Metabolism of a selective serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor duloxetine in liver microsomes and mice
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Abstract

Duloxetine (DLX) is a dual serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor, widely used for the
treatment of major depressive disorder. Although DLX has shown good efficacy and safety,
serious adverse effects (e.g., liver injury) have been reported. The mechanisms associated with
DLX-induced toxicity remain elusive. Drug metabolism plays critical roles in drug safety and
efficacy. However, the metabolic profile of DLX in mice is not available although mice serve as
commonly used animal models for mechanistic studies of drug-induced adverse effects. Our
study revealed 39 DLX metabolites in human/mouse liver microsomes and mice. Of note,
thirteen metabolites are novel, including five N-acetyl cysteine adducts and one GSH adduct
associated with DLX. Additionally, the species differences of certain metabolites were observed
between human and mouse liver microsomes. CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 are primary enzymes
responsible for the formation of DLX metabolites in liver microsomes, including DLX-GSH
adducts. In summary, a total of 39 DLX metabolites were identified and species differences were
noticed in vitro. The roles of CYP450s in DLX metabolite formation were also verified using
human recombinant P450 enzymes and corresponding chemical inhibitors. Further studies are
warranted to address the exact role of DLX metabolism in its adverse effects in vitro (e.g.,
human primary hepatocytes) and in vivo (e.g., Cyp1a2-null mice).
Significance Statement

Current study systematically investigated DLX metabolism and bioactivation in liver microsomes and mice. This study provided a global view of DLX metabolism and bioactivation in liver microsomes and mice, which are very valuable to further elucidate the mechanistic study of DLX-related adverse effects and drug-drug interaction from metabolic aspects.
Introduction

Duloxetine (Cymbalta®, DLX) is a potent and dual inhibitor of serotonin (5-HT) and norepinephrine (NE) reuptake (Bymaster et al., 2001; Chalon et al., 2003; Bymaster et al., 2005). DLX is a medication mainly used for the treatment of major depressive disorder (Detke et al., 2002; Nelson et al., 2005). DLX is also approved for stress urinary incontinence (Millard et al., 2004; Guay, 2005) and peripheral neuropathic pain (Goldstein et al., 2005; Raskin et al., 2005). The safety of DLX has been well evaluated in large-scale patients across indications and healthy subjects (Wernicke et al., 2005; Gahimer et al., 2007). Although DLX has shown good efficacy, safety and tolerance, it may cause serious adverse effects in rare cases, e.g., liver injury or hepatic failure. Clinically, DLX elevating serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels above 3 times the upper limit of normal occurs in ~1% of patients. The death associated with DLX treatment has been described, but the relatedness to DLX needed to be verified (Hanje et al., 2006; DeSanty and Amabile, 2007; Vuppalanchi et al., 2010; Kang et al., 2011; Scanlon et al., 2016). Liver injury related to DLX usually happen within 1 to 6 months and immunoallergic features (e.g., rash) & autoimmune are rare (Vuppalanchi et al., 2010). Clinical studies indicated that excessive or chronic alcohol consumption escalated the risk of DLX liver toxicity. The mechanisms of DLX-induced liver toxicity remain elusive. At present, it is well appreciated that drug metabolism plays critical roles in the drug efficacy and safety. More generally, metabolism is considered as the detoxification process by modifying the chemical structure of xenobiotics, which render them readily excrete out from our body. In some cases, the excess toxic intermediates (e.g., epoxides, and aldehydes) formed in xenobiotic metabolism could cause various adverse effects toxicity (Dieckhaus et al., 2002; Baillie, 2008; Attia, 2010; Laskar and Younus, 2018). In healthy human subjects, DLX was rapidly bio-transformed into a complex
array of metabolites. The major pathways of DLX involve oxidation in the naphthyl ring followed by further oxidation, methylation and conjugation of glucuronic acid and sulfate (Lantz et al., 2003). CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 are responsible for the initial oxidation step of DLX in vitro. Clinical studies revealed that CYP1A2 is the predominant enzyme contributing to DLX metabolism in vivo using potent CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 inhibitors (Skinner et al., 2003; Lobo et al., 2008), respectively. The glucuronide of 4-hydroxylated DLX and the sulfated 5-hydroxy-6-methoxylated DLX are major circulating metabolites in human (Lantz et al., 2003). Around 70% of DLX as conjugated metabolites is primarily excreted into the urine and 20% is present in the feces as the parent drug, 4-hydroxylated-DLX, and uncharacterized metabolites. Mice are one of frequently used animal models for the mechanistic studies of drug toxicity, as several transgenic mouse models are available (e.g., Cyp1a2-null mice). To our best knowledge, the metabolic fate of DLX in mice are not available although they are very valuable for studying the mechanism of DLX-related adverse effects in mouse models. Comparison of metabolic profiles among mouse models and human subjects are indispensable for identifying the species differences.

