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Multiple endogenous compounds have been proposed as candidate biomarkers to monitor organic anion transporting polypeptide (OATP) function in preclinical species or humans. Recently we have demonstrated that coproporphyrins (CPs) I and III are appropriate clinical markers to evaluate OATP inhibition and recapitulate clinical drug-drug interactions (DDIs). In the present studies, we investigated bile acids (BAs), dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS), hexadecanedioate (HDA), and tetradecanedioate (TDA) in plasma as endogenous probes for OATP inhibition and compared these candidate probes to CPs. All probes were determined in samples from a single study that examined their behavior and their association with rosuvastatin (RSV) pharmacokinetics after administration of an OATP inhibitor rifampin (RIF) in healthy subjects. Among endogenous probes examined, RIF significantly increased $C_{\text{max}}$ and $AUC_{(0-24h)}$ of fatty acids HDA and TDA by 2.2- to 3.2-fold. For the 13 bile acids in plasma examined, no statistically significant changes were detected between treatments. Changes in plasma DHEAS did not correlate with OATP1B inhibition by RIF. Based on the magnitude of effect sizes for the endogenous compounds that demonstrated significant changes from baseline over inter-individual variations, the overall rank order for the AUC change was found to be CP I > CP III > HDA ≈ TDA ≈ RSV >> BAs. Collectively, these results re-confirmed that CPs are novel biomarkers that are suitable for clinical use. In addition, HDA and TDA are useful for OATP functional assessment. Since these endogenous markers can be monitored in conjunction with pharmacokinetics analysis, the CPs and fatty acid dicarboxylates, either alone or in combination offer promise of earlier diagnosis and risk stratification for OATP-mediated DDIs.
INTRODUCTION

The current paradigm for the evaluation of the in vivo inhibition potential of a new chemical entity (NCE) for human OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 activities is through administration of exogenous substrate drugs such as RSV and atorvastatin. These drug-drug interaction (DDI) studies confer some risk to participants (Leung et al., 2012) and consume considerable resources and cost. Thus, development of a sensitive, specific endogenous biomarker to monitor OATP1B activity and assess DDI liability in vivo would be very beneficial to early drug development efforts. Use of endogenous biomarkers would obviate the need to administer a substrate drug and facilitate cost reduction as well as minimize DDI studies done in response to false positive predictions made using in vitro inhibition data. Moreover, the OATP inhibition liability of an investigational drug could be determined as early as first in human phase I clinical trials through monitoring endogenous biomarkers in conjunction with NCE pharmacokinetics analysis. Early OATP inhibition information would allow prioritization of clinical studies and optimization of phases II and III protocols by setting appropriate exclusion criteria for co-administered medicines. However, limited clinical investigations have been performed to validate endogenous compounds as biomarkers of OATP1B1 and OATP1B3.

The OATP1B subfamily is involved in the transport of a wide range of substrates, including many endogenous substances. For example, CPs I and III, by-products of heme synthesis, are substrates for cynomolgus monkey and human OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 in vitro, as established using transporter-overexpressing cell lines (Bednarczyk and Boiselle, 2015, Shen et al., 2016). OATP transporters also transport bile acids and bilirubin. Bile acids (BAs), synthesized from cholesterol, are a family of amphipathic acidic steroids and play an important role not only in fat digestion and intestinal absorption of hydrophobic compounds but also regulate various functions...
via alteration of protein expression through binding to nuclear and membrane receptors (Marin et al., 2015). Once synthesized by the hepatocytes, BAs are efficiently secreted into the bile and then absorbed from the intestine. BAs in the portal blood are avidly taken up by the hepatocytes and are re-secreted setting up a continuous cycle between the intestine and the liver, a process known as enterohepatic circulation (Kullak-Ublick et al., 2004, Klaassen and Aleksunes, 2010). Sodium taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide (NTCP) mediates the hepatic uptake of various BAs by Na⁺-dependent mechanisms, while OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 mediate the uptake of primary as well as secondary bile acids including TCA, CDCA, and TDCA by Na⁺-independent mechanisms (Cui et al., 2001, Briz et al., 2006, Maeda et al., 2006, Yamaguchi et al., 2006, Neyt et al., 2016). Chu and colleagues demonstrated a trend of weak increases in the plasma exposures of GCA, GCDCA, and GDCA by RIF in monkeys (Chu et al., 2015). Watanabe and colleagues found that DHEAS, a metabolite of steroid hormone dehydroepiandrosterone, is taken up into both human and monkey hepatocytes in a temperature-dependent and RIF-sensitive manner (Watanabe et al., 2015). DHEAS was increased in plasma followed by RIF treatment in cynomolgus monkeys. Although the magnitude of the fold-change in AUC of DHEAS was less than that of the statins used in the same monkey DDI study (1.1-fold vs. 2.3- to 3.4-fold and 1.9-fold vs. 10.2- to 13.8-fold at 2 and 10 mg/kg RIF, respectively), the changes in DHEAS concentration were expected to be higher in humans than in monkeys based on the results of in vitro hepatic uptake of DHEAS (Watanabe et al., 2015). More recently, Yee et al. have identified 12 metabolites, including HDA and TDA, that were significantly associated with reduced function OATP1B1 genetic variants and exhibited elevated plasma concentrations after administration of cyclosporin A (CsA) using data available from genome-wide association studies and clinical samples from a DDI study between pravastatin and CsA (Yee et al., 2016). In vitro experiments further confirmed HDA and TDA
were substrates for OATP1B1 as well as organic anion transporter (OAT) 1 and OAT3. However, the plasma metabolite levels were analyzed at a single time point of 30 minutes (min) after administration of CsA and pravastatin or pravastatin alone (Yee et al., 2016). Therefore, additional clinical studies are needed to better establish the sensitivity and the time-course of the change from basal levels of these probes in the presence of an OATP inhibitor.

