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Abstract

Experiments designed to identify the mechanism of cytochromes P450 inactivation are critical to drug discovery. Small molecules irreversibly inhibit P450 enzymatic activity via two primary mechanisms: apoprotein adduct formation or heme modification. Understanding the interplay between chemical structures of reactive electrophiles and the impact on CYP3A4 structure and function can ultimately provide insights into drug design to minimize P450 inactivation. In a previous study, raloxifene and N-(1-pyrene) iodoacetamide (PIA) alkylated cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4) in vitro, however only raloxifene influenced enzyme activity. Here, two alkylating agents with cysteine selectivity, PIA and pyrene maleimide (PM), were utilized to investigate this apparent compound-dependent disconnect between CYP3A4 protein alkylation and activity loss. The compound’s effect on 1) enzymatic activity, 2) carbon monoxide (CO) binding capacity, 3) intact heme content, and 4) protein conformation were measured. Results showed that PM had a large time-dependent loss of enzyme activity, whereas PIA did not. The differential effect on enzymatic activity between PM and PIA was mirrored in the CO binding data. Despite disruption of CO binding, neither compound affected the heme concentrations inferring there was no destruction or alkylation of the heme. Lastly, differential scanning fluorescence showed PM-treated CYP3A4 caused a shift in the onset temperature required to induce protein aggregation which was not observed for CYP3A4 treated with PIA. In conclusion, alkylation of CYP3A4 apoprotein can have a variable impact on catalytic activity, CO binding, and protein conformation that may be compound-dependent. These results highlight the need for careful interpretation of experimental results aimed at characterizing the nature of P450 enzyme inactivation.
**Significance Statement:** Understanding the mechanism of CYP3A4 time-dependent inhibition is critical to drug discovery. In this study, we use two cysteine-targeting electrophiles to probe how subtle variation in inhibitor structure may impact the mechanism of CYP3A4 time-dependent inhibition and confound interpretation of traditional diagnostic experiments. Ultimately, this simplified system was used to reveal insights into CYP3A4 biochemical behavior. The insights may have implications that aid in understanding the susceptibility of CYP enzymes to the effects of electrophilic intermediates generated via bioactivation.

**Introduction**

Experiments aimed at identifying the mechanistic basis behind cytochromes P450 (P450) inactivation represent a critical line of investigation during drug discovery. Early understanding of enzyme inactivation can inform rational chemical modifications within a chemical series, ultimately mitigating the mechanism-based inactivation (MBI) liability. In cases where P450 MBI cannot be avoided or are overlooked, the clinical importance can be profound. Inactivation of P450 enzymes can lead to serious drug-drug interactions (DDIs) and in some instances removal of the drug from the market (Orr et al., 2012). Concerns for MBI liability are particularly important for CYP3A4, since this isozyme is responsible for the metabolism of approximately 50% of current marketed drugs (Zhou, 2008). To this end, the application of enzyme kinetic studies and mechanistic experiments aimed to understand the chemical mechanism for CYP3A4 MBI remains critical. Tools to investigate MBI mechanism need to be rapid to enable structure-activity based design in line with the speed of chemical synthesis.

P450 MBI by definition results in reduced P450 enzymatic activity and can be achieved via two primary mechanisms: apoprotein adduction or heme modification (Zhou et al., 2007; Foti et al., 2011). Examples of P450 inactivation through either mechanism are numerous. For example, raloxifene, dihydroxybergamottin, and ritonavir have been shown to covalently bind to P450 apoprotein, leading to potent enzymatic inactivation (Bateman et al., 2004; Baer et al., 2007; Rock et al., 2014). In contrast, ABT, mibebradil, and fluoxetine elicit P450 inactivation by modifying the heme moiety, via heme alkylation,
heme destruction, or coordinated bond formation with the heme iron, respectively (Murray and Murray, 2003; VandenBrink and Isoherranen, 2010; Foti et al., 2011; Ortiz de Montellano, 2018). The mechanism of inactivation is intimately linked to the chemistry involved in the bioactivation and subsequent reactive species associated with each compound.

A variety of in vitro diagnostic methods such as whole protein mass spectrometry, UV-Vis spectroscopy, and kinetic analysis have been used to generate experimental observations to characterize the nature of the MBI. Experimental observations can often be complex, and in some cases, evidence may point to multiple mechanisms simultaneously occurring from the same substrate (Lin et al., 2002; Lin et al., 2018). As a further indication of complexity, it has been previously shown that covalent enzyme modification does not necessarily cause loss of function, depending on the location of the adduct and likely on chemical structure of the compound (Baer et al., 2007).

