

High daily dose and being a substrate of Cytochrome P450 enzymes are two important predictors of drug-induced liver injury

Ke Yu, Xingchao Geng, Minjun Chen, Jie Zhang, Bingshun Wang, Katarina Ilic and

Weida Tong

Division of Bioinformatics and Biostatistics, National Center for Toxicological Research,
US Food and Drug Administration, Jefferson, AR, USA (K.Y., M.C., J.Z., W.T)

National Center for Safety Evaluation of Drugs, National Institute for Food and Drug
Control, China's State Food and Drug Administration, Beijing, China (X.G.)

Department of Biostatistics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine,
Shanghai, China (B.W.)

Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Belgrade, Belgrade,
Serbia (K.I.)

Running title: Two important predictors for drug-induced liver injury

Corresponding authors: Katarina Ilic, Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Belgrade, 450 Vojvode Stepe, Belgrade 11221, Serbia.

E-mail address: katarina.v.ilic@gmail.com.

Weida Tong, Division of Bioinformatics and Biostatistics, National Center for Toxicological Research, US Food and Drug Administration, 3900 NCTR Road, Jefferson, AR 72079, USA. Telephone: +1 8705437142, Fax: +1 8705437854, E-mail address:

weida.tong@fda.hhs.gov.

The number of pages: 29.

The number of tables: 6.

The number of figures: 0.

The number of references: 33.

The number of words in the *Abstract*: 233.

The number of words in the *Introduction*: 601.

The number of words in the *Discussion*: 1382.

List of non-standard abbreviations:

ALF, acute liver failure; CYP, cytochrome P450; CI, confidence interval; DDD, defined daily dose; DDIs, drug-drug interactions; DILI, drug-induced liver injury; DNF, data not found; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; LTKB-BD, Liver Toxicity Knowledge Base Benchmark Dataset; NDA, new drug application; NIH, National Institute of Health; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; NSC, not a substrates of CYP enzymes; OR, odd ratio; RO2, Rule-of-Two; WHO, World Health Organization.

Abstract

Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is complicated and difficult to predict. It has been observed that drugs with extensive hepatic metabolism have the higher likelihood of causing DILI. Cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes are primarily involved in hepatic metabolism. Identifying the associations of DILI with drugs which are CYP substrates, inhibitors, or inducers will be extremely helpful to a clinician in decision-making process when dealing with a patient suspected of having DILI. We collected the metabolism data on CYP enzymes for 254 orally administered drugs in Liver Toxicity Knowledge Base Benchmark Dataset with a known daily dose, and applied logistic regression to identify these associations. We revealed that drugs which are the substrates of CYP enzymes would have the higher likelihood of causing DILI (odds ratio (95% confidence interval) [OR (95% CI)]: 3.99 (2.07-7.67); $p < 0.0001$), which is dose-independent, and drugs which are the CYP inhibitors would have the higher likelihood of generating DILI only when they are administered at a high daily dose (OR (95% CI): 6.03 (1.32-27.5); $p = 0.0098$). However, drugs which are the CYP inducers are not observed to be associated with DILI (OR (95% CI): 1.55 (0.65-3.68); $p = 0.3246$). Our findings will be not only useful to identify suspect medication as a cause of liver injury in clinical settings where the patients are treated with comedications, but also for personalized medicines to understand the individual susceptibility of DILI.

Introduction

Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is one of the most frequent adverse reactions for the withdrawal of an approved drug from the market (Chen et al., 2013b). Currently more than 50% of cases of acute liver failure in the United States are attributed to DILI (Lee et al., 2008). Although DILI is complicated and difficult to predict because of its complex etiology, some simple rules can be followed to identify the drugs associated with the increased risk of liver injury. For example, the Rule-of-Two (RO2) based on combination of a daily dose (≥ 100 mg) and high lipophilicity ($\log P \geq 3$) has been proposed recently for the identification of drugs with increased risk of DILI (Chen et al., 2013a). RO2 is an effective tool in preclinical application, and it will be particularly helpful for the drug screening. However, its use in the clinical setting is limited. This is largely due to the fact that RO2 is only focused on the drug properties, without taking into account the effects that the liver has on the drug, i.e. hepatic metabolism.

The highest concentration of drugs and metabolites after oral administration is usually exposed by the liver (Jaeschke et al., 2002). Most hepatotoxic drugs are metabolized by the Cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes (FDA, 2009), which are primarily involved in the generation of reactive metabolites (Williams et al., 2004). The covalent binding of reactive metabolites to hepatic proteins has been considered as a key event for the occurrence of DILI in humans (Park et al., 2005; Walgren et al., 2005). However, there are some exceptions. For example, ximelagatran, although not prone to generate reactive metabolites, has been withdrawn from the market because of DILI (Keisu and Andersson, 2010). Besides being substrates of CYP enzyme, drugs can also interact with the CYP

enzymes as either inhibitors or inducers. The exact role of these interaction modes in DILI has not been examined extensively.

It is known that dose-dependent liver toxicity is predictable, and the relationship between a daily dose of oral medications and DILI has also been observed (FDA, 2009). It is found that most drugs which are required to carry black-box warnings or which have been withdrawn from the market due to DILI are prescribed at daily doses higher than 50 mg (Utrecht, 2007). However, DILI, which is not clearly dose-dependent, is unpredictable and hard to detect due to the differences in individual susceptibilities (FDA, 2009). In addition, polypharmacy and drug-drug interactions (DDIs) increase the risk of DILI (Stine et al., 2013). Examining the large drug data sets may allow us to fill critical gaps in current knowledge on the role of hepatic metabolism in DILI, and thus to associate individual susceptibilities with DILI in the context of hepatic metabolism in clinical application.

CYP enzymes are involved in the metabolism of approximately 75% of the marketed drugs with a wide range of structural variations (Williams et al., 2004). Often, several drugs are co-administered, which poses a challenge to a clinician who, without performing formal causality assessment, has to make a decision which drug should be stopped in case that clinical symptoms or signs of DILI are observed. The goal of our study was to find a simple rule applicable to the clinical settings, which will not only to avoid DILI risk when multiple drugs are treated to the patients, but also for personalized medicines to understand the individual susceptibility of DILI. We hypothesized that drugs which are CYP substrates, inhibitors and inducers may not have the same

likelihood of causing DILI and that a daily dose may not be equally important for these three groups of drugs.

Materials and Methods

Collection of data on CYP-mediated metabolism

The data on the metabolism mediated by CYP enzymes for the drugs from Liver Toxicity Knowledge Base Benchmark Dataset (LTKB-BD) (<http://www.fda.gov/ScienceResearch/BioinformaticsTools>), (Chen et al., 2011) developed by National Center for Toxicological Research in Food and Drug Administration (FDA), were collected from SuperCYP (<http://bioinformatics.charite.de/supercyp/>), DrugBank (<http://www.drugbank.ca/>), and PubChem (<http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>). The obtained information was verified by searching PubMed (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed>) and the drug metabolism data in Pharmacology Review in FDA Approved Package (FDA, 2012). In order to search PubMed, the following combinations of queries were used: ‘*drug name* and P450/CYP/Cytochrome/Microsome’, ‘*drug name* and metabolism/metabolite/metabolic’, ‘*drug name* and biotransformation’, and ‘*drug name* and disposition/elimination/excretion’.

The drugs with known data on CYP-mediated metabolism are categorized as substrates, inhibitors and inducers. In a situation where a drug can be all three (substrate, inhibitor and inducer) via the different isoforms, the drug will be included in all three situations in statistical analysis. We did not consider the subgroups of substrates, inhibitors and

inducers based on their affinity for the CYP enzymes. The drugs classified as “not the substrates of CYP enzymes” include those drugs which are not metabolized and principally excreted in unchanged forms, those which are metabolized by other enzymes, and those for which the role of CYP enzymes on their biotransformation is currently unknown. The drugs are classified as “not inhibitors/inducers of CYP enzymes” when no available data have been found to confirm whether they are CYP inhibitors or inducers.

