance of LVS had not been determined.

In the present study, we first confirmed the IE-specific suppression of LVS metabolism in the IE-Cpr-null mice. Then we compared, between IE-Cpr-null and WT mice, pharmacokinetic profiles and parameters for plasma LVA, after oral, intraperitoneal (i.p.), or intravenous (iv) administration of LVS, in order to show the impact of the IE-specific loss of P450 activity toward LVS on the first-pass clearance and oral bioavailability of LVS. To further confirm the direct involvement of SI P450-mediated metabolism (rather than any unexpected changes resulting from the Cpr gene deletion and any associated genomic responses) in controlling LVS bioavailability, we further studied the clearance of pravastatin (PVS; as a negative control), which is known to be scarcely metabolized by P450 (e.g., Jacobsen et al., 1999a; 1999b). PVS, a BCS class-3 drug (with high solubility, low permeability), is expected to be eliminated mainly through excretion into bile and urine without undergoing metabolism (Wu and Benet, 2005). Additionally, by taking advantage of the absence of P450 activities in the small intestine of the IE-Cpr-null mice, we determined whether oral administration of grapefruit juice (GFJ), which is known to inhibit CYP3A-mediated metabolism of many drugs (Bailey et al., 1998), and to reduce LVS clearance in patients (Kantola et al., 1998), can further enhance LVS bioavailability in the IE-Cpr-null mice, through inhibition of P-gp. Our findings demonstrate the value of the IE-Cpr-null mouse model for identification of the specific roles of SI P450 enzymes in the first-pass clearance of BCS class-2 drugs.
Methods

Animals and treatments. Adult (2-4-month-old) female IE-Cpr-null (Zhang et al., 2009) mice and age-matched WT littermates were used. Animals were given food and water ad libitum. For LVS ((1S,3R,7S,8S,8aR)-8-[(2R,4R)-4-hydroxy-6-oxooxan-2-yl]ethyl)-3,7-dimethyl-1,2,3,7,8,8a-hexahydronaphthalen-1-yl (2S)-2-methylbutanoate, Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI) administration, mice were given a bolus dose (at 25 or 50 mg/kg) of LVS [dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)/Tween 80/PBS (1:2:7, v/v/v) at 2.5 or 5 mg/ml, respectively], either via oral gavage or through intraperitoneal injection, or they were injected intravenously with LVS (at 2.5 mg/kg; dissolved in the aforementioned vehicle at 0.25 mg/ml). For GFJ (Florida brand frozen juice concentrate; Wal-Mart) administration, GFJ (4X-strength juice, 20 ml/kg) was given via oral gavage 2 h before LVS (12.5 mg/kg) administration. For PVS ((3R,5R)-3,5-dihydroxy-7-[(1R,2S,6S,8R,8aR)-6-hydroxy-2-methyl-8-{[(2S)-2-methylbutanoyl]oxy}-1,2,6,7,8,8a-hexahydronaphthalen-1-yl]-heptanoic acid, Cayman Chemical) administration, mice were given PVS (dissolved in PBS at 2.5 or 5 mg/ml) via intraperitoneal injection (25 mg/kg) or oral gavage (50 mg/kg). All animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Wadsworth Center.

Pharmacokinetic analysis. For mice (five to six in each group) treated with LVS or PVS via oral gavage or i.p injection, blood samples were collected from the tail vein at 0.25, 0.5, 1., 1.5, 2, and 4 h after drug administration; whereas, for mice treated with LVS intravenously, blood samples were obtained from the saphenous vein at 10 min, 0.5, 1, 1.5, and 2 h after dosing. LVA and PVS were extracted from plasma by solid phase extraction (SPE). Briefly, 10 μl of plasma was spiked with an internal standard, simvastatin (Cayman Chemical, 40 ng/ml, in methanol) before dilution with 2 ml of deionized water; the mixture was vortex-mixed and then loaded onto a Waters Oasis HLB SPE column. The column was washed with 1 ml of H2O before the analytes were eluted with 250 μl of acetonitrile/H2O (8/2, v/v); 5 μl of the eluate was injected for LC-MS/MS analysis. Pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated from the plasma concentration-time data by a noncompartmental approach using WinNonlin software (Version 5.1; Pharsight, Mountain View, CA). Statistical significance of differences between two groups for various
parameters was analyzed using Student’s *t* test.