Since multiple endogenous molecules hold promise to be sensitive and specific markers of OATP activity in both preclinical species and humans, it is of great interest to conduct comparative assessment on the endogenous probes within a single human OATP DDI study. Thus, we analyzed the plasma levels of BAs, DHEAS, HDA and TDA collected from a clinical DDI study between RIF and RSV using liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) and compared these values to CPs changes that are reported previously from the same study (Lai et al., 2016). In addition to OATP transport, further experiments were conducted in HEK cells expressing major renal drug transporters including OAT1-4, organic cation transporter (OCT) 2, multidrug and toxin extrusion protein (MATE) 1, and kidney-specific multidrug and toxin extrusion protein (MATE2K) for CPs I and III uptake to better define the transporter specificity of the probes. In order to evaluate whether there is substrate-dependent difference in the inhibition, a parallel set of \( IC_{50} \) values were determined against the transport of CPs I and III by OATP1B1, OATP1B3 and OATP2B1 in comparison with those against RSV.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Reagents. Dehydrocholic acid was purchased from MP Biomedicals (Solon, OH). Dehydroepiandrosterone 3-sulfate and dehydroepiandrosterone 3-sulfate-d_5 were purchased from Cerilliant (Round Rock, TX). Hexadecanedioic acid-d_28 and tetradecanedioic acid-d_24 were obtained from CDN Isotopes (Pointe-Claire, Quebec, Canada). Rosuvastatin, probenecid, pyrimethamine, quinidine, rifampicin, rosuvastatin-d_6, and coproporphyrin I-15N_4 (> 97% purity) were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals (North York, ON, Canada). Coproporphyrins I and III dihydrochloride (≥ 97% purity) were purchased from Frontier Scientific (Logan, UT). [3H]Para-aminohippuric acid (4.3 Ci/mmol) and [3H]estrone-3-sulfate (60.6 Ci/mmol) were purchased from PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences (Waltham, MA). [3H]Penciclovir (1.1 Ci/mmol) and [14C]metformin (89.1 mCi/mmol) were purchased from Moravek Biochemicals (Brea, CA). All other chemicals were of the highest purity available and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Common human plasma and the plasma stripped three or four times with charcoal were purchased from Bioreclamation IVT (Westbury, NY). All cell culture media and reagents were obtained from Corning (Manassas, VA) or Life Technologies Corporation (Carlsbad, CA). Sources of other materials are stated in individual method sections.

Clinical Samples from Drug Interaction Study between RIF and RSV in Healthy Subjects. Plasma samples were collected from a pharmacokinetic interaction study between RIF and RSV that has been reported previously (Lai et al., 2016). Briefly, twelve males, 18-45 years and with body mass index of 18.5–25.0 kg/m², participated in this open-labeled, 3-period study. Each subject had a normal medical history, physical examination, and standard laboratory tests. Each subject received 600 mg of RIF alone (period 1), 5 mg of RSV alone (period 2), and 5 mg of RSV after pretreatment with 600 mg RIF (period 3). An interval of 1 week elapsed between studies.
Subjects fasted the night before and until at least 4 h after administration of the drugs. RIF and RSV were administered orally with 240 ml of water. Blood samples (3 ml) to determine the drug concentration were obtained at predose and at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 24 hours postdose. The plasma samples were prepared from the blood samples and were separated into two aliquots (Lai et al., 2016). The plasma samples from 8 out of 12 subjects who signed informed consent form and voluntarily confirmed their willingness for additional assessments are used to measure levels of BAs, DHEAS, HDA, and TDA.

**Quantification of BAs in Plasma by LC-MS/MS.** The bioanalytical analysis of BAs was performed as described previously (Zheng et al., 2016). Seven deuterium-labeled BAs including cholic acid-d₄ (CA-d₄), deoxycholic acid-d₄ (DCA-d₄), lithocholic acid-d₄ (LCA-d₄), glycocholic acid-d₄ (GCA-d₄), taurochenodeoxycholic acid-d₅ (TCDCA-d₅), and taurocholic acid-d₅ (TCA-d₅), were used to generate calibration curve standards (Supplemental Table 1). Individual 1.0 mM stocks were prepared by dissolving the appropriate amount of each standard in DMSO. A 20,000 nM plasma stock was made by pipetting 20 µL of each of these seven 1 mM standards into a 2.0 mL microcentrifuge tube (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany) containing 860 µL of blank human plasma and vortexed. This pooled deuterium-labeled BAs sample was serial diluted 1:1 with blank plasma to create 12 calibration standards covering a range from 4.9 nM to 20,000 nM. The internal standard (IS) solution was 2,000 nM dehydrocholic acid in acetonitrile.