In previous work, it was demonstrated that CYP3A4 covalent modification by a small molecule did not necessarily precipitate a loss of enzyme function; raloxifene and PIA both alkylate Cysteine 239 of CYP3A4 in vitro, however only raloxifene produced a measurable inactivation rate (Baer et al., 2007). Here, we utilize two electrophilic alkylating agents to mechanistically investigate this apparent compound-dependent disconnect between covalent protein modification and activity loss. These tool compounds offer the advantage of containing a highly reactive electrophilic group allowing for facile alkylation of CYP3A4 protein without requiring enzyme-catalyzed bioactivation (Figure 1). Furthermore, the pyrene moiety was chosen to enhance the affinity of the molecules towards the CYP3A4 enzyme active site (Dabrowski et al., 2002; Jushchyshyn et al., 2005). The direct alkylation provides a controlled system to evaluate the experimental tools used to study P450 MBI more thoroughly including: 1) P450 catalytic activity, 2) carbon monoxide heme binding capacity, 3) heme quantitation, 4) P450 alkylation, and 5) thermodynamic protein conformation analysis. Using this simplified system to further understand the precise mechanistic features of CYP3A4 inactivation may serve as a tool to ultimately reveal insights into fundamental CYP3A4
biochemistry and the enzyme’s susceptibility to the effects of electrophilic intermediates generated via bioactivation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials.** N-(1-pyrenyl)maleimide, N-(1-pyrenyl)iodoacetamide, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH), glutathione (GSH), 1-aminobenzotriazole (ABT), meso-tetra(4-carboxyphenyl)porphine, midazolam, tolbutamide, and raloxifene were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Supersomes™ containing recombinantly expressed CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 derived from baculovirus-transfected insect cells were purchased from Corning (NY, USA). Purified recombinant CYP3A4 was received as a gift from Professor Jeffrey Jones; the protein was expressed and purified as described previously (Barnaba et al., 2016). All other chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich or Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA) unless stated otherwise.

**Time-dependent inactivation of CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 activity.** Both PIA and PM were tested for time-dependent inhibition in CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 Supersomes™ containing NADPH reductase. In brief, 50 µM of each test compound (or DMSO as vehicle control) was prewarmed for 5 minutes in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 3 mM MgCl₂. Reactions were initiated by the addition of CYP3A Supersomes™ (final concentration of 50 pmol/mL CYP3A) and proceeded for multiple timepoints up to 30 minutes at 37°C. After the preincubation period, a 20-fold dilution was made into a secondary assay containing 10 µM midazolam, 3 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM NADPH, and 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Secondary incubations were quenched after 5 minutes at 37°C with 3 volumes of acetonitrile containing 1 µg/mL tolbutamide as an internal standard. Enzyme activity was measured by monitoring the formation of 1-OH-midazolam using LC-MS/MS as described previously (Foti et al., 2011).

**Mass spectral analysis of intact CYP3A4 protein.** PIA or PM (5µM) were separately incubated with purified CYP3A4 (0.5 µM) in 0.1 M potassium phosphate (pH 7.4) buffer in a total volume of 0.2 mL. The
incubation was conducted for 10 minutes, at which point a 100 µL aliquot was transferred to a Microcon centrifugal filter tube (30-kDa filter) and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 10,000g. The sample was analyzed on an LTQ Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) coupled to an Accela 1250 HPLC system with a Leap CTC PAL autosampler (Leap Technologies, Carrboro, NC). Initial HPLC conditions were 82% solvent A (0.05% trifluoroacetic acid in H₂O) and 18% solvent B (0.05% trifluoroacetic acid in acetonitrile) at a flow rate of 250 µL/minute. The following linear gradient elution profile was utilized: 18% B for 1 minute, 18-90% solvent B in 12 minutes, 90% solvent B for 2 minute separated on a Biobasics4 column (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA). LTQ Orbitrap parameters were set as follows: source temperature, 350°C; sheath gas, 35 arbitrary units; auxiliary gas, 5 arbitrary units; spray voltage, 3 kV; capillary temperature, 300°C; S-lens radiofrequency, 85%; resolution, 15,000; ion trap and Orbitrap maximum injection time, 500 ms; m/z scan range, 650 to 1850 Da. Mass spectra were averaged over the entire width of the peak and deconvoluted using ProMass 2.0 software (Novatia, Monmouth Junction, NJ).

