Identification of a Functional Antioxidant Response Element within the Eighth Intron of the Human ABCC3 Gene
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Abstract

The ATP-binding cassette (ABC) family of transporters, including ABCC3, is a large family of efflux pumps that plays a pivotal role in the elimination of xenobiotics from the body. ABCC3 has been reported to be induced during hepatic stress conditions and through the progression of some forms of cancer. Several lines of evidence have implicated the transcription factor nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 (Nrf2) in this induction. However, while rodent models have been investigated, a functional antioxidant response element (ARE) in the human ABCC3 gene has not been identified. The purpose of this study was to identify and characterize the ARE(s) responsible for mediating the Nrf2-dependent induction of the human ABCC3 gene. A high-throughput ChIP-sequencing analysis performed in A549 cells revealed a specific interaction between Nrf2 and the eighth intron of the human ABCC3 gene, rather than the more prototypical flanking region of the gene. Subsequent in silico analysis of the intron identified two putative ARE elements that contained the core, consensus ARE sequence commonly found in several Nrf2-responsive genes. Functional characterization of these two AREs using luciferase-reporter constructs with ARE mutant constructs revealed that one of these putative AREs is functionally active. Finally, DNA pull-down assays confirmed specific binding of these intronic AREs by Nrf2 in vitro. Our findings identify a functional Nrf2 response element within the eighth intron of the ABCC3 gene, which may provide mechanistic insight into the induction of ABCC3 during antioxidant response stimuli.
**Introduction**

Membrane transporters, such as \textit{ABCC3}, serve important roles in mediating the absorption, distribution and excretion of endo- and xenobiotic substrates. \textit{ABCC3} is an organic anion transporter belonging to the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) superfamily of transporters and transports a variety of endogenous and exogenous compounds such as bile acids, bilirubin, and chemotherapeutic agents (methotrexate, etoposide), as well as glutathione, sulfate, and glucuronide conjugates (Borst et al., 2007). \textit{ABCC3} is almost exclusively expressed on the basolateral membrane of polarized epithelial cells and tissue distribution in humans is primarily confined to the adrenal glands, kidney, liver, small intestine, colon, pancreas and the bladder (Borst et al., 2007; Klaassen & Aleksunes, 2010). \textit{ABCC3} is also highly expressed in several forms of cancer including non-small-cell lung carcinoma, gallbladder cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma (Young et al., 2001; Nies et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2010).

In addition to being over-expressed in several malignant tumors, expression of \textit{ABCC3}, particularly in the liver, is highly inducible in other non-malignant conditions (Borst et al., 2007). Hepatic \textit{ABCC3} has been shown to be up-regulated in primary biliary cirrhosis, hepatitis C viral infections, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, and rodent models of cholestasis (Zollner et al., 2003; Ros et al., 2003; Hardwick et al., 2011). The mechanisms that mediate \textit{ABCC3} induction are hypothesized to be hepatoprotective in nature and primarily involve the activation of transcriptional regulators such as nuclear receptors and transcription factors. It has been shown that nuclear receptors such as the constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) and pregnane X receptor (PXR) as well as the transcription factor nuclear factor (erythroid 2)-like 2 (Nrf2) are important mediators of the xenobiotic-dependent induction of the Abcc3 gene (Klaassen & Aleksunes, 2010).
Nrf2 is a master regulator of the antioxidant response pathway and orchestrates the transcriptional activation of cellular defense mechanisms in combating oxidative stress. Nrf2 activation is highly regulated and is controlled by the Kelch-like ECH-associated protein (Keap1), an adapter protein that belongs to an E3 ubiquitin ligase complex (Zhang, 2006). Under basal conditions, Nrf2 associates as an inactive complex with Keap1 and is targeted for ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation. Under periods of oxidative/electrophilic stress, however, the Keap1/Nrf2 interaction is disrupted, leading to cytosolic accumulation and subsequent nuclear translocation of Nrf2 where it can drive the transcription of target genes (Zhang, 2006; Jaiswal, 2004).