Frozen samples were thawed at room temperature for 1 h. A Strata Impact 96-well Protein precipitation plate (Phenomenex; Torrance, CA) was placed atop a 96-well collection plate (Eppendorf AG; Hamburg, Germany). A volume of 150 µL IS solution and 40 µL water was added
to each well. Then, 100 µL of plasma samples, calibration curve standards, quality control standards, or blanks were added to the corresponding wells. The plate combination was vortexed for 2 min at a speed of 1,000 rpm using a DVX-2500 MultiTube Vortexer (VWR; Radnor, PA) in order to mix the samples and to initiate protein precipitation. The plate combination was centrifuged at a speed of 900 x g for 5 min at 4°C (Eppendorf 5810R refrigerated centrifuge) to pull the supernatant through the filter into the collection plate. The filter plate was discarded, and 200 µL of water was added to each well, which improved the chromatographic separation. The collection plate was capped, vortexed for 2 min at a speed of 1,000 rpm using the DVX-2500 MultiTube Vortexer, and submitted to LC-MS/MS analysis.

The LC-MS/MS system consisted of an Acquity I-Class UPLC (Waters; Milford, MA) interfaced with a API6500 triple quadrupole MS (AB Sciex; Toronto, ON, Canada). The LC and MS conditions were optimized, using commercially available standards of common and deuterium-labeled BAs, for the simultaneous and unambiguous but also timely analysis of 24 analytes with 2 occasions where 2 analytes co-elute (i.e., UDCA/HDCA and THDCA/TUDCA). The samples were kept at 10°C in the autosampler and a 10 µL volume was injected onto an Acquity BEH C18 column (2.1 x 50 mm, 1.7 um, Waters) at a temperature of 60°C with a flow rate of 0.7 mL/min. The aqueous mobile phase A consisted of water with 0.1% formic acid and the organic mobile phase B consisted of acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid. The mobile phase was held at 10% B for 0.3 min., a linear gradient was executed to 50% B at 1.9 min., then 50% B was held to 2.1 min., followed by another linear gradient to 90% B at 3.2 min, then 90% B was held to 3.5 min followed by a rapid return to 10% B at 3.6 min., which was followed by 0.4 min. of re-equilibration at initial conditions for a total run time of 4 min.
The following source conditions were used for the mass spectrometer operation in electrospray negative ion mode: quadrupoles Q1 and Q3 at unit resolution, entrance potential -5 volts, collision cell exit potential -10 volts, curtain gas 35 units, collision gas 9 units, ion spray voltage -4,500 volts, temperature 600°C, ion source gas 1 of 50 units, and ion source gas 2 of 60 units. As shown in Supplemental Table 1, 24 precursor-to-product ion selected reaction monitoring (SRM) transitions were monitored using tandem MS/MS detection with a dwell time of 10 ms. The grouping of structurally similar BAs is depicted in Supplemental Table 1, which are assessed against the same suitable deuterium-labeled BA. The data was acquired and analyzed using Analyst Instrument Control and Data Processing Software v. 1.6.2 (AB Sciex).

**Quantification of DHEAS in Plasma by LC-MS/MS.** Human plasma stripped three times with charcoal was used to create 8 calibration standards covering a range from 68 nM to 50,000 nM. Plasma samples were extracted using organic precipitation. Specifically, 75 µL of acetonitrile containing 2,000 nM DHEAS-d5 was added to 25 µL plasma samples or calibration standards; followed by rigorous mixing on a mixer for 5 min at room temperature. The mixture was centrifuged at 4°C for 10 min into a clean 96-well receiving plate containing 50 µL of water.

The LC-MS/MS analysis was performed on a Triple Quad 6500 (AB Sciex; Concord, ON, Canada) coupled with a Shimadzu SCL 30AD Nexera liquid chromatography system, comprising of two LC-30AD pumps and a Shimadzu SIL-30AC autosampler (Shimadzu; Kyoto, Japan). The chromatographic separation was performed on an Atlantis dC18 column (2.1 x 50 mm, 3 µm) from Waters (Milford, MA). Mobile phase A was 10 mM ammonium formate with 2% acetonitrile and mobile phase B was acetonitrile. A linear gradient was used to achieve chromatographic separation with the following linear gradients: 0.01 min, 10% B; 2.5 min, 75% B; 2.6 min, 90% B; 3.0 min,
90% B; 4.5 min, 10% B. A sample volume of 5 µL was injected onto the LC column at a flow rate of 0.4 ml/min. The analyte was monitored using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) in negative ion electrospray mode. The turbospray voltage was set at 4,500 volts and turbo probe temperature was set at 450°C; and declustering potential and collision energy were optimized to be 140 volts and 36 eV. Quantitation were performed using the transitions of m/z 367.1 → 97.0 and 72.1 → 98.0 for DHEAS and DHEAS-d5, respectively. The system control and data processing were performed on the Analyst v1.5.1 software.

Quantification of HDA and TDA in Plasma by LC-MS/MS. Human plasma stripped four times with charcoal was used to create 7 reference standards covering a range from 4.1 nM to 1,000 nM. Plasma samples were extracted using protein precipitation. Specifically, 75 µL of methanol containing 200 nM HDA-d28 and TDA-d24 was added to 25 µL plasma samples or calibration standards; followed by rigorous mixing on a mixer for 5 min at room temperature. The mixture was centrifuged at 4°C for 10 min into a clean 96-well receiving plate containing 50 µL of water and subject to LC-MS/MS analysis in a Qtrap 6500 mass spectrometer as described above. Chromatography was carried out with a Waters Atlantis dC18 column (2.1 x 50 mm, 3 µm) (Milford, MA) employing mobile phase A (10 mM ammonium formate with 2% acetonitrile) and mobile phase B (acetonitrile) at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min. The gradient program was set as follows: mobile phase B set at 10% (0 min to 0.02 min), increase mobile phase B linearly from 10% to 60% (0.02 min to 3 min), increase mobile phase B linearly from 60% to 90% (3 min to 3.2 min), maintain 90% mobile phase B (3.2 to 3.8 min), then decrease mobile phase B from 90% to 10%. The mass spectrometer was operated with negative electrospray ionization and multiple reaction monitoring using the transitions of the protonated forms of HDA (m/z 285.1 → 223.1), HDA-d28 (m/z 313.2 → 250.2), TDA (m/z 257.2 → 239.0), and TDA-d24 (m/z 281.2 → 262.1).
Optimized parameters were as follows: source temperature 500 °C and ion spray voltage 4,500 volts. Declustering potential were 95, 85, 60 and 80 volts for HDA, HDA-d28, TDA and TDA-d24, respectively. Collision energies were 36, 34, 24 and 28 eV for HDA, HDA-d28, TDA and TDA-d24, respectively and collision cell exit potential 9, 15, 13 and 15 volts for HDA, HDA-d28, TDA and TDA-d24, respectively.