Data analysis

The drugs in LTKB-BD are classified as Most-DILI, Less-DILI and No-DILI concern drugs (Chen et al., 2011). We combined the Most-DILI and Less-DILI concern drugs into DILI positive drugs, while the remaining drugs were considered as DILI negatives. The drugs taken orally were classified according to their doses as drugs administered at high daily doses (≥ 100 mg) and drugs administered at low daily doses (< 100 mg). The daily dose ≥ 100 mg was considered as “a high daily dose” based on our previous findings that significantly higher proportion of medications administered orally at a daily dose ≥ 100 mg caused DILI (Chen et al., 2013a). In addition, Stepan *et al.* also consider this dose as “a high daily dose” (Stepan et al., 2011).

The conditional logistic regression was used to assess the association regarding the drugs which are CYP substrates, inhibitors or inducers and the drugs which are not, and whether the former has the higher likelihood of causing DILI compared to the latter. For each association, odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was reported. Fisher’s Exact Test was used to calculate a statistical significance of the associations. Multivariate logistic regression was used to estimate the relationship between the

potential of the drug to cause DILI and the variables such as the drug being a CYP substrate, an inhibitor, and an inducer, a high daily dose, high lipophilicity, and different combinations of the following five variables (i.e. daily dose, lipophilicity, being a CYP substrate, inhibitor, or inducer). In addition, stratified analysis by the daily dose or the lipophilicity was performed for CYP substrates, inhibitors and inducers to assess their association with DILI. The “Epicalc” R package (<http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/>) was used for the statistical analysis.

Eventually, we used the statistically significant DILI predictors identified by multivariate logistic regression (i.e., a high daily dose and the drug which is a CYP substrate) to examine 5 drug pairs selected from Liver Toxicity Biomarker Study (<http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/ScienceResearch/ResearchAreas/ucm079281.htm>) and drugs that caused DILI in 11 cases of clinical studies retrieved from the National Institute of Health (NIH) LiverTox database (<http://livertox.nlm.nih.gov/>).

Results

The association of DILI with the drug which is a substrate, an inhibitor or an inducer of CYP enzymes

There are 287 drugs recorded in LTKB-BD; 26 drugs with parenteral administration and 7 drugs with an unknown daily dose were removed before the analysis. Consequently, the remaining 254 drugs used in this study included 206 DILI positive and 48 DILI negative drugs ([Supplementary Table 1](#)). There were 168 drugs which are CYP substrates, and 86 drugs which are not. Among the substrates and non-substrates, 88.7% (149/168) and

66.3% (57/86) drugs were DILI positive drugs, respectively. As shown in [Table 1](#), the drugs which are the substrates of CYP enzymes would have 3.99 times higher likelihood of causing DILI compared to the drugs which are not metabolized by CYP enzymes (OR (95% CI): 3.99 (2.07-7.67); $p < 0.0001$).

It was found that 42.9% (109/254) of the drugs were CYP inhibitors; 85.3% (93/109) were DILI positive drugs and 14.7% (16/109) were DILI negative drugs. Among all DILI positive drugs, there were 45.1% (93/206) CYP inhibitors. The drugs-CYP inhibitors had no significantly higher likelihood of causing DILI compared to the drugs-non CYP inhibitors (OR (95% CI): 1.65 (0.85-3.18); $p = 0.1372$). Fifty drugs (19.7%) were CYP inducers; 86% (43/50) DILI positive drugs and 14% (7/50) DILI negative drugs. Among all DILI positive drugs, only 20.9% (43/206) were CYP inducers. The drugs-CYP inducers had no significantly higher likelihood of causing DILI compared to the drugs-non CYP inducers (OR (95% CI): 1.55 (0.65-3.68); $p = 0.3246$).

The role of an individual CYP enzyme on DILI risk

Since the drug which is a substrate of CYP enzyme was closely associated with DILI risk, we further analyzed the association of the individual CYP enzyme with DILI risk. Most of these enzymes exhibited a high degree of inter-individual variations ([Tanaka, 1999](#); [Meibohm et al., 2002](#); [Wolbold et al., 2003](#); [Parkinson et al., 2004](#); [Zhou et al., 2009](#)), which could be relevant to the clinical application of DILI assessment. We found that drugs metabolized by CYP1A2, 2C8/9, and 3A5 may have the higher likelihood of causing DILI ([Table 2](#)).

A combined assessment of the daily dose, lipophilicity and drug-CYP interaction modes in DILI

As shown in [Table 3](#), the drugs with the high daily doses had 4.98 times higher likelihood of causing DILI compared to the drugs with the low daily doses (OR (95% CI): 4.98 (2.55-9.76); $p < 0.0001$). The drugs with high lipophilicity ($\log P \geq 3$) would not have the higher likelihood of causing DILI compared to the drugs with low lipophilicity (OR (95% CI): 1.44 (0.75-2.77); $p = 0.3312$). For drugs with the high daily dose, CYP inhibitors would have 6.03 times higher likelihood of causing DILI than those were not CYP inhibitors (OR (95% CI): 6.03 (1.32-27.5); $p = 0.0098$). On the contrary, CYP inducers were not observed to be closely associated with DILI even when they were administered at a high daily dose. DILI caused by CYP substrates was both dose- and lipophilicity-independent.

Identifying significant drug-specific predictors for DILI

Multivariate logistic regression revealed that among multiple drug-specific variables (a high daily dose, high lipophilicity, the drug being a CYP substrate, an inhibitor, and an inducer), a high daily dose (OR (95% CI): 7.10 (3.36-15.0); $p < 0.0001$) and the drug being a substrate of CYP enzymes (OR (95% CI): 5.04 (2.34-10.9); $p < 0.0001$) were two significant predictors for DILI ([Table 4](#)). Multivariate logistic regression on all the interaction terms of these 5 variables also demonstrated that only the combination of a high daily dose and being a CYP substrate would have the higher likelihood of causing DILI (OR (95% CI): 6.36 (1.38-29.2); $p = 0.0175$) (Data not shown).

A high daily dose and the drug being a CYP substrate in examining drug pairs

We used these two significant predictors of DILI (i.e., a high daily dose and the drug being a CYP substrate) to examine five drug pairs identified by Liver Toxicity Biomarker Study (<http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/ScienceResearch/ResearchAreas/ucm079281.htm>). Each drug pair consists of a “clean” compound and a “toxic” compound. Both are similar in chemical structure and have identical primary target activity with exhibiting no liver toxicity in the preclinical study. When applying to humans, the “toxic” compound exhibits the more severe liver injury than the “clean” compound. Anxiolytic **alpidem**, antiparkinsonian **tolcapone**, and **trovofloxacin** which was used in the treatment of chronic granuloma inguinale, marked as “toxic” in three drug pairs were administered at high daily doses and at the same time they are CYP substrates. All non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), i.e., **benoxaprofen**, **ibuprofen**, **bromfenac**, and **diclofenac**, were predicted to be hepatotoxic based on our two predictors (Table 5).

A high daily dose and the drug being a CYP substrate in identifying drugs causing liver injury in clinical studies

A high daily dose and the drug being a substrate of CYP enzymes were also applied to identify drugs that might cause liver injury in 11 clinical cases from NIH LiverTox database (<http://livertox.nlm.nih.gov/>), where patients were treated with comedications. In each case, causality assessment was confirmed by physicians or health care professionals. In these case studies, drugs that caused liver injury, i.e. cyclophosphamide, valproic acid, isoniazid, efavirenz, mercaptopurine, fluconazole, diclofenac, ketoconazole, fenofibrate, disulfiram, and ticlopidine, were identified by the two predictors (Table 6).

Discussion

In this study, we analyzed how 254 orally administered drugs (i.e. CYP substrates, inhibitors or inducers) from LTKB-BD were associated with DILI. We discovered that drugs-substrates for CYP enzymes showed statistically significant, but dose-independent association with DILI; drugs-inhibitors for CYP enzymes would have the higher likelihood of causing DILI when they were administered at a high daily dose (≥ 100 mg). On the contrary, drugs-inducers for CYP enzymes were not observed to be significantly associated with DILI. These findings will be helpful to identify the drug with the high likelihood of causing DILI in clinical settings.