**LC-MS/MS analysis of LVA and PVS.** A LC-MS/MS system consisting of an Agilent 1200 Series HPLC and an ABI 4000 Q-Trap mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems), with a Waters X-Terra MS C18 column (100 mm x 3.0 mm i.d., 3.5 µm) was used. The mass spectrometer was set to the multiple-reaction monitoring mode, and was operated with an electrospray ionization source. The method for the analysis of LVS is essentially the same as previous reported (Lodge et al, 2008). The solvent system comprised solvent A (0.05% formic acid) and solvent B (100% acetonitrile). A 4-min linear gradient from 55%B to 95%B was applied at a flow rate of 0.65 ml/min, followed by a 1-min isocratic elution at 95%B and then a 6-min wash at 55%B, before returning to the starting condition. LVS and simvastatin were monitored at m/z 423/303 and m/z 437/303, respectively, in positive ion mode.

For the analysis of PVS, the solvent system comprised solvent A (50 mM ammonium acetate) and solvent B (100% acetonitrile). A 4-min linear gradient from 25%B to 75%B was applied at a flow rate of 0.65 ml/min, followed by a 2-min isocratic elution at 75%B and then a 6-min wash at 25%B, before returning to the starting condition. PVS and simvastatin were monitored at m/z 423/321 and m/z 435/319, respectively, in negative ion mode (Jain et al., 2007). The MS instrumental parameters were the same as described previously (Lodge et al., 2008). Notably, PVS can rapidly isomerize to an isoform under acidic condition (Mulvana et al., 2000); consequently, two PVS peaks are detected when the drug was administered by oral dosing. The 3’α-hydroxy isomer of PVS was confirmed using a standard kindly provided by Bristol-Myers Squibb. The two peaks were combined for quantification.

LVA and PVS standard (4 – 5000 ng/ml), as well as the internal standard, were added to blank mouse plasma to construct calibration curve. The recoveries of added standards in blank plasma were ~85% at all concentrations tested.

**Assays for in vitro metabolism of LVS.** Tissues from three to five mice were combined for each microsomal preparation. Epithelial cells from the small intestine were isolated, and microsomes were prepared as described previously (Zhang et al., 2003). Liver microsomes were prepared essentially as previously described (Fasco et al., 1993), but with use of protease inhibitors, as described for the
preparation of SI microsomes (Zhang et al., 2003). Microsomes (0.1 mg) was incubated with LVS (100 μM) in a 200-μl reaction mixture containing 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 1.0 mM NADPH, and 3 mM MgCl₂ for 30 min at 37 °C. The reaction was initiated by the addition of NADPH, and was terminated by the addition of 400 μl of acetonitrile to the reaction mixture. Control experiments were performed, in which NADPH was omitted. Simvastatin was added as the internal standard for monitoring extraction efficiency. After centrifugation at 1500g for 10 min, the organic layer was transferred to a new tube, and spun at 1500g again. A 5-μl aliquot of the supernatant fraction was taken for LC-MS/MS analysis. For the detection of LVS metabolites, the same HPLC column and solvent system as described above for LVS analysis was used; but a 6-min linear gradient from 30%B to 90% B was applied at a flow rate of 0.65 ml/min, followed by a 1-min isocratic elution at 90%B and then a 6-min wash at 30%B, before returning to the starting condition. The two major metabolites of LVS, 6’β-hydroxy LVS and 6’-exomethylene LVS (Jacobsen, et al., 1999a; 1999b), were monitored at m/z 421 and m/z 403 respectively, in positive ion mode. Although metabolite standards were not available, the identities of the two metabolites were confirmed by detection of their unique UV spectra, as described in a previous report (Vyas et al., 1990). For quantitation, LVS was used as a surrogate for construction of a calibration curve (with simvastatin as the internal standard), and relative activities in different microsomal preparations were determined.
Results and Discussion

