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Abstract

Pravastatin (PVA) can be isomerized to its inactive metabolite 3'α-iso-pravastatin acid (3αPVA) under acidic pH conditions. Previous studies reported inter-individual differences in circulating concentrations of PVA and 3αPVA. This study investigated the functional consequences of PVA isomerization on OATP1B1-mediated transport. We characterized 3αPVA inhibition of OATP1B1-mediated PVA uptake into HEK293 cells expressing the four different OATP1B1 proteins (*1a, *1b, *5 and *15). 3αPVA inhibited OATP1B1-mediated PVA uptake in all four OATP1B1 gene products but with lower IC_{50}/K_i values for OATP1B1*5 and *15 than for the reference proteins (*1a and *1b). PVA and 3αPVA were transported by all four OATP1B1 proteins. Kinetic experiments revealed that maximal transport rates (V_max values) for OATP1B1 variants *5 and *15 were lower than for *1a and *1b for both substrates. Apparent affinities for 3αPVA transport were similar for all four variants. However, the apparent affinity of OATP1B1*5 for 3αPVA was higher (lower K_m value) than for PVA. These data confirm that PVA conversion to 3αPVA can have functional consequences on PVA uptake and impacts OATP1B1 variants more than the reference protein, thus highlighting another source variation that must be taken into consideration when optimizing the PVA dose-exposure relationship for patients.

Significance Statement

3’α-iso-pravastatin acid inhibits pravastatin uptake for all OATP1B1 protein types, however, the IC_{50} values were significantly lower in OATP1B1*5 and *15 transfected cells. This suggests that a lower concentration of 3’α-iso-pravastatin is needed to disrupt OATP1B1-mediated pravastatin uptake, secondary to decreased cell surface expression of functional OATP1B1 in variant expressing cells. These data will refine previous pharmacokinetic models that are utilized to characterize pravastatin inter-individual variability with an ultimate goal of maximizing efficacy at the lowest possible risk for toxicity.
Introduction

Pravastatin, administered in its active hydroxyl acid form (PVA) (Pan, 1991; Serajuddin et al., 1991; Shitara and Sugiyama, 2006), is subject to pre-systemic conversion to an inactive isomer, 3'α-iso-pravastatin acid (3αPVA), in the acidic environment of the stomach (Everett et al., 1991; Triscari et al., 1995). When delivered directly to the stomach, via nasogastric tube, there was a 5-fold increase in 3αPVA production relative to direct administration to the more basic duodenum (Triscari et al., 1995). Inter-individual differences in the relative amounts of circulating PVA and 3αPVA have been observed in adults and children (Ito, 1998; Maeda et al., 2006; Wagner et al., 2019). The clinical consequences of increased pre-systemic conversion to inactive 3αPVA are diminished PVA plasma concentrations and reduced delivery of active drug to the hepatocyte, subsequently resulting in diminished intra-hepatic PVA concentrations. Ultimately, this leads to a reduced pharmacodynamic effect (e.g. low-density lipoprotein cholesterol reduction) (Ito, 1998).

PVA remains the primary drug to prevent coronary allograft vasculopathy (CAV), the leading cause of chronic rejection occurring in approximately 1 out of every 4 to 5 pediatric cardiac transplant recipients (Mahle et al., 2005). This is in part because it does not undergo cytochrome P450-mediated metabolism (Everett et al., 1991), lowering the potential for drug-drug interaction with immunosuppressants. Additionally, PVA is initiated in children with familial hypercholesterolemia to attenuate atherosclerotic precursors of coronary artery disease (Luirink et al., 2019). Since it is the most hydrophilic statin, passive diffusion across the maturing tissues (e.g. brain, skeletal muscle) is minimal and PVA has been proposed as one of the safer statin for the maturing child (Wagner and Abdel-Rahman, 2016). However, a large range of variability in cholesterol reduction in the children treated with pravastatin has been observed (Wagner and Abdel-Rahman, 2016).
Following gastric transit and intestinal absorption, PVA undergoes hepatocellular uptake via the liver-specific hepatic drug transporter OATP1B1, encoded by the \textit{SLCO1B1} gene (Hsiang et al., 1999; Nakai et al., 2001). Two nonsynonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in \textit{SLCO1B1} (c.388A>G, c.521T>C) are observed frequently amongst the general population (Pasanen et al., 2006). Collectively, these two SNPs comprise four different haplotypes; the reference sequence *1a (c.388A-c.521T), in addition to *1b (c.388G-c.521T), *5 (c.388A-c.521C), and *15 (c.388G-c.521C). The c.521T>C SNP (rs4149056) located in exon 5 of the \textit{SLCO1B1} gene, results in a non-synonymous amino acid change (Val174Ala). This change results in impaired transporter localization at the plasma membrane (Kameyama et al., 2005), leading to decreased uptake into human hepatocytes and increased pravastatin plasma concentrations, referred to as “systemic exposure” (Mwinyi et al., 2004; Niemi et al., 2004). As a result of increased systemic exposure, clinically significant adverse events, including statin-associated muscle symptoms, have been reported. They are more frequent in the presence of c.521C alleles (Group et al., 2008; Voora et al., 2009). Associations of this SNP with attenuated lipid lowering with statin therapy have previously been demonstrated (Tachibana-limori et al., 2004; Niemi et al., 2005; Li et al., 2015), although its impact on long-term pravastatin response remains equivocal (Igel et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2012; Dai et al., 2015).