**Time-dependent measurement of carbon monoxide (CO) binding loss.** Absorbance measurements were taken from a range of 400 to 500 nm using a Cary 4000 UV/visible dual beam spectrometer (Varian Inc. Palo Alto, CA). Sample cuvettes contained 500 pmol of CYP3A4 with P450 reductase, and either PM (50 µM) or PIA (50 µM) in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 10% glycerol. Reactions were initiated by the addition of PM or PIA, after pre-warming mixtures at 37 °C for 5 minutes. After incubations proceeded for certain time points as indicated, the sample cuvettes were bubbled with CO gas for 1 minute (1 bubble per second), and approximately 1 mg sodium dithionite was added to both the sample and reference cuvettes (Rock et al., 2014). The reduced CO difference spectra were recorded by scanning from 400 to 500 nm after normalizing to the baseline. To minimize the background noise from PM or PIA, the reference cuvette contained identical components as sample cuvette except for CO (i.e., CYP3A4, buffer, glycerol, test article, and sodium dithionite). Spectra from different incubation timepoints were normalized to one another based on absorbance at 475 nm.
In addition, raloxifene and ABT were used as negative and positive control for CO binding loss, respectively. Incubation mixtures contained 500 pmol of CYP3A4 with P450 reductase, 1 mM NADPH, and either vehicle (0.1% DMSO v/v), raloxifene (50 µM) or ABT (500 µM) in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Reactions were initiated by the addition of NADPH and conducted up to 30 minutes at 37 °C. The reduced CO spectra were recorded as described above after normalizing to a baseline generated by reference cuvettes containing identical components except for CO. Spectra from different incubation timepoints were normalized to one another based on absorbance at 475 nm.

**LC-UV-MS/MS analysis of total intact heme loss.** After incubation of CYP3A4 (40 pmol/mL, with NADPH-P450 reductase and without b5) in phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4) with 50 µM PM or PIA for 0, 1, 2, 5, 10, and 20 minutes at 37 °C, reactions were quenched with 0.1 volume of 1 N HCl and 1 volume acetonitrile (3% formic acid) containing 0.2 µM of I.S meso-tetra(4-carboxyphenyl)porphine (TCPP). The percentage of organic solvent (DMSO) in the reaction was 0.5%. The mixed solutions were kept on ice for 5 minutes, followed by centrifugation at 12,000g to collect supernatants for LC-UV-MS/MS analysis. Inactivation of CYP3A4 (40 pmol/mL) by ABT (200 µM) and raloxifene (100 µM) were conducted in the presence of 1 mM NADPH at 37 °C. Reactions were quenched with 1N HCl and acetonitrile containing internal standard as described above after incubation for 0, 1, 2, 5, 10, and 20 minutes, followed by supernatant collection. The total reaction volume was 100 µL. Determination of intact heme was performed on a Thermo Q Exactive orbitrap high resolution MS coupled with an Accela LC system and a photodiode array (PDA) detector. Chromatographic separation was achieved on a Thermo Hypersil Gold column (1.9 µ, 50 × 2.1 mm), with a gradient as follows: 0 – 0.2 minutes, 5% B; 2.5 – 3.5 minutes, 95% B; 3.6 – 5 minutes, 5% B (A: water with 0.1% formic acid; B: acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid). The flow rate was 0.6 ml/minute. A full accurate MS scan was performed from m/z 100 – 1000 with a resolution at 70,000, followed by a data-dependent acquisition for top 6 most intense ions at a resolution of 17,500. Intact heme and internal standard were monitored by UV absorbance at 398 nm, as well as accurate mass at m/z
616.1745 and 791.2106 ± 10 ppm, respectively. Integration of peak area was accomplished using the Thermo Xcalibur Quan software, and each peak area ratio of intact heme divided by internal standard was calculated. The percentage of heme remaining was calculated with the following formula: heme remaining (%) = (Ratios of treated samples/ratios of 0-minute control) × 100%. Data were obtained in triplicate and plotted using Graphpad Prism v.7.