Activation of Nrf2 is largely regarded as a cytoprotective mechanism and target genes consist of a battery of phase I/II detoxifying enzymes and xenobiotic transporters that ultimately aid in defending the cell in response to oxidative stress (Shen & Kong, 2009). Multiple investigations using Nrf2 chemical activators have shown a clear role in the Nrf2-dependent induction of xenobiotic transporters (Maher et al., 2005; Aleksunes et al., 2008; Maher et al., 2008). Importantly, this has resulted in the identification of an ARE upstream of the promoter of the mouse Abcc3 gene (Maher et al., 2007); however, identification of a functional ARE in the human gene is lacking despite clear evidence of Nrf2-dependent regulation of human ABCC3 (Wang et al., 2010; Sasaki et al., 2012; Mahaffey et al., 2012; Mahaffey et al., 2009).

The purpose of the current study was to identify and characterize the functional ARE(s) responsible for the Nrf2-dependent induction of the human ABCC3 gene. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays followed by sequencing analysis in Nrf2 overexpressing cell lines identified Nrf2 interaction within a region corresponding to the eighth intron of the human ABCC3 gene. Subsequent in silico analyses and reporter gene assays identify two functional
AREs within the eighth intron, suggesting a novel mechanism of Nrf2-dependent regulation of ABCC3.
Materials and Methods

Materials. Unless otherwise specified, all other materials were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

Cell Culture. HEK293 cells used were a gift from the Zhang laboratory at the University of Arizona, Tucson AZ. Cells were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagles Media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, glutamine, and penicillin-streptomycin. Cultures were grown at 37°C in 5% CO2 atmosphere.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (sequencing). Cell Culture. A549 cells (ATCC CCL-185) were passaged in DMEM 5% FBS with 1% penicillin/streptomycin. A 10 cm plate was grown to approximately 90% confluence, translating to roughly 1.5x10^6 cells. Cross-linking and Sonication. Formaldehyde (~270 μL) was added to cell media to reach a final concentration of 1%. Cells were incubated at room temperature while shaking. Cross-linking was stopped by adding 1 ml of 1.25 M glycine, and cells were scraped and centrifuged at 800 RPM. Media was aspirated completely, rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline, then re-suspended in 1 mL Cell Lysis Buffer (5 mM 1,4-piperazinediethanesulfonic acid, pH 8.0; 85 mM KCl; 0.5% NP40) for 10 min on ice. Samples were centrifuged at 2000 RPM, the supernatant was removed and re-suspended in 1 L Nuclei Lysis Buffer (1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0) for 10 min on ice. Sonication of samples was conducted according to the following parameters: Output 4, Duty Cycle 50%, 20 sec., 12 repetitions. Samples were centrifuged at full speed for 30 min at 4°C, then diluted with Dilution Buffer (1.1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0) Immunoprecipitation. Pre-clearing was conducted for 12 h at 4°C using 5 µg rabbit IgG and 300 μl of pre-blocked protein A/G fast-flow sepharose beads. Samples were centrifuged at 3000 RPM for 5 min, and then transferred to new tube. This process was repeated for a total of 4
times. Subsequently, into 1 mL of sample, the antibody of interest was added at the following amounts: IgG (2 µg), Nrf2 (2 µg), and dH3H4dime (3 µg). Samples were rotated for 24 h at 4°C, and 60 µl of pre-cleared beads were added. Samples were centrifuged at 3000 RPM, and the supernatant was removed. Beads were washed with a series of low salt, high salt, and LiCl and TE buffers. To elute, 250 µl of Elution Buffer was added (1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO3, 0.25 µM DTT) at 65°C overnight. Samples were treated with proteinase K (10 mg/ml), then a phenol-chloroform extraction for final re-suspension into 30 µl of PCR-grade water. **Library Construction and Sequencing.** Library creation was accomplished using adapter ligation methods. Each unique library was sequenced along with an input control library using the Solexa Genome Analyzer (Illumina, San Diego, CA), and sequences were aligned to the human genome (www.genome.ucsc.edu) using ELAND software. Peaks were visualized using the Integrated Genome Browser (BioViz, UNC, Charlotte, North Carolina).