Our previous investigation in children and adolescents confirmed a positive association between \textit{SLCO1B1} genotype and pravastatin exposure similar to observations in adults (Niemi et al., 2004; Wagner et al., 2019). Perhaps more significant was the observation of an approximate 10-fold range of PVA systemic exposure within both the reference (c.521TT) and variant (c.521TC) genotype groups (Wagner et al., 2019). One of the contributing factors to inter-individual variability in PVA systemic exposure was the magnitude of pre-systemic conversion of PVA to 3αPVA across the study cohort with the extent of isomerization being more impactful in those with c.521TC genotype (Wagner et al., 2019).
A previous report suggested that 3αPVA is a substrate of OATP1B1 (Maeda et al., 2006). In fact, amongst our pediatric cohort we observed an increase in 3αPVA systemic exposure in those participants with a \( SLCO1B1 \) c.521T>C variation (Wagner et al., 2019) Additionally, amongst adult cohorts, trends towards higher 3αPVA systemic exposure with \( SLCO1B1 \) c.521T>C variation were observed (Maeda et al., 2006; Suwannakul et al., 2008). These \textit{in vivo} data and limited \textit{in vitro} data illustrating cellular uptake of 3αPVA suggest that 3αPVA is a substrate of OATP1B1.

Given the large degree of inter-individual variability in PVA systemic exposure observed within genotype groups, especially the c.521TC patients, we wanted to test the hypothesis that inhibition of PVA uptake by 3αPVA could be an additional covariate that alters the systemic and hepatic exposure of PVA in children and adults. In the present study, we confirmed that PVA and 3αPVA are OATP1B1 substrates and that variant OATP1B1 transporters had reduced uptake relative to fully functional reference transporters.
**Materials and Methods**

**Materials.** Radiolabeled [³H]-pravastatin sodium salt and [³H]-3’α-iso-pravastatin sodium salt were purchased from American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc. (St. Louis, Missouri, USA). Non-radioactive pravastatin sodium salt and 3’α-iso-pravastatin sodium salt used were from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and Toronto Research Chemicals (Toronto, OR, Canada), respectively.

**Plasmids and cells lines.** Site directed mutagenesis was performed utilizing a QuikChange Lightning Multi Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s guidelines to generate SLCO1B1 genotypes of interest (*1a, *1b, *5, *15). In short, point mutations were introduced into the pcDNA5/FRT expression vector containing the open reading frame of OATP1B1*1b using thermal cycling followed by digestion of the template DNA with DpnI (Gui and Hagenbuch, 2008). Oligonucleotides containing 388A>G and 521T>C were used to create OATP1B1*1a and OATP1B1*15 expression vectors, respectively. Then, c.521T>C was also introduced into the OATP1B1*1a vector in order to create the OATP1B1*5 expression vector. Mutagenic primers used for site-directed mutagenesis were as follows: A388G, 5’-

TCTAAAGAAAAGATCAATCATCAGAAAAATTCAACA-3’ (forward), and 5’-

TGTTGATTTTCTGATGATATATGTTTTTTCTTAGA-3’ (reverse); T521C, 5’-

TCATACATGTGGATATATGCGTTCATGGGTAATATGCTT-3’ (forward), 5’-

AAGCATATTACCATTACAGACTATATCCACATGTATGA-3’ (reverse). The PCR site-directed mutagenesis was performed under the following conditions: 2 min denaturation/activation at 95°C followed by 30 cycles with 20s denaturation at 95°C, 30s annealing at 55°C and 5 min elongation at 65°C. The presence of the mutations was verified by sequencing all inserts in both directions. The resulting plasmids were used for transient transfection of HEK293 cells.
**Tissue culture and transporter expression.** Human embryonic kidney (HEK293/T17) cells (ATCC, Manassas, Virginia, USA) were grown at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (American Type Culture Collection) containing 100U/ml penicillin, 100µg/ml streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and 10% HyClone fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific). HEK293 cells were plated at 200,000 and 800,000 cells per well in 24- or 6-well plates coated with 0.1mg/ml poly-D-lysine. Twenty-four hours later the cells were transfected following the Fugene HD protocol at the recommended 3:1 reagent to DNA ratio. For example, 24-well plates were transfected with 0.5µg plasmid DNA and 1.5µl Fugene HD (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) per well and uptake assays were performed 48 h later. Medium was changed when needed.