The tissue-specific loss of CPR led to a substantial reduction in the rates of microsomal LVS metabolism in enterocytes, but not in liver. The impact of the abrogated intestinal epithelial CPR expression on intestinal and hepatic (as a control) microsomal P450 activities toward LVS was examined by comparing rates of in vitro metabolism between WT and IE-Cpr-null mice. The intestinal microsomal rates of formation of the two previously identified major LVS metabolites, 6’β-hydroxyLVS and 6’-exomethyleneLVS, were reduced by more than 90% in the IE-Cpr-null mice, compared with WT mice; whereas the hepatic microsomal rates of metabolites formation were similar between the two mouse strains (Fig. 1A). The extent of decrease in intestinal microsomal metabolic activity toward LVS was similar to the extents of reductions found previously for the metabolism of nifedipine and benzo(a)pyrene in the IE-Cpr-null mice (Zhang et al., 2009; Fang et al., 2010). The residual activity detected in SI microsomes from the IE-Cpr-null mice can be explained by the presence of low levels of CPR (derived from non-enterocyte IE cell and contaminating submucosal cells) in the microsomal preparations (Zhang et al., 2009). The tissue-specific impact of the CPR loss on intestinal LVS metabolism confirms the validity of using the IE-Cpr-null mouse for studying the specific contributions of intestinal (vs liver) P450 enzymes to the first-pass clearance of LVS.

Intestinal P450s play an important role in the first-pass clearance of orally administered LVS. The role of intestinal P450 enzymes in the clearance of orally administered LVS was assessed by comparing the pharmacokinetics of LVS between WT and IE-Cpr-null mice. The pharmacokinetic profiles and parameters are shown in Figure 1 (panels B-D) and Table 1, respectively. Preliminary experiments (not shown) indicated that there was no significant difference between male and female mice in LVS clearance; therefore, only female mice were used for the pharmacokinetic studies. Notably, the mouse is known to differ substantially from humans for being able to rapidly hydrolyze LVS to produce LVA (Duggan et al., 1989); the LVS lactone is barely detected in mouse blood following LVS dosing, while LVA is the major circulating form of the drug (Lodge et al., 2008). Therefore, plasma LVA, rather than LVS, levels were determined for LVS pharmacokinetics studies in mice.
When administered orally at 25 mg/kg, LVS was cleared quickly in WT mice; LVA (or LVS) was no longer detected in the blood at 4 h after dosing. However, LVS clearance in IE-Cpr-null mice was much slower than in WT mice. IE-Cpr-null mice had significantly higher blood LVA levels at each time point after oral dosing (Fig. 1B). The AUC_{0-∞}, C_{max}, and t_{1/2} values were 3.2-, 2.7-, and 1.4-fold, respectively, greater, while the clearance rate was 3.3-fold lower, in IE-Cpr-null than in WT mice (Table 1). These results indicated that intestinal P450 enzymes played an important role in the clearance of orally administered LVS. The latter conclusion was further supported by the finding that, when LVS was administered by an intraperitoneal injection, there was no significant difference between IE-Cpr-null and WT mice in either pharmacokinetic profiles (Fig. 1C) or in any of the calculated pharmacokinetic parameters (not shown).

To determine the impact of intestinal CPR loss on the bioavailability of orally administered LVS, we further performed pharmacokinetic studies for intravenously administered LVS (at 2.5 mg/kg) in the IE-Cpr-null and WT mice. As expected, there was no significant difference between IE-Cpr-null and WT mice either in pharmacokinetic profiles (Fig. 1D) or in any of the calculated pharmacokinetic parameters (Table 1). The bioavailability of oral LVS (F_{oral}) was calculated to be 5% in WT mice, and it was increased to 15% in the IE-Cpr-null mice. These findings indicate that intestinal P450 enzymes have a significantly role in lowering the bioavailability of oral LVS in WT mice.