**Uptake Studies in Transporter-Expressing Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK) 293 Cells.** Uptake of CPs and radio-labeled control substrates was measured using a protocol described previously (Shen et al., 2015, Shen et al., 2016). Briefly, cells were seeded in poly-D-lysine-coated 24-well plates at a density of 500,000 cells per well and grown for 2 to 3 days in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids, 2 mM L-glutamate, and 100 µg/mL hygromycin. Medium was aspirated and cells were rinsed twice with pre-warmed Hanks' balanced salt solution (HBSS). Uptake was initiated by the addition of pre-warmed transport buffer (HBSS with 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 for stably transfected OAT1-, OAT2-, OAT3-, OAT4-, and OCT2-HEK cells and pH 8.4 for MATE1- and MATE2K-HEK cells) containing CPs or radiolabeled probe substrate (1 or 2 µM). After 5-min incubation, uptake was stopped by quick aspiration of the transport buffer followed by extensive washing with ice-cold HBSS. After drying the plate, cells were lysed with 0.1% Triton-X 100 or acetonitrile. Aliquots of cell lysate were used for radioactivity counting or LC-MS/MS measurement in addition to protein concentration analysis as described previously (Lai et al., 2016). Radioactivity was determined using a Tri-Carb 3100TR liquid scintillation counter (PerkinElmer Life Sciences; Boston, MA).
**Data Analysis for In Vivo Studies.** Data are expressed in mean ± standard deviation (SD). Area under plasma concentration-time curve from time 0 to 24 h \([AUC_{(0-24h)}]\) for BAs, DHEAS, HDA, and TDA, following single oral administration of RIF, RSV with or without co-administration of RIF were analyzed with a mixed log-linear trapezoidal model using Kinetica program (Thermo Electron; Philadelphia, PA). The 90% confidence intervals (CIs) for the differences in \(AUC_{(0-24h)}\) between treatments on the natural log scale were exponentiated to obtain estimates for ratios of geometric means on the original scale.

To evaluate the effects of RIF treatment on plasma concentrations of BAs, DHEAS, HDA, TDA, CPs I and III, a linear mixed-effects repeated measurements ANOVA model was applied on the log biomarker concentrations using SAS PROC MIXED procedure version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The model included treatment, time and treatment-by-time (Treatment*Time) interaction terms as fixed effects, and subject as a random effect for describing the subject-to-subject variability in the data. Using the repeated measurements model, the changes in mean concentration profiles of the groups over the time are evaluated and compared by accounting for the between-subject variance and within-subject variance and covariance over the time.

In order to compare and rank the sensitivities across different endogenous biomarkers, standardized effect size \(\theta\) of RIF and RIF plus RSV treatment groups compared to RSV group on the \(AUC_{(0-24h)}\) was obtained as a measure of strength of the different biomarkers in terms of the relative magnitude of treatment effects from the repeated measurements model analysis. Specifically, the standardized effect size \(\theta\) is computed as follows:

\[
\theta = \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\sigma}
\] (1)
where $\mu_1$ is the mean of RIF or RIF plus RSV treatment group, $\mu_2$ is the mean of RSV treatment, and $\sigma$ is a standard error of the mean difference.

**Data Analysis for In Vitro Experiments.** The in vitro uptake experiments were repeated in at least two independent experiments. Result shown are the mean ± SD of triplicates run in one experiment. The calculated amounts of compound taken up by HEK cells during 5-min incubation in the absence and presence of transporter inhibitor were graphed with mean data. Two-sided unpaired Student’s $t$ test was performed to assess whether the uptake by transporter-expressing cells is significantly different from that by mock cells, and a $p$-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant (GraphPad Software; San Diego, CA).
RESULTS

Effects of RIF Administration on Plasma BA Levels.

Figure 1 shows the arithmetic means ± SD of plasma concentrations of 13 bile acids for the whole study period, which include deoxycholic acid (DCA), cholic acid (CA), chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA), glycocholic acid (GCA), glycodeoxycholic acid (GDCA), glycochenodeoxycholic acid (GCDCA), glycoursodeoxycholic acid (GUDCA), lithocholic acid (LCA), taurocholic acid (TCA), taurodeoxycholic acid (TDCA), taurochenodeoxycholic acid (TCDCA), taurohyodeoxycholic acid/tauroursodeoxycholic acid (THDCA/TUDCA), and ursodeoxycholic acid hyodeoxycholic acid (UDCA/HDCA). Supplemental Table 2 shows the arithmetic means ± SD of plasma bile acid concentrations during the 3 periods. Administration of a single dose of 5 mg RSV did not significantly alter plasma DCA, CA, CDCA, LCA and UDCA/HDCA concentrations compared to pre-dose baseline (Supplemental Table 2). In contrast, the levels of 4 glycine-conjugated bile acids (GCA, GDCA, GCDCA, and GUDCA) and 4 taurine-conjugated bile acids (TCA, TDCA, TCDCA, and THDCA/TUDCA) were increased from 4 to 12 h after administration of RSV alone as compared with the pre-dose levels. Remarkable increases in the plasma levels of the 8 BAs were detected at a time approximating the time of the first post-dose meal (Figures 1D-G and I-L; Supplemental Table 2).

