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Abstract

The Zucker Diabetic Fatty (ZDF) rat, an inbred strain of obese Zucker fatty rat, develops early onset of insulin resistance and displays hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia. The phenotypic changes resemble human type 2 diabetes associated with obesity and therefore the strain is used as a pharmacological model for type 2 diabetes. The aim of the current study was to compare the pharmacokinetics and hepatic metabolism of five anti-diabetic drugs in male ZDF and Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats, which are known to be cleared via various mechanisms. Among the drugs examined, metformin, cleared through renal excretion, and rosiglitazone, metabolized by hepatic cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2C, did not exhibit differences in the plasma clearance in ZDF and SD rats. In contrast, glibenclamide, metabolized by hepatic CYP3A, canagliflozin, metabolized mainly by UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGT), and troglitazone metabolized by sulfotransferase and UGT, exhibited significantly lower plasma clearance in ZDF than in SD rats after a single intravenous administration. To elucidate the mechanisms for the difference in the drug clearance, studies were performed to characterize the activity of hepatic drug-metabolizing enzymes using liver S9 fractions from the two strains. The results revealed that the activity for CYP3A and UGT was decreased in ZDF rats using the probe substrates, and decreased unbound intrinsic clearance in vitro for glibenclamide, canagliflozin and troglitazone was consistent with lower plasma clearance in vivo. The difference in pharmacokinetics of these two strains may complicate PK/PD correlations, given that ZDF is used as a pharmacological model, and SD rat as the pharmacokinetics and toxicology strain.
Introduction

Zucker Diabetic Fatty (ZDF) rats are inbred from the Zucker fatty rat, an obese Zucker strain that carries a spontaneous mutation of the leptin receptor gene, and males display persistent hyperglycemia (Peterson et al., 1990; Truett et al., 1991; Phillips et al., 1996). Female ZDF rats display the phenotype of obesity and insulin resistance with a level comparable to male ZDF rats, but rarely exhibit spontaneous hyperglycemia (Clark et al., 1983; Peterson et al., 1990). In contrast, male ZDF rats develop obesity and insulin resistance as early as 7-weeks old and progressively develop hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia with aging. At 14-weeks of age, male ZDF rats display higher body weight and body mass index as well as significantly higher levels of plasma insulin, glucose and fasting glucose compared to their lean littermates (Kava et al., 1990; Aleixandre de Artinano and Miguel Castro, 2009). The hyperglycemia is accompanied by impaired pancreatic β-cell function, loss of β-cell mass and impaired response of liver and extrahepatic tissues to the actions of insulin and glucose (Shiota and Printz, 2012). These features, including the development of obesity- and hyperglycemia-related complications, are common between male ZDF rats and humans with obesity-associated type 2 diabetes. Therefore, ZDF rats are widely used as a pharmacology model for studying obese type 2 diabetes in drug discovery (Shiota and Printz, 2012). However, the pharmacokinetics (PK) and toxicology studies in drug discovery are typically performed in the Sprague-Dawley (SD) rat.

Pharmacokinetics and metabolic differences between the original obese Zucker strain and SD rats have previously been reported. Phenobarbital PK were found to be different between obese Zucker and lean Zucker or SD rats (Brouwer et al., 1984), while altered expression and/or activity of hepatic drug metabolism enzymes cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2E1, CYP4A2, glutathione-S-transferase(s) (GST) and UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGT) were demonstrated in obese Zucker rats compared to their lean littermates (Litterst et al., 1980; Chaudhary et al., 1993; Enriquez et al., 1999). Recent work has shown that hepatic mRNA expression levels of Phase I and Phase II enzymes, including CYP3A1, CYP2C11, UGT1A1, UGT1A6, UGT2B1, GSTA2, and quinone reductase, as well as xenobiotic transporters Mrp2,
Mrp3 and Oatp2, were down-regulated in obese Zucker compared to SD rats (Kim et al., 2004). The altered expression of the UGT enzymes, coupled with decreased UGT activity measured in liver microsomes, was attributed as the cause of significant reduction in clearance of two peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) antagonists in vivo, which are primarily cleared via UGT-mediated acyl glucuronidation followed by biliary excretion of acyl glucuronide metabolite (Kim et al., 2004). Although diabetic phenotypes are well characterized in ZDF rats (Shiota and Printz, 2012), little is known about the expression and activity of hepatic drug metabolism enzymes or drug PK in this strain.