**Intestinal P450s have little effect on the clearance of orally administered PVS.** To confirm that the decrease in the first-pass clearance of oral LVS in the IE-Cpr-null mice was due to the loss of P450-mediated LVS metabolism, rather than to other unidentified events not related to P450s’ function in drug metabolism, we performed pharmacokinetic studies for PVS, a drug which is similar (in chemical structure and drug target) to LVS, but is in hydroxyl acid form and is not a good P450 substrate. As expected, when PVS was administered either orally at 50 mg/kg or through intraperitoneal injection at 25 mg/kg, there was no significant difference between WT and IE-Cpr-null mice in the pharmacokinetic profiles (Fig. 2), indicating that the loss of intestinal CPR did not alter the clearance of orally or intraperitoneally administered PVS. This data strongly support the notion that the increase in the oral
bioavailability of LVS in IE-Cpr-null mice is due to the suppression of SI P450-mediated LVS metabolism.

Utility of the IE-Cpr-null mouse model for identification of the molecular target of GFJ inhibition in the small intestine. GFJ can increase the oral bioavailability for a number of drugs known to be metabolized by CYP3A, including LVS (Mertens-Talcott et al., 2006). Orally administered GFJ can inhibit intestinal, but apparently not hepatic, CYP3A-mediated drug metabolism (Bailey et al., 1998). However, GFJ components can also inhibit efflux transport (Edward et al., 1999; Honda et al., 2004), and it is not clear whether the in vivo effects of oral GFJ on the bioavailability of a given drug were strictly due to its inhibition of P450 enzymes. Here, we have utilized the IE-Cpr-null mouse to determine whether the ability of GFJ to inhibit the first-pass clearance of oral LVS is mediated through its inhibition of SI P450 (including CYP3A) alone or through its inhibition of both P450 and P-gp in the small intestine.

As shown in Figure 1E and Table 2, when GFJ was administered orally (at 20 ml/kg) to WT mice at 2 h before LVS dosing, significant increases in both C_{max} and AUC_{0-4h} values were found, compared to vehicle-treated group. In contrast, when GFJ was administered similarly to IE-Cpr-null mice (Fig. 1F and Table 2), no significant change was observed in either C_{max} or AUC_{0-4h} values, between GFJ-treated and vehicle-treated groups. These findings indicate that SI P450 was the main target, while SI P-gp was minimally involved, in the inhibition of oral LVS clearance by GFJ, under the experimental conditions used.

Summary. We have demonstrated that intestinal P450-mediated metabolism plays an important role in the regulation of LVS oral bioavailability. Our findings further validate the IE-Cpr-null mouse as a powerful tool for determination of the specific in vivo contributions of intestinal P450 enzymes to the first-pass clearance of numerous orally ingested drugs and other xenobiotics, including drugs that are substrates for both P450 and P-gp. Through the utility of the IE-Cpr-null mouse, we have also demonstrated that the main target of GFJ action on LVS clearance in the small intestine is P450, but not P-gp. This latter experiment illustrates the additional value of the IE-Cpr-null mice for studying the mechanisms of drug-drug or drug-diet interactions.
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Legends for Figures

Fig. 1. In vitro metabolism and in vivo clearance of LVS in IE-Cpr-null and WT mice

A. In vitro metabolism of LVS by SI and hepatic microsomes. Relative activities in the formation of LVS metabolites from LVS were determined for various microsomal preparations. Reaction mixtures contained phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 100 μM LVS, and 0.1 mg/ml microsomal protein, in a final volume of 0.2 ml. Reactions were carried out at 37 °C for 30 min, in the presence or absence of 1 mM NADPH. Each microsomal preparation was obtained from pooled tissues from 2 to 3 adult female mice; three microsomal preparations were analyzed for each group. The values reported are percentages of the rates determined in respective WT microsomes (means ± S.D., n=3). **, P<0.01; compared with WT mice (Student’s t-test)

B-D. Plasma levels of LVA in IE-Cpr-null and WT mice after a single oral, intraperitoneal, or intravenous dose of LVS. Adult female IE-Cpr-null and age-matched WT mice (5-6 mice per group) were given a single dose of LVS, via oral gavage at 25 mg/kg (B), through intraperitoneal injection at 25 mg/kg (C), or via intravenous injection at 2.5 mg/kg (D). Plasma samples were obtained at various times after dosing. The results shown are typical of two separate experiments. Values represent means ± S.D. (n = 5–6). **, P<0.01; compared with WT mice (Student’s t-test)