Plasma bile acid concentrations did not show statistically significant increase in RIF or RIF plus RSV group compared to RSV group when analyzed using the repeated measurement model (p value > 0.05) even through GDCA and TDCA $AUC_{(0-24h)}$ geometric mean ratio increased 1.9- to 7.4-fold (Figure 1F and J; Table 1). There were modest changes in DCA, CA, GCA, GUDCA, GCDCA, TCA and TCDCA concentrations after administration of RIF or RIF plus RSV (Figures 1A, B, D, F, I, and K). There were no changes in CDCA, LCA, THDCA/TUDCA and...
Effects of RIF Administration on Plasma DHEAS Levels.

Figure 2 displays the arithmetic means ± SD of plasma concentrations of DHEAS for the entire study period, DHEAS values were comparable and not statistically different among RIF, RSV, and RIF plus RSV periods, indicating no major effect of RIF on DHEAS (Figure 2) (Table 1 and Supplemental Table 3).

Effects of RIF Administration on Plasma HDA and TDA Levels.

Results comparing systemic exposures of HDA and TDA are shown in Figure 3, Table 1, and Supplemental Table 4. After administration of RIF alone or RIF plus RSV, plasma HDA and TDA increased approximately 2.2- to 3.2-fold higher than RSV period \( AUC_{(0-24h)} \) ratios of 2.2 (1.8, 2.6) and 2.5 (2.1, 3.0), and 2.8 (2.2, 3.5) and 3.2 (2.4, 4.2) for HDA and TDA, respectively (Table 1). The increases were statistically significant \( (p < 0.05) \) (Figure 3). The magnitude of changes in HDA and TDA \( AUC_{(0-24h)} \) were slightly less than that of RSV (2.2- to 3.2-fold versus 4.8-fold).

To compare the effects of RIF on various biomarkers with respect to change in \( AUC_{(0-24h)} \), the relative effect size values to RSV (\( \theta \)) were computed. As shown in Figure 4, the overall ranking of sensitivity of endogenous biomarkers following RIF treatment are CP I > CP III > HDA ≈ TDA ≈ RSV >> BAs.

Involvement of Renal Transporters in CPs I and III Transport. Previously we demonstrated that CPs I and III are substrates of OATP1B1 and OATP1B3, respectively (Shen et al., 2016). To further assess substrate specificity of CPs I and III, in vitro substrate profiling of CPs I and III for renal transporters, including OAT1, OAT2, OAT3, OAT4, OCT2, MATE1 and
MATE2K, using transporter-overexpressing cells was performed. Uptake of 1 µM CPs I and III by OAT1-, OAT2-, OAT3-, or OAT4-HEK cells was not statistically significantly different from that by Mock-HEK cells (Supplemental Figure 1). Similarly, the uptake of CPs I and III by the cells stably expressing OCT2, MATE1 and MATE2K was not significantly different from MOCK-HEK cells (Supplemental Figure 2). In contrast, cells expressing individual transporter consistently demonstrated significantly higher uptake of radiolabeled probe substrate compared with Mock-HEK cells. In addition, complete inhibition of the uptake of probe substrates by typical transporter inhibitors was observed (Probenecid for OATs, and pyrimethamine and quinidine for OCT2 and MATEs, respectively). These results clearly suggest that CPs I and III are not substrates of renal transporters OAT1, OAT2, OAT3, OAT4, OCT2, MATE1, and MATE2K.

**Inhibitory Effects of RIF on Transport of CPs I and III by Hepatic OATP Transporters.** To assess the potential effect of substrate difference on the inhibitory interaction of RIF with hepatic OATP transporters, a parallel set of \( IC_{50} \) values were generated against transport of CPs I and III in comparison with those against RSV reported previously by us and others. CPs I and III concentrations selected (0.2 µM) were based on a balance of low Michaelis constant values and sensitivity limits of the analytical assays. Figures 5 shows that increasing concentrations of RIF inhibited OATP1B1-mediated transport of both CPs I and III and the \( IC_{50} \) values did not differ significantly (0.66 ± 0.11 and 0.79 ± 0.16 µM, respectively). They were also not different from the \( IC_{50} \) for uptake of RSV (Table 2) (Shen et al., 2013, Prueksaritanont et al., 2014, Izumi et al., 2015). We further investigated the relationship between the \( IC_{50} \) values for the inhibition of OATP1B3- and OATP2B1-mediated CP transport and the \( IC_{50} \) values for the inhibition of OATP1B3- and OATP2B1-mediated RSV transport reported in literature. Again, RIF showed comparable \( IC_{50} \) values between substrates for OATP1B1 and OATP2B1 inhibition, and
less than 2-fold difference was generally observed (Figure 6 and 7; Table 2). It is worth noting that CP I uptake by OATP2B1-HEK cells was not significantly different from that by mock-HEK cells whereas there was significant uptake of CP III by OATP2B1-HEK cells compared with Mock-HEK cells and co-incubation with RIF diminished the uptake of CP III to the control level (Figure 7). This indicates that CP III but not CP I is a substrate for OATP2B1.
DISCUSSION