**In Silico Analysis.** Identification of putative AREs within the eighth intron was performed using CLC Sequence Viewer v6.4 (CLC bio, Denmark). Species alignments were performed using comparative genomics tools available at ensemble.org.

**Molecular Cloning of ABCC3 Intron.** A DNA construct containing the eighth intron (1.2 kb) of the ABCC3 gene was produced by PCR amplification of the RP11 BAC clone, 121F10. Primers used included exogenous restriction sites (underlined) and are as follows: forward primer 5’-CGCCTCGAGTGGCTGGCTAGCCCAGAGGA-3’ (*XhoI* site), and reverse primer 5’-CGCAAGCTTGCAACCACCTGGCCACCACATGA-3’ (*HindIII* site). The resulting PCR product was cloned into TOPO vector using the TOPO TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen) per the manufacture’s recommendations.
**Sub-cloning of ABCC3 Intron in Reporter Vectors.** The cloned ABCC3 intron (~1.2 kb) was sub-cloned from TOPO vector into the pGL3 Basic Reporter Vector (Promega Corp, Madison WI) using the XhoI/HindIII restriction sites within the multiple cloning region upstream of the luciferase gene (1.2 kb). The intronic insert cloned into pGL3 Basic was subsequently cloned into the pT81luc reporter vector (containing a HSV thymidine kinase promoter) upstream of the promoter by PCR amplification of the insert using the following primers: forward primer 5’-TCGCGGATCCGAGGAAGGAACAACGTACAG-3’ and reverse primer 5’-ATAGCAAGCTTAGATCTTTGAAGCCAGATG-3’. The underlined sequences represent exogenous restriction sites that were inserted for ligation of insert into pT81luc vector (BamHI for forward and HindIII for reverse). The resulting PCR amplicon is ~900 bp and was inserted into pT81luc reporter vector using BamHI/HindIII restriction sites. See Supplemental Figure 1 for a schematic representation of the intron orientation in the vector.

**PCR Mutagenesis of ARE2 and ARE5 within Intron.** Mutagenesis of ARE2 and ARE5 was carried out by PCR using a proof-reading polymerase to reduce sequencing errors. Briefly, reverse-complementary primers (primer pairs) containing the mutated sequence of interest were used and were as follows: ARE2 mutant, 5’-CAGGAACCTCAGAGGTTGACGGCTTTTCAAGGGCACACAGCAAGAAAG-3’ (along with reverse complement) and ARE5 mutant; 5’-AACAGGGAAAGTTCAGCCTCAAAATTAGCCGCAAAGCCCTGAAACAGCATGAC-3’ (as well as its reverse complement). Underlined sequences represent the ARE sequence whereas the bolded sequences are mutated (TGACTTTGGC is wt sequence for ARE2 and AGCTTTAGTCA is wt sequence for ARE5). The mutagenesis reaction was carried out using the cloned intron in pT81luc as a template (50 ng/reaction) and the PCR cycle was as follows: 95°C
for 1 min, 95°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 1 min, 68°C for 10 min, steps 2-4 repeated 24 more times. The reactions were cooled to room temperature followed by the addition of 1 µl DpnI restriction enzyme and incubated at 37°C for 1 h to cleave parental plasmid DNA (and leave newly, synthesized nascent strand intact). Following incubation, 5 µl was transformed into competent bacteria cells and subsequently screened and sequenced for mutant sequence. The ARE 2/5 double mutant construct was synthesized using above mentioned methods using the ARE 2 mutant as the starting template.