In this study, we investigated the metabolic pathways of DLX in human/mouse liver microsomes (HLM/MLM), and mice using liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS)-based metabolomic approaches. Our previous studies demonstrated that LC-MS-based metabolomics is a rapid and effective approach to investigate drug metabolism (Li et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020), bioactivation (Li et al., 2011b; Li et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016; MacKenzie et al., 2020) and toxicity (O'Connell and Watkins, 2010; Li et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019). Here, a total of 39 metabolites generated from DLX was identified, of which 13 metabolites are novel. Five N-acetyl cysteine (NAC) conjugated adducts associated
with DLX (M34-M38) were detected and characterized in mouse urine and feces. We also
identified one DLX-GSH adduct and three NAc conjugated adducts related to DLX (M34, M35,
and M38) in mouse plasma and liver. Our studies suggested that CYP2D6 and CYP1A2 are the
major enzymes contributing to the formation of major DLX phase I metabolites, which are
consistent with previous findings. The species differences of certain metabolites were observed
in human and mouse liver microsomes. This study provides the comprehensive metabolic
profiling of DLX in HLM, MLM, and mice, which may significantly contribute to the
mechanistic studies of adverse effects associated with DLX metabolism and possible drug-drug
interaction from metabolic aspects.

Materials and Methods

Materials. DLX, [(3S)-N-methyl-3-(1-naphthyloxy)-3-(2-thienyl)propan-1-amine], alpha-
naphthoflavone (α-NF), nootkatone (NT), quercetin (QT), ticlopidine (TCP), ketoconazole,
(KCZ), and sulfaphenazole (SPA) were purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor,
Michigan). Quinidine (Quin), formic acid, and NADPH (β-Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
2′-phosphate reduced tetrasodium salt hydrate) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis,
MO). HLM (XTreme 200 Mixed Gender Human Liver Microsomes), MLM, and the
recombinant human CYP450s (EasyCYP Bactosomes) were purchased from XenoTech (Lenexa,
KS). All solvents for liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry were of the highest grade
commercially available.

Animal treatments and sample preparation. All mice (FVB mice, 2-4 months old, male)
were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory and maintained under a standard 12-h dark/light
cycle with water and chow provided ad libitum. Handling was according to animal study protocols approved by the University of Kansas Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Two groups of mice (n = 4) were orally administrated with 1 X phosphate buffered saline (PBS, 250 µl for a 25 g mouse) and DLX (12 mg/kg, 1.2 mg/ml in 1 X PBS), respectively. The mice were housed separately in metabolic cages. The clinically relevant dose were administrated to mice, which is translated from human dose (60 mg daily) (Nair and Jacob, 2016). Urine and feces were collected continually for 18 hours. Plasma and liver samples were harvested 90 min after the treatment of DLX (p.o., 12 mg/kg) from an additional group (n = 3), as DLX reaches the C_max at 90 min in mice according to our pharmacokinetics study (data not published). The methods for sample preparation of urine, feces, plasma, and liver have been described in our previous report (Liu et al., 2016). Briefly, urinary samples were prepared by adding 160 µl of 50% ice-cold methanol to 20 µl of urine and plasma samples were prepared by mixing 20 µl of plasma with 60 µl of ice-cold methanol. The sample mixtures were vortexed, centrifuged at 15,000 g for 15 min. Feces and liver samples were weighted and homogenized in 50% methanol (50 mg liver in 250 µl; 50 mg feces in 500 µl). Subsequently, 150 µl of methanol was added to 50 µl of the resulting mixture. The mixtures were centrifuged for 20 min at 15,000 g. The resulting supernatant transferred to a new Eppendorf vial was subject to a second centrifugation (15,000 g for 15 min). Three µl of each supernatant was injected onto a system combining ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) coupled with Q Exactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Q Exactive MS) for analysis.