Prediction of OATP-mediated drug-drug interactions present an inherent challenge given the difficulty in quantitative in vitro to in vivo extrapolation primarily due to challenges in determining the effective concentration of an NCE available at the site of OATP inhibition. As a result, endogenous substrates are attractive indicators reflecting interactions of an NCE with OATP in vivo. Importantly, the selection of an endogenous substrate as a transporter biomarker requires that not only the marker is sensitive to the drug interaction, but also that the marker is specific to the transporter of interest to exclude the potential for additional interactions with other transporters. In addition, the association of markers with circadian rhythm, diet and disease or organ injury should be carefully considered. OATPs (1B1 and 1B3) are involved in the transport of many endogenous compounds such as bile acids, hormone metabolites, bilirubin and fatty acids. To date, of the potentially useful OATP biomarkers identified in vitro, only CPs I and III have been shown to be useful for an early assessment of in vivo inhibition properties of a drug candidate (Lai et al., 2016). In addition to the CPs, several other endogenous substrates of OATP have been proposed as potential markers reflecting OATP function based on the in vivo preclinical results or human pharmacogenetics analysis (Chu et al., 2015, Shimada et al., 2015a, Shimada et al., 2015b, Takahashi et al., 2015, Yee et al., 2016). To assess the performance of these endogenous substrates as biomarkers for OATP inhibition, we further measured the other less well characterized candidate markers, i.e., plasma BAs, DHEAS, HDA and TDA in human samples, and compared them with previously determined changes of CPs and RSV when RIF is co-administered (Lai et al., 2016).
When studying BAs as probes to reflect possible alterations in OATP activity at the therapeutic RIF dose of 600 mg, no statistically significant increases in plasma concentrations of BAs were observed even though some of them, such as GDCA, TDCA, DCA, GCA and TCA, exhibited increased $AUC_{(0-24h)}$ ratio by RIF (Figure 1). This may be attributed to variation in plasma BA levels that are sensitive to the ingestion of meals (Ponz De Leon et al., 1978, Everson, 1987). In addition, the maximal postprandial increase of serum BA in patients with hepatic diseases has been found to be higher than healthy subjects, and the duration of serum BA elevation was significantly prolonged (Fausa, 1976, Engelking et al., 1980). Such large fluctuation in plasma/serum concentrations induced by diet and disease could certainly complicate the interpretation of results for BAs in a DDI study. Indeed, despite similar AUC changes between GDCA or TDCA and RSV (1.9- to 7.4-fold versus 4.8-fold) (Table 1), plasma GDCA and TDCA demonstrated a high degree of variation in the RSV period (control period) (Figure 1). Furthermore, besides OATP1B, NTCP plays an important role in bile acid uptake from portal blood into the liver (Meier and Stieger, 2002). It has been reported that RIF is a more potent inhibitor of OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 than NTCP ($IC_{50}$ values of 0.2 to 1.1 µM versus 277 µM) (Prueksaritanont et al., 2014, Chu et al., 2015). Although it is statin probes that were used in those OATP inhibition assessments and substrate-dependent OATP inhibition might existed (Izumi et al., 2013), the results suggested that GDCA and TDCA are likely transported by OATP1B rather than NTCP. However, as these bile acids are “dual” substrates for both NTCP and OATP1B, it would be difficult to tease out the role of OATP1B from that of NTCP in BA uptake inhibition if an NCE is the dual inhibitor. In addition, other intestinal and hepatic transporters, including apical sodium dependent bile acid transporter, organic solute transporters, ATP-dependent bile salt excretory pump (BSEP) and multi drug resistant proteins play a critical role in maintaining
enterohepatic circulation and bile acid homeostasis (Alrefai and Gill, 2007, Dawson et al., 2009). Inhibition of any of those transporters such as BSEP may also increase plasma BA concentrations. Taken together, our findings suggest that bile acids including GCDA and TCDA are unlikely to be optimal indicators for OATP inhibition. However, although sulfated bile acids comprised only 1% of the plasma bile acid pool, it has been reported very recently that a robust RIF dose response, up to 50-fold increase in plasma AUC, was observed for the sulfates of five bile acids (GDCA-S, GCDCA-S, TCDCA-S, DCA-S, and TDCA-S) in cynomolgus monkeys (Thakare et al., 2017). It is envisioned that these probes will be increasingly studied as human OATP substrates and compared to other biomarkers.

Healthy Subjects treated with 600 mg RIF demonstrated no change in plasma DHEAS concentration compared to RSV treatment (Figure 2 and Table 1). This is in contrast to earlier in vitro and in vivo findings in monkeys (Kullak-Ublick et al., 2001, Koenen et al., 2012, Watanabe et al., 2015). The time- and temperature-dependent uptake of DHEAS in human and monkey hepatocytes were observed. RIF significantly reduced the uptake, suggesting that OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 play an important role in DHEAS uptake (Watanabe et al., 2015). Plasma DHEAS level was significantly increased by 2 and 10 mg/kg RIF although it was to a lower extent than RSV increase in cynomolgus monkeys. Species differences in synthesis, disposition and elimination of DHEAS may partly explain the discrepancy. For example, the synthesis and secretion rate of DHEA and DHEAS from the zona reticularis in humans is higher than animal models used in the laboratory, including guinea pigs, mice, and rats (Labrie et al., 2005).

