Maternal and fetal pharmacokinetic analysis of cannabidiol during pregnancy in mice
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C\textsubscript{0}: initial blood concentration (concentration at time 0)
ABSTRACT

Cannabidiol (CBD), a major component of cannabis, has various effects such as antiemetic and anxiolytic activities, and it has recently been marketed as a supplement. The number of people using CBD during pregnancy is increasing, and there are concerns about its effects on the fetus. In addition, the scientific evidence supporting the fetal safety of CBD use during pregnancy is insufficient. To investigate CBD transfer from the mother to the fetus, a single intravenous dose of CBD was administered to pregnant mice in this study, and fetal pharmacokinetics (distribution and elimination) was analyzed. The transfer of CBD from the maternal blood to the fetus was rapid, and the compound accumulated in the fetal brain, liver, and gastrointestinal tract. Conversely, little CBD was transferred from the mother to the amniotic fluid. We analyzed the pharmacokinetics of CBD using a two-compartment model and found that the maternal and fetal half-lives of CBD were approximately 5 and 2 h, respectively. Furthermore, we performed a moment analysis of the pharmacokinetics of CBD, observing a mean residence time of less than 2 h in both the mother and fetus. These results suggest that once-daily CBD intake during pregnancy is unlikely to result in CBD accumulation in the mother or fetus.
SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

CBD is currently marketed as a supplement, and despite its increasing use during pregnancy, little information concerning its fetal effects has been reported. In the present study, CBD was administered to pregnant mice, and the pharmacokinetics in the fetus was investigated using a two-compartment model and moment analysis. The results of these analyses provide important information for estimating the risk to the fetus if CBD is mistakenly consumed during pregnancy.
Introduction

The major cannabinoids present in cannabis are Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD) (Fernandez, 2016; Lucas et al., 2018; MacCallum and Russo, 2018; Perry et al., 2018; Amin and Ali, 2019). The use of THC is regulated in many countries because it causes psychological dependence. Meanwhile, CBD is marketed as a supplemented based on its effects including stress reduction, sleep promotion, and fatigue recovery (Guimaraes et al., 1990; Russo et al., 2007; Kaplan et al., 2017). Currently, in the United States and Japan, CBD is readily available in forms including oils, creams, and tobacco without any special regulations. Recently, it was reported that CBD is effective against nausea, pain, inflammation, and anxiety (Abuhasira et al., 2018).

Concerning metabolism, CBD is oxidized in the adult liver by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A at the 6β, 2′, and 4′ positions (Jiang et al., 2011; Ujvary and Hanus, 2016). However, the metabolic activity of CYP3A is significantly lower in the fetal liver than in the adult liver (Ochiai et al., 2016; Kitaoka et al., 2018a; Kitaoka et al., 2018b). Therefore, it is considered that CBD is distributed throughout the entire fetal body with little metabolism in the liver. There are two main routes for drug elimination.
in the fetus. One route is the return of the drug to maternal blood through the placenta.

The second route is the excretion of drugs from the fetal kidneys into the urine.

The human gestation period is divided into three trimesters. The first trimester (early pregnancy) is an important stage when fetal organs and organs are formed. Therefore, if a pregnant woman uses a drug during this trimester, it may be transferred to the fetus, potentially affecting development. Conversely, after the second trimester, the effects of most drugs on the fetus are small, and drugs with confirmed safety profiles can be administered. However, even after the second trimester, the fetal organs are not completely formed, and therefore, some drugs may stunt fetal growth or cause functional abnormalities. The judgment of drug safety during pregnancy depends on the results of reproductive and developmental toxicity studies using experimental animals such as mice. However, the effects of drugs in such studies are judged by morphological abnormalities in the offspring. Therefore, if no morphological abnormality is found in the newborn, its effects (toxicity) cannot be clarified.

Generally, the effects of drugs occur in the organs or tissues in which they are distributed. The placental route is the most important route of drug transfer from the mother to the fetus. The placenta acts as a barrier that prevents the transfer of drugs to the fetus. However, low-molecular-weight compounds (MW < 600) and highly
lipophilic drugs easily penetrate the placenta (Bain et al., 1990; Leslie et al., 2005; Holland et al., 2007; Mao, 2008; Joshi et al., 2016). CBD (MW = 314) is highly lipophilic, and it is classified as a low-molecular-weight compound, suggesting that it easily penetrates the placenta. In addition, the placental permeability of the drug depends on the presence of a transporter. Currently, the existence of transporters for CBD has not been reported. Therefore, CBD appears to penetrate the placenta primarily via passive diffusion. After passing through the placenta, CBD travels through the umbilical cord to the fetal liver.