**Extraction of free heme released from the CYP3A4.** The total and free intact heme amounts in the P450 reaction solutions were extracted with 80% (v/v) acidic acetone (20% of 1 N HCl solution) and neutral acetone (20% of water) solutions, respectively. Heme extraction was performed based on previously published methods (Stillman and Gassman, 1978; Espinas et al., 2012). Briefly, non-covalently bound heme is expected to be effectively extracted from the protein precipitates into 80% acidic acetone. In contrast, neutral acetone only can extract free heme that loosely bound to the surface of protein (Espinas et al., 2012). In the present study, CYP3A4 Supersomes™ (40 pmol/mL without b5) in 0.1 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) was incubated with DMSO (0.5%, v/v), PM (50 µM) or PIA (50 µM) for 0.5, 3, 7, and 10 minutes at a 37 °C water bath. At each time point, the reaction mixtures were extracted by either acidic or neutral acetone solution containing 0.2 µM TCPP as the internal standard, respectively. The solutions were thoroughly mixed, centrifuged at 12,000g for 5 minutes, and the supernatants were collected for LC-MS analysis. To determine the acetone extraction efficiency, a two-step extraction procedure was conducted. During the first step, the CYP3A4 solutions were extracted by either acidic or neutral acetone. After removal of supernatants, the protein precipitates were extracted by acidic acetone during the second step. Presumably, acidic acetone extraction during the second step will extract the heme residue from protein pellets.

**Protein stability measurement.** Stability of either CYP3A4 alone or treated with either PIA or PM was determined using the Optim 2 instrument (Unchained Labs, Pleasanton, CA, USA). Briefly, the purified recombinant CYP3A4 protein (0.5 mg/mL) in Tris-HCl storage buffer (20% glycerol) was incubated with
either PM, PIA or pyrene (50 µM) at 37 °C for 10 minutes. The total incubation volume was 50 µL. The reactions were terminated by the addition of 5 µL of 5 mM GSH (0.5 mM final GSH) and the solutions were kept on ice until analysis. After a brief centrifugation step, supernatant aliquots (9 µL) were transferred to the 16-well sample cuvettes and heated in 0.3 °C increments from 20 to 95 °C. The heating rate between temperature intervals was set to 1 °C/minute. All measurements were made in duplicate. Using an excitation wavelength of 266 nm, fluorescence intensity between 300 nm and 400 nm were measured and analyzed as function of the temperature using the manufacturer’s software. Similarly, static light scattering at 473 nm as a function of the temperature ramp is measured to determine the onset of aggregation.

**Results**

**PM but not PIA causes a rapid loss of CYP3A4 activity.** Inactivation of CYP3A4 by pre-incubation with either PM or PIA (50 µM) was determined by monitoring the formation rate of 1-hydroxy-midazolam. As shown in Figure 2, PM caused a greater than 95% decrease of CYP3A4 activity over a course of 30 minutes. In contrast, the PIA treated sample exhibited less than 30% decrease in CYP3A4 activity after 30 minutes (Figure 2).

**Both PM and PIA alkylate CYP3A4.** To investigate the potential of PM and PIA to alkylate CYP3A4, intact MS was performed on CYP3A4 after incubations. The deconvoluted MS spectra showed that both PM and PIA alkylated CYP3A4 apo-protein, however, the extent of alkylation varied (Figure 3). In the control, unmodified native CYP3A4 had a deconvoluted peak corresponding to a molecular weight of 57,275 daltons. After incubating with PM, there was two peaks with an observable mass shift (Figure 3, top panel) which corresponded to two different alkylated forms of CYP3A4, each modified by 6 and 7 PM molecules, respectively. The predicted theoretical mass shift for binding of a single PM is 297. Incubation of CYP3A4 with PIA resulted in a single peak, and the mass shift indicated the bonding of a single PIA.
molecule to the protein. The predicted theoretical mass shift for binding of a single PIA is 257. The incubation with PM or PIA reacted to completion, as no observable unmodified CYP3A4 could be detected.

**PM and PIA have distinctly different effects on CO binding of CYP3A4.** To investigate possible mechanistic differences in CYP3A4 inactivation between PM and PIA, UV-Vis spectroscopy of CO binding was performed using CYP3A4 Supersomes™. Raloxifene (50 µM) and ABT (500 µM) were used as negative and positive controls, respectively, for modulation of CO binding (Foti et al., 2011). Representative spectra from CYP3A4 incubations with either PM, PIA, ABT or raloxifene at wavelengths 400 - 500 nm are shown in Figure 4. Upon incubating the protein with PM (50 µM), a rapid (within 0.5 minutes), time-dependent loss of CO binding capacity was observed, as indicated by a marked absorbance decrease at 450 nm. Absorbance at 422 nm increased over time (Figure 4A), which indicates separation of the iron-thiolate bond linking the prosthetic heme group to the CYP3A4 apoprotein. In contrast, incubation with PIA (50 µM) for a longer incubation time (up to 20 minutes) caused a negligible (within 20% of 0 minute) absorbance decrease at 450 nm, along with a mild increase at 422 nm (Figure 4B). As expected, incubation with ABT (500 µM) in the presence of NADPH caused loss of CO binding, consistent with known mechanism of covalent modification of the heme. An increase in absorbance at 422 nm was not observed, which was unique compared to that in PM- or PIA-treated samples. Raloxifene, which inactivates CYP3A4 through alkylation of the apoprotein, did not precipitate a loss of CO binding after a 30 minute incubation in the presence of NADPH (Figure 4C).