Transfection and Luciferase Reporter Gene Assay. HEK293 cells were transfected with pT81 constructs using Lipofectamine 3000 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) transfection reagent per the manufacturer’s protocol, including the use of pRL-tk transfection control (Promega Corp, Madison WI). Twenty-four hours post-transfection, the cells were treated with either 5 µM sulforaphane (in DMSO), or DMSO control for 17 h. Following treatment, luciferase activity was determined using the Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay (Promega Corp, Madison WI) per manufacturer’s instructions and normalized to transfection control. Each reporter construct was assayed in triplicate wells, and luciferase activity was measured using a GloMax® 20/20 Luminometer (Promega Corp, Madison WI). In addition to chemical induction, a separate experiment was conducted using an Nrf2-overexpression platform by co-transfecting the pT81 constructs in HEK293 cells with an Nrf2 expression vector. The Nrf2 vector has been used and characterized previously (Zhang & Hannik, 2003), with slight modifications. Nrf2 induction was verified by using SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis using the following primary antibodies against Nrf2 and GAPDH (control): sc13032 and sc32233 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA)
Nrf2 DNA Pull-down Assay. The pull-down assay was performed in vitro using recombinant GST-tagged Nrf2 and His-tagged MafG protein, which were a kind gift from the laboratory of Dr. Donna Zhang at the University of Arizona, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology. Briefly, GST-Nrf2 and His-MafG (5:1 ratio) were incubated in microcentrifuge tubes with RIPA buffer (10 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 2 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1% NP-40) and double-stranded (hybridized), 5’ biotin-labelled oligonucleotide probes containing ARE2, ARE5, or NQO1 promoter as a positive control as well as their mutated counterparts. The sequences of the probes used were as follows (lower case are nucleotides that were mutated):

ARE2wt: 5’-CTCAGAGAGGTGAAGTGACTTGGCCAAGGGCACACAGCAA-3’
AREmut: 5’-CTCAGAGAGGTGAAGcGgCTTGttCAAGGGCACACAGCAA-3’
AREwt: 5’-GGAAAGTTCAGCCTCAGCTTAGTCACAAAGCCTGAAACAGC-3’
AREmut: 5’-GGAAAGTTCAGCCTCAaaTTAGcCgCAAAGGCCCTGAAACAGC-3’
NQO1wt: 5’-AAATCGCAGTCACAGTGACTCAGCAGAATCTGAGCCTAGGG-3’
NQO1mut: 5’-AAATCGCAGTCACAGActCTCAGCAGAATCTGAGCCTAGGGG-3’

Tubes were incubated at 4°C under constant rotation for 2 h to allow for complexes to form. Following incubation, 30 µl of streptavidin agarose resin (pre-washed with RIPA buffer to remove alcohol) was added to each reaction vial and incubated overnight at 4°C under constant rotation to precipitate the bound complexes. After incubation, the beads were centrifuged, supernatant removed, and beads washed with RIPA buffer to remove any unbound protein. This previous step was performed three times to ensure removal of all unbound protein. After the final
centrifugation, all the remaining supernatant was removed and the complexes were eluted by the addition of Laemmli sample buffer (20 µl) to each reaction tube and heated at 95°C for 5 min. The beads were then centrifuged and the supernatant was subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis using a primary antibody directed against GST (Nrf2) (sc138) and an anti-mouse secondary (sc2005) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). Densitometry analyses were performed using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

**Statistical Analysis.** Data was analyzed using one-way ANOVA to determine significant findings in luciferase assay across reporter vectors with a Bonferroni post-hoc analysis. A significance level of $p \leq 0.05$ was used for all analyses. All analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA).
Results

To determine the relative location of Nrf2 association within the \textit{ABCC3} gene, a ChIP assay followed by sequencing analysis was performed using A549 cells, a human lung carcinoma cell line that has constitutively active Nrf2. The results reveal a specific, robust interaction of Nrf2 within a genomic region located -26258 to -28823 upstream from the transcription start site, which corresponds to the eighth intronic region of the \textit{ABCC3} gene (green arrow), whereas no Nrf2 binding was detected within the promoter region (red arrow) (Figure 1). Furthermore, histone 3, lysine 4 (H3K4) methylation status was assessed and was found to be hypermethylated within the intronic region corresponding to Nrf2-association as well as the promoter region of the \textit{ABCC3} gene. Interestingly, gene comparison analyses between human and mouse ABCC3 show that the previously characterized ARE within the mouse promoter region (Maher et al., 2007) (green arrow) is located within a non-conserved genomic region suggesting that this ARE is possibly unique to the mouse species (Figure 2). In contrast, the genomic region corresponding to Nrf2 association within the eighth intron of ABCC3 appears to be in a region that is conserved across human and mouse (Figure 2, red arrow).