**Cell-based transport assays.** Cells were washed 3 times with prewarmed (37°C) uptake buffer (142mM NaCl, 5mM KCl, 1mM KH₂PO₄, 1.2mM MgSO₄, 5mM glucose, and 12.5mM HEPES, pH adjusted to 7.4 with Tris-base). Then, 200µl uptake buffer (37°C) containing radiolabeled substrates of varying concentrations were added to the well to initiate transport for the indicated time points. Transport was terminated by four washes with ice-cold uptake buffer. Cells were lysed with 300 µl 1% Triton X-100 in PBS at room temperature for thirty minutes prior to analysis. Two hundred microliters of cell lysate were transferred to a 24-well scintillation plate (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and 750 µl Optiphase Supermix scintillation cocktail (Perkin Elmer) were added to each well. Radioactivity was measured in a MicroBeta2 liquid scintillation counter (Perkin Elmer). The remaining cell lysates were transferred to 96-well plates to determine total protein concentration using the bicinchoninic acid protein assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific). All transport measurements were corrected by the total protein concentration. All experiments were performed 3 to 4 times independently with 2-3 determinations.

**Cell surface biotinylation.** HEK293 cells were plated on 6-well plates and transfected the next day as described above. Two days later, cells were first incubated on ice for fifteen minutes then
washed with PBS prior to incubation with 1mg/ml EZ-Link NHS-SS-Biotin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in PBS at 4°C while rocking. After one hour, cells were washed with PBS to remove excess biotin and then incubated with 100mM glycine (Sigma Aldrich) in PBS for twenty minutes at 4 °C while rocking. Following this incubation, cells were washed again with PBS and lysed for ten minutes on ice using lysis buffer (10mM Tris, 150mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, pH 7.5) containing protease inhibitors (cOmplete protease inhibitor cocktail, Sigma Aldrich). Cell lysates were removed and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for two minutes. Resulting supernatants were added to pre-washed NeutrAvidin Agarose Resin beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for one hour at room temperature while rotating end-over-end. Beads were then washed with lysis buffer to remove non-biotinylated proteins. Surface proteins were eluted from beads using 1 X SDS sample buffer containing 5% β-mercaptoethanol and 1x protease inhibitors at 70°C for ten minutes and collected via centrifugation at 850 x g for five minutes. Resulting samples were stored at -80°C until western blotting.

**Western Blotting.** To separate surface expressed proteins, samples were heated for ten minutes at 50°C and then loaded onto a 7.5% polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Invitrogen’s Power Blotter System was then used to transfer proteins to a nitrocellulose membrane. A blocking solution of 5% milk in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TBS-T) was used to block the blots for one hour at room temperature while rocking. Blots were then incubated at 4°C overnight while rocking in blocking solution containing mouse antibodies against both the His-tag (Tetra·His Antibody, QIAGEN- Cat. No.34670, 1:2,000) and the α subunit of Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase (Abcam- ab7671,1:2,000). Approximately sixteen hours later, blots were washed with TBS-T and then TBS before incubation for one hour at room temperature while rocking with goat anti-mouse HRP conjugated secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific- Cat. No. 31430, 1:10,000) in 2.5% milk in TBS. Blots were washed with TBS and incubated for five minutes with SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo
Fisher Scientific) before visualization and quantification using Image Studio Lite Quantification Software (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE). Quantified results are from five independent experiments.

Normalization of Kinetic Parameters. The mean percentage of cell surface expression relative to the control (1a) was used to normalize uptake values. Normalized \( V_{\text{max}} \) values for each OATP1B1 protein group were generated by dividing the mean cell surface percentage by non-normalized \( V_{\text{max}} \) values generated from each independent experiment. These values were averaged to generate the mean ± SD for each OATP1B1 protein group.