In the present study, the PK for the anti-diabetic drugs metformin (Sterne, 1959), rosiglitazone (Lehmann et al., 1995), glibenclamide (also known as glyburide, Bhattia et al., 1970), canagliflozin (Nomura et al., 2010) and troglitazone (Fujiwara et al., 1988), which represent different clearance mechanisms (renal excretion and hepatic metabolism) and metabolic pathways (CYP, UGT and sulfotransferase), were characterized in male ZDF and SD rats after a single dose of intravenous administration. Compound specific differences in plasma clearance between the two strains led to further characterization of the activities of hepatic metabolic enzymes CYP3A, UGTs and sulfotransferase(s) using probe substrates testosterone (Ning et al., 2012) and midazolam (Giroux et al., 2016), 4-methylumbelliferone (Shiratani et al., 2008), and 7-hydroxycoumarin (van den Berg et al., 2013) respectively in order to elucidate potential differences in the hepatic metabolism capability via these pathways. These findings should help in interpreting the comparison of PK and toxicology data gathered from SD rats with efficacy and pharmacology data performed in ZDF rats in drug discovery.
Materials and Methods

Chemicals and Reagents. Rosiglitazone free base (±)-5-[(4-[2-(methyl-2-pyridinylamino)ethoxy]phenyl)methyl]-2,4-thiazolidinedione), troglitazone free base (±)-5-[(3,4-dihydro-6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethyl-2H-1-benzopyran-2-yl)methoxy]phenyl)methyl]-2,4-thiazolidinedione), metformin hydrochloride (N,N-dimethylimidodicarbonimidic diamide hydrochloride), and glibenclamide free base (1-[[p-[2-(5-chloro-o-anisamido)ethyl]phenyl]-sulfonyl]-3-cyclohexylurea) (Fig. 1) were synthesized at Lilly Research Laboratories (Indianapolis, IN). Other chemical reagents were purchased commercially: canagliflozin ((1S)-1,5-anhydro-1-[3-[[5-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-thienyl]methyl]-4-methylphenyl]-D-glucitol, free base) (Selleck Chemicals, Houston, TX); midazolam, α-hydroxymidazolam, α-hydroxymidazolam-D₄, 6β-hydroxytestosterone, and 6β-hydroxytestosterone-D₃ (Cerilliant, Round Rock, TX); 7-Hydroxycoumarin sulfate and 7-hydroxycoumarin sulfate-D₅ (Toronto Research Chemicals Inc., Toronto, ON, Canada); testosterone, 4-methylumbelliferone (4-MU), 4-methylumbelliferyl-β-D-glucuronide (4-MUG), 7-hydroxycoumarin, alamethicin, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH), uridine 5'-diphospho-glucuronic acid (UDPGA) and adenosine 3'-phosphate 5'-phosphosulfate (PAPS) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Solvents (DMSO, methanol, acetonitrile) were of high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade (Fisher Scientific; Pittsburg, PA).

Animals. Age-matched male SD and ZDF rats were obtained from Charles River Laboratories Inc. (Wilmington, MA). Rats were 14-weeks old, weighing 300 to 450 g for both strains, with their femoral arteries and veins surgically cannulated. After delivery to Covance (Greenfield, IN), rats were acclimated for at least 3 days prior to study initiation. Access to food and water was allowed ad libitum. All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Covance.

In Vivo Pharmacokinetic Studies. Rosiglitazone, troglitazone, metformin hydrochloride, canagliflozin and glibenclamide were administered intravenously via a femoral vein, at 1 mg/kg (1 ml/kg) in 25 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8) containing 10% dimethylacetamide (v/v), 15% ethanol (v/v) and 30% propylene glycol (v/v). Serial blood samples were collected from the femoral artery at 0.08, 0.25, 0.5, 1,
2, 4, 8, 12 and 24 h post-dose and treated with K<sub>3</sub>-EDTA. Plasma was obtained by centrifugation at 1600 g for 10 min, and stored at -70°C until analysis by liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

**Determination of Pharmacokinetic Parameters.** Non-compartmental pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated using Watson version 7.4 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). Statistical significance of differences for pharmacokinetic parameters between two groups was examined with Student's t test using Sigmaplot 12.5 (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA).