Metabolism of DLX in LMs and human recombinant CYP450s. Incubations were performed in 1 X PBS (pH 7.4) containing 20 µM DLX and LMs (final concentration 1.0 mg
protein/ml, HLM and MLM) or 2 pmol of each cDNA-expressed P450s enzyme (control, CYP1A2, 2A6, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, and 3A4) in a final volume of 190 μl. After a 5 min pre-incubation at 37 °C, the incubation system was fortified with 10 μl of 20 mM NADPH (final concentration 1.0 mM) and incubation was continued for 40 min with gentle shaking. Incubations in the absence of NADPH were used as controls. Co-incubations of DLX (20 μM) and α-NF (CYP1A2 inhibitor, 6 μM), or Quin (CYP2D6 inhibitor, 4 μM) in HLM were performed to determine their roles in the formation of DLX major metabolites. Co-incubations of DLX (20 μM) with TCP (10 μM, CYP2B6 inhibitor, pre-incubation for 20 min before adding DLX), or QT (30 μM, CYP2C8 inhibitor), or SPA (4.0 μM, CYP2C9 inhibitor), NK (10 μM, CYP2C19 inhibitor), or KCZ (4.0 μM, CYP3A4 inhibitor) in HLM were conducted to identify their contribution to the formation of N-demethyl-DLX (M4). The concentrations of CYP inhibitors used in this study based on over 90% inhibition for their corresponding in vitro substrates that FDA recommended. Reactions were terminated with 200 μl of ice-cold methanol and vortexing for 30 seconds. After centrifugation at 15,000 g for 15 min, 3.0 μl of each supernatant was injected onto UHPLC-Q Exactive MS system for analysis. Incubations were performed in duplicate for cDNA-expressed enzymes, and in triplicate for LM experiments.

**UHPLC-Q Exactive MS analysis.** Samples (urine, feces, plasma and liver) from mice administered with DLX and in vitro metabolism experiments were analyzed using UHPLC coupled with Q Exactive MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) equipped with a 100 mm x 2.1 mm BEH C-18 column (Acquity 1.7 μm, Waters, Milford, MA). The column temperature was set at 40 °C and the 0.3 ml/min of flow rate was used with a gradient ranging from 2% to 95% aqueous acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid in a 15-min run. Q Exactive MS was operated
in both positive mode and negative mode with electrospray ionization. Ultra-pure nitrogen serves as the sheath (45 arbitrary unit), auxiliary (10 arbitrary unit), sweep (1.0 arbitrary unit) and collision gas. The capillary gas temperature was set at 275 °C and the capillary voltages was set at 3.7 kV. MS data in profile mode were acquired from 80 to 1200 Da. The reference ions at $m/z$ 371.1012 for positive mode and 174.6592 for negative mode were used as lock masses during acquisition. The resolution was set at 140,000 and AGT target as $3e^6$. The S-lens RF level was set at 55. The MS/MS of DLX and DLX metabolites was carried out in targeted mode with an isolation width of 2 $m/z$ with ramp collision energy set at 15, 20, and 35 eV.

**Data analysis.** Xcalibur software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) was used for acquiring chromatograms and mass spectra in profile formats from $m/z$ 80 to 1200. The raw file data were first input in Compound Discoverer 3.1 software (CD 3.1, Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA), followed by processing with untargeted metabolic workflow. The mass tolerance for alignment was set at 5 ppm and retention time shift at 1.0 min. Minimal intensity was set at 300,000 for compound detection. Multivariate data matrix was extracted from CD 3.1. in excel format included retention times, exact masses, peak areas of each ion in each analyzed sample. (User guide: https://assets.thermofisher.com/TFS-Assets/CMD/manuals/man-xcali-98120-compound-discoverer-user-manxcali98120-en.pdf). Extracted Data matrices were then exported into SIMCA14 (Umetrics, Kinnelon, NJ) for multivariate data analysis (Cazanave et al., 2009). Orthogonal projection to latent structures-discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) was performed on Pareto-scaled data (Worley and Powers, 2013). For chemometric analysis, the matrix data from $m/z$ 100 to 750 were processed. Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s independent $t$-test. All the presented data are as mean ± S.E.M.
Results