Statistical Analysis. Data were analyzed for significant differences amongst OATP1B1 protein groups using 1-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. The familywise significance was set at 0.05 and computed multiplicity adjusted P values following Tukey's multiple comparison testing were generated using GraphPad Prism version 7 (La Jolla, California, USA). \( IC_{50} \) and kinetic parameters were calculated using non-linear regression analysis in GraphPad Prism version 7.
Results

Cell surface expression of the different OATP1B1 proteins

When mutations are introduced into a transport protein like OATP1B1 these mutations can result in increased, decreased or unchanged uptake. Increased or decreased uptake can be a result of more or less protein being expressed at the cell membrane. Therefore, cell membrane expression of the different OATP1B1 proteins was studied using surface biotinylation experiments. As shown in Figure 1A, there was more OATP1B1 protein expressed at the surface of HEK293 cells transfected with OATP1B1*1a and *1b than with OATP1B1*5 and *15. This surface expression was quantitated and normalized for Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase, a membrane protein natively expressed in HEK293 cells. The quantification is shown in Figure 1B, Supp. Table 1. There was not a difference in cell surface expression in OATP1B1*1b transfected cells compared to OATP1B1*1a transfected cells (133 vs. 100, p=0.57). There was no difference between OATP1B1*5 and *15 transfected cells but the two variants showed reduced expression by 57 and 44 % compared to OATP1B1*1a, respectively. There was a significant decrease in OATP1B1*5 and *15 expression when compared to OATP1B1*1b of 67 and 57%, respectively. The mean percentage of cell surface expression relative to the control (*1a) was used to normalize uptake values.

PVA uptake by HEK293 cells expressing the different OATP1B1 proteins

Initially, time-dependent uptake of PVA revealed that uptake was linear over 60 seconds (data not shown). Therefore, all concentration-dependent transport measurements for kinetic analysis were determined under initial linear rate conditions at 60 seconds. Concentration-dependent net uptake (uptake into empty-vector transfected cells was subtracted from uptake by OATP1B1 transfected cells) of PVA by the different OATP1B1 variants is shown in Figure 2A. Kinetic parameters were calculated based on the Michaelis-Menten equation and the resulting Kₘ and
$V_{\text{max}}$ values are summarized in **Table 1A, Supp. Table 2A.** PVA was transported by OATP1B1*1a and *1b transfected cells with the highest affinity ($K_m$ values 18.2 and 16.2, respectively) and highest maximal velocity ($V_{\text{max}}$ values 104.9 and 94.3, respectively) compared to OATP1B1*5 and *15 transfected cells. The intrinsic clearance ($V_{\text{max}}/K_m$) in OATP1B1*1a and *1b transfected cells was 3 to 4-fold higher compared to OATP1B1*5 and *15 transfected cells. There was no difference in the kinetic parameters between OATP1B1*1a and *1b transfected cells or between OATP1B1*15 and OATP1B1*5 transfected cells.

All measurements were normalized based on the cell surface expression. Corrected concentration-dependent net uptake of PVA by the different OATP1B1 variants is shown in **Figure 2B.** The normalized kinetic parameters with the resulting changes to maximal velocity and intrinsic clearance are summarized in **Table 1B, Supp. Table 2B.** The magnitude of difference in corrected intrinsic clearance between OATP1B1*1a transfected cells and OATP1B1*5 or *15 transfected cells was ~2-fold higher. However, the corrected maximal velocity was not significantly different among the four variants. There was no difference in the corrected kinetic parameters between OATP1B1*1a and *1b transfected cells or between OATP1B1*15 and OATP1B1*5 transfected cells.

3αPVA uptake by HEK293 cells expressing the different OATP1B1 proteins

In order to test whether 3αPVA indeed is a substrate of OATP1B1, time dependent uptake was measured. The results (data not shown) revealed that uptake was linear over at least 60 seconds and therefore, all concentration-dependent transport measurements for kinetic analysis were determined under these initial linear rate conditions at 60 seconds. Concentration-dependent net uptake of 3αPVA by the different OATP1B1 variants is shown in **Figure 3A.** Kinetic parameters are summarized in **Table 2A, Supp. Table 3A.** There was no difference across all OATP1B1 proteins with respect to 3αPVA transport affinity. 3αPVA was transported
by OATP1B1*1a and *1b transfected cells with highest maximal velocity ($V_{\text{max}}$ values 135.3 and 117.1, respectively) compared to OATP1B1*5 and *15 transfected cells. The intrinsic clearance in OATP1B1*1a and *1b transfected cells was 2-fold higher compared to OATP1B1*5 and *15 transfected cells. There was no significant difference in the kinetic parameters between OATP1B1*1a and *1b transfected cells or between OATP1B1*15 and OATP1B1*5 transfected cells.

After normalization for cell surface expression the results for 3αPVA changed very similarly to the results for PVA (Figure 3B). The corrected kinetic parameters are summarized in Table 2B, Supp. Table 3B. Again, the normalized $V_{\text{max}}$ values we not different between OATP1B1*1a and *1b transfected cells and *5 and *15 transfected cells. However, in contrast to PVA, the corrected intrinsic clearance amongst OATP1B1*1a and *1b transfected cells were not different compared to OATP1B1*5 and *15 transfected cells. There was no difference in the corrected kinetic parameters between OATP1B1*1a and *1b transfected cells or between OATP1B1*15 and OATP1B1*5 transfected cells.