**Metabolite Identification in Hepatocytes.** Hepatocyte incubations and metabolite profiling was conducted at Q2 Solutions, a Quintiles Quest Joint Venture (Indianapolis, IN). In short, hepatocytes from male SD rats and male human donors were obtained from BioreclamationIVT (Baltimore, MD). Incubations are performed in a CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37°C using a 24-well plate containing 250,000 cells/well. A stock solution of compound was added to medium to give a final incubation concentration of 10 μM. The incubations including the media and cells were quenched with an equal volume of acetonitrile after 2 h. Samples were centrifuged at 3500 g for 10 min and the supernatant was analyzed by use of liquid chromatography coupled to a diode array UV detection and mass spectrometry system consisting of the Acquity ultra performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) column, Synapt G2-S mass spectrometer and a UPLC photodiode array (PDA) detector (Waters, Milford, MA). The chromatographic separation of metabolites was achieved on a Waters 1.7-μm Acquity BEH C18 (100 × 2.1 mm) column maintained at 60°C. The mobile phase consisted of solvent A (10 mM ammonium bicarbonate in water) and solvent B (100% acetonitrile). The samples were eluted, at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min with a gradient for 5.5 min. The LC/MS full scan data was processed by Metabolyx (Waters, Milford, MA) to identify metabolites. Product ion spectra were acquired to confirm the metabolites. The mass spectrometer was operated in negative ion mode with an electrospray ionization source. The parameters for the chamber were: capillary 1.0 kV, sample cone 50 V, source temperature 120°C, desolvation 500°C and collision energy 25 V.

**Determination of Enzyme Activity in Liver S9.** Pooled S9 fraction from livers of 10 animals, 14- to 16-week-old male SD and ZDF rats, was obtained from Corning Gentest (Tewksbury, MA).
Reactions were conducted under air in a shaking water bath at 37°C. Substrates, buffer, and microsomes were premixed, and incubations were initiated by addition of the reaction specific cofactor. Final concentration of carrier solvent was less than 1%.

The probe substrate midazolam and testosterone for CYP3A, 4-MU for UGTs and 7-hydroxycoumarin for SULTs were used to assess the difference in the enzyme activity in S9 of the two strains. The rate for the formation of the metabolites \( \alpha \)-hydroxymidazolam, 6β-hydroxytestosterone, 4-MUG and 7-hydroxycoumarin sulfate, respectively, was determined. Assay mixtures for midazolam and testosterone contained 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 30 \( \mu \)M midazolam or 200 \( \mu \)M testosterone, and 0.5 mg/ml liver S9 protein. Reactions were preincubated for 3 min at 37°C prior to initiation by the addition of 1 mM NADPH and allowed to proceed for 5 min and 10 min for midazolam and testosterone respectively. Incubations of 4-MU contained 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4) supplemented with 10 mM MgCl\(_2\), 10 \( \mu \)g/mL alamethicin, 100 \( \mu \)M substrate, and 0.1 mg/ml S9 protein. After preincubation, reactions were initiated by addition of 5 mM UDPGA and allowed to proceed for 10 min. Assay for 7-hydroxycoumarin contained 100 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4), 25 \( \mu \)M substrate, and 0.4 mg/ml S9 protein. Cofactor PAPS (0.1 mM) was used to initiate the reactions that were carried out at 37°C for 10 min.

At the end of each incubation time period, reaction aliquots (50 \( \mu \)L for testosterone and midazolam; 10 \( \mu \)L for 4-MU and 7-hydroxycoumarin) were quenched into 100 \( \mu \)L or 190 \( \mu \)L, respectively, of 90:10 v/v (MeOH:H\(_2\)O) containing an internal standard for analysis (see Supplemental Table 1 for details). Plates were sealed with Easy Pierce 20-\( \mu \)m foil (Thermo Fisher Scientific; Waltham, MA), vortexed for 20 sec, and centrifuged (3500 g for 10 min) and analyzed by LC-MS/MS.

The intrinsic clearance for parent loss of four anti-diabetic drugs was determined in liver S9 fraction from SD and ZDF rats, for rosiglitazone, glibenclamide and canagliflozin in a NADPH-fortified system, canagliflozin and troglitazone in a UDPGA-fortified system, and troglitazone in a PAPS-fortified system, as described above for the incubation of probe substrate except that substrate concentration was at 0.1 \( \mu \)M with S9 protein at 0.5 mg/ml for all compounds except for troglitazone which was performed at
0.25 mg/ml. Aliquots (50 μL) were taken at 0, 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 min of incubation for all reaction combinations except for glibenclamide (0, 2.5, 5, 15, 30, 60, 120 min) and canagliflozin (0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120 min) with NADPH and quenched into 100 μL of 90:10 v/v (MeOH:H₂O) containing an internal standard for analysis (see Supplemental Table 1 for details). Plates were sealed, vortexed for 20 sec, centrifuged (3500 g for 10 min) and analyzed by LC-MS/MS using standard curves prepared under identical conditions.