Meanwhile, amniotic fluid is composed of urine from the fetus and liquid exuded from the maternal blood. Therefore, drugs transferred to fetal urine will be accumulated again by the fetus via amniotic fluid. This circulation between the fetus and amniotic fluid delays the elimination of the drug from the fetus.

Although CBD is increasingly used during pregnancy to control nausea and anxiety, little information is available regarding its fetal effects. Considering that the market size of CBD-containing health products continues to expand in areas such as Europe and the United States, it is estimated that a large number of pregnant women will use these products. In this study, CBD was intravenously administered once to mice during a period corresponding to the second trimester of pregnancy in humans, and the
distribution of CBD transferred from the mother to the fetus and its pharmacokinetics were analyzed. We also analyzed changes in the CBD concentration in amniotic fluid.

We believe that by clarifying the distribution and behavior of CBD in the fetus, we can obtain information on its developmental and toxic effects even when morphological changes are not observed.
Materials and Methods

Materials

CBD was purchased from Abcam Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). CBD-D3 solution was purchased from Sigma–Aldrich Corp. (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other reagents were of the highest commercially available grade.

Animal handling

Pregnancy ICR mice (gestational day 12.5) were purchased from Japan SLC, Inc. (Tokyo Laboratory Animals Science Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). The mice were kept at room temperature (24 ± 1 °C) and 55 ± 5% humidity with 12 h of light (artificial illumination; 08:00–20:00). Food and water were available ad libitum. Each animal was used only once.

The present study was conducted in accordance with the Guiding Principles for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, as adopted by the Animal Care Committee of Hoshi University (Tokyo, Japan). All procedures using animals were carried out according to protocols approved by the Animal Care Committee of Hoshi University, approval number [28-094].
Drug preparation and administration

The dose of CBD (10 mg/kg) was determined from previous paper that intravenous administration of CBD was effective in relieving epilepsy and chronic stress in mice (Guimaraes et al., 1990; Patra et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2019).

A stock solution of 50 mg/mL CBD was prepared by dissolution in Tween 80. Stock solution was diluted 50-fold with physiological saline to prepare a CBD solution (1.0 mg/mL) (Murase et al., 2014). Mice were intravenously administered 100 μL of CBD solution per 10 g body weight under isoflurane anesthesia. Preparation of the CBD solution was performed immediately before administration.

Measurements of CBD in maternal blood and fetal tissue

CBD solution (1.0 mg/mL) was administered via the tail vein to mice on day 14.5 of pregnancy. Following CBD administration, at each period (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 12 h) blood samples (about 1 mL/pregnant mouse) were collected from the inferior vena cava of five pregnant mice for each time point under isoflurane anesthesia. Next, uterine blood vessels were cauterized with an electrosurgical knife to prevent the attachment of the maternal blood to fetus. Amniotic fluid was collected from the uterus with the use of
a 26G 1/2 needle and syringe. When fetuses for each pregnant mice were removed from the uterus, the umbilical cord was cauterized with an electrosurgical knife.

Sampling was performed from 5 pregnant mice at each time point. Six fetuses were collected per pregnant mouse. Three fetuses were used for whole body CBD concentration measurement. The remaining three fetuses were used to measure CBD levels in the brain, liver and gastrointestinal (GI) tract. The remaining fetuses were stored as spares without measurement at each time point. All samples were stored at -80°C until use.

Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) sample preparation

The fetus (whole body) was homogenized with 4 mL of 2% phosphoric acid solution and vortexed for 1 min. At that time, 100 ng of CBD-D3 were dissolved in 10 µL of methanol as an internal standard and spiked into the homogenate. The homogenate solution was subjected to centrifugal separation at 9000 × g for 10 min at room temperature.