Comparison of PVA and 3αPVA uptake amongst OATP1B1 proteins

When comparing the corrected kinetic parameters for OATP1B1-mediated uptake of PVA with that of 3αPVA there was no significant difference between the intrinsic clearances within each OATP1B1 protein with the exception of OATP1B1*5 where 3αPVA intrinsic was more robust compared to PVA (3.0 vs. 6.4 µl/min/mg, p<0.01, Supp. Table 4). There was no difference in $V_{\text{max}}$ values for 3αPVA compared to PVA within each OATP1B1 proteins cells (Supp. Table 5). However, OATP1B1*5 had higher affinity for 3αPVA than for PVA (19.3 vs. 34.2 µM, p=0.01, Supp. Table 6). For OATP1B1*15 there was no difference between the affinity for 3αPVA compared to PVA (24.1 vs. 34.1, p=0.17, Supp. Table 6).

Inhibition of OATP1B1-mediated PVA transport by 3αPVA
To determine what consequences the presence of 3αPVA had on OATP1B1-mediated PVA transport, uptake of PVA was measured at low concentration (1µM) in the presence of increasing 3αPVA concentrations. Inhibition of OATP1B1 variant-mediated uptake of PVA is shown in Figure 4. One-minute uptake into HEK293 cells transfected with OATP1B1*1a, *1b, *5, *15, and empty vector was measured. After uptake into empty vector transfected cells was subtracted, IC₅₀ values were calculated by non-linear regression analysis and the resulting values are summarized in Table 3, S5. Assuming that 3αPVA acts as a competitive inhibitor, Kᵢ values were calculated by using the previously generated PVA Kₘ values for each OATP1B1 protein (Table 1) and are summarized in Table 3, Supp. Table 7. The extent of inhibition of PVA transport by 3αPVA was similar for OATP1B1*1a and OATP1B1*1b; similarly, 3αPVA inhibited PVA uptake by OATP1B1*5 and OATP1B1*15 to a similar extent. However, IC₅₀ and Kᵢ values were consistent with 3αPVA having a more marked inhibition of PVA transport by OATP1B1*5- and *15-mediated PVA uptake compared to OATP1B1*1a- and *1b-mediated uptake.
Discussion

The present study investigated whether 3αPVA could inhibit OATP1B1-mediated PVA uptake in an OATP1B1-variant specific way. We found that 3αPVA indeed inhibits PVA uptake for all OATP1B1 protein types, but most importantly, the IC\textsubscript{50} values were significantly lower in OATP1B1*5 and *15 transfected cells compared to OATP1B1*1a and *1b transfected cells. This suggests that a lower concentration of 3αPVA is needed to disrupt OATP1B1-mediated PVA uptake, secondary to decreased cell surface expression of functional OATP1B1 in OATP1B1*5 and *15 expressing cells. This is consistent with our previous observation where despite a similar range of PVA isomerization amongst genotype groups, extent of isomerization had a more pronounced effect on PVA systemic exposure in participants with the \textit{SLCO1B1} c.521TC variation (e.g. *5 and *15 haplotypes)(Wagner et al., 2019).

There exists a dearth of human \textit{in vivo} data related to variant-dependent OATP1B1 expression, despite numerous studies demonstrating the impact of \textit{SLCO1B1} variant-dependent impact on OATP1B1 transporter activity (e.g. pravastatin hepatic uptake) (Mwinyi et al., 2004; Niemi et al., 2006; Wagner et al., 2019). Available proteomic data from human pediatric and adult livers demonstrate a trend towards diminished OATP1B1 protein expression in those with \textit{SLCO1B1} *1a/*15 genotype compared to \textit{SLCO1B1} *1a/*1a genotype, however, both studies did not meet statistical significance (Prasad et al., 2014; Prasad et al., 2016). Importantly, these studies did not report any participants with \textit{SLCO1B1} *5 genotype. Therefore, further proteomic investigation in larger cohorts are necessary to determine the variant-dependent OATP1B1 expression amongst the full range of \textit{SLCO1B1} genotypes (e.g. *1a, *1b, *5, *15). Overall, the previous clinical observation (Wagner et al., 2019) and \textit{in vitro} inhibition mechanistic
observations could be a function of 1) altered OATP1B1 expression, 2) intrinsic activity, or 3) a combination of both. This variant-dependent impact on drug or metabolite inhibition with respect to transporter activity and potential for toxicity (e.g. drug-drug interaction) and/or drug response is lacking, but certainly warrants more mechanistic understanding for all drug classes in the future.