All incubations were conducted on three separate protein aliquots and each reaction was performed in triplicates. Statistical significance of differences of the average of the separate protein aliquots (mean of replicate averages) between two strains was examined with unpaired two tail Student's t test.

Analytical Instrumentation and General Aspects of Bioanalysis. Study samples were analyzed by LC-MS/MS using either an AB Sciex API 6500 or AB Sciex 5500 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems/MDS; Foster City, CA) equipped with a TurbolonSpray interface. The pumps were Shimadzu LC-10AD units with a SCL-10A controller (Kyoto, Japan), and a CTC PAL liquid handler (Zwingen, Switzerland) was used as the autosampler. Chromatography was performed at ambient temperature. All compounds were chromatographically separated using a Betasil javelin C18 2x20-mm 5-μm HPLC column (Thermo Fisher Scientific; Waltham, MA) except for testosterone, 6β-hydroxytestosterone, midazolam, α-hydroxymidazolam, 7-hydroxycoumarin and 7-hydroxycoumarin sulfate, which were separated using an Ace UltraCore C18 30x2.1-mm 5-μm, HPLC column (Advanced Chromatography Technologies Ltd., Aberdeen, UK). Analytical details for instrument, aqueous mobile phase, organic mobile phase, MS/MS transition, collision energy, and internal standard are summarized in Supplemental Table 1.

Determination of Plasma and S9 Protein Binding. Rat plasma protein binding was determined in vitro using equilibrium dialysis, as described previously (Zamek-Gliszczynski et al., 2011). Rat liver S9 protein binding was determined in vitro following the same protocol and using 0.5 mg/ml liver S9 protein from SD and ZDF rats for the incubation for all compounds except troglitazone and 7-
hydroxycoumarin where protein concentrations of 0.25 and 0.4 mg/ml were used to mimic assay conditions. Statistical significance of differences between SD and ZDF was examined using a Student's $t$ test.
Results

Pharmacokinetics of five anti-diabetic drugs. Plasma clearance of the five anti-diabetic drugs, intravenously administered at 1 mg/kg, was compared between 14-week-old male SD and ZDF rats (Fig. 2). Body weights for male ZDF and SD rats were comparable, which is distinctive from obese Zucker rats that have been shown to have a body weight two times greater than SD rats at 14-weeks old (Kim et al., 2004). The plasma clearance obtained by dividing the dose by area under the concentration-time curve from time zero extrapolated to infinite (AUC_{inf}) from non-compartmental analysis indicated that elimination of rosiglitazone, canagliflozin and glibenclamide from plasma was much lower than rat hepatic blood flow (Q_h = 55 ml/min/kg) (Davies and Morris, 1993). Elimination of metformin and troglitazone was rapid in rats, via renal secretion and hepatic metabolism, respectively (Table 1). No difference was observed for clearance of metformin and rosiglitazone between ZDF and SD rats. However, canagliflozin, glibenclamide and troglitazone exhibited lower plasma clearance in ZDF than SD rats. The terminal half-life ($t_{1/2}$) was significantly longer for canagliflozin and glibenclamide, reflecting a reduction in elimination rate constant ($k_e$). While the $t_{1/2}$ was longer for troglitazone in ZDF rats compared to SD rats, this did not reach statistical significance. The volume of distribution at steady state ($V_{dss}$) for ZDF rats was significantly higher for glibenclamide, lower for canagliflozin, and unchanged for troglitazone compared to SD rats, representing the combined effects in alteration of $k_e$ and AUC from non-compartmental analysis (Table 1).

Identification of metabolites formed by rat and human hepatocytes. Metabolite profiles were determined for canagliflozin, glibenclamide, troglitazone and rosiglitazone, the four drugs mainly cleared by hepatic metabolism in rats and humans (Fig. 3; Table 2). A species difference was observed for canagliflozin regarding the abundance of metabolite formation. Metabolite A, the alcohol oxidation product was the predominant metabolite in rat, and also has been reported as a rodent-specific product (Mamidi et al., 2014), while the glucuronidation metabolite B was the most abundant in human (Fig. 3A; Table 2). Therefore, CL_{int} of canagliflozin in liver S9 fraction of SD and ZDF rats was assessed in both
NADPH- and UGPGA-fortified system. Multiple oxidation metabolites for glibenclamide, including an alkane hydroxylation metabolite A, cyclohexane hydroxylation metabolites B, C, D and E, and a N-dealkylation metabolite F were detected in both rat and human hepatocytes (Fig. 3B; Table 2), suggesting CYP-mediated oxidation as the major metabolic pathway for hepatic metabolism. For troglitazone, the sulfation metabolite B was identified as the most abundant metabolite formed from both rat and human hepatocytes. The oxidation metabolite A and glucuronidation metabolite C, as well as multiple secondary metabolites were identified as minor metabolites (Fig. 3C; Table 2). Finally, CYP-mediated metabolism represented the major metabolic pathways in hepatocytes for rosiglitazone, with N-desmethyl product B identified as the major metabolite in both rat and human hepatocytes, accompanied by formation of oxidation metabolites A and E, and other secondary metabolites (Fig. 3D; Table 2).