**Profiling DLX metabolism in mice using a metabolomic approach.** The results of the chemometric analysis on the ions produced from the UHPLC-Q Exactive MS analysis of urine samples from control and DLX-treated mice are shown in Fig. 1. The mouse urinary metabolomes from both positive and negative modes were analyzed. The principal component analysis (PCA) revealed two clusters corresponding to the control and DLX-treated groups (Figs. 1A, positive; 1C, negative). OPLS-DA generated the S-plot (Figs. 1B, positive; 1D, negative), which displays the ion contribution to the group separation. The top-ranking ions contributing to group separation are DLX and its metabolites and marked in the corresponding S-plots. DLX and its metabolites were excreted in both urine and feces, but largely in the urine (M2-M6 and M10-M38) (Figs. 2A, 3A and Table 1). The metabolites M2-M6, M10-M12, M27, and M30-M37 were found in feces (Figs. 2B, 3B and Table 1). Thirteen DLX metabolites were formed from phase I reactions in LM and nine of them were also detected in mice (Table 1). Additionally, 26 phase II metabolites were identified in mice, including 15 DLX-glucuronides (M13-M26, M29), 5 O+DLX+sulfates (M28, M30-M33), 4 DLX-NAc adducts (M34-M37), one naphthol-NAc-sulfate (M38), and one DLX-GSH adduct (M39). Totally, we identified 39 DLX metabolites in liver microsomes and mice, and 13 of them are novel.

**Excretion of DLX and its metabolites in mice and biotransformation of DLX in liver microsomes.** In mouse urine, a total of 34 metabolites were identified in the analysis of data from both positive and negative modes. The analysis of urinary data from positive mode revealed 27 metabolites, and four O+DLX+glucuronides (M14-M17, 82%) are the predominant
metabolites. O+DLX (M3, 5.5%), DLX+glucuronide (M13, 2.3%), O+demethylated-DLX+glucuronide (M18, 1.6%), alcohol (M27, 3.0%), and DLX-NAc adduct (M35, 2.1%) are secondary to O+DLX+glucuronides (Fig. 2A). The analysis of urinary data from negative mode uncovered 11 metabolites including four O+DLX+glucuronides (M14-M17, 22%) that were also detected in positive modes, 1-naphthol+sulfate (M28, 69.5%), 1-naphthol+glucuronide (M29, 7.8%), four O+DLX+sulfates (M30-M33, 0.4%) and 1-naphthol-NAc-sulfate (M38) (Fig. 3A). In feces, 13 metabolites were observed in the analysis of data from positive mode including two O+DLX (M2-M3, 55.8%), demethylated-DLX (M4, 0.45%), two O+demethylated-DLX (M5-M6, 0.33%), three dihydroxylated-dehydrorogenated-DLX (M10-M12, 2.43%), alcohol (M27, 5.0%), four DLX-NAc adducts (M34-M37, 34.8%), which are presented in Fig. 2B. Only four O+DLX+sulfates (M30-M33) were detected in negative mode (Fig. 3B). The relative abundances of DLX metabolites in mouse urine and feces were shown in Figs. 2A and 2B (positive mode) and Figs. 3A and 3B (negative mode). Additionally, twenty-two circulating metabolites were observed in the mouse plasma (positive mode) and their relative abundance was displayed in Fig. 2C. Among these metabolites, O+DLX+glucuronides (M14-M17, 93.75%) are the predominant circulating metabolites (Fig. 2C) in positive mode. The analysis of plasma data from negative mode revealed 9 metabolites (Fig. 3C): one leading metabolite 1-naphthol+sulfate (M28, 83%), four O+DLX+sulfates (M30-M33, 15%), one 1-naphthol+glucuronide (M29, 1.7%), two trace amount of O+DLX+sulfates (M30 and M31, 0.09%) and 1-naphthol-NAc-sulfate (M38). In mouse liver, monohydroxylated metabolites (O+DLX, M2 and M3, 56.3%) are the major metabolites, followed by three O+DLX+glucuronides (M14-M16, 21.9%), demethylated-DLX (M4, 9.6%), alcohol (M27, 5.3%), and DLX-NAc adducts (M34 and M35, 2.58%) (Supplementary Fig. 1A). 1-Naphthol+sulfate (M28, 68.6%) is the predominant metabolite in
liver sample analysis in negative mode. Four O+DLX+glucuronides (M14-M17, 28.9%), one 1-naphthol+glucuronide (M29, 0.9%) and three O+DLX+sulfates (M30-M32, 1.52%) were presented in the liver samples (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1B). In the liver, one DLX-GSH adduct (M39) was detected together with one DLX-NAc adducts (M35).