We determined that a high daily dose and the drug being a substrate of CYP enzymes, which had the significantly higher likelihood of causing DILI, were two important predictors of DILI (Table 4). In addition, we also revealed that only the integration of a high daily dose and being a CYP substrate had a higher likelihood of causing DILI. A list of structural alerts associated with the formation of toxic reactive metabolites has been established ([Kalgutkar et al., 2005](#)). However, the mere presence of a structural alert in a drug will not necessarily be associated with DILI ([Stepan et al., 2011](#)). Some drugs with the same structural alerts may have different risk of DILI. For example, troglitazone, rosiglitazone, and pioglitazone contain the same thiazolidinedione scaffold, which is the structural alert to generate the toxic reactive metabolites. Troglitazone has been withdrawn because of DILI, while rosiglitazone and pioglitazone are now still in use. Their daily dose may be a key difference, i.e., troglitazone (400 mg/day) versus rosiglitazone (6 mg/day) and pioglitazone (30 mg/day). On the contrary, the key difference to explain the different risk of DILI for ibuprofen (1200 mg/day) and ibufenac

(2400 mg/day) is the formation of toxic reactive metabolite. Therefore, although high daily dose and being the CYP substrate are two independent factors associated with DILI, both of them should be considered to identify the DILI drugs.

The drug pairs have similar chemical structures and are usually expected to have similar efficacy and safety. The drugs marked as “toxic”, which were withdrawn from the market in US or elsewhere due to DILI, i.e., **alpidem**, **tolcapone**, **trovofloxacin**, **benoxaprofen**, and **bromfenac** (Table 5), were identified as positives by our two predictors of DILI. **Ibuprofen** and **diclofenac** were also identified as DILI positive drugs, and their DILI potential was confirmed by the case reports of acute liver failure (ALF) (Helfgott et al., 1990; Banks et al., 1995; Javier Rodriguez-Gonzalez et al., 2002). Because of the DILI potential of these two drugs, they are annotated as Less-DILI and Most-DILI concern drugs in LTKB, respectively (Chen et al., 2011). Thus, our two predictors can identify the “toxic” compound in drug pair. Besides that, these two predictors of DILI could identify the drugs that caused DILI among multiple medications used by patients in clinical studies (Table 6). These results confirmed that two identified predictors of DILI (a high daily dose and the drug being a CYP substrate) could have useful clinical applications, particularly for a clinician in decision-making process when dealing with a patient suspected of having DILI. Nowadays, drug developers are encouraged to provide metabolism data on CYP enzymes for new drug applications (NDA) (FDA, 2012), which will facilitate a practical use of the identified two predictors of DILI in future.

It has been reported that almost all of the drugs known to be associated with DILI cause clinically significant DDIs (Li, 2002). Many DDIs can be explained by the inhibition or induction of the expression of CYP enzymes in the liver (Tanaka, 1998). Our results

suggest that the clinically observed DILI may happen when the CYP inhibitors are administered at a high daily dose (Table 3). Based on the mechanisms of enzyme inhibition, the CYP inhibitor needs to bind to the CYP enzymes and then decreases their activity. Therefore, the inhibitory effect is expected to be increased with the increasing dose of the inhibitor. Other authors also find that the inhibition of CYP enzymes is dose-dependent (Lin et al., 2000; Hollenberg, 2002). The inhibition of CYP enzymes may increase liver exposure of the parent drug, which has the potential to cause DILI. For example, clinically dose-dependent hepatotoxicity of fluconazole, which is a potent inhibitor of CYP2C19, and a moderate inhibitor of CYP2C9 and 3A, has been observed (Wells and Lever, 1992). Phenytoin (300 mg/day) is an inhibitor of CYP2C9 with a narrow therapeutic index. It is also a substrate of CYP2C9. Therefore, the increased liver exposure of phenytoin by the inhibition of CYP2C9 might increase the risk of DILI. Phenytoin has been considered as one of the most common drugs other than acetaminophen reported to cause ALF in the United States (Russo et al., 2004), which confirmed our findings that the high dose of CYP inhibitors would have the higher likelihood of causing DILI. Inhibitory effects usually occur immediately; however, induction of CYP enzymes is usually both time- and dose-dependent (Markowitz et al., 2003), which will lead to a delay before enzyme activity increases depending on duration of exposure and half-life of the inducer in the liver. Consequently, induction of hepatic CYP enzymes rarely leads to the altered metabolism and toxicity in the patient, which typically occurs only if the induction is very extensive (Mohutsky et al., 2010). For example, three drugs were recorded by FDA as the potent CYP inducers, i.e., carbamazepine, phenytoin, and rifampin

(<http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/DevelopmentResources/DrugInteractionsLabeling/ucm093664.htm>). All these drugs are considered as the DILI positive drugs in LTKB-BD.

Due to the differences in individual susceptibility to hepatotoxic drugs, our findings will be helpful for dose adjustment which is important in order to prevent DILI. For example, females are more susceptible to DILI than males (Russo et al., 2004). That could be partly explained by twofold higher activity of CYP3A4 in the liver samples of females compared to the male samples (Wolbold et al., 2003). CYP3A4 has the highest abundance in the human liver (~40%) and is the most prevalent enzyme involved in metabolism of DILI drugs (Li, 2002). As indicated in this study, CYP2C would have the higher likelihood of metabolizing the DILI drugs. Therefore, Hispanics with about twice the activity of CYP2C over Caucasians and African Americans (Parkinson et al., 2004), may have a higher risk to liver injury caused by drugs, such as NSAIDs, leflunomide, troglitazone and valproic acid, which are mainly metabolized by CYP2C (Kirchheiner and Brockmoller, 2005). NSAIDs were reported as the most frequently used drugs causing DILI in Spain (Ibanez et al., 2002).

This study has several limitations. Firstly, we do not have a confirmation which one among the CYP enzymes involved in the metabolism of the drugs participates in the formation of toxic reactive metabolites. Secondly, other enzymes rather than CYP isoforms can also mediate the generation of toxic reactive metabolites for some drugs, and their roles are not considered in this study. Thirdly, our results are based on *in vitro* data on CYP-mediated metabolism and their extrapolation to *in vivo* studies is uncertain. Fourthly, the data on CYP-mediated metabolism for some drugs and the data on

inhibition or induction effects of some drugs on CYP enzymes are currently unavailable. Since FDA is encouraging the industry to submit the metabolism data and safety data on drug metabolites for NDA, we can expect to summarize the more solid conclusions using the analysis we carried out in this study when those data are available in future. In addition, the authors should be encouraged by the journals to publish negative findings, as in that case it will be certain that drugs classified as “not the substrates of CYP enzymes” are not metabolized by particular CYP enzymes.

In summary, besides a high daily dose, our study indicates that the drug being a substrate of CYP enzymes is another important predictor of DILI. In addition, we have also found out that CYP inhibitors have a higher likelihood of causing DILI only when they are administered at a high daily dose, and CYP inducers are not observed to be closely associated with DILI. Only the integration of a high daily dose and being a CYP substrate has the higher likelihood of causing DILI. Our findings can serve as a simple clinical algorithm for the identification of the drug with a high likelihood of causing DILI in clinical settings.

Acknowledgements

We thank Reagan Kelly, Qiang Shi, Yuping Wang, and Hong Fang for comments and discussion on this manuscript.

Authorship Contributions

Participated in research design: Weida Tong, and Katarina Ilic.

Conducted experiments: Ke Yu, Xingchao Geng, Minjun Chen, and Jie Zhang.

Performed data analysis: Ke Yu, Xingchao Geng, and Bingshun Wang.

Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Ke Yu, and Katarina Ilic.