To identify potential ARE(s) within the eighth intronic region of \textit{ABCC3} gene, \textit{in silico} and sequencing analyses were performed using CLC sequence viewer software. A total of six putative ARE and ARE-like elements were identified within the eighth intronic region; however, only two (ARE2 and ARE5) matched the core ARE consensus sequence and are separated by ~400 bp (Figure 3). Furthermore, comparative analysis of the ARE2 and ARE5 across several eutherian mammal species showed that ARE5, and not ARE2, is evolutionarily conserved (data not shown).

To confirm ARE functionality, luciferase reporter constructs containing a ~900 bp region of the ABCC3 eighth intron were transfected into HEK293 cells and either treated with 10 µM of
the Nrf2 activator, sulforaphane (SF) or co-transfected with a Nrf2-overexpressing vector (Figures 4A and 4B). SF treatment of pT81-Intron (ABCC3 intron 8) transfectants resulted in a two-fold induction of luciferase activity compared to the vehicle treated transfectants whereas overexpressing Nrf2 protein resulted in an eight-fold induction of luciferase activity (Figure 4A and 4B, respectively). These results suggested the presence of at least one functional ARE within this genomic region that is regulated in an Nrf2-dependent manner.

To further characterize the ARE(s) mediating the Nrf2-dependent induction of luciferase activity, mutant ARE2 and ARE5 constructs as well as an ARE2/5 double-mutant were generated (Figure 4). Mutating ARE2 had no effect on luciferase activity in both experiments, whereas mutation of ARE5 caused a significant decrease and a near complete ablation of the Nrf2-dependent induction of luciferase activity in both SF treated, and Nrf2-overexpressing cells (Figure 4A and 4B). Interestingly, mutating both ARE 2 and 5 did not result in further reduction of Nrf2-dependent luciferase activity in both treated and over-expression platforms, suggesting that ARE5 is the functionally dominant ARE.

To further elucidate interaction of Nrf2 with the intronic DNA region, DNA-pulldown assays were conducted using biotin-labelled DNA probes containing the ARE2 and ARE5 genomic sequences (Figures 5A and 5B). A strong, positive interaction of Nrf2 was observed with the ARE5 probe that was ~75% of the positive control NQO1wt (Figure 5B). Interestingly, Nrf2 interaction with the ARE2 probe was ~50% of ARE5 and mutating both the ARE5 and ARE2 sequence significantly reduced the enrichment of Nrf2 (Figure 5B).
Discussion

The purpose of the current study was to identify the ARE(s) responsible for the Nrf2-dependent induction of the human ABCC3 gene and our investigation herein describes a novel mechanism responsible for this induction. Using high-throughput ChIP-sequencing followed by in silico analyses we have successfully identified two putative AREs located within the eighth intron of the ABCC3 gene. Functional characterization using gene reporter assays confirms a functional ARE response element which is unresponsive to Nrf2 activity and binding upon mutation. Furthermore, comparative sequencing analyses identify one of these AREs, ARE5, as being evolutionarily conserved across several species, suggesting a potentially important role in mediating the Nrf2-dependent regulation of human ABCC3.