**Neither PM nor PIA precipitate observable loss of total intact heme.** Intact heme was extracted from incubation mixtures under acidic conditions as described in materials and methods. Time-dependent intact heme loss was monitored after treatment of CYP3A4 with vehicle, PM or PIA (50 µM) up to 20 minutes. As shown in Figure 5, no difference in intact heme was observed for either PM- or PIA-treated samples, compared to the vehicle-treated group (heme remaining was >80% after 20 minutes). ABT (500 µM), known as a covalent modulator of heme, caused a marked loss of intact heme over incubation time (Figure
5. Raloxifene inactivates CYP3A4 through cysteine modification (Baer et al., 2007; VandenBrink et al., 2012); as expected, pre-incubation of CYP3A4 with raloxifene resulted in a negligible loss of intact heme.

**PM treatment precipitates release of free heme from CYP3A4.** Although both PM and PIA are capable of alkylating CYP3A4, only PM caused major CO binding loss of CYP3A4, which is unlikely related to covalent modification of heme. To measure any differences in the amount of free heme (i.e., heme in solution that is not covalently bound to P450), we compared the difference of free heme from CYP3A4 after treatment by PM and PIA. As shown in Figure 6, PM induced a > 50% of free heme released from the CYP3A4 within 3 minutes, whereas PIA only increased free heme release by approximately 20%. After treatment by PIA or PM, total heme was unchanged over time (Figure 6), which is consistent with previous observations (Figure 5).

**PM treatment induces CYP3A4 protein instability.** Melting temperatures and onset of aggregation temperatures were determined using an Optim® 2 differential scanning fluorescence (DSF) system, which combines intrinsic fluorescence and static light scattering measurements using the same low-volume sample in high-throughput mode. Intrinsic tryptophan spectrum shifts to red wavelengths due to a hydrophobic to hydrophilic change in chemical environment. The simultaneously measured static light scattering evaluates the aggregation formation within the applied thermal shift (Temel et al., 2016). Because the compounds tested (PM, PIA, or pyrene) interfere with the intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence, we were not able to obtain accurate measurement of the T_m in the presence of compounds. However, the onset of aggregation was readily measured, and this value can serve as an accurate parameter to measure the inherent colloidal stability of protein. In the absence of compound, CYP3A4 has an onset T_{agg} value at 47.7 °C. As shown in Table 1, treatment with either PIA or pyrene did not have a meaningful effect on the onset of T_{agg}. However, treatment of CYP3A4 with PM decreased onset T_{agg} by more than 10 degrees, with a value of 36 °C. Representative aggregation curves for treatments of recombinant CYP3A4 are shown in supplemental Figure 1.
Treatment of CYP3A5 with either PM or PIA does not alter enzymatic activity or CO binding. A solution of CYP3A5 Supersomes™ was treated with either PM (50 µM), PIA (50 µM), or vehicle control and enzymatic activity was monitored by following 1-hydroxymidazolam formation. Over the course of 30 minutes, the enzyme activity remained within 20% of vehicle control, indicating neither PM nor PIA had a time-dependent effect on activity (Figure 7A). Similarly, PM or PIA treatment of CYP3A5 did not alter the CO binding capacity of the enzyme after incubation by more than 20% relative to vehicle control (Figure 7B).

Discussion

The study of P450 MBI is an important component of drug discovery. Timely recognition of P450 MBI liability can have profound implications on patient safety and clinical outcomes. If MBI is identified early in lead optimization, mitigation of the bioactivation pathway is feasible. To this end, the timing of mechanistic investigations are important; if carried out at an early stage of drug discovery, rationalization of the steps leading to P450 inactivation allows for medicinal chemistry to introduce structural modifications which may avoid this liability. Therefore, there is high value in experiments and workflows that can rapidly characterize P450 MBI mechanisms for new drug candidates. Here, we illustrate the typical workflow (enzyme activity, UV-spectroscopy, heme quantitation, and protein mass spectrometry) can lead to ambiguous results, and further investigation revealed insights to explain the relationship between CYP3A4 alkylation, loss of enzyme catalytic function and apparent heme loss.