Incubations of HLM and MLM with DLX revealed a total of 13 stable metabolites (Table 1) and 4 of them are discovered for the first time. M2 (HLM, 21%; MLM, 32%) and M3 (HLM, 35%; MLM, 31%) are the primary metabolites in both HLM and MLM (Fig. 4). In HLM, dihydroxylated+hydrogenated-DLX (M10, 28.7%) is a primary metabolite, but not in MLM (2.86%). Meanwhile, demethylated-DLX (M4, 18.5%) is a major metabolite in MLM, but not in HLM (5.8%). The formation of multiple metabolites shows significant differences between HLM and MLM. The relative abundance of metabolite in HLM and MLM are presented in Fig. 4. Their structures were elucidated based on the exact mass and MS/MS fragments.

Formation of DLX-NAc adducts (M34-M37), 1-naphthol-NAc-sulfate(M38) and DLX-GSH adduct (M39) in mice. Among these novel DLX metabolites, four DLX-NAc adducts (M34-M37) in urine and feces were observed in the positive mode. Metabolite M34 was eluted at 4.82 min (Fig. 5A), having a protonated molecule at \( m/z \) 477. The MS/MS of M34 produced the major fragment ions at \( m/z \) 306, 162, 154 and 130. The fragment ions were interpreted in the inlaid structural diagram (Fig.5C). Metabolite M35 was eluted at 4.91 min (Fig. 5A), having a protonated molecule at \( m/z \) 477. The MS/MS of M35 produced the similar major fragment ions as those of M34 at \( m/z \) 306, 162, 154, and 130. The fragmental ions were interpreted in the inlaid structural diagram (Fig. 5D). Metabolite M36 eluted at 5.53 min had a protonated
molecule at \( m/z \) 477. MS/MS analysis of M36 produced fragment at \( m/z \) 306, 162, 154, and 130. The fragmental ions were interpreted in Fig. 5E. Fig. 5F is a representative trend plot of M35, which suggested DLX-NAc adduct (M35) was only presented in the urine from DLX-treated mice. Metabolite M37 was eluted at 6.48 min (Fig. 5G) having a protonated molecule at \( m/z \) 459. The MS/MS of M37 produced the major fragment ions at \( m/z \) 349, 306, and 154. The fragmental ions were interpreted in Fig. 5H. Compared to MS/MS of DLX (Fig. 5B), fragment at \( m/z \) 154 in metabolites M34-M37 indicated that NAc was attached to the naphthol ring. M35 and M36 show the similar MS/MS pattern with that of M34, suggesting that the NAc motif was just linked to different position of naphthol ring. In the analysis of data from negative mode, 1-naphthol-NAc+sulphate (M38) was observed. M38 was eluted at 3.58 min (Fig. 6A), having a deprotonated molecule at \( m/z \) 402. The MS/MS of M38 produced the major fragment ions at \( m/z \) 304, 241, 175, 162 (-NAc) and 96 (-OSO\(_3\)H). The fragmental ions were interpreted in Fig. 6B. In the liver from DLX-treated mice, DLX-GSH adduct (M39) was identified. M39 was eluted at 3.99 min (Fig. 7A) having a protonated molecule at \( m/z \) 621. MS/MS analysis of M39 produced fragments at \( m/z \) 492 (loss of glutamine), 468, 450, 321, 306, and 154. The fragmental ions were interpreted in the inlaid structural diagram (Fig. 7B). The fragment at \( m/z \) 154 in M39 indicated that GSH is linked to naphthol ring. The proposed mechanisms of DLX-NAc adduct formation are shown in Fig. 8.