**In vitro activity in hepatic S9 using probe substrates.** The total yield of protein for S9 fraction extracted from pooled liver of 10 male rats at the age of 14- to 16-weeks was comparable between SD and ZDF rats (60 mg/gram for ZDF vs. 58 mg/gram for SD liver tissues respectively). The rate of formation of metabolites 6β-hydroxytestosterone and 1-hydroxymidazolam for CYP3A, 4-MU glucuronide (4-MUG) for UGT and 7-hydroxycoumarin sulfate for SULT was assessed and corrected for the unbound liver S9 fraction of each substrate (Fig. 4). The results demonstrated that the activity for CYP3A and UGT enzymes was decreased in ZDF rats (Fig. 4A-C), while the activity of SULT enzymes was comparable between two strains (Fig. 4D). Substrate concentration in the assays were higher than \( K_m \) values of the probe substrates, representing a condition of enzyme activity close to \( V_{\text{max}} \). This suggests that the differences in activity mainly reflect differences in the enzyme expression.

**Parent loss of diabetic drugs in hepatic S9.** The in vitro \( \text{CL}_{\text{int}} \) calculated through parent compound depletion was examined in the presence of 0.1 \( \mu \text{M} \) substrate, a concentration relevant to the unbound liver concentration after intravenous administration at 1 mg/kg. Incubations were conducted in a NADPH-, UDPGA- or PAPS-fortified system according to the metabolite profile obtained from rat hepatocytes. No difference in hepatic metabolism of rosiglitazone by CYP-mediated oxidation in S9 of
ZDF or SD rats was observed (Fig. 5A). This is consistent with the unaltered PK in vivo from the two strains. Unbound CL_{int} for glibenclamide was significantly lower in ZDF compared to SD rats in the presence of NADPH, also consistent with the lower clearance observed in vivo (Fig. 5B). Troglitazone depletion via both UGT glucuronidation and SULT sulfation were significantly lower in ZDF compared to SD rats (Fig. 5C-D). Canagliflozin loss via CYP (Fig. 5E) was significantly lower in ZDF than SD rats while loss through UGT glucuronidation was comparable between the two strains (Fig. 5F).