Another finding of the present study is the correlation of RIF-induced increases in plasma concentrations between HDA and TDA with RSV in healthy subjects \([AUC_{(0-24h)}]\) ratios of 2.2- to
3.2-fold versus 4.8-fold] (Figure 3 and Table 1), suggesting that the endogenous HDA and TDA could be used as markers for OATP inhibition in clinical investigation. Our results confirm an earlier study reporting significantly higher correlations between HDA and TDA with OATP1B1 genetic variants in genome wide association studies and significant difference in plasma HDA and TDA after co-administration of CsA versus pravastatin alone (Yee et al., 2016). However, while Yee and colleagues compared plasma concentrations at a single time point (30 min) after the drug was administered and found a marginal increase of both plasma HDA and TDA, the current analysis showed changes in plasma concentrations of HDA and TDA over the entire time course of RIF exposure (Figure 3). Notably, plasma HDA and TDA concentrations remained relatively stable between baseline and RSV treatment, suggesting lack of apparent diet effect and circadian disruption in healthy subjects, which is similar to CPs I and III (Lai et al., 2016). The results suggested that HDA and TDA in plasma can likely be markers to reflect OATP inhibition and its associated DDI. However, caution should also be raised, as HDA and TDA were found to be associated with drug metabolizing enzyme CYP4A11 and renal transporters OAT1 and OAT3, reflecting complexity of HDA and TDA disposition and potential difficulty in using them as OATP-specific endogenous biomarkers, in particular when a perpetrator drug inhibits multiple transporters (Yee et al., 2016). It is also worth noting that, although the functions of HDA and TDA are not fully understood, the serum concentrations of HDA, TDA and other dicarboxylic acids are increased in patients with diseases such as Reye's syndrome caused by an insult to fatty acid metabolism and (or) stimulation of omega-oxidation (Tonsgard, 1986, Tonsgard et al., 1988).

Further comparative analysis was conducted for the endogenous probes that show promise as biomarkers of OATP activity, using a standardized effect size approach on the overall exposure AUC\(_{(0-24h)}\). The overall effect sizes were ranked as follows: CP I > CP III > HDA \(\approx\) TDA \(\approx\) RSV.
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>> BAs (Figure 4), re-confirming that CPs I and III are the most sensitive indicators among the endogenous compounds examined. Although fold changes in plasma $AUC_{(0-24h)}$ of some bile acids such as TDCA and GDCA with RIF treatments were comparable to the changes in CPs, HDA and TDA, the effect size of RIF on the bile acids was lower than other markers due to the high variations over time, suggesting bile acids are unlikely to be as robust as the other endogenous biomarkers of OATP activity. In contrast, the relatively low inter- and intra-individual variability in basal plasma CPs I and III levels makes them especially attractive (Lai et al., 2016). Since CP III is actively secreted in the urine in monkeys and humans (Lai et al., 2016, Shen et al., 2016), we further examined involvement of renal transporter(s) in CP elimination and showed that CPs I and III are not substrates for known major renal drug transporters including OAT1-4, OCT2, MATE1, and MATE2K (Figures 5 and 6). In addition, the appropriateness of CPs I and III as markers of OATP activity is supported by lack of substrate-dependent inhibition of CP and RSV transport. OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 displayed comparable affinity for CP I, CP III, and RSV (Figure 5, 6 and 7; Table 2) (Shen et al., 2013, Prueksaritanont et al., 2014, Chu et al., 2015, Izumi et al., 2015). Moreover, the $IC_{50}$ values of RIF for the inhibition of OATP2B1-mediated CP III and RSV transport differed by less than 2-fold although RIF was only a weak inhibitor of OATP2B1 (Shen et al., 2013, Prueksaritanont et al., 2014).

In conclusion, following a rigorous comparative analysis, we established that CPs I and III in plasma are the most sensitive biomarkers reflecting OATP inhibition, and provided the largest effect size in responding to the RIF treatment. In addition, we have shown that HDA and TDA, but not BAs and DHEAS, can also serve as sensitive endogenous biomarkers of OATP activity. Incorporating biomarker measurement into drug pharmacokinetics analysis during early drug development, either using one of those biomarkers alone or as a panel should be beneficial to avoid
expensive clinical trials and lessen the risk of late stage failures due to severe DDIs. Further studies are needed to understand the differential responses of these biomarkers to various inhibitors beyond RIF and the effects of polymorphisms in OATP proteins and various disease states including hepatic insufficiency. Additionally, although each of the markers appears to provide a robust response to OATP inhibition, a panel of endogenous compounds including CP I, CP III, HDA and TDA may be the method of choice to ensure detection of changes in OATP activity as individual markers may have differential sensitivities to other covariates such as intestinal and renal transporters, and enzyme activities. The findings from the current and previous studies could form the basis for clinical screening of OATP inhibition potential of a drug candidate with the potential to avoid dedicated drug interaction studies with a probe drug.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Bile acid plasma concentrations (mean ± SD; n = 7-8) during RIF (open squares), RSV (solid circles), and co-administration of RIF and RSV (open triangles) periods.

Figure 2. DHEAS plasma concentrations (mean ± SD; n = 7-8) during RIF (open squares), RSV (solid circles), and co-administration of RIF and RSV (open triangles) periods.

Figure 3. HDA and TDA plasma concentrations (mean ± SD; n = 7-8) during RIF (open squares), RSV (solid circles), and co-administration of RIF and RSV (open triangles) periods.