**Role of CYP450s in the DLX metabolism.** The role of CYP450s in the metabolism of DLX were determined by the inhibitory experiments in HLM with the corresponding potent chemical inhibitors and human cDNA-expressed P450s (control, CYP1A2, 2A6, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, and CYP3A4). Using recombinant human CYP450 enzymes, CYP2D6 was
identified as the major enzyme responsible for the formation of DLX metabolites (M1-M3, M5, M6, M9, M12 and M27). Multiple enzymes contribute to the formation of M4. CYP1A2 was also involved in the production of M2-M4, M7, and M12 (Table 2). No single tested P450 was identified to be responsible for the formation of metabolites M8, M10 and M11. The role of CYP1A2 in the formation of DLX phase I major metabolites M2-M4, M9-M12, and M27 was verified by co-incubation with α-NF (a potent CYP1A2 inhibitor) in HLM. The formation of M2, M3, M9, and M11 was suppressed by 53%, 17%, 24%, and 57% by α-NF at 6.0 μM, correspondingly (Fig. 9A). α-NF has no effect on the generation of M10 and M12. The role of CYP2D6 in the formation of DLX metabolites was further demonstrated by co-incubation of quinidine (a potent CYP2D6 inhibitor). The formation of M2, M3, M9-M12 and M27 was suppressed up to 36%, 78%, 75%, 81%, 32%, 74%, and 68% by quinidine at 4.0 μM, individually (Fig. 9B). The recombinant CYP450 enzymes studies indicated that multiple CYP450s are involved in the formation of demethylated-DLX (M4), including CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, and CYP3A4. The role of these P450s in the formation of M4 metabolites was further determined by co-incubation of the corresponding inhibitors (Fig. 9C). The formation of M4 was suppressed up to 42%, 25%, 42%, 14%, 12%, 22%, and 17% by TCP (CYP2B6 inhibitor at 10 μM), α-NF (CYP1A2 inhibitor at 6.0 μM), QT (CYP2C8 inhibitor at 30 μM), SPA (CYP2C9 inhibitor at 4.0 μM), NK (CYP2C19 inhibitor at 4.0 μM), quinidine (CYP2D6 inhibitor at 4.0 μM), and KCZ (4.0 μM, CYP3A4 inhibitor), correspondingly. This data indicated that all the tested P450s are involved in M4 formation, but CYP2B6 and CYP2C8 have relatively larger contribution in HLM.

Discussions
Systematical study of drug metabolism could offer the essential enlightenments concerning the efficacy and safety of a drug (Lin and Lu, 1997). Metabolomics has been successfully applied to the screening of stable and reactive metabolites in our previous studies (Li et al., 2011b; Li et al., 2012). In contrast to traditional LC-MS methods, metabolomic strategy could avoid the laborious process of predicting possible metabolites and readily identify the unexpected and unusual important metabolites (Li et al., 2011a; Li et al., 2011c; Li et al., 2011d). The metabolomics approach has the advantages over methods using radiolabeled drugs: 1) metabolomic approach is environment friendly as radiolabeled compounds are not needed. 2) metabolites lacking radiolabeled center can be discovered. This disadvantage is that the abundances of metabolites are relatively but not absolutely quantified, when standards of metabolites are not available. In current study, we employed LC-MS-based metabolomic approaches to profile DLX metabolism in HLM, MLM, and mice. A total of 39 metabolites and adducts related to DLX were identified, including four DLX-NAc, one 1-naphthol-NAc+sulphate, and one DLX-GSH adducts, thirteen phase I metabolites, fifteen glucuronides and five sulfates.