References

- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) (2009) Guidance for industry drug-induced liver injury: premarketing clinical evaluation.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) (2012) Guidance for Industry: Drug Interaction Studies - Study Design, Data Analysis, and Implications for Dosing and Labeling.
- Banks AT, Zimmerman HJ, Ishak KG, and Harter JG (1995) Diclofenac-associated hepatotoxicity: analysis of 180 cases reported to the Food and Drug Administration as adverse reactions. *Hepatology* **22**:820-827.
- Chen M, Borlak J, and Tong W (2013a) High lipophilicity and high daily dose of oral medications are associated with significant risk for drug-induced liver injury. *Hepatology* **58**:388-396.
- Chen M, Vijay V, Shi Q, Liu Z, Fang H, and Tong W (2011) FDA-approved drug labeling for the study of drug-induced liver injury. *Drug Discov Today* **16**:697-703.
- Chen M, Zhang J, Wang Y, Liu Z, Kelly R, Zhou G, Fang H, Borlak J, and Tong W (2013b) The liver toxicity knowledge base: a systems approach to a complex end point. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **93**:409-412.
- Helfgott SM, Sandberg-Cook J, Zakim D, and Nestler J (1990) Diclofenac-associated hepatotoxicity. *JAMA* **264**:2660-2662.

- Hollenberg PF (2002) Characteristics and common properties of inhibitors, inducers, and activators of CYP enzymes. *Drug Metab Rev* **34**:17-35.
- Ibanez L, Perez E, Vidal X, Laporte JR, and Grup d'Estudi Multicentric d'Hepatotoxicitat Aguda de B (2002) Prospective surveillance of acute serious liver disease unrelated to infectious, obstructive, or metabolic diseases: epidemiological and clinical features, and exposure to drugs. *J Hepatol* **37**:592-600.
- Jaeschke H, Gores GJ, Cederbaum AI, Hinson JA, Pessayre D, and Lemasters JJ (2002) Mechanisms of hepatotoxicity. *Toxicol Sci* **65**:166-176.
- Javier Rodriguez-Gonzalez F, Montero JL, Puente J, Fraga E, Costan G, Barrera P, Muntane J, De la Mata M, and Zambrana JL (2002) Orthotopic liver transplantation after subacute liver failure induced by therapeutic doses of ibuprofen. *Am J Gastroenterol* **97**:2476-2477.
- Kalgutkar AS, Gardner I, Obach RS, Shaffer CL, Callegari E, Henne KR, Mutlib AE, Dalvie DK, Lee JS, Nakai Y, O'Donnell JP, Boer J, and Harriman SP (2005) A comprehensive listing of bioactivation pathways of organic functional groups. *Curr Drug Metab* **6**:161-225.
- Keisu M and Andersson TB (2010) Drug-induced liver injury in humans: the case of ximelagatran. *Handb Exp Pharmacol*:407-418.
- Kirchheiner J and Brockmoller J (2005) Clinical consequences of cytochrome P450 2C9 polymorphisms. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **77**:1-16.
- Lee WM, Squires RH, Jr., Nyberg SL, Doo E, and Hoofnagle JH (2008) Acute liver failure: Summary of a workshop. *Hepatology* **47**:1401-1415.

- Li AP (2002) A review of the common properties of drugs with idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity and the "multiple determinant hypothesis" for the manifestation of idiosyncratic drug toxicity. *Chem Biol Interact* **142**:7-23.
- Lin JH, Chen IW, Chiba M, Nishime JA, and Deluna FA (2000) Route-dependent nonlinear pharmacokinetics of a novel HIV protease inhibitor: involvement of enzyme inactivation. *Drug Metab Dispos* **28**:460-466.
- Markowitz JS, Donovan JL, DeVane CL, Taylor RM, Ruan Y, Wang JS, and Chavin KD (2003) Effect of St John's wort on drug metabolism by induction of cytochrome P450 3A4 enzyme. *JAMA* **290**:1500-1504.
- Meibohm B, Beierle I, and Derendorf H (2002) How important are gender differences in pharmacokinetics? *Clin Pharmacokinet* **41**:329-342.
- Mohutsky MA, Romeike A, Meador V, Lee WM, Fowler J, and Francke-Carroll S (2010) Hepatic drug-metabolizing enzyme induction and implications for preclinical and clinical risk assessment. *Toxicol Pathol* **38**:799-809.
- Park BK, Kitteringham NR, Maggs JL, Pirmohamed M, and Williams DP (2005) The role of metabolic activation in drug-induced hepatotoxicity. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **45**:177-202.
- Parkinson A, Mudra DR, Johnson C, Dwyer A, and Carroll KM (2004) The effects of gender, age, ethnicity, and liver cirrhosis on cytochrome P450 enzyme activity in human liver microsomes and inducibility in cultured human hepatocytes. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **199**:193-209.

- Russo MW, Galanko JA, Shrestha R, Fried MW, and Watkins P (2004) Liver transplantation for acute liver failure from drug induced liver injury in the United States. *Liver Transpl* **10**:1018-1023.
- Stepan AF, Walker DP, Bauman J, Price DA, Baillie TA, Kalgutkar AS, and Aleo MD (2011) Structural alert/reactive metabolite concept as applied in medicinal chemistry to mitigate the risk of idiosyncratic drug toxicity: a perspective based on the critical examination of trends in the top 200 drugs marketed in the United States. *Chem Res Toxicol* **24**:1345-1410.
- Stine JG, Sateesh P, and Lewis JH (2013) Drug-induced liver injury in the elderly. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep* **15**:299.
- Tanaka E (1998) Clinically important pharmacokinetic drug-drug interactions: role of cytochrome P450 enzymes. *J Clin Pharm Ther* **23**:403-416.
- Tanaka E (1999) Gender-related differences in pharmacokinetics and their clinical significance. *J Clin Pharm Ther* **24**:339-346.
- Utrecht J (2007) Idiosyncratic drug reactions: current understanding. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **47**:513-539.
- Walgren JL, Mitchell MD, and Thompson DC (2005) Role of metabolism in drug-induced idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity. *Crit Rev Toxicol* **35**:325-361.
- Wells C and Lever AM (1992) Dose-dependent fluconazole hepatotoxicity proven on biopsy and rechallenge. *J Infect* **24**:111-112.
- Williams JA, Hyland R, Jones BC, Smith DA, Hurst S, Goosen TC, Peterkin V, Koup JR, and Ball SE (2004) Drug-drug interactions for UDP-glucuronosyltransferase

substrates: a pharmacokinetic explanation for typically observed low exposure (AUC_i/AUC) ratios. *Drug Metab Dispos* **32**:1201-1208.

Wolbold R, Klein K, Burk O, Nussler AK, Neuhaus P, Eichelbaum M, Schwab M, and Zanger UM (2003) Sex is a major determinant of CYP3A4 expression in human liver. *Hepatology* **38**:978-988.

Zhou SF, Liu JP, and Chowbay B (2009) Polymorphism of human cytochrome P450 enzymes and its clinical impact. *Drug Metab Rev* **41**:89-295.

Footnotes

Both authors (K.Y. and X.G.) contribute equally to this work.

K.Y. is supported by the Research Participation Program at the National Center for Toxicological Research administrated by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education through an interagency agreement between the US Department of Energy and the US Food and Drug Administration.

B.W. was grateful to the Division of Bioinformatics and Biostatistics at FDA/NCTR for visiting supported through ORISE and the support from the National Science and Technology Mega Project [2012ZX09301001-006(003)] and Shanghai Jiao Tong University K. C. Wong Medical Fellowship Fund. K.I. worked during her summer sabbatical at NCTR and was supported through ORISE and by the Ministry of Education and Science, Republic of Serbia (project 175064, 2011–2014).

The views presented in this article do not reflect those of the US Food and Drug Administration. Any mention of commercial products is for clarification and not intended to constitute endorsement or recommendation for use. Authors do not have any conflict of interest to declare.