E-F. Effects of pretreatment with GFJ on systemic bioavailability of oral LVS in IE-Cpr-null and WT mice. Adult female mice (5-6 mice per group) were given a single dose of 4 x strength GFJ through oral gavage at 20 ml/kg, or were given water (vehicle control), followed 2 h later by a single oral dose of LVS at 12.5 mg/kg. Plasma samples were obtained at various times after LVS dosing, for determination of LVA levels. Values shown represent means ± S.D. (n = 5–6). *, P<0.05, **, P<0.01; compared with corresponding vehicle-pretreated group (Student’s t-test)

Fig. 2. Plasma levels of PVS in IE-Cpr-null and WT mice after a single oral or intraperitoneal dose of PVS. Adult female IE-Cpr-null and age-matched WT mice were given a single dose of PVS through oral gavage at 50 mg/kg (A), or via intraperitoneal injection at 25 mg/kg (B). Plasma samples were
obtained at various times after dosing. Values represent means ± S.D. (n = 5–6).
**TABLE 1**

Pharmacokinetic parameters for orally or intravenously administered LVS in IE-Cpr-null and WT mice

Data from Figure 1B-1D were used for the determination of pharmacokinetic parameters. Adult female IE-Cpr-null and age-matched WT mice (5-6 per group) were given a single dose of LVS, via oral gavage, at 25 mg/kg, or via intravenous injection, at 2.5 mg/kg. Values represent means ± S.D. (n = 5-6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>$T_{\text{max}}$ (min)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{max}}$ (µg/ml)</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}$ (min)</th>
<th>$\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ (min*µg/ml)</th>
<th>CL/F (ml/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p.o.</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>27±7</td>
<td>0.3±0.1</td>
<td>50±9</td>
<td>23.5±5.1</td>
<td>23.0±4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IE-Cpr-null</td>
<td>30±0</td>
<td>0.8±0.1$^a$</td>
<td>68±9$^b$</td>
<td>76.4±5.1$^a$</td>
<td>7.0±0.4$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.v.</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>10±0</td>
<td>1.3±0.1</td>
<td>17±4</td>
<td>51.5±4.4</td>
<td>1.0±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IE-Cpr-null</td>
<td>10±0</td>
<td>1.4±0.1</td>
<td>19±4</td>
<td>53.5±3.6</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^aP<0.01$; $^bP<0.05$, compared to the corresponding WT group (Student’s $t$-test)
TABLE 2
Pharmacokinetic parameters for orally administered LVS (12.5 mg/kg) in IE- \( Cpr \)-null and WT mice pretreated with GFJ

Data from Figure 1E-1F were analyzed for the determination of pharmacokinetic parameters. Adult female mice (5-6 per group) were given a single dose of 4x strength GFJ through oral gavage, at 20 ml/kg, or were given water (vehicle control), followed 2 h later by a single oral dose of LVS, at 12.5 mg/kg. Values represent means ± S.D. (n =5-6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>( T_{\text{max}} ) (min)</th>
<th>( C_{\text{max}} ) (µg/ml)</th>
<th>( t_{1/2} ) (min)</th>
<th>( \text{AUC}_{0-4h} ) (min*µg/ml)</th>
<th>( \text{CL/F} ) (ml/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>27±7</td>
<td>0.2±0.0</td>
<td>36±5</td>
<td>10.9±1.5</td>
<td>22.3±2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>GFJ</td>
<td>34±11</td>
<td>0.5±0.1(^a)</td>
<td>39±13</td>
<td>34.4±12.7(^a)</td>
<td>8.1±2.9(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE- ( Cpr )-null</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>30±0</td>
<td>0.4±0.1</td>
<td>36±9</td>
<td>30.0±5.8</td>
<td>8.7±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE- ( Cpr )-null</td>
<td>GFJ</td>
<td>45±17</td>
<td>0.4±0.2</td>
<td>34±12</td>
<td>34.2±11.8</td>
<td>8.5±4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) P<0.01; compared to the corresponding water-pretreated group (Student’s \( t \)-test)
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