Solid-phase extraction columns (Oasis® HLB PRiME 3cc [60 mg], Waters, Milford, MA, USA) were preconditioned with 1 mL of methanol and equilibrated with 500 µL of ultrapure water and 2% phosphoric acid solution. Following preconditioning, the
columns were filled with 3.8 mL of supernatant. Washing was performed with 1 mL of methanol : ultrapure water (5:95, v/v%). The sample was eluted with 1 mL of acetonitrile : methanol (90:10, v/v%). The eluate was removed by blowing nitrogen gas onto the solvent at 50°C. The eluate was redissolved in 100 µL of mobile phase at the start of the gradient and used as the LC-MS sample.

Each fetal organ (brain, liver, and gastrointestinal [GI] tract) was homogenized in 1 mL of a 2% phosphoric acid solution and pretreated in the same manner as the whole fetus.

A total of maternal plasma (100 µL) or amniotic fluid (500 µL) and an equal volume of 4% phosphoric acid solution were mixed and vortexed for 1 min.

At that time, 100 ng of CBD-D3 were dissolved in 10 µL of methanol as an internal standard and spiked into the samples, which were then pretreated using the previously described protocol for whole fetus samples. The calibration curve was prepared by spiking each control sample with the CBD standard solution and pretreating the samples using the same method. The quantification range of the calibration curve was 1.953–500 ng/fetus for fetuses (whole body), 1.953–250 ng/tissue for each fetal organ, 1.953–1000 ng/mL for maternal plasma, and 1.953–125 ng/mL for amniotic fluid.
Under acidic conditions, CBD is transformed to THC and other THC isomers. Therefore, the stability of CBD in the phosphoric acid solution used in this study was analyzed. As a result, the stability of CBD was 89% in the 2% phosphoric acid solution and 74% in the 4% phosphoric acid solution. There was no significant difference between these values (Supplemental Fig. 1). In other words, the decomposition of CBD during the pretreatment was limited. In this study, a calibration curve was created using the matrix matching method. In other words, even in the calibration curve, the decomposition of CBD occurred at the same rate, and thus, it can be said that the quantification results are also accurate.

**Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis**

LC-MS analysis was performed using a combination of an LC-20A HPLC system (SHIMADZU, Kyoto, Japan) and LCMS-2010 (SHIMADZU). The analytical column used was an XBridge C18 (Waters, Milford, MA, U.S.A). The analysis was performed at a column temperature of 40ºC. Ultrapure water containing 0.1% formic acid was used as mobile phase A. Acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid was used as mobile phase B. The analysis was performed in binary gradient mode, as shown below. (gradient
condition (% of B): 0.00 min 40%, 8.00 min 80%, 12.00 min 80%, 12.01 min 40%, 17.00 min 40%). The flow rate was constant at 0.2 mL/min.

For the interface, positive mode electrospray ionization (ESI) was used. The nebulizer gas flow rate was set at 1.5 L/min. The CDL and heat block temperatures were 250 ºC and 200 ºC, respectively. The voltage of the detector was set at 1.5 V. Measurement was performed by the selected ion monitoring (SIM) method based on an m/z value of 315 for CBD, 318 for CBD-d3 (Internal standard).

The LCMSsolution Ver.3.40 (SHIMADZU) was used for HPLC system control and MS chromatogram analysis.
Pharmacokinetic analysis

In this study, pharmacokinetic parameters \( AUC_{0-12}, AUC_{\text{inf}}, MRT_{0-12}, MRT_{\text{inf}}, t_{1/2\alpha}, \beta \) were calculated by fitting the 2-compartment model with calculation software NAPP (Numeric Analysis Program for Pharmacokinetics) (Hisaka and Sugiyama, 1998).

\( \text{CL}_{\text{tot}} \) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{CL}_{\text{tot}} = \frac{D}{AUC_{0-12}}
\]

\( Vd_{\alpha} \) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
Vd_{\alpha} = \frac{D}{C_0}
\]

Elimination rate constant (\( \beta \)) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\beta = \frac{0.693}{t_{1/2\beta}}
\]

\( Vd_{\beta} \) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
Vd_{\beta} = \frac{D}{\beta \cdot AUC}
\]
Statistics

Experimental values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Outliers were calculated using the Smirnov–Grubbs outliers test.