Xenobiotic membrane transporters are pivotal players in mediating the absorption, distribution and excretion of both endo- and xenobiotics. The function of these transporters may directly impact the biological effectiveness and toxicity of their chemical substrates, and understanding the multiple levels of membrane transporter regulation is crucial in determining functionality. There are multiple lines of evidence to support Nrf2-mediated transcriptional regulation of several ABCC gene family members, including Abcc3, in mice. Studies conducted in mice and rats treated with known Nrf2 activators such as oltipraz, butylated hydroxyanisole and ethoxyquin have shown significant gene induction of Abcc3 along with other Abcc family members such as Abcc2 and Abcc4. (Maher et al., 2005; Merrell et al., 2008; Slitt et al., 2003). Additionally, several investigators have reported a loss of Abcc3 induction in Nrf2-null mice following treatment with a variety of Nrf2 chemical activators. (Okada et al., 2008; Tanaka et al., 2009; Aleksunes et al., 2008; Maher et al., 2008; Maher et al., 2007). Together, these findings
suggest a clear role for the Nrf2-dependent regulation of \textit{Abcc3} in rodents; however, the mechanistic understanding of this regulation of human \textit{ABCC3} still remains unclear.

Investigation into the mechanism that drives the regulation of human \textit{ABCC3} by Nrf2 has been quite limited. However, several correlative reports strongly demonstrate the role of Nrf2-dependent induction of the \textit{ABCC3} gene. Previous observations in primary human hepatocytes show \textit{ABCC3} up-regulation following treatment with the Nrf2 activator oltipraz (Jigorel et al., 2006). Similarly, human derived HepG2 cells treated with tBHQ increased levels of \textit{ABCC3} mRNA (Adachi et al., 2007). Lastly, several malignancies that have been shown to have Nrf2 mutations are associated with high levels of \textit{ABCC3} expression (Wang et al., 2010; Sasaki et al., 2012). The first mechanistic investigation into Nrf2-dependent induction of \textit{ABCC3} was performed in human lung cancer cell lines in which the investigators describe the identification of four putative AREs upstream of the TSS, but did not attempt to functionally assess the contributions of these AREs (Mahaffey et al., 2009). Using ChIP PCR analyses, Mahaffey \textit{et al} later demonstrated that upon treatment of a lung carcinoma cell line with the Nrf2 activator, 4-hydroxynonenol, a specific interaction occurred between Nrf2 and a genomic region -805 bases from the transcription start site of the \textit{ABCC3} gene (Mahaffey et al., 2012). However, our results in A549 cells reveal no interaction between Nrf2 and the promoter region of the \textit{ABCC3} gene. This discrepancy may be due to the different approach used to investigate the interaction between Nrf2 and the \textit{ABCC3} gene. The investigators use a ChIP-PCR method and limited their genomic region of interest to just the promoter region. In contrast, using ChIP, followed by sequencing analyses, allows for a higher throughput method that does not limit the investigation to a particular chromosomal region.
In addition to Nrf2 association within the eighth intron, our analysis yields novel information regarding H3K4 methylation status of the \textit{ABCC3} gene. Our data suggests that the \textit{ABCC3} gene is hypermethylated within several regions including the promoter and the eighth intron where we have identified Nrf2 association. Interestingly, unlike other methylation sites, methylation of H3K4 is almost exclusively found within regions of transcriptionally active genes (Shilatifard, 2008). The identification of H3K4 hypermethylation within the eighth intron further supports our findings that a functional ARE is present within this region. Recent studies have implicated an important role of the H3K4 methyltransferase, mixed lineage leukemia 3, in the transcriptional regulation of several clinically important membrane transporters including MDR1 and the Farsenoid X receptor-dependent transcriptional regulation of hepatic \textit{ABCC2}, \textit{ABCB11}, and \textit{SLC10A1} (Ananthanarayanan et al., 2011; Huo et al., 2010). However, the role of H3K4 methylation and the Nrf2-dependent induction of \textit{ABCC3} has not been investigated and further analyses are necessary to elucidate the importance of histone 3 methylation within the eighth intron of this gene.