Tables

TABLE 1

Associations of DILI with drugs as CYP substrates, inhibitors and inducers

Classifications	DILI positives	DILI negatives	OR (95%CI)	p value
CYP Substrates	149	19	3.99 (2.07-7.67)	< 0.0001
No	57	29		
CYP Inhibitors	93	16	1.65 (0.85-3.18)	0.1372
No	113	32		
CYP Inducers	43	7	1.55 (0.65-3.68)	0.3246
No	163	41		

The analysis is based on the data from LTKB-BD shown in supplementary table 1.

DILI positives-drugs associated with DILI; DILI negatives-drugs not associated with DILI.

OR (95%CI) - odds ratio with 95% confidence interval.

TABLE 2

Association of DILI risk with the drug being a substrate of individual CYP enzyme

CYP enzymes	Substrates	DILI positives	DILI negatives	OR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> value
CYP1A2	Yes	42	1	12.0 (1.61-89.8)	0.0010
	No	164	47		
CYP2B6	Yes	15	2	1.81 (0.40-8.18)	0.7476
	No	191	46		
CYP2C8	Yes	29	1	7.70 (1.02-58.0)	0.0226
	No	177	47		
CYP2C9	Yes	44	2	6.24 (1.46-26.7)	0.0054
	No	162	46		
CYP2C19	Yes	36	3	3.18 (0.94-10.8)	0.0728
	No	170	45		
CYP2D6	Yes	39	3	3.50 (1.03-11.9)	0.0320
	No	167	45		
CYP2E1	Yes	11	3	0.85 (0.23-3.16)	0.7322
	No	195	45		
CYP3A4	Yes	84	11	2.32 (1.12-4.80)	0.0213
	No	122	37		
CYP3A5	Yes	30	1	8.01 (1.06-60.3)	0.0137
	No	176	47		

The analysis is based on the data from LTKB-BD shown in supplementary table 1.

DILI positives-drugs associated with DILI; DILI negatives-drugs not associated with DILI. OR (95%CI) - odds ratio with 95% confidence interval.

TABLE 3

Stratified analysis on the daily dose and lipophilicity for the association of DILI with drugs being CYP substrates, inhibitors or inducers

Classifications	DILI positives	DILI negatives	OR (95%CI)	p value
High daily dose (≥ 100 mg)				
CYP Substrates	101	5	4.83 (1.59-14.7)	0.0029
No	46	11		
CYP Inhibitors	68	2	6.03 (1.32-27.5)	0.0098
No	79	14		
CYP Inducers	31	3	1.16 (0.31-4.32)	0.8275
No	116	13		
Total	147	16	4.98 (2.55-9.76)	0.0001
Low daily dose (< 100 mg)				
CYP Substrates	48	14	5.61 (2.15-14.6)	0.0003
No	11	18		
CYP Inhibitors	25	14	0.95 (0.40-2.25)	0.8997
No	34	18		
CYP Inducers	12	4	1.79 (0.53-6.08)	0.3509
No	47	28		
Total	59	32		
High lipophilicity ($\log P \geq 3.0$)				
CYP Substrates	73	9	3.60 (1.22-10.6)	0.0274
No	18	8		
CYP Inhibitors	47	8	1.20 (0.43-3.39)	0.7954
No	44	9		
CYP Inducers	21	1	4.80 (0.60-38.4)	0.1863
No	70	16		
Total	91	17	1.44 (0.75-2.77)	0.3312
Low lipophilicity ($\log P < 3.0$)				
CYP Substrates	76	10	4.09 (1.76-9.54)	0.0009
No	39	21		
CYP Inhibitors	46	8	1.92 (0.79-4.65)	0.2081
No	69	23		
CYP Inducers	21	1	6.70 (0.86-51.9)	0.0459
No	94	30		
Total	115	31		

The analysis is based on the data from LTKB-BD shown in supplementary table 1.
 DILI positives-drugs associated with DILI; DILI negatives-drugs not associated with DILI.
 OR (95%CI) - odds ratio with 95% confidence interval.
 The high daily dose and high lipophilicity were defined by the paper (Chen et al., 2013a)

TABLE 4

Multivariate logistic analysis of the daily dose, lipophilicity and being a CYP substrate, inhibitor and inducer with DILI

Variable	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
High daily dose (≥ 100 mg)	7.10 (3.36-15.0)	<0.0001
Being a CYP substrate	5.04 (2.34-10.9)	<0.0001
Being a CYP inhibitor	1.11(0.49-2.51)	0.7943
Being a CYP inducer	1.21 (0.43-3.38)	0.7143
High lipophilicity ($\log P \geq 3$)	1.22 (0.58-2.58)	0.5927

The analysis is based on the data from LTKB-BD shown in supplementary table 1.
Daily dose, being a CYP substrate, inhibitor, and inducer as well as lipophilicity were included in the model.
OR (95% CI) - odds ratio with 95% confidence interval.

TABLE 5

A high daily dose and the drug being a CYP substrate as two important predictors for identifying the “toxic” drugs in drug pairs

Drug pairs	Hepatotoxic potential from clinical trials [§]	Daily dose (mg)	CYP substrates*	Predicted result	LTKB annotation [‡]
Alpidem	Toxic	150	3A4	+	Most-DILI concern
Zolpidem	Clean	10	1A2, 2C9, 2C1, 2D6, 3A4	-	Less-DILI concern
Tolcapone	Toxic	450	1A2, 2A6, 2E1, 3A4	+	Most-DILI concern
Entacapone	Clean	1000	NSC	-	No-DILI concern
Trovafloxacin	Toxic	200	1A2	+	Most-DILI concern
Moxifloxacin	Clean	400	NSC	-	NA
Benoxaprofen	Toxic	600	Unspecified CYP enzymes[†]	+	Most-DILI concern
Ibuprofen	Clean	1200	2C8, 2C9, 2C19	+	Less-DILI concern
Bromfenac	Toxic	150	Unspecified CYP enzymes	+	Most-DILI concern
Diclofenac	Clean	100	1A1, 1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C18, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4	+	Most-DILI concern

High daily dose and being a CYP substrate are identified by multivariate logistic regression on the data shown in supplementary table 1.

[§]Toxic: hepatotoxicity can be detected in the clinical trials; Clean: hepatotoxicity can not be detected in the clinical trials.

*Data on CYP enzymes are from Pharmapendium, PubChem, PubMed, DrugBank and SuperCYP.

[†]The drug is metabolized by the unspecified CYP enzymes. [‡]Data from the LTKB database.(Chen et al., 2011)

NSC-not a substrates of CYP enzymes. + DILI positive drug. - DILI negative drug.

TABLE 6

A high daily dose and the drug being a CYP substrate as predictors for identifying drugs causing liver injury in clinical studies

Case study	Co-medications	Daily dose (mg)	CYP substrates *	Predicted result	Causality [†]
Case 1	Cyclophosphamide	100	2A6, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C18, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4, 3A7	+	Yes
	Prednisone	15	3A4	-	No
Case 2	Valproic Acid	750	2A6, 2B6, 2C9, 2C19, 3A5	+	Yes
	Azithromycin	500	NSC	-	No
Case 3	Isoniazid	300	2E1	+	Yes
	Pyridoxine	50	NSC	-	No
Case 4	Efavirenz	600	2B6, 3A4	+	Yes
	Tenofovir	300	NSC	-	No
	Emtricitabine	200	NSC	-	No
Case 5	Mercaptopurine	200	Unspecified CYP enzymes	+	Yes
	Prednisolone	50	3A4, 3A5	-	No
	Furosemide	80	2E1	-	No
Case 6	Fluconazole	400	2C9	+	Yes
	Prochlorperazine	30	2D6, 3A4	-	No
	Acetazolamide	1000	NSC	-	No
	Cimetidine	900	NSC	-	No
Case 7	Diclofenac	150	1A1, 1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4, 46A	+	Yes
	Famotidine	40	2C19	-	No
	Prednisone	10	3A4	-	No
	Furosemide	40	2E1	-	No
Case 8	Ketoconazole	200	3A4, 3A5	+	Yes
	Levothyroxine	0.15	3A4	-	No
Case 9	Fenofibrate	300	3A4	+	Yes
	Dipyridamole	400	NSC	-	No
	Aspirin	3000	NSC	-	No
	Glibenclamide	10	2C9, 2C19, 3A4	-	No
	Metformin	2000	NSC	-	No
	Pravastatin	30	2C9, 3A4, 3A5	-	No
	Nifedipine	30	2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	-	No
Case 10	Disulfiram	100	1A2, 2A6, 2B6, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4, 3A5	+	Yes
	Lisinopril	20	NSC	-	No
	Metformin	2000	NSC	-	No
Case 11	Ticlopidine	500	3A4	+	Yes
	Aspirin	3000	NSC	-	No
	Prednisone	10	3A4	-	No

High daily dose and being a CYP substrate are identified by multivariate logistic regression on the data shown in supplementary table 1.