The first line of detection for CYP3A4 MBI is measurement of enzyme activity. In this case, we observed that PIA and PM, compounds remarkably similar in structure and electrophilic reactivity showed inactivation kinetics that were in stark contrast. PM inactivation of CYP3A4 was potent and rapid, whereas PIA had a negligible effect on enzyme activity. Pyrene is a known CYP3A4 substrate, and as such the pyrenyl moiety increases the affinity of the tool molecules toward the enzyme active site. Also, since both PIA and PM possess highly reactive groups (soft electrophiles), the compounds were chosen to selectively
alkylate the cysteine residues of the protein while avoiding cross-reacting with the active site heme group. Taken together, these observations provide evidence that alkylation of CYP3A4 does not necessitate loss of enzyme function, but rather the loss of activity is compound dependent.

To investigate if both PM and PIA alkylate the protein efficiently, we analyzed the reacted protein by intact mass spectrometry. After a 10-minute incubation, both compounds completely adducted the protein (Figure 3). In the case of PIA, a single molecule was covalently adducted to CYP3A4. Interestingly, PM alkylation afforded a heterogenous mixture of two adducted forms, the largest of which had a molecular weight corresponding to alkylation by a total of seven PM molecules, presumably covalently bonded to the seven cysteine residues in CYP3A4. The result was somewhat unexpected in that even Cysteine 442 was alkylated by PM; this residue is inaccessible as a nucleophile, given that this amino acid is coordinated to the fifth axial position of the heme iron. Not only does this interaction anchor the heme group in the P450 active site it also serves to modulate the electronic environment of the heme to facilitate the reduction potential of the group and consequently governs the overall catalytic function of the protein. As such, it was integral to our study to fully understand any effect PIA or PM have on CYP3A4 spectral properties and amounts of intact heme.

Due to the unique features of the heme within the P450 active site, the use of UV spectrophotometric experiments is often a useful first step in dissecting the mechanistic basis of an observed P450 MBI. The hallmark of cytochromes P450 is the observed Soret shift from ∼418 nm (for ferric, low-spin enzyme) to ∼450 nm (for the FeII-CO complex) upon binding of carbon monoxide to the sixth coordination position of the heme. (Schenkman and Jansson, 2006) It has long been established that the observed P450-to-P420 spectral transition is a diagnostic indicator of changes in architectural integrity of P450 active site surrounding the heme; it reflects a separation of the iron-thiolate bond linking the prosthetic heme group to the P450 apoprotein (Lin et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2013). This can be achieved in vitro by multiple experimental conditions including heat and detergents (Omura and Sato, 1964; Imai and Sato, 1967).
spectroscopic data for PM is consistent with this unfolding mechanism, in which we observed a rapid decrease in P450 absorbance and a rapid increase in P420 (Figure 5). In contrast, PIA treatment had a negligible effect on P450 absorbance. Similarly, we observed the liberation of the active site heme into the solution with PM but not with PIA (Figure 6). In this light, as it is well established that partial denaturation of a protein through small molecule adduction can precipitate a loss of the protein's function due to structural changes, the notion that the coordination and binding of the heme within the CYP3A4 active could be readily dissociated through a covalent modification and hence thermodynamic destabilization of the protein appears reasonable.

To further test the hypothesis that PM may lead to CYP3A4 protein unfolding, the effect of both PM and PIA treatment on CYP3A4 stability was examined using a DSF thermal shift assay. Unfortunately, pyrene compounds are fluorescent in nature and the subsequent interference in signal precluded measuring melting temperature. However, using light scattering measurements, we were able to confirm that by treating CYP3A4 with PM, the onset temperature of aggregation, $T_{agg}$, was greater than 10 °C lower relative to PIA or negative controls. The results of the DSF studies to monitor structural disruption studies also suggest that the integrity of the CYP3A4 protein structure was markedly lost upon adduction of the PM compared to PIA. Furthermore, these data establish that for some molecules, covalent adduction to the CYP3A4 active site, precipitates moderate protein unfolding which leads to exposure of hydrophobic regions of the protein that ultimately leads to protein aggregation, as observed with the pyrene maleimide adducted CYP3A4.