**In vitro plasma and liver S9 protein binding.** The unbound plasma fraction was below quantitative limit for troglitazone, rosiglitazone, canagliflozin and glibenclamide with current methods (data not shown); given the plasma protein binding is greater than 99% for the drugs examined. However, the unbound liver S9 fraction was within detection limits and was significantly higher in ZDF compared to SD rats for testosterone, midazolam, rosiglitazone, canagliflozin and troglitazone (Table 3).
Among the anti-diabetic drugs examined in the present study, rosiglitazone and metformin showed no difference in plasma clearance between the ZDF and SD rat strains. In the case of rosiglitazone, primarily metabolized in humans by hepatic CYP2C8 to form N-demethylation and hydroxylation metabolites (Baldwin et al., 1999; Cox et al., 2000), it is likely that the expression and/or activity of the CYP2C8 ortholog CYP2C22, a member of rat CYP2C family with homology to human CYP2C8 and CYP2C9 (Qian et al., 2010), is comparable between the two strains. However, in the case of metformin, which is mainly cleared through renal excretion mediated by kidney organic cation transporters (Graham et al., 2011), our findings are not as clear. Therefore, it remains to be determined what differences in expression and/or activity of renal transporters may exist between ZDF and SD rats, and the effects of impaired kidney function on the elimination of drugs, such as metformin, by passive diffusion and transporter-mediated secretion. The differences in PK for other drugs investigated in this study indicated a change in the hepatic metabolism of ZDF rats. Glibenclamide is primarily metabolized in the human liver via CYP-mediated oxidative pathways, with CYP3A4, CYP2C9 and CYP2C8 enzymes involved in the formation of multiple oxidative metabolites (Zharikova et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2010). In rat hepatocytes, the ethylene-hydroxylated glibenclamide (metabolite A) was the most abundant metabolite detected and is formed in human via CYP3A4 (Zharikova et al., 2009), suggesting that the CYP3A4 ortholog in rat is most likely responsible for the hepatic metabolism of glibenclamide. However, species differences in enzyme substrate specificity have been discovered between rat and human (Martignoni et al., 2006). For example, some human CYP3A phenotypical substrates (e.g., nifedipine) are not metabolized by rat CYP3A1 (Guengerich, 1997). Despite the potential difference, in our current study, the unbound in vitro CL\textsubscript{int} for glibenclamide in a NADPH-fortified system was significantly lower in ZDF compared to SD rats, consistent with decreased CYP3A activity towards the typical probe substrates testosterone and midazolam. Therefore, the difference in plasma clearance between the two strains can be explained by a diminished hepatic CYP3A ortholog metabolism in the ZDF rat. Conversely, the results for other compounds were not as direct. Species difference in metabolite
formation from canagliflozin was reported in the in vivo metabolite identification experiments. The UGT-mediated O-glucuronidation was the major clearance pathway in human, while in rats, oxidation and oxidation plus glucuronidation products were the predominant pathways with the alcohol oxidation of metabolite A being most abundant (Mamidi et al., 2014). In humans, UGT1A9 and UGT2B4 are responsible for the formation of the two O-glucuronidation metabolites, respectively (Francke et al., 2015), and CYP3A4 contributes to the formation of a minor hydroxylation metabolite (Mamidi et al., 2014), which was not detected as one of the major metabolites in human or rat hepatocytes in the present study. In the substrate depletion assay, no difference in UGT-mediated glucuronidation between the two strains was observed despite significantly lower UGT activity in ZDF compared to SD rats when using the UGT probe substrate 4-MU. It should be noted that 4-MU is a general UGT probe, and human UGT1A1, 1A3, 1A6, 1A7, 1A8, 1A9, 1A10, 2B7, 2B15 and 2B17 all exhibit activity towards this substrate (Uchaipichat et al., 2004). It is possible that the activity/expression of the rat orthologs responsible for 4-MUG formation are decreased in ZDF rats, while the orthologs for human UGT1A9 and UGT2B4 are not altered. Differences in the rate of glucuronidation between obese Zucker and SD or lean littermates have been found to be substrate dependent (Kim et al., 2004). Lower rates for glucuronidation of two PPAR agonists due to decreased expression of UGT1A1, 1A6 and 2B1, were observed in obese Zucker rats compared to SD rats (Kim et al., 2004), while rates of glucuronidation of 1-naphthol, estrone and morphine were comparable between obese Zucker rats and their lean littermates (Chaudhary et al., 1993). It remains to be determined if the decreased activity observed in the NADPH-fortified in vitro system can explain the lower plasma clearance in vivo for canagliflozin, given that both CYP and other enzymes such as alcohol dehydrogenase and aldehyde dehydrogenase can be involved in the alcohol oxidation to carboxylic acid. Lastly, troglitazone has been shown to be mainly cleared via SULT-mediated sulfation, UGT-mediated glucuronidation, and CYP3A4 mediated quinone formation in human (Loi et al., 1999; He et al., 2001), although the quinone metabolite was not detected in rat or human hepatocytes in our study. Lower in vitro unbound CL_int was detected in both the PAPS- and UDPGA-fortified assays for ZDF rats, consistent with decreased plasma clearance in vivo. Although the SULT
activity was not different between the two strains when using the probe substrate 7-hydroxycoumarin, a general SULT substrate, it is likely that decreased expression and/or activity of rat ortholog of human SULT1A1, the main enzyme for the metabolism of troglitazone (Honma et al., 2002), is responsible for the lower CL_int of troglitazone depletion in ZDF rats and subsequently lower in vivo clearance.

In addition to differences in hepatic metabolism, other factors could contribute to difference observed in the PK between ZDF and SD rats. Altered plasma protein binding has been reported for propranolol and diazepam that are bound to plasma α1-acid glycoprotein, and phenytoin that is bound to albumin (Benedek et al., 1985), but not for the two peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor agonists in obese Zucker rats (Kim et al., 2004). In the present study, all four hepatically-cleared drugs displayed very low free fraction (<0.01) that could not be readily quantified in SD rat plasma. However, the fraction unbound measured in liver S9 was greater in ZDF compared to SD rats for several probe substrates and anti-diabetic drugs. Since the recovery of S9 protein was comparable between the two strains, subcellular lipid content in liver S9 between the two strains may explain this difference. However, the mechanism for the decreased non-specific binding remains to be identified and is a topic of further study.