A recent investigation by Malhotra et al. utilizes ChIP-seq investigation using Keap1-null mice (constitutive Nrf2 activation). Although the regulation of mouse \textit{Abcc3} gene was not specifically examined, these studies did reveal interesting information concerning the ARE consensus sequence (Malhotra et al., 2010). Historically, the definition of the ARE is a core sequence of “TGACnnnGC” (see Figure 3). Using a larger data set of 410 DNA sequences, these new studies reveal an expanded role of the intervening three base pairs (between TGAC and GC), with TCA being the most common sequence observed. Furthermore, previously published data on the topic clearly shows that the TCA intervening sequence is more sensitive to chemical induction compared to the wildtype AAA sequence, suggesting that this variation may be a more
potent ARE sequence (Nguyen et al., 1994). Interestingly, our results demonstrate that the intervening bases (nnn) of this consensus sequence (TCA) are more homologous to the ARE5 core sequence (TAA) than the ARE2 (TTG). It is possible that this difference seen in the intervening bases between ARE2 and ARE5 may partially explain why Nrf2 binds more strongly to ARE5 as well as it being the functionally dominant ARE. It is interesting to note, however, that mutating ARE5 did not completely abolish reporter activity upon Nrf2 activation. This observation may be partially explained by the fact that mutant ARE5 still confers slight affinity for Nrf2 as seen by the DNA-binding assay.

The identification of putative AREs within the eighth intron of the ABCC3 gene occurred within a region that is conserved across mouse and human suggesting that this region within the mouse genome may have ARE(s) as well. A previous study conducted in a mouse liver cell line has identified an ARE upstream of the promoter region using ChIP PCR analysis (Maher et al., 2007). Interestingly, this region is not conserved in the human (see Figure 2) despite a 3-4 fold induction in Abcc3 following oltipraz treatment in two, independent studies in mice (Aleksunes & Klaassen, 2012; Maher et al., 2007). Using primary human hepatocytes, Jigorel et al showed a 1.5 fold induction of ABCC3 upon oltipraz treatment suggesting that mice may be more sensitive to chemical induction of ABCC3 by Nrf2 (Jigorel et al., 2006). Together, these findings support the need for further investigation into whether additional functional ARE(s) are present within the eighth intron of the mouse Abcc3 gene.

The identification of gene regulatory elements within regions downstream of the TSS is a rare occurrence, but has been reported in various genes by various transcription factors. Many of these downstream elements are located in the first intron, however, there are several examples revealing distal elements that can regulate the transcription of genes. ChIP-seq experiments have
reported that 38% of ER\(\alpha\) binding elements were found within introns along with an additional 38% being further than 10kb from the TSS (Levy et al., 2008). Other examples of nuclear receptors with identified response elements within an intronic region include the androgen receptor (Zheng et al., 2006), the vitamin D receptor (Zella et al., 2006), and PPAR\(\alpha\) (Sun et al., 2008). In addition, androgen regulation of Nrf2-target gene GSTP1 appears to be dependent upon a 500bp region of the fifth intron of the gene (Ikeda et al., 2002); however, to our knowledge, this is the first example of Nrf2 itself potentially regulating a target gene through an intronic response element.

In conclusion, we have identified two putative AREs in the eighth intron of the human \textit{ABCC3} gene. \textit{In silico} analyses have shown that this region is highly conserved across several mammalian species suggesting an important role for this genomic region in the Nrf2-dependent induction \textit{ABCC3}. Furthermore, functional characterization of these novel elements revealed that one of these AREs is functionally important for the Nrf2-dependent induction of the \textit{ABCC3} gene. These findings are the first to our knowledge to identify an Nrf2 regulatory element within an intronic region of a gene. This novel finding may promote the future identification of AREs within non-flanking regions of genes and further elucidate non-traditional mechanisms of gene regulation.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (sequencing). Results obtained from a chromatin immunoprecipitation assay in A549 cells (Nrf2 constitutively active) followed by sequencing analysis. The DNA sequence of the ABCC3 gene is depicted as the green line, with exons shown as green bars. Positive results from the ChIP sequencing analysis are shown as binding intensity from two separate ChIP assays (teal, row 3; purple, row 4) and represents a strong Nrf2 interaction within the eighth intron of the ABCC3 gene (green arrow) (intron located -26258 to -28823 from TSS. Histone 3, lysine 4 (H3K4) methylation status is highlighted in teal on the top row of the figure (color represents positive methylation status) (Row 1). Input control is also shown as teal in row 2. No Nrf2 interaction was observed within the promoter region of the ABCC3 gene (red arrow).