To gain further insight into the specific residues that may implicated in the CYP3A4 protein destabilization process, we examined the possibility of time-dependent inactivation upon incubation with both PIA and PM with CYP3A5. This line of investigation using CYP3A5 appeared appropriate as this protein possesses approximately 83% sequence similarity with CYP3A4, and typically shares a high degree of overlapping substrate specificity with CYP3A4 as well (Watanabe et al., 2017). Interestingly, neither compound precipitated CYP3A5 activity loss (Figure 7). CYP3A4 has six cysteines which may react directly with an
electrophilic small molecule (Cys58, Cys64, Cys98, Cys239, Cys377, and Cys468), whereas CYP3A5 has only four that are reactive (Cys64, Cys 98, Cys377, and Cys467) All cysteines other than Cys58 and Cys239 are conserved in CYP3A5, which indicates that one of these residues may be involved in initiating the CYP3A4 MBI cascade. Previously, it was found the accessibility to Cysteine 58 by a small molecule was poor (Tsalkova et al., 2007; Sineva et al., 2013). In contrast, Cysteine 239 is freely accessible, residing along the large and malleable channel near the active site of CYP3A4. In fact, Cysteine 239 is an important residue linked to in vitro P450 MBI by marketed drugs and drug candidates such as raloxifene and AMG 487 (Baer et al., 2007; Henne et al., 2012; VandenBrink et al., 2012).

These data, when combined, support a hypothesis that the CYP3A4 Cysteine 239 may be involved in alkylation by PM, which may trigger a sequela of events that leads to protein destabilization, unfolding, and subsequent aggregation of the P450 enzyme. During this process, the active site heme environment is disrupted and as consequence heme is liberated from the enzyme active site into solution which accounts for the observed increase in heme after PM treatment. More generally, we have demonstrated: 1) Alkylation of CYP3A4 does not necessarily precipitate loss of enzyme function and 2) loss of CO binding can be a consequence of apoprotein adduction if the adduct leads to perturbations in the active site and local heme environment. The data highlight that subtle differences in compound structure and reactivity may have profound downstream effects on enzyme function and stability. Further, this unfolding mechanism may have broader implications on hapten formation, which may contribute to adverse drug reactions associated with immunogenic response (Hess and Rieder, 1997; Pirmohamed et al., 1998).

It should be noted that the proposed hypothesis for inactivation could arise from other mechanisms. It is possible that PM simply has altered accessibility and reactivity to other residues in CYP3A4. However, this would suggest that the altered reactivity profile was unique to CYP3A4 relative to CYP3A5 which is conceivable given the structural differences between the isoforms. Previously, it was found that stoichiometrically controlled levels of PIA preferentially reacted with Cys239 (Baer et al., 2007). Similar
experiments were undertaken with PM and multiple cysteine adducts were detected with CYP3A4 (data not shown). However, there was no evidence of PM reactions with the other nucleophilic amino acids such as proline or lysine. This does not rule out their formation and may be due to the inability to observe such adducts because of instability or insufficient analytical sensitivity for detection.

In conclusion, small molecule adduction to CYP3A4 can 1) lead to loss of enzyme activity due to a structural change to the enzyme active site, prohibiting access of another substrate to the heme as observed with raloxifene and AMG 487, 2) abolish the CYP3A4 tertiary structure causing it to unravel and aggregate as in the case of PM, or, 3) as observed with PIA, adduction to the protein is a relatively benign event. With respect to the latter, it should be noted, CYP3A4 is well documented to adopt different conformations when bound to chemically distinct substrates. The limited use of a single probe substrate in the current study does not preclude that the adduction by PIA does block the ligand entrance route for an alternative CYP3A4 substrates. However, the finding of this study is consistent with a previous study in which PIA-treated CYP3A4 and untreated CYP3A4 produced similar amounts of the raloxifene metabolites (Baer et al., 2007).

The work described in this manuscript reinforces the critical importance of describing the physical chemical mechanism which forms the basis of P450 MBI and highlights the need to fully understand the thermodynamic and colloidal stability of an adducted protein. The significance of thoroughly understanding the basis P450 MBI extends beyond a drug metabolizing enzyme being nonfunctional, as in some cases unfolded and/or aggregated forms of a protein may potentially alter immunogenicity (Lopez Garcia et al., 1993; Kang et al., 2008; Metushi et al., 2014a; Metushi et al., 2014b).
Acknowledgement

We thank Jeffrey Jones and Carolyn Joswig-Jones for the gift of purified recombinant CYP3A4 protein.

Authorship Contributions

Participated in research design: Barr, Wang, Min, Wienkers H, Rock B, Rock D, Wienkers L

Conducted experiments: Barr, Wang, Min, Wienkers H, Rock B, Rock D

Contributed new reagents or analytic tools: none

Performed data analysis: Barr, Wang, Min, Wienkers H, Rock B, Rock D, Wienkers L

Wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Barr, Wang, Min, Wienkers H, Rock B, Rock D, Wienkers L

References


**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1. Structures of PM and PIA.** PM (left) and PIA (right) differ by the electrophilic group attached to a pyrene core. Arrows indicate the flow of electrons from an attacking cysteine thiolate anion nucleophile.