*The information of CYP enzymes is from Pharmapendium, PubChem, PubMed, DrugBank and SuperCYP.

†Causality is assessed by physicians and health care professionals (<http://livertox.nih.gov/index.html>).

+ DILI positive drug, - DILI negative drug. NSC-not a substrate of CYP enzymes.

High daily dose and being a substrate of Cytochrome P450 enzymes are two important predictors of drug-induced liver injury

Ke Yu, Xingchao Geng, Minjun Chen, Jie Zhang, Bingshun Wang, Katarina Ilic and
Weida Tong

Journal title: Drug metabolism and disposition

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1

Drugs-substrates, inhibitors, and inducers of CYP enzymes with the daily dose and lipophilicity

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1

Drugs-substrates, inhibitors, and inducers of CYP enzymes with the daily dose and lipophilicity

128 Most-DILI-concern drugs	CYP substrates*	CYP inducers	CYP inhibitors	Log <i>P</i>	Daily dose (mg)
Abacavir	DNF	DNF	DNF	0.8	600
Acarbose	DNF	2E1	DNF	-6.8	300
Acitretin	DNF	26A1	DNF	5.7	35
Alaproclate	DNF	DNF	DNF	2.8	200
Alclofenac	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	2.8	3000
Alpidem	3A4	DNF	DNF	5.5	150
Ambrisentan	3A4, 3A5, 2C19	DNF	DNF	3.7	5
Amineptine	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	1.1	150
Aminosalicylic Acid	DNF	DNF	11B2	0.5	12000
Amiodarone	1A1, 1A2, 2C8, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4	DNF	1A2, 2C9, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	7.2	200
Aplaviroc	3A4,2C19	DNF	DNF	3.1	200
Bendazac	DNF	DNF	DNF	3.3	300
Benoxaprofen	Unspecified CYP isoforms	1A1, 1A2	DNF	4.1	300
Benzarone	DNF	DNF	DNF	4.1	400
Benzbromarone	2C9	DNF	2C9	5.6	100
Benziodarone	DNF	DNF	2D6	5.3	600
Bicalutamide	3A4	DNF	2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4	2.9	50
Bosentan	2C9, 3A4	2C9, 3A4	DNF	3.9	125
Bromfenac	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	2.9	150
Busulfan	3A4	DNF	DNF	-0.3	240
Carbamazepine	1A2, 2C8, 3A4, 3A7	2B6, 2C9, 2C19, 3A4	1A2, 2C19	2.7	1000
Carbidopa	DNF	DNF	DNF	0.4	100
Chlormezanone	DNF	DNF	DNF	1.6	600
Chlorzoxazone	1A1, 1A2, 2A6, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4	DNF	2E1	2.0	1500
Cinchophen	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	3.6	300
Ciprofloxacin	DNF	2E1	1A2, 3A4,3A5, 3A7	-1.3	1000
Clomacran	DNF	DNF	DNF	4.8	600
Clometacin	DNF	DNF	DNF	4.0	450
Cyclofenil	DNF	DNF	DNF	5.2	200
Danazol	3A4	DNF	3A4, 19A	4.9	600
Dantrolene	3A4	DNF	DNF	1.8	100
Deferasirox	1A1, 1A2, 2D6	DNF	1A2, 2C8	2.7	1500
Dermatan	DNF	DNF	DNF	-4.3	600

128 Most-DILI-concern drugs	CYP substrates	CYP inducers	CYP inhibitors	Log <i>P</i>	Daily dose (mg)
Diclofenac	1A1, 1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C18, 2C19, 3A4, 46A	DNF	2C9, 2E1, 3A4	4.4	100
Didanosine	DNF	DNF	DNF	-0.8	400
Disulfiram	3A4, 3A5	DNF	2C9, 2E1	5.6	200
Droxidolone	DNF	DNF	DNF	1.1	20
Ebrotidine	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	3A4, 3A5	1.2	400
Eltrombopag	1A2, 2C8	DNF	DNF	-1.9	50
Erythromycin	3A4, 3A7	DNF	1A2, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	1.8	1000
Ethambutol	DNF	DNF	DNF	0.1	1200
Etodolac	2C9	DNF	2C9	3.7	400
Exifone	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	1.8	1200
Felbamate	2E1, 3A4	3A4	2C19	1.0	2400
Fenclozic Acid	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	3.0	300
Fenofibrate	3A4	DNF	2C8, 2C9, 7A1	5.2	200
Fenoprofen	DNF	DNF	DNF	3.5	12000
Fiduxosin	DNF	DNF	DNF	4.2	120
Fipexide	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	3.1	600
Fluconazole	2C9	3A4, 3A5	2C9, 2C18, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7, 11B1, 19A, 51	0.8	200
Flutamide	1A1, 1A2, 1B1, 2C19, 3A4, 3A5	DNF	1A2, 1B1	2.9	750
Glafenine	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	3.0	600
Hydroxyurea	DNF	2D6	2D6	-1.0	150
Ibufenac	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	3.1	2400
Indinavir	2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	DNF	2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	3.1	2400
Indomethacin	2C8, 2C9, 2C19	DNF	2C8, 2C9, 2C19	4.3	100
Iproniazid	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	0.1	200
Isaxonine	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	0.6	1500
Isocarboxazid	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	1.2	30
Isoniazid	2E1	2E1	1A2, 2A6, 2C8, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4	-0.8	300

128 Most-DILI-concern drugs	CYP substrates	CYP inducers	CYP inhibitors	Log <i>P</i>	Daily dose (mg)
Ketoconazole	3A4, 3A5	1A1, 3A4, 3A5	1A1, 1A2, 2A6, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, 2F1, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7, 4F2, 11A1, 11B1, 17A, 26A1, 51A	3.6	200
Labetalol	2D6	DNF	2D6	2.4	600
Lamivudine	DNF	DNF	DNF	-0.6	300
Lapatinib	3A4	DNF	3A4	5.4	1250
Leflunomide	2C8, 2C9	DNF	2C8, 2C9	2.2	20
Lumiracoxib	1A2, 2C9, 2C19	DNF	DNF	4.4	100
Maraviroc	3A4, 3A5	DNF	DNF	3.9	600
Mebanazine	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	1.0	30
Mepazine	DNF	DNF	DNF	4.6	300
Mercaptopurine	DNF	DNF	DNF	0.4	112.5
Methimazole	DNF	DNF	1A2, 2A6, 2B6, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4	0.4	10
Methotrexate	DNF	DNF	DNF	0.1	30
Methyldopa	1A2, 2D6	DNF	DNF	-1.8	1000
Moxisylyte	DNF	DNF	DNF	3.2	240
Naltrexone	3A4	DNF	DNF	1.7	50
Nefazodone	3A4	DNF	2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	4.4	400
Nevirapine	2B6, 2C9, 2D6, 3A4	2B6, 3A4	1A2, 2C9, 2D6, 3A4	1.7	400
Niacin	DNF	DNF	2D6	0.3	2000
Nialamide	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	0.3	200
Nimesulide	1A2, 2C9, 2C19	DNF	2C9	2.2	200
Niperotidine	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	2.4	230
Nitrefazole	DNF	DNF	DNF	2.1	1200
Nitrofurantoin	NADPH--cytochrome P450 reductase	DNF	DNF	0.1	200
Nomifensine	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	2.6	100
Nortriptyline	1A2, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4	DNF	2D6, 2E1	4.2	75
Orlistat	DNF	3A4	DNF	8.3	360
Oxandrolone	DNF	DNF	DNF	3.1	20
Oxymetholone	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	3.1	150
Oxyphenisatin	DNF	DNF	DNF	3.2	50