Figure 4. Comparison of sensitivities of various endogenous biomarkers of OATP activity in the treatments with RIF (A) or RIF plus RSV (B) in 8 human subjects. The biomarkers were ranked by comparing \( AUC_{(0-24h)} \) using the effect size approach (see Materials and Methods for the details) (**\( p < 0.01 \), *\( p < 0.05 \)). The black bars represent positive values and white bars represent negative.

Figure 5. Inhibitory effect of RIF on OATP1B1-mediated transport of CP I (A), CP III (B), and RSV (C). Uptake of 0.2 μM CP I, 0.2 μM CP III, and 1 μM RSV was assessed in stably transfected OATP1B1-HEK cells over 2 min in the absence (control) or presence of RIF at the indicated concentrations. Fold changes are normalized to Mock-HEK cell uptake in the absence of RIF. Results are the mean ± SD of 3 separate determinations.
Figure 6. Inhibitory effect of RIF on OATP1B3-mediated transport of CP I (A), CP III (B), and RSV (C). Uptake of 0.2 μM CP I, 0.2 μM CP III, and 1 μM RSV was assessed in stably transfected OATP1B1-HEK cells over 2 min in the absence (control) or presence of RIF at the indicated concentrations. Fold changes are normalized to Mock-HEK cell uptake in the absence of RIF. Results are the mean ± SD of 3 separate determinations.

Figure 7. Inhibitory effect of RIF on OATP2B1-mediated transport of CP I (A), CP III (B), and RSV (C). Uptake of 0.2 μM CP I, 0.2 μM CP III, and 1 μM RSV was assessed in stably transfected OATP1B1-HEK cells over 2 min in the absence (control) or presence of RIF at the indicated concentrations. Fold changes are normalized to Mock-HEK cell uptake in the absence of RIF. Results are the mean ± SD of 3 separate determinations.
Table 1. Summary Statistics for $AUC_{(0-24h)}$ of Endogenous Biomarkers in RIF, RSV, and Co-Administration of RIF and RSV Periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>RIF Period</th>
<th>RSV Period</th>
<th>Co-Administration of RIF and RSV Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$AUC_{(0-24h)}$ (μM*h)</td>
<td>$AUC_{(0-24h)}$ Ratio (vs. RSV period)</td>
<td>$AUC_{(0-24h)}$ (μM*h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCA</td>
<td>3.8 ± 2.3</td>
<td>3.2 (0.94, 11)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>1.6 (1.3, 1.9)</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCA</td>
<td>3.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>1.1 (0.61, 2.1)</td>
<td>4.1 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCA</td>
<td>4.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>2.3 (1.8, 2.8)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDCA</td>
<td>19.7 ± 5.2</td>
<td>7.4 (3.1, 17.4)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCDCa</td>
<td>136.7 ± 61.5</td>
<td>1.8 (1.5, 2.2)</td>
<td>78.6 ± 40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUDCA</td>
<td>8.8 ± 3.8</td>
<td>1.1 (0.61, 2.2)</td>
<td>9.2 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.90 (0.78, 1.0)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>3.7 ± 4.0</td>
<td>2.0 (1.6, 2.6)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDCA</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.79</td>
<td>4.8 (2.4, 9.6)</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCDCA</td>
<td>11.0 ± 7.9</td>
<td>1.8 (1.6, 2.1)</td>
<td>6.4 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THDCA/TUDCA</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.4 (0.82, 2.4)</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDCA/HDCA</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.66 (0.31, 1.4)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHEAS</td>
<td>92.3 ± 48.2</td>
<td>0.97 (0.89, 1.1)</td>
<td>101.5 ± 45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDA</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.82</td>
<td>2.2 (1.8, 2.6)</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDA</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.51</td>
<td>2.8 (2.2, 3.5)</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP I*</td>
<td>0.084 ± 0.019</td>
<td>4.0 (3.7, 4.5)</td>
<td>0.021 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP III*</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.004</td>
<td>3.4 (2.9, 4.1)</td>
<td>0.004 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSV*</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.12</td>
<td>4.8 (4.0, 5.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$AUC_{(0-24h)}$, area under plasma concentration-time curve from time 0 to 24 h, are expressed as arithmetic means ± SD (n = 7-8). $AUC_{(0-24h)}$ ratios relative to the RSV period are geometric means (90% confidence intervals, CI).

aData on plasma CP I, CP III and RSV concentrations in healthy subjects are from a previous publication (Lai et al., 2016).
### Table 2. Comparison of RIF IC\textsubscript{50} Values for Inhibition of CP I, CP III and RSV Transport by Hepatic OATP Transporters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transporter</th>
<th>CP I (0.2 μM)</th>
<th>CP III (0.2 μM)</th>
<th>RSV (Reference)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OATP1B1</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.28 (Shen et al., 2013); 1.1 ± 0.2 (Prueksaritanont et al., 2014); 0.95 ± 0.10 (Izumi et al., 2015);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP1B3</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.13 (Shen et al., 2013); 0.3 ± 0.05 (Prueksaritanont et al., 2014); 0.2 ± 0.1 (Chu et al., 2015);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OATP2B1*</td>
<td>No uptake</td>
<td>48.4 ± 7.6</td>
<td>89.8 ± 5.4 (Shen et al., 2013); 81 ± 34 (Prueksaritanont et al., 2014);</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data are expressed as means ±SD (n = 3).

*aSignificant uptake of CP III but not CP I by OATP2B1-HEK cells versus Mock-HEK cells.
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