In human, DLX was extensively metabolized to produce diverse oxidative and conjugated metabolites (Knadler et al., 2011). After a single oral dose of $^{14}$C-DLX, 72% of DLX and its metabolites were excreted in urine, while about 19% excreted in feces based on radioactivity. Four circulating metabolites of DLX were observed: the glucuronide conjugate of 4-hydroxylated-DLX, sulfate conjugate of 5-hydroxy-6-methoxylated-DLX, 4,6-dihydroxylated-DLX, and 6-hydroxy-5-methoxylated-DLX. The most abundant metabolite in plasma is the glucuronide conjugate of 4-hydroxylated-DLX. Our current study showed that glucuronide
conjugates of O+DLX (M14-M17) accounts for 93.8% of total metabolites (Fig. 2C) in mouse plasma, in which M14 (39.6%) and M15 (42.2%) are the most abundant metabolites. The data are consistent with human’s major metabolite, although their abundances are not qualitative. The second abundant sulfate conjugate in human plasma, 5-hydroxy-6-methoxylated-DLX, was not detected in mouse plasma. Novel metabolites, sulfate conjugate of 1-naphthol (M28) and glucuronide conjugate of 1-naphthol (M29) were also identified in the mouse plasma in negative mode (Fig. 3C). However, M28 was not reported in human, probably because the molecule was 14C-labeled at the chiral center (Lantz et al., 2003). The 1-naphthol cleaved from DLX and its secondary metabolites lacked the 14C-label, which rendered them undetectable in human studies.

In mouse urine, all the metabolites in plasma were observed and glucuronide conjugates of O+DLX were the primary metabolites (81.9% of total metabolite in urine) as well (Fig. 2A). Sulfate conjugates of 5-hydroxy-6-methoxylated-DLX, the most abundant metabolites in human urine, was not found in the mouse urine either (Lantz et al., 2003). Trace amounts of sulfate conjugates of O+DLX (M30-M33) in mouse urine were detected (Fig. 3A). One sulfate conjugate of DLX in human urine were reported, but its abundance was undetermined as the peak was overlapped with glucuronide conjugate of 5-hydroxy-6-methoxylated-DLX. The dihydrodiol-DLX, as an unconjugated metabolite, was relatively abundant in human urine, while only tiny amounts of dihydrodiol-DLX (M10-M12) in mouse urine were detected (Fig. 2A). Instead, M3, a monohydroxylated-DLX, was the most abundant unconjugated metabolite in mouse urine (Fig. 2A). Our mouse studies revealed 17 metabolites in feces. The glucuronide conjugates of O+DLX (M13-M18), abundant in plasma and urine, were not present in mouse feces. In mouse feces, 4-Hydroxylated-DLX (M3) was the most abundant metabolite which was in line with human data. Most of the metabolites found in urine and plasma were also observed
in mouse liver, among which M3 was the most abundant (Supplementary Fig. 1A). In human study, one metabolite was uncharacterized in the urine, which could be identical to the newly identified glucuronic conjugate of DLX (M13) or glucuronide conjugate of desmethyl-DLX (M18) in mouse urine. To be noted, the abundance of metabolites in current study are not quantitative. Our metabolic studies of DLX in HLM and MLM uncovered the large difference of dihydrodiol-DLX formation (M10-M11, Fig. 4). The species differences were also observed for the metabolites M1, M4, M12, and M27 between HLM and MLM (Fig. 4).

Generally, it is appreciated that the reactive metabolites play an important role in the development of idiosyncratic adverse drug reactions (Thompson et al., 2016). Commonly, NAc-conjugated adducts serves as one of indicators for the formation of reactive metabolites in vivo. The formation of these metabolites imply that the reactive metabolites were produced in DLX metabolism, which could react with glutathione. NAc-adducts (M34-M37) were detected in mouse urine and feces, in which M34 and M35 were also observed in plasma. DLX-GSH adduct (M39) and DLX-NAc adduct (M35) were detected in mouse liver (Supplementary Fig. 1A). The NAc-naphthol+sulphate (M38) was present in mouse urine, plasma and liver, but not in the feces. The mechanisms of the formation of M34-M37 were proposed as follows: briefly, DLX was oxidized to epoxides, then reacted with GSH to form DLX-GSH adducts (e.g., M39). The DLX-GSH adducts were further metabolized to DLX-NAc adducts (M34-M36) via a series of biotransformation steps (Jian et al., 2009). The DLX-NAc adduct M37 is likely derived from one of the adducts (M34-M36) by loss of H2O as the intermediate of direct DLX-GSH adduct (in blue) was not found in mouse liver (Fig. 8