Diabetes has been shown to be accompanied with alterations in expression and activity of hepatic drug metabolism enzymes. Reduced activity of human UGT2B7 was observed in diabetic human liver for formation of acyl-glucuronide of mycophenolic acid, while activity of UGT1A1 and UGT1A9 for formation of phenol glucuronide metabolite was not altered (Dostalek et al., 2011a). A significant increase in hepatic CYP2E1 activity, assessed through chlorzoxazone disposition, was observed in obese type 2 diabetics and confirmed through increased protein levels through western blots and greater chlorzoxazone 6-hydroxylation activity in diabetic human liver microsomes (Wang et al., 2003). In contrast, a significant decrease in hepatic CYP3A4 enzymatic activity and protein level has been associated with diabetes (Dostalek et al., 2011b). Several culprits have been hypothesized to be responsible for the decreased CYP3A4 expression in diabetic liver. These include the effects of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Morgan et al., 1997), non-cytokine components, and oxidative stress (Dostalek et al., 2011b). Expression of CYP3A4 was found to be down-regulated by interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6
(Sunman et al., 2004), interferon-γ (INF-γ) (Donato et al., 1997) and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) (Donato et al., 1998) in vitro in human hepatocytes. Experimental and clinical studies also suggest that oxidative stress is involved in the pathogenesis of diabetes (Dostalek et al. 2011b). Thus, down-regulation of CYP in diabetics could be a survival mechanism of cells preventing the deleterious effects of increased formation of reactive oxygen/nitrogen species produced in the diseased state (Dostalek et al. 2011b). However, as the etiology and pathogenesis of diabetes is complex, it remains unclear whether the alteration in pathophysiology is the cause of or accompanies the down- and/or up-regulation of drug metabolizing enzymes. Furthermore, it remains to be determined what the consequences are on endogenous and xenobiotic metabolism when expression and activity of drug metabolizing enzymes are altered. Oxidative and metabolic stress have been confirmed through the induction of nitric oxide synthase, as well as the increased expression of CYP2E1 respectively in ZDF rats compared to their lean littermates (Raza et al., 2015). In the current study, the activity of CYP3A was lower in ZDF rat and is consistent with reports of decreased hepatic CYP3A4 activity in humans with diabetes (Dostalek et al., 2011b). The consistency in the phenotype of oxidative stress and alteration of expression and activity of drug metabolism enzymes suggests that ZDF rats can serve as a nonclinical model for investigating the changes in PK and hepatic metabolism in the diseased state in order to understand the connectivity of pathogenesis of diabesity and alteration in drug metabolism (Raza et al., 2015).

In conclusion, we demonstrate that metabolic capability for some of the drug metabolizing enzymes in the liver of ZDF rats is decreased compared to SD rats. The discrepancy should raise attention in interpreting PK/PD relationship in drug discovery when PK is conducted in SD and PD is performed in ZDF rats. Future work to understand the mechanism by which the changes in drug metabolism enzymes occur in the disease model will help elucidate the PK/PD relationship in the diseased population.
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Figure Legends

Fig.1. Chemical structures of anti-diabetic drugs in the present study.

Fig.2. Plasma concentration of anti-diabetic drugs in ZDF and SD rat after a single intravenous administration at 1 mg/kg. Data points represent mean ± SD (n=4). * P < 0.05 and ** P < 0.01 compared with concentration in SD rats.

Fig.3. Metabolite identification for anti-diabetic drugs in hepatocytes of SD rats. Reaction mixtures contained 250,000 hepatocytes per well and 10 µM of drug. Incubation and metabolite analysis was performed with a Waters LC/MS instrument as described in Materials and Methods.

Fig.4. Unbound rate of metabolism of midazolam (A), testosterone (B), 4-methylumbelliferone (4-MU) (C) and 7-hydroxycoumarin (D) by liver S9 from SD and ZDF rats. Formation of 6β-hydroxytestosterone, 1-hydroxymidazolam, 4-MU glucuronide and 7-hydroxycoumarin sulfate was determined as described in Materials and Methods. The values presented are mean ± S.D. (n = 3). Statistical analysis was conducted for unbound rate of metabolite formation, ** P<0.01 between the two strains.