128 Most-DILI-concern drugs	CYP substrates	CYP inducers	CYP inhibitors	LogP	Daily dose (mg)
Pafuramidine	1A, 3A4	DNF	DNF	3.2	200
Pazopanib	3A4	DNF	3A4, 2C8, 2D6	2.5	800
Pemoline	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	1.3	37.5
Perhexiline	2B6, 2D6, 3A4	DNF	2D6	5.7	200
Phenoxypropazine	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	1.1	10
Pipamazine	DNF	DNF	DNF	-1.5	15
Pirprofen	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	3.1	800
Propylthiouracil	DNF	1A1	DNF	1.6	150
Rifampin	1A2, 2A6, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4, 3A5	1A1, 1A2, 1B1, 2A6, 2A13, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C18, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, 2F1, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7, 3A43, 4A11	2A6, 2C8, 3A4, 3A5, 7A1	1.4	600
Ritonavir	1A2, 2B6, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 3A4, 3A5	1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7, 7A1	5.0	1200
Stavudine	DNF	DNF	DNF	-0.5	80
Sulfamethoxazole	2C9, 3A4	DNF	2C8, 2C9	0.7	2000
Sulfathiazole	DNF	DNF	DNF	0.1	5000
Sulindac	DNF	DNF	1A1, 1A2	3.6	400
Suloctidil	DNF	DNF	DNF	5.7	600
Sunitinib	3A4, 3A5	DNF	DNF	3.0	50
Tacrine	1A2	DNF	DNF	2.8	120
Tamoxifen	1A1, 1A2, 2A6, 2B6, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	3A4	1B1, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2D6, 3A4, 19A	6.3	20
Tasosartan	3A4	DNF	DNF	3.1	600
Tenofovir	DNF	DNF	1A2, 2C9, 2E1	-0.8	300
Terbinafine	1A2, 2C9, 2C8, 2C19, 3A4	3A4	2D6, 11A, 19A	5.3	250
Tetrabamate	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	2.1	300
Tetracycline	3A4	DNF	3A4	-2.0	1000
Ticlopidine	3A4	DNF	1A1, 1A2, 2B6, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1	3.9	500
Ticrynafen	2C9	2C9	DNF	4.0	125
Tilbroquinol	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	3.0	200

128 Most-DILI-concern drugs	CYP substrates	CYP inducers	CYP inhibitors	LogP	Daily dose (mg)
Tipranavir	3A4	3A4	DNF	7.4	1000
Tolcapone	1A2, 2A6, 2E1, 3A4	DNF	2C9	3.1	450
Tolrestat	DNF	DNF	DNF	4.0	400
Troglitazone	1A1, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 3A4	2B6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7, 19A	5.7	400
Trovafloxacin	1A2	DNF	DNF	-0.9	200
Valproic Acid	2A6, 2B6, 2C9, 2C19, 3A5	4A11	1A2, 2C8, 2C9, 2C18, 2C19, 3A4	2.7	1500
Verapamil	1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C18, 2C19, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	2B6	2C9, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	5.5	240
Ximelagatran	2C9	DNF	DNF	1.2	48
Zafirlukast	2C8, 2C9	DNF	1A2, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4	6.3	40
Zalcitabine	2C9, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7, 3A43	DNF	DNF	-1.0	2.25
Zidovudine	2A6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 3A4	DNF	DNF	0.1	600
Zileuton	1A2, 2C9, 3A4	DNF	1A2	2.2	1200
Zimeldine	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	3.4	200
78 Less-DILI-Concern Drugs	CYP substrates	CYP inducers	CYP inhibitors	LogP	Daily dose (mg)
17beta-Estradiol	1A1, 1A2, 1B1, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	DNF	DNF	4.0	2
Acyclovir	DNF	DNF	DNF	-1.6	4000
Allopurinol	DNF	DNF	2E1	-0.5	400
Altretamine	2B	DNF	DNF	2.7	490
Amantadine	DNF	DNF	DNF	2.4	200
Amoxicillin	2C19	DNF	DNF	-2.0	1000
Azathioprine	DNF	DNF	DNF	0.1	150
Bisoprolol	2D6, 3A4	DNF	DNF	1.9	10
Bupropion	1A2, 2A6, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4	DNF	2B6, 2D6	3.2	300
Buspirone	2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	DNF	DNF	2.6	30
Captopril	2D6	DNF	DNF	0.3	50
Chloroquine	1A1, 2C8, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5	DNF	2D6	4.6	500

78 Less-DILI- Concern Drugs	CYP substrates	CYP inducers	CYP inhibitors	Log <i>P</i>	Daily dose (mg)
Chlorpromazine	1A2, 2D6, 3A4	1A1, 1A2, 2B6, 3A4, 3A5	1A2, 2D6, 2E1	5.2	300
Chlorpropamide	2C9, 2C19	DNF	DNF	2.3	375
Cimetidine	DNF	DNF	1A2, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7, 11B1	0.4	800
Clofibrate	3A4, 4A11	1A1, 2B6, 2E1, 3A4, 4A11, 4B1	2A6	3.3	2000
Clonidine	1A1, 1A2, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5	19A	DNF	1.6	0.1
Clotrimazole	DNF	2A6, 2B6, 3A4, 3A7	1A2, 2A6, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2E1, 3A4, 11B1, 19A	5.0	100
Clozapine	1A2, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4	1A1, 1B1, 3A4	1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4, 2E1, 3A4	3.2	300
Colchicine	3A4	2C8, 2C9, 2E1, 3A4	1A1, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 3A4	1.0	1
Cromoglicic Acid	DNF	DNF	DNF	1.9	800
Cyclophosphamide	2A6, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C18, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4, 3A7	2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 3A4	3A4	0.6	75
Cyclosporine	3A4, 3A5, 3A7	3A5, 3A7	2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4, 27A	7.5	250
Desipramine	1A2, 2C18, 2C19, 2D6	DNF	2A6, 2B6, 2D6, 2C19, 2E1, 3A4	4.9	100
Dexamethasone	1A2, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	1A1, 1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7, 3A43, 4A11, 27A1	3A4, 11A, 11B1, 17A	1.9	1.5
Doxycycline	3A4	DNF	1B1, 3A4	-0.7	100
Enalapril	3A4	DNF	DNF	-0.1	10
Ethacrynic Acid	1A1	DNF	DNF	3.8	50
Famotidine	2C19	DNF	DNF	-0.6	40
Fluoxetine	1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4, 3A5	DNF	1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4	4.0	20
Flurbiprofen	2C8, 2C9	DNF	2C8, 2C9	4.2	200
Furosemide	2E1	DNF	DNF	2.0	40
Ganciclovir	DNF	DNF	DNF	-1.7	3000
Gemfibrozil	3A4	2C8, 3A4	1A2, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 3A4, 7A1	3.8	1200
Glimepiride	2C8, 2C9		DNF	3.9	2