The significance of differences was evaluated using ANOVA (Tukey’s test) or Student’s t-test. Calculation and graphical exploration were performed using GraphPad Prism software (Prism ver.9).
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Results

**CBD rapidly reach the fetus after maternal administration.**

Maternal blood CBD levels peaked (2615.3 ± 442.3 ng/mL) immediately after administration and decreased rapidly (Fig. 1A). Fetal CBD levels were measured using the same procedure. CBD transfer to the fetus began 15 min after maternal administration and peaked at 598.7 ± 251.9 ng/g. The changes in fetal and maternal blood CBD levels were nearly identical (Fig. 1B). Table 1 displays the pharmacokinetic parameters (area under the curve [AUC], mean residence time [MRT], half-life \( t_{1/2\alpha, \beta} \), \( \text{CL}_\text{tot} \), \( \text{Vd}_\alpha, \beta \)) of CBD in maternal blood and fetus (whole body). The transfer rate of CBD from the mother to the fetus was calculated as 66.9% of the AUC. Furthermore, \( t_{1/2\beta} \) in the mother was 4.9 ± 1.2 h, whereas that in the fetus was 2.3 ± 0.5 h.

**CBD rapidly reaches fetal organs after maternal administration.**

CBD levels in the fetal brain, liver, and GI tract were measured to identify the fetal organs in which CBD accumulated. CBD transfer to the fetal brain, liver, and GI tract began 15 min after maternal CBD administration (Fig. 2). CBD levels were highest in the liver (1627.5 ± 3126 ng/g, Fig. 2B). CBD levels in these organs rapidly decreased over the first 4 h after maternal administration, after which the decline was more
gradual. CBD levels in the GI tract were lower than the limit of quantification at 12 h after maternal CBD administration (Fig. 2C). The pharmacokinetic parameters (AUC, MRT, $t_{1/2a,β}$) of CBD in the fetal organs are presented in Table 2. The AUC of CBD was highest in the liver and lowest in the GI tract. $t_{1/2β}$ was longer in the brain than in the liver ($7.2 ± 2.4$ h vs. $4.2 ± 0.4$ h). $t_{1/2β}$ could not be measured for the GI tract.

**Estimation of CBD transfer to and distribution in the fetus**

To assess the extent of maternal to fetal transfer of CBD, the ratio of the amount of CBD transferred to the fetal to the maternal blood CBD concentration was plotted over time. We have decided to express the "concentration ratio" as the "transfer ratio". This transfer ratio increased until the first 4 h after administration of CBD and then decreased (Fig. 3).

**Estimation of CBD transfer to and distribution in the fetal brain, liver, and GI tract**

To assess the transferability and accumulation of CBD in fetal organs (brain, liver and GI tract), the ratio of the amount of CBD transferred to each organ of the fetus to the maternal blood CBD concentration was plotted over time. In these organs, the ratio...
increased over the first hour after maternal CBD administration. The transfer ratio was highest for the liver and lowest for the GI tract. After 1 h, the transfer ratio started to decrease. However, the transfer ratio in the fetal GI tract increased slightly between 4 and 8 h after maternal CBD administration (Fig. 4).

**CBD transfer to maternal amniotic fluid is small**

We measured CBD levels in amniotic fluid because fetuses ingest amniotic fluid in the second trimester. CBD was detected in amniotic fluid immediately after maternal administration. CBD levels in amniotic fluid peaked (74.4 ± 12.8 ng/mL) 30 min after administration and then rapidly decreased over 4 h following administration (Fig. 5).

Table 3 displays the pharmacokinetic parameters (AUC, MRT, $t_{1/2\alpha,\beta}$) of CBD in amniotic fluid. The AUC of CBD in amniotic fluid was 23.9% of that in maternal blood. $t_{1/2\beta}$ was 51.5 ± 6.4 h, which was markedly longer than those in the maternal plasma or fetal organs.
Discussion

CBD is reported to have pharmacological effects such as antiemetic and anxiolytic activities. Pregnant women are increasingly using CBD in anticipation of nausea and anxiety. However, the safety of CBD in the fetus has not been clarified. Therefore, we analyzed the transfer of CBD from the mother to the fetus and the pharmacokinetics of CBD in the fetus using mice in the second trimester of pregnancy.