In the previous pharmacogenomic-stratified pharmacokinetic studies 3αPVA systemic exposure had a stronger correlation to simvastatin acid systemic exposure than PVA in a similar patient cohort (Wagner et al., 2018; Wagner et al., 2019). This might be due to 1) 3αPVA being a better substrate for OATP1B1 and/or 2) 3αPVA transport being less affected by concurrent PVA in the system. This is in contrast to PVA transport where transport is disrupted by concurrent 3αPVA in the system. Our previous SLCO1B1 pharmacogenomic-stratified pharmacokinetic investigation in participants dosed pravastatin demonstrated a significant increase in 3αPVA systemic exposure in individuals with SLCO1B1 c.521T>C allelic variation, suggesting that it was a substrate of OATP1B1 (Wagner et al., 2019). Transcellular transport of 3αPVA was previously demonstrated using Madin-Darby canine kidney cells expressing OATP1B1 and MRP2 suggesting that 3αPVA is a substrate of MRP2 and might be a substrate of OATP1B1 (Maeda et al., 2006). Here we confirm unequivocally that 3αPVA is a substrate of OATP1B1 and mechanistically demonstrate that OATP1B1 protein variation affects 3αPVA uptake (Figure 3).

After normalization for cell surface expression, there was no significant difference in corrected intrinsic clearance for 3αPVA amongst OATP1B1 proteins. This suggests that differences in 3αPVA transport amongst OATP1B1 proteins are due to decreased protein expression levels at the plasma membrane and not due to differences in binding affinities or turnover numbers.

3αPVA was transported by all tested variants very similar to PVA with one important exception; K_m values for PVA uptake increased 2-fold when OATP1B1*1a and *1b are compared to OATP1B1*5 and *15. However, affinities for 3αPVA were the same for all four OATP1B1
variants. As a consequence, one would expect that in patients with the \textit{SLCO1B1*5} and \textit{*15} genotype 3αPVA would preferentially be transported compared to PVA. Enhanced affinity for 3αPVA compared to PVA in conjunction with the aforementioned inhibition would have an even more pronounced effect in subjects with the \textit{SLCO1B1*5} and \textit{*15} genotypes. This could lead to a larger increase in PVA systemic exposure as compared to the other two genotypes.

This study confirmed OATP1B1-mediated PVA uptake in four OATP1B1 protein types and tested whether 3αPVA was indeed a substrate of OATP1B1. Our data are concordant with a previous \textit{in vitro} investigation where PVA intrinsic clearance was decreased in cells transfected with OATP1B1*5 and \textit{*15} compared to OATP1B1*1a and \textit{*1b} (Kameyama et al., 2005). The difference in intrinsic clearances was eliminated after normalization of the uptake measurements based on cell surface expression suggesting that the functional differences in transport observed in vivo are mainly due to alterations in protein expression. Additionally, we observed significantly higher affinity by all OATP1B1 proteins than the previous report (Kameyama et al., 2005). This could be due to slightly different experimental conditions, like shorter uptake times. Collectively, these data are consistent with previous \textit{in vivo} data in adults and children where subjects with the c.521T>C allelic variation had a greater risk of increased PVA systemic exposure secondary to decreased hepatocellular uptake (Mwinyi et al., 2004; Niemi et al., 2004; Wagner et al., 2019).

The clinical consequences of enhanced isomerization of PVA to 3αPVA are diminished systemic exposure and subsequent reduced delivery of PVA to hepatocytes (e.g. drug target) due to competition between PVA and 3αPVA for OATP1B1-mediated hepatic uptake. Enhanced PVA isomerization has previously been associated with an attenuated PVA response (e.g. cholesterol reduction)(Ito, 1998). In the aforementioned study, there was no difference in 3αPVA systemic exposure amongst those subjects with excessive and diminished isomerization, which was defined as the ratio of PVA to 3αPVA systemic exposure (Ito, 1998). Notably, this
represents only 3αPVA in the plasma and not hepatic 3αPVA concentrations. Collectively, it remains unclear whether attenuated response is secondary to 1) excessive 3αPVA production in low pH environments (e.g. stomach) leaving far less active drug available systemically and/or 2) the competitive process for hepatic uptake which is further exacerbated in \textit{SLCO1B1} c.521T>C variants as mechanistically demonstrated in this study. The \textit{SLCO1B1} genotype status of both extensive and poor “gastric” metabolizer from the aforementioned study is unknown and therefore requires replication in a \textit{SLCO1B1}-genotype stratified manner.