Figure 2. ABCC3 Gene Comparison Across Human and Mouse. Gene comparison of human (top) and mouse (bottom) ABCC3 is shown. Brown squares represent protein coding regions and pink represents conserved regions across both species. The previously identified mouse ARE is in a region shown by the green arrowhead, which is located in a non-conserved genomic region. Contrastingly, the intronic region corresponding with the Nrf2 association in human ABCC3 is located in a genetically conserved locus (red arrowhead).

Figure 3. Comparison of Putative ABCC3 Intronic AREs with Consensus ARE. Comparison of the six ARE and ARE-like elements located within the eighth intron of the ABCC3 with the consensus ARE sequence is shown. For additional comparison purposes, the ARE of known Nrf2-target genes, NQO1, GLC, GCLM are also shown. The underlined, bold sequences of the
consensus sequence are required for activity. A total of two (ARE2 and ARE5) AREs from the six contain the required consensus sequence.

**Figure 4. Luciferase Reporter Activity of ABCC3 Intron in HEK293 Cells.** Luciferase reporter activity of the eighth ABCC3 intron cloned into pT81 reporter vector and transfected into HEK293 cells. Nrf2 was subsequently activated by treatment of cells with 5 µM sulforophane (SF) (4A) or overexpressed by co-transfecting an Nrf2 expression vector (4B) (Nrf2 OE). Constructs containing mutations of the two identified intronic AREs (ARE2 and ARE5) as well as an ARE2/5 double mutant were used to independently determine functional activity of each ARE. Data represents the results of three independent experiments done in triplicate for each group and is described as fold-change of control. * p ≤ 0.05 versus respective control, † p ≤ 0.05 versus pT81-intron.

**Figure 5. DNA Pull-down of Nrf2.** Recombinant Nrf2 (GST-tagged) and its heterodimeric partner, MafG were incubated with 5’ biotin-labelled oligonucleotide probes containing ARE2 or ARE5 along with their native flanking sequences. The complex was “pulled-down” using streptavidin beads and the precipitated complex was subjected to Western blot analysis using a commercially available antibody against GST (Nrf2). Probes containing the NQO1 wild-type and NQO1 mutant ARE were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Input represents the amount of Nrf2-GST used in each incubation and used directly in SDS-PAGE and Western blot. Results of a single experiment are shown in panel A with respective densitometry analysis in panel B.
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```plaintext
ARE
n n n T M A C n R T G A Y n n n G C R n n n n n
NQO1
C A G T C A C A G T G A C T C A G C A G A A T C T
GCLC
G C C T C C C C G T G A C T C A G C G C T T T G T
GCLM
G G A A A G A C A A T G A C T A A G C A G A A A T C

1 3’T C T C A T C T G A C A T G G G A A T G G G G G 5’
2 5’G A G G T G A A G T G A C T T G G G C C A A G G G C C 3’
3 3’G T T A G A G C C T G A T G G T G G G G G A C A G 5’
4 3’C A A A T T C G T T G A C C T C C C A A G C C A G 5’
5 3’A G G G C T T T G A C T A A G C T G A G G C T 5’
6 5’G A A A A C A G C A T G A C T C A G G T G T T T C T 3’
```

M = A or C
R = A or G
Y = C or T
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**Supplemental Figure 1.** Schematic of pT81luc-ABCC3 intron plasmid. A portion of the ABCC3 intron (blue) was directionally cloned into the pT81luc reporter plasmid using BamH1 and HindIII restriction sites upstream of the thymidine kinase promoter (yellow) and luciferase gene (green). Relative locations of ARE2 (~250 bp from 5’ end) and ARE5 (~800 bp from 5’ end) are represented by a black line within the cloned intron region.