When we input the raw data into the pharmacokinetic analysis software (NAPP) (Hisaka and Sugiyama, 1998), we obtained analysis results in which the distribution phase (α) was 4 hours after the administration of CBD and the elimination phase (β) was after that. Although it is possible to analyze with multiple compartments, we decided that it would not be useful, so we decided to analyze with a two-compartment model (Fig.1 & Table 1). We calculated maternal CBD clearance and volume of distribution to validate the fetal CBD pharmacokinetics. Since the Vdₐ of CBD in the mother's body is small and the CLₕ is several times larger, it is clear that the disappearance of CBD from the mother's body is rapid. Therefore, it is unlikely that CBD that has transferred to the fetus will continue to remain.

In this study, we analyzed AUC and half-life using a 2-compartment model. However, these parameters change depending on the number of compartments in compartment
model. Therefore, we provided the validity of the data on the pharmacokinetics of CBD by using MRT analysis for the relationship between AUC and time (Table 1 & 2).

Next, we analyzed the pharmacokinetics of CBD in fetal organs because side effects generally occur in the organ (tissue) in which the drug accumulates. In other words, when analyzing the effects of CBD on the fetus, this strategy can analyze the organs (tissues) in which it accumulates in detail.

The drug (compound) administered to the mother reaches the fetal liver via the umbilical vein. Via fetal circulation, the drug transferred from the mother to the fetus is distributed to each organ of the fetus. Because the fetus is not a small adult, the pharmacokinetics of adults cannot be applied to fetuses. Therefore, we analyzed the changes of CBD concentrations in the fetal brain, liver, and GI tract (Fig. 2 & Table 2).

The reason we measured the fetal brain is that delayed fetal neurogenesis is a serious problem. The CBD levels of the fetal brain were similar to those of other organs. We also report that the CYP3A family involved in CBD metabolism is rarely expressed in the fetal liver during this period (Ochiai et al., 2016; Kitaoka et al., 2018a; Kitaoka et al., 2018b). Therefore, we felt the need to measure CBD levels in the liver. In fact, the CBD concentration in the fetal liver showed the highest value (1627.5 ± 3126 ng/g, Fig. 2B).

In addition, it was also found that the AUC\textsubscript{0-12} of the liver was significantly higher than
that of the brain and gastrointestinal tract (Table 2). The finding that the drug
concentration was highest in the liver can be explained by the fact that most of the
umbilical vein fluid containing CBD is transferred to the whole fetus via the liver (Fig.
2 & Table 2). Next, we measured the gastrointestinal tract as a site involved in the
absorption of CBD, as the fetus drinks amniotic fluid. The CBD concentration in
amniotic fluid remained lower than that in other organs. The low AUC of the
gastrointestinal tract compared to the brain and liver is consistent with the low
concentration of CBD in amniotic fluid (Fig. 5 & Table 3). There was a significant
difference in AUC among the three organs (fetal brain, liver, and GI tract) (Table 2).
However, it was considered that there was not much difference when compared with the
AUC of the whole fetal body (Table 2). Therefore, it was suggested that CBD was
not distributed in high concentrations in organs other than the three organs (fetal brain,
liver, and GI tract).

We used the transferability of CBD from the mother to the fetus as an index of the
transfer of CBD to the fetus relative to its concentration in the mother (Fig. 3). Up to 4
hours after administration of CBD, it was shown to have increased maternal to fetal
transfer (Fig. 3). However, the transfer ratio of CBD in fetal organs peaked 1 hour after
administration and began to decrease (Fig. 4). We speculate that this is the result of fetal
CBD being dispersed in each organ. Therefore, although the transfer ratio of CBD in organs is transiently high, it is considered that the distribution to specific organs is small.

The placental route and fetal kidney-to-urinary excretion are important for the elimination of drugs from the fetus. However, in mouse fetuses on gestational day 14.5, the kidneys are not completely formed. Furthermore, because CBD readily binds to proteins in plasma, it is considered that unmetabolized CBD is less likely to undergo urinary excretion via glomerular filtration. The reason for the high CBD concentration in amniotic fluid immediately after administration could be the transfer of CBD directly to amniotic fluid through the umbilical artery wall and amniotic membrane. However, the CBD concentration in amniotic fluid was significantly lower than those in other organs (Fig. 4 & 5). Meanwhile, given the longer $t_{1/2\beta}$ in amniotic fluid than in fetal tissues, if pregnant women repeatedly ingest CBD over a long period, it cannot be ruled out that even if the concentration of CBD in amniotic fluid is low, the low concentration of CBD may affect the fetus.