Additionally, variable PVA isomerization could not only increase the risk of altered response (e.g. inhibition of cholesterol biosynthesis), but could disrupt the downstream pleiotropic effects of PVA. For example, in a cohort of pediatric patients post- cardiac transplantation dosed with PVA, freedom from CAV trended higher compared to those patients that did not receive statin therapy, but did not reach statistical significance (Mahle et al., 2005). However, it remains unknown if the magnitude of the effect (e.g. freedom from CAV) with PVA treatment would be more significant if PVA isomerization was controlled. It remains unknown if a change in PVA formulation (e.g. enteric coating, co-administration with gastric acid buffer) would result in diminished PVA isomerization and thereby improve PVA efficacy (e.g. prevention of CAV, cholesterol reduction). Co-administration of PVA with a proton pump inhibitor or H2 blocker has not previously reported, but warrants future investigation. With these changes to mitigate PVA isomerization, PVA could potentially be a better agent for cholesterol reduction and other conditions of inflammatory disease.

However, there remains a knowledge gap concerning the additional dietary and physiological factors that could be influencing PVA isomerization. For example, co-administration of PVA with food decreases the systemic exposure by 30% (Pan et al., 1993). Although food increases buffering capacity of stomach contents, food stimulates the secretion of hydrochloric acid and certainly could be influencing the diminished systemic exposure of the parent drug. In the
aforementioned study by Pan et al. (1993), there was a trend towards higher systemic exposure of 3αPVA, but it did not meet statistical significance. Similar to the referenced study by Ito (1998), this represents only 3αPVA in the plasma and not hepatic 3αPVA concentrations. Additionally, it is unknown whether reverse reaction (e.g. 3αPVA → PVA) could occur after absorption in the more alkaline environment of systemic circulation. Overall, the effects of PVA isomerization need to be mechanistically evaluated with altered gastric pH to determine if more basic pH results in improved intrahepatic PVA exposure and/or conversion back to PVA. It is imperative that we evaluate this as PVA holds the lowest risk of toxicity (e.g. SAMS)(Gadbut et al., 1995; Masters et al., 1995; Pierno et al., 1995; Bruckert et al., 2005) and is the safest option for the maturing child (Wagner and Abdel-Rahman, 2016).

In conclusion, we demonstrated that 3αPVA is an inhibitor of OATP1B1-mediated PVA uptake and has enhanced binding affinity for OATP1B1 compared to PVA, presenting another variable that could possibly result in altered PVA exposure and response for patients prescribed PVA. Moving forward, the in vitro data generated in this study can help to refine previous physiologically based pharmacokinetic models that are utilized to characterize PVA inter-individual variability with an ultimate goal of maximizing efficacy at the lowest possible risk for toxicity.
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Figure 1. Cell surface expression was evaluated via surface biotinylation experiments on HEK293 cells transfected with OATP1B1*1a, *1b, *5, and *15. Surface expressed proteins were captured with biotin, isolated used streptavidin beads, eluted, and then separated on a 7.5% polyacrylamide gel prior to being transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Proteins of interest were probed using a combination of Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase (loading control at 100kDa) and tetra-His antibodies (recognizes the His-tagged OATP1B1 transporter at ~86kDa). A representative Western blot depicting surface expression of OATP1B1 proteins is shown (A). Western blots were then quantified using Image Studio Lite (B). Bars and error bars illustrate the mean surface expression ± SD of the OATP1B1 proteins relative to OATP1B1*1a from five independent experiments. Asterisks denote significant difference between OATP1B1 proteins (p≤0.05).

Figure 2. Concentration-dependent net uptake (A) and normalized net uptake (B) of Pravastatin (PVA). Concentration-dependent uptake of PVA (1-100 µM) was measured at 37°C at 60 s using HEK cells transiently transfected with OATP1B1*1a (black circles), *1b (black squares), *5 (open white circles), *15 (open white squares) and empty vector transfected cells. Net uptake was calculated by subtracting the uptake of the empty vector from the uptake of each OATP1B1 protein. The results were corrected for total protein concentration in each well. Normalized uptake was corrected for surface expressed protein. Resulting data were fitted to Michaelis-Menten equation to obtain $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values. Each point represents the mean ± SD from 3 to 4 independent experiments performed in triplicates.

Figure 3. Concentration-dependent net uptake (A) and normalized net uptake (B) of 3′α-iso-pravastatin acid (3αPVA). C-dependent uptake of 3αPVA (1-100 µM) was measured at 37°C at 60 s using HEK cells transiently transfected with OATP1B1*1a (black circles), *1b (black
squares), *5 (open white circles), *15 (open white squares) and empty vector transfected cells. Net uptake was calculated by subtracting the uptake of the empty vector from the uptake of OATP1B1 protein. The results were corrected for total protein concentration in each well. Normalized uptake was corrected for surface expressed protein. Resulting data were fitted to Michaelis-Menten equation to obtain $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values. Each point represents the mean ± SD from 3 to 4 independent experiments performed in triplicates.