78 Less-DILI- Concern Drugs	CYP substrates	CYP inducers	CYP inhibitors	Log <i>P</i>	Daily dose (mg)
Griseofulvin	1A2	1A2, 2C8, 2C9, 3A4	DNF	2.2	500
Haloperidol	1A1, 1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	2D6	2D6, 3A4	3.2	8
Ibuprofen	2C8, 2C9, 2C19	DNF	2C8, 2C9	3.5	1200
Imipramine	1A2, 2B6, 2C18, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4, 3A7	DNF	1A2, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1	4.8	100
Isosorbide	3A4	DNF	2E1	1.3	60
Isotretinoin	3A4	DNF	DNF	6.3	30
Ketorolac	DNF	DNF	DNF	1.9	30
Lovastatin	3A4, 3A5, 3A7	2C9, 4F22	2C8, 2C9, 2D6, 3A4	4.3	45
Maprotiline	1A2, 2D6	DNF	DNF	4.6	100
Mebendazole	3A4	1A1	DNF	2.8	200
Metoprolol	2C19, 2D6	DNF	2D6	1.9	150
Mexiletine	1A2, 2B6, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4	DNF	1A2	2.1	800
Minocycline	DNF	DNF	DNF	-0.6	200
Montelukast	3A4, 2C9	DNF	2C8	7.7	10
Naproxen	1A2, 2C8, 2C9	DNF	DNF	3.3	500
Nifedipine	2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	2B6, 2C9, 3A4	1A1, 1A2, 2C8, 2C9, 2D6, 2E1, 3A4	2.2	30
Nizatidine	DNF	DNF	DNF	1.6	300
Oseltamivir	DNF	DNF	DNF	1.1	150
Oxytetracycline	DNF	DNF	DNF	-1.6	1000
Paroxetine	2D6	DNF	1A2, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4	3.5	20
Penicillamine	DNF	DNF	DNF	-1.8	500
Phenobarbital	2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2E1	1A1, 1A2, 1B1, 2A6, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C18, 2C19, 2E1, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7, 4A11, 4B1	2A6	1.5	100
Phenytoin	2C8, 2C9, 2C18, 2C19, 3A4	2B6, 2C8, 2C18, 2C19, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	2C9, 11B1	2.5	300
Pioglitazone	2C8, 2C9, 3A4	3A4	2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6	3.8	30
Piroxicam	2C8, 2C9	DNF	2C8, 2C9	3.1	20
Pravastatin	2C9, 3A4, 3A5	DNF	2C8, 2C9, 2D6, 3A4	1.6	30
Prednisolone	3A4, 3A5	3A4, 3A5	2A6, 3A4	1.6	10

78 Less-DILI- Concern Drugs	CYP substrates	CYP inducers	CYP inhibitors	Log <i>P</i>	Daily dose (mg)
Propranolol	1A2, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	DNF	1A1, 1A2, 2D6	3.0	160
Pyrazinamide	1A2, 3A4	DNF	DNF	-0.6	1500
Ranitidine	1A2, 2C19, 2D6	DNF	1A2, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5	0.3	300
Ribavirin	DNF	DNF	DNF	-1.8	1000
Saquinavir	2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7, 11A	DNF	2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5	4.2	1800
Sertraline	1A2, 2B6, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4	DNF	2B6, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4	4.8	50
Simvastatin	2C8, 2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	DNF	2C8, 2C9, 2D6, 3A4	4.7	30
Spirolactone	DNF	3A4, 3A5, 11B1	2C8, 11B1	2.9	75
Tacrolimus	3A4, 3A5, 3A7	DNF	3A4	2.7	5
Thioguanine	DNF	DNF	DNF	-0.1	150
Tolbutamide	2C8, 2C9, 2C18, 2C19	DNF	2C8, 2C9	2.3	1500
Toremifene	1A1, 1A2, 3A4	DNF	DNF	7.2	60
Trazodone	2D6, 3A4, 3A5, 3A7	DNF	DNF	2.8	300
Trifluoperazine	1A2	DNF	DNF	5.0	20
Venlafaxine	2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4	DNF	2B6, 2D6, 3A4	2.9	100
Warfarin	1A1, 1A2, 2C8, 2C9, 2C18, 2C19, 3A4	DNF	2C8, 2C9, 2C19	2.7	7.5
48 Non-DILI- Concern Drugs	CYP substrates	CYP inducers	CYP inhibitors	Log <i>P</i>	Daily dose (mg)
Albuterol	3A4	DNF	3A4	0.9	12
Alendronate	DNF	DNF	DNF	-1.2	10
Atropine	DNF	DNF	1A2	1.7	1.5
Benzonatate	DNF	DNF	DNF	1.6	600
Benzphetamine	2B6, 3A4	DNF	DNF	4.2	50
Benztropine	2C19, 2D6	DNF	DNF	3.9	2
Betaine	DNF	DNF	DNF	-3.0	600
Bethanechol	DNF	DNF	DNF	-1.0	45
Biperiden	DNF	DNF	2D6	3.6	10
Carbinoxamine	2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2E1, 3A4	DNF	2D6	3.2	16
Chlorhexidine	DNF	DNF	DNF	3.1	30
Clemastine	DNF	DNF	2D6, 3A4	4.8	2
Cyanocobalamin	DNF	DNF	DNF	0.0	1
Digoxin	3A4	DNF	DNF	2.0	0.25
Dihydroergotamine	3A4	DNF	3A4	3.2	4
Diphenhydramine	2D6	DNF	2D6	3.4	0.2

48 Non-DILI- Concern Drugs	CYP substrates	CYP inducers	CYP inhibitors	Log P	Daily dose (mg)
Ergocalciferol	2C11, 2J2, 3A4	3A4	DNF	7.6	250
Etidronic Acid	DNF	DNF	DNF	-0.7	0.4
Flavoxate	DNF	DNF	DNF	4.5	800
Folic Acid	2E1	DNF	DNF	-0.2	0.4
Guanethidine	DNF	3A4	DNF	1.2	30
Hydroxyzine	DNF	DNF	2D6	3.5	75
Isoproterenol	DNF	19A	1A1	1.1	60
Lactulose	DNF	DNF	DNF	-4.3	6700
Levocarnitine	2E1	DNF	DNF	-3.3	2000
Liothyronine	DNF	DNF	DNF	1.4	60
Meclizine	2D6	DNF	1A2	6.2	50
Metaproterenol	DNF	DNF	DNF	1.1	90
Methysergide	DNF	DNF	DNF	2.3	4
Metronidazole	2C9, 3A4	DNF	2C8, 2C9, 3A4	-0.3	2000
Metyrapone	DNF	3A4	2A6, 2E1, 3A4, 7A1, 11B1	1.8	2250
Metyrosine	DNF	DNF	DNF	-1.5	1000
Minoxidil	DNF	DNF	8A1	0.2	20
Neomycin	DNF	DNF	DNF	-9.0	5000
Nystatin	DNF	DNF	DNF	-2.6	1.5MU
Oxybutynin	3A4, 3A5	DNF	2C8, 2D6, 3A4	4.6	15
Paromomycin	DNF	DNF	DNF	-8.7	3000
Penbutolol	DNF	DNF	DNF	3.6	40
Phendimetrazine	Unspecified CYP isoforms	DNF	DNF	2.0	105
Phenoxybenzamine	3A4	DNF	DNF	4.6	30
Phentermine	Unspecified CYP isoforms	2C9	1A2, 2C9, 2E1, 3A	1.8	15
Phentolamine	DNF	DNF	DNF	3.0	10
Primaquine	1A2, 3A4	1A1, 1A2, 1B1	1A2, 2D6, 3A4	2.0	15
Primidone	2C19	1A2, 2B6, 2C9, 2C19, 3A4	DNF	0.9	1250
Procyclidine	DNF	DNF	DNF	4.0	25
Tamsulosin	2D6, 3A4	DNF	DNF	2.7	0.4
Tranexamic Acid	DNF	DNF	DNF	-1.8	2000
Vancomycin	DNF	DNF	DNF	-2.9	2000

The drug list is from paper ([Chen et al., 2011](#)).

*Data for CYP enzymes are from Pharmapendium, PubMed, PubChem, DrugBank, and SuperCYP

DNF: Data not found.

Unspecified CYP isoforms: CYP enzymes involved in drug metabolism were not specified.

Daily dose is the defined daily dose (DDD) from World Health Organization (WHO) if available, otherwise will be the recommended daily dose in FDA-approved drug labels and literature searches. For drugs with several reported daily doses, the average value dose is used.

Log P value is from paper ([Chen et al., 2013a](#)) if available, otherwise will be the XLogP3 value from PubChem.