Fig.5. Unbound CL_{int} of rosiglitazone (A), glibenclamide (B), troglitazone (C-D) and canagliflozin (E and F) in liver S9 from SD and ZDF rats. Rate for the parent loss was determined in NAPDH-, UDPGA- or PAPS- fortified system as described in Materials and Methods. The values presented are mean ± S.D. (n = 3). Statistical analysis was conducted for unbound CL_{int}, * P<0.05, ** P<0.01 between the two strains.
Table 1. Plasma pharmacokinetic parameters for anti-diabetic drugs in SD and ZDF rats.

Rats were given drugs at 1 mg/kg intravenously via femoral vein. Values were derived from plots shown in Fig. 2. Results shown represent mean ± standard deviation from 4 animals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Canagliflozin</th>
<th>Glibenclamide</th>
<th>Rosiglitazone</th>
<th>Metformin</th>
<th>Troglitazone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>ZDF</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>ZDF</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (µM*h)</td>
<td>5.4±0.6</td>
<td>8.6±1.6</td>
<td>5.1±1.5</td>
<td>10±1.5</td>
<td>40±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{inf} (µM*h)</td>
<td>5.8±0.7</td>
<td>9.7±1.8</td>
<td>5.3±1.6</td>
<td>24±6.3</td>
<td>40±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL (ml/min/kg)</td>
<td>6.5±0.8</td>
<td>4.0±0.8</td>
<td>6.8±1.6</td>
<td>1.5±0.5</td>
<td>40±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{dss} (l/kg)</td>
<td>3.4±0.3</td>
<td>2.4±0.3</td>
<td>2.4±0.2</td>
<td>3.6±0.5</td>
<td>40±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_{1/2} (h)</td>
<td>6.2±0.6</td>
<td>7.6±0.5</td>
<td>4.8±0.8</td>
<td>31±9</td>
<td>40±12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.01, **P<0.05 with Student’s t-test
Table 2. Identification of metabolites produced in rat and human hepatocytes.

Reaction mixtures contained 250,000 hepatocytes per incubation and 10 µM of drug. Metabolite analysis was performed with a Waters LC/MS instrument, as described under Materials and Methods. Tentative metabolite identification based on MS/MS fragmentation, m/z, retention time and species identified are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>Tentative Metabolite Identification</th>
<th>m/z</th>
<th>RT (min)</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canagliflozin</td>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>P + O – 2 H</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>P + glucuronide</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>P + O + sulfate</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>P + O + glucuronide</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glibenclamide</td>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>P + O</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>P + O</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>P + O</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>P + O</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>P + O</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>N-dealkylation</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troglitazone</td>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>RH,HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>P + O</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>P + sulfate</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>P + glucuronide</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>P + O + sulfate</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>P + O + sulfate</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>P + O + 2H + sulfate</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>P + O + O</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosiglitazone</td>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>P + O</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>N-desmethyl</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>N-desmethyl + O</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>P + O + glucuronide</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>P + O</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>RH, HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RT, retention time; RH, rat hepatocyte; HH, human hepatocyte.
Table 3. Fraction unbound of probe substrates and anti-diabetic drugs in liver S9 of ZDF and SD rats.

Incubation was conducted with 1 µM compound and liver S9 protein concentrations as described in Materials and Methods. Results shown represent mean ± standard deviation of six replicates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe substrate</th>
<th>Testosterone</th>
<th>Midazolam</th>
<th>4-MU</th>
<th>7-Hydroxycoumarin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>ZDF</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>ZDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction unbound</td>
<td>0.78±0.08</td>
<td>0.88±0.05</td>
<td>0.82±0.03</td>
<td>0.89±0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Rosiglitazone</th>
<th>Glibenclamide</th>
<th>Canagliflozin</th>
<th>Troglitazone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>ZDF</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>ZDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction unbound</td>
<td>0.81±0.01</td>
<td>0.89±0.04</td>
<td>0.73±0.01</td>
<td>0.77±0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.01, †P<0.05 in Student’s t test. ‡ no detectable binding
Fig. 2

A: Canagliflozin
- SD
- Zucker

B: Glibenclamide

C: Rosiglitazone

D: Metformin

E: Troglitazone

Plasma concentration (nM) vs. Time (hr) for different drugs.
Fig. 5

A. Rosiglitazone (CYP)

B. Glibenclamide (CYP)

C. Troglitazone (UGT)

D. Troglitazone (SULT)

E. Canagliflozin (CYP)

F. Canagliflozin (UGT)