**Figure 4.** Inhibition of Pravastatin (PVA) transport with 3'α-iso-pravastatin acid (3αPVA). Uptake of low (1 µM) PVA concentrations was measured at 37°C and 60 s in the presence of increasing 3'α-iso-pravastatin acid (3αPVA) concentrations using HEK cells transiently transfected with OATP1B1*1a (black circles), *1b (black squares), *5 (open white circles), *15 (open white squares) and empty vector transfected cells. Net uptake was calculated by subtracting the uptake for empty vector from the uptake of the each OATP1B1 protein. The results were corrected for total protein concentration in each well. Data was reported as uptake of PVA as a percentage of control.
#### Tables

**Table 1A.** Kinetic parameters of PVA uptake by OATP1B1 proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OATP1B1 protein type</th>
<th>$K_m$ (µM)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (pmol/min/mg)</th>
<th>$V_{max}/K_m$ (µl/min/mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*1a</td>
<td>18.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>104.9 ± 13.1</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*1b</td>
<td>16.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>94.3 ± 16.1</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*5</td>
<td>34.2 ± 9.7*#</td>
<td>44.8 ± 15.9**#</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.2***###</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*15</td>
<td>34.1 ± 6.1*#</td>
<td>62.3 ± 22.5</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.3**##</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1B.** Kinetic parameters of PVA uptake by OATP1B1 proteins corrected for cell surface expression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OATP1B1 protein type</th>
<th>$K_m$ (µM)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (pmol/min/mg)</th>
<th>$V_{max}/K_m$ (µl/min/mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*1a</td>
<td>18.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>104.9 ± 13.1</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*1b</td>
<td>16.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>71.0 ± 12.1</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*5</td>
<td>34.2 ± 9.7*#</td>
<td>103.0 ± 36.6</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*15</td>
<td>34.1 ± 6.1*#</td>
<td>111.2 ± 40.2</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.6*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data expressed as mean ± SD. Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for all statistical analyses.
* P ≤ 0.05, ** P ≤ 0.01, *** P ≤ 0.001 significantly different values from the OATP1B1*1a and # P ≤ 0.05, ## P ≤ 0.01, ### P ≤ 0.001 significantly different values from the OATP1B1*1b
### Table 2A. Kinetic parameters of 3αPVA uptake by OATP1B1 proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OATP1B1 protein type</th>
<th>( K_m ) (µM)</th>
<th>( V_{\text{max}} ) (pmol/min/mg)</th>
<th>( V_{\text{max}}/K_m ) (µl/min/mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*1a</td>
<td>23.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>135.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*1b</td>
<td>20.8 ± 3.2</td>
<td>117.1 ± 8.2</td>
<td>5.7 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*5</td>
<td>19.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>54.2 ± 17.7****###</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.2**##</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*15</td>
<td>24.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>78.3 ± 6.8***##</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.5*#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2B. Kinetic parameters of 3αPVA uptake by OATP1B1 proteins corrected for cell surface expression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OATP1B1 protein type</th>
<th>( K_m ) (µM)</th>
<th>( V_{\text{max}} ) (pmol/min/mg)</th>
<th>( V_{\text{max}}/K_m ) (µl/min/mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*1a</td>
<td>23.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>135.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*1b</td>
<td>20.8 ± 3.2</td>
<td>88.2 ± 6.2</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*5</td>
<td>19.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>124.6 ± 40.8</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*15</td>
<td>24.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>140.4 ± 11.4</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data expressed as mean ± SD. Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for all statistical analyses.

* \( P \leq 0.05 \), ** \( P \leq 0.01 \), *** \( P \leq 0.001 \), **** \( P \leq 0.0001 \) significantly different values from the OATP1B1*1a, # \( P \leq 0.05 \), ## \( P \leq 0.01 \), ### \( P \leq 0.001 \), #### \( P \leq 0.0001 \) significantly different values from the OATP1B1*1b.
Table 3. 3αPVA Inhibition of PVA uptake by OATP1B1 proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OATP1B1 protein type</th>
<th>IC₅₀</th>
<th>Kᵢ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*1a</td>
<td>15.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>15.0 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*1b</td>
<td>18.6 ± 5.7</td>
<td>17.5 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*5</td>
<td>3.9 ± 2.0*#</td>
<td>3.8 ± 2.9*#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*15</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.8*#</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.8*#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data expressed as mean ± SD. Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for all statistical analyses. Kᵢ calculated from the equation $Kᵢ = IC₅₀/[1+([PVA concentration]/K_m)]$.

* P≤0.01 significantly different values from the OATP1B1*1a and # P≤0.01 significantly different values from the OATP1B1*1b
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