In this study, we analyzed the pharmacokinetics of CBD in the mother and fetus as an index to estimate the effect on the fetus when taking CBD during pregnancy. We also analyzed the pharmacokinetics of the drug in each organ (brain, liver, GI tract) in the
fetus and found that it was not accumulative in any particular organ. We illustrated the fetus is exposed to a low CBD concentration following the ingestion of a small amount of CBD by the mother. The short $t_{1/2}$ and low MRT of CBD in the mother and fetus restrict its accumulation (Table 1). However, because the pharmacokinetics of the fetus differs from that of the adult, it is necessary to evaluate the effects of multiple CBD doses in the future.

In addition, morphological analytical methods are also important for more accurately clarifying the effects of CBD use during pregnancy on the fetus. Furthermore, it is necessary to analyze the actual effects of different doses on the fetus. Finally, we would like to clarify the relationship between the amount of CBD transferred to each organ in the fetus and the presence or absence of toxicity.

In recent years, various supplements containing CBD as the main component have been marketed. However, the scientific evidence of their efficacy and safety is not sufficient. In particular, the effects on the fetus can result in a significant mental and financial burden on children and their families. Therefore, we hope that our study will spur more detailed analyses of the safety of CBD use during pregnancy.
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Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Cannabidiol (CBD) concentration–time profiles in maternal blood and fetus in pregnant mice. CBD (10 mg/kg) was administered to pregnant mice via the tail vein, and blood was collected via the central vein at various times (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, and 12 h). Blood was collected from the central vein. Fetuses were extracted simultaneously. After separation from blood, plasma was analyzed using LC-MS. (A; Maternal plasma (n = 5), B; Fetus (n = 15)). The results of the analysis are displayed as mean ± SD values of the mean levels with respect to individual pregnancy mice at each time point (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 12 h).

Fig. 2. Cannabidiol (CBD) concentration–time profiles in fetal tissue. CBD (10 mg/kg) was administered to pregnant mice via the tail vein, and fetal tissues were dissected at various times (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, and 12 h). The homogenate solutions of the organs were analyzed via LC-MS (A; fetal brain (n = 15), B; fetal liver (n = 15), C; fetal GI tract (n = 15)). The results of the analysis are displayed as mean ± SD values of the mean levels with respect to individual pregnancy mice at each time point (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 12 h). N.D., not detected.
Fig. 3. Estimation of the cannabidiol (CBD) transfer ratio from mothers to fetuses.

A time-course plot of the ratio of the fetus CBD concentration to the maternal plasma CBD concentration was created (Maternal plasma (n = 5), fetus (n = 15)). The results of the analysis are displayed as mean ± SD values of the mean levels with respect to individual pregnancy mice at each time point (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 12 h).

Concentration ratio = \[
\frac{\text{CBD concentration of fetus (Fig. 1B)}}{\text{Maternal plasma CBD concentration (Fig. 1A)}}
\]

Fig. 4. Estimation of the cannabidiol (CBD) transfer ratio from maternal blood to fetal tissue. A time-course plot of the ratio of the fetal tissue CBD concentration to maternal plasma CBD concentration was created (n = 15). Values are displayed as mean ± SD.

N.D. , not detected.