**Figure 2. Inactivation of CYP3A4 by PM and PIA.** A solution containing CYP3A4 Supersomes™ in phosphate buffer was pre-incubated with PM or PIA (50 µM) for various times. After pre-incubation, mixture solutions were 20-fold diluted into a secondary incubation containing 10 µM midazolam. 1-hydroxymidazolam formation was monitored and the activity was calculated as a percentage of the product formation observed at the 0 minute preincubation time point. The mean of transformed data for relative reaction rate of triplicate determinations ± standard error is shown. Circles and squares denote treatment by PM and PIA, respectively.

**Figure 3. Alkylation of CYP3A4 apo-protein by PM and PIA.** Purified recombinant CYP3A4 (0.5 µM) was reacted with of PIA or PM (5 µM) in separate tubes for 10 minutes. The samples were analyzed by high resolution mass spectrometry and resultant deconvoluted spectra are shown in the chromatogram for PM (top panel) and PIA (bottom panel).
Figure 4. Effect of small molecule treatment on CYP3A4 CO binding capacity. A) Incubations containing CYP3A4 Supersomes™ were treated with PM (50 µM). After incubation of CYP3A4 with PM for 0, 0.5, 2, and 5 minutes at 37 °C, CO binding was measured using UV/visible difference spectra as described in Material and Methods. B) Incubation CYP3A4 Supersomes™ with PIA (50 µM). After incubation of CYP3A4 with PM for 0, 5, 10, and 20 minutes, CO binding was measured using UV/visible difference spectra as described in Material and Methods. C) Incubation CYP3A4 Supersomes™ with either ABT or raloxifene in the presence of NADPH. Reaction was initiated by the addition of NADPH and incubated for 30 minutes at 37 °C.

Figure 5. Quantitative assessment of intact heme loss after CYP3A4 treatment with PM and PIA. CYP3A4 Supersomes™ (4 pmol) were incubated with vehicle (0.5% DMSO), PM (50 µM), PIA (50 µM), ABT (200 µM), and raloxifene (100 µM) as described in the Materials and Method section. After an incubation of 0, 1, 2, 5, 10, and 20 minutes, aliquots were quenched and extracted for LC-UV-MS/MS analysis. The percentage of intact heme remaining after treatment is shown. Points and error bars represent the mean of triplicate experiments ± standard error.

Figure 6. Free heme release from the CYP3A4 after PM and PIA treatment. CYP3A4 Supersomes™ (40 pmol/ml, without b5) were incubated with vehicle (0.5% DMSO), PM (50 µM), and PIA (50 µM) in separate incubations. The incubations were quenched and the total and free heme were differentially extracted as described in Materials and Methods. Left panel shows the time course of total intact heme, whereas the right panel shows the percentage of heme released into solution over time. Points and error bars represent the mean of triplicate experiments ± standard error.

Figure 7. Effect of PIA and PM Pretreatment on Recombinant 3A5 Activity and Carbon Monoxide Binding Spectra. A) A solution containing CYP3A5 Supersomes™ in phosphate buffer was pre-incubated with PM or PIA (50 µM) for various incubation times. After pre-incubation, mixture solutions were 20-fold diluted into a secondary incubation containing 10 µM midazolam. Formation of 1-hydroxymidazolam was monitored and the activity was calculated as a percentage of the product formation observed relative to vehicle treated control at each time point. Transformed data for relative reaction rate of triplicate
determinations ± standard error is shown. Circles and squares denote treatment by PM and PIA, respectively.

B) Incubations containing CYP3A5 Supersomes™ were treated with PM (50 µM, red line), PIA (50 µM, blue line), or DMSO (green line) for 5 minutes at 37 °C. CO binding was measured using UV/visible spectrometer difference spectra scanning from 400 to 500 nm as described in Materials and Methods.
### Table 1. Effect of PIA and PM pretreatment on recombinant CYP3A4 onset $T_{\text{agg}}$. Values represent means of duplicate determinations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>$T_{\text{agg}}$ (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene Iodoacetamide</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene Maleimide</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Graph showing the percentage activity of control over time.
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Graphs showing the percentage of total heme and released heme over time for different treatments: DMSO, PIA, and PM.

- The left graph depicts the percentage of total heme over time, with error bars indicating variability.
- The right graph shows the percentage of released heme, also with error bars.

Time (min) scales are from 0 to 10 minutes, with data points plotted at intervals of 2 minutes.