Concentration ratio = \[
\frac{\text{CBD concentration of each fetal organs (Fig. 2A,B,C)}}{\text{Maternal plasma CBD concentration (Fig. 1A)}}
\]
Fig. 5. Cannabidiol (CBD) concentration–time profiles of amniotic fluid in pregnant mice. CBD (10 mg/kg) was administered by tail vein injection, and its levels in amniotic fluid were measured at various times via LC-MS (Amniotic fluid (n = 5)). The results of the analysis are displayed as mean ± SD values of the mean levels with respect to individual pregnancy mice at each time point (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 12 h).
Table 1. Pharmacokinetic parameters of cannabidiol in the maternal plasma and fetus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal Sample</th>
<th>AUC(_{0-12}) (ng*h/mL)</th>
<th>AUC(_{\text{inf}}) (ng*h/mL)</th>
<th>MRT(_{0-12}) (h)</th>
<th>MRT(_{\text{inf}}) (h)</th>
<th>t(_{1/2\alpha}) (h)</th>
<th>t(_{1/2\beta}) (h)</th>
<th>CL(_{\text{tot}}) (L/h/Kg)</th>
<th>Vd(_\alpha) (L/Kg)</th>
<th>Vd(_\beta) (L/Kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma (n=5)</td>
<td>1295.2 ± 166.0</td>
<td>1692.1 ± 417.5</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>55.1 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fetal Sample</th>
<th>AUC(_{0-12}) (ng*h/g fetus)</th>
<th>AUC(_{\text{inf}}) (ng*h/g fetus)</th>
<th>MRT(_{0-12}) (h)</th>
<th>MRT(_{\text{inf}}) (h)</th>
<th>t(_{1/2\alpha}) (h)</th>
<th>t(_{1/2\beta}) (h)</th>
<th>CL(_{\text{tot}}) (L/h/Kg)</th>
<th>Vd(_\alpha) (L/Kg)</th>
<th>Vd(_\beta) (L/Kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole body (n=15)</td>
<td>866.4 ± 214.2</td>
<td>874.5 ± 210.0</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the analysis are displayed as mean ± SD.
Table 2. Pharmacokinetic parameters of cannabidiol in the fetal brain, liver and GI tract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fetal Sample</th>
<th>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-12&lt;/sub&gt; (ng*h/g tissue)</th>
<th>AUC&lt;sub&gt;inf&lt;/sub&gt; (ng*h/g tissue)</th>
<th>MRT&lt;sub&gt;0-12&lt;/sub&gt; (h)</th>
<th>MRT&lt;sub&gt;inf&lt;/sub&gt; (h)</th>
<th>t&lt;sub&gt;1/2α&lt;/sub&gt; (h)</th>
<th>t&lt;sub&gt;1/2β&lt;/sub&gt; (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>1078.4 ± 72.3</td>
<td>1224.3 ± 138.9</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>7.2 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>1519.2 ± 154.3</td>
<td>1572.3 ± 164.7</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI tract</td>
<td>668.8 ± 52.1</td>
<td>1150.7 ± 218.6</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.5 ± 4.5</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Brain × Liver P<0.0001 - N.S. - N.S. P<0.0001
Brain × GI tract P=0.0001 - N.S. - N.S.
Liver × GI tract P<0.0001 - N.S. - N.S.

The results of the analysis are displayed as mean ± SD. Numerical data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. The significance of differences was evaluated using ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s test (AUC<sub>0-12</sub>, MRT<sub>0-12</sub>, t<sub>1/2α</sub>). The Student’s t-test was used for the significant difference in t<sub>1/2β</sub> between brain and liver. N.S.; not significant.
Table 3. Pharmacokinetic parameters of cannabidiol in amniotic fluid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>AUC$_{0-12}$ (ng*h/mL)</th>
<th>AUC$_{\text{inf}}$ (ng*h/mL)</th>
<th>MRT$_{0-12}$ (h)</th>
<th>MRT$_{\text{inf}}$ (h)</th>
<th>$t_{1/2\alpha}$ (h)</th>
<th>$t_{1/2\beta}$ (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amniotic Fluid</td>
<td>309.9 ± 5.8</td>
<td>1658.6 ± 173.2</td>
<td>6.4 ± 3.8</td>
<td>71.0 ± 8.9</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>51.5 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the analysis are displayed as mean ± SD.
**Fig. 1**

(A) Maternal plasma

(B) Fetus

[Graphs showing the concentration of CBD over time in maternal plasma and fetus.]
**Fig. 2**

(A) Fetal brain

(B) Fetal Liver

(C) Fetal GI tract

The graphs show the concentration of CBD (in ng/g) in different fetal tissues (brain, liver, and GI tract) over time (in hours). The concentration decreases over time, with some levels reaching near detection limits (N.D.).
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Fig. 4
Amniotic fluid

CBD concentration (ng/mL) vs. Time (h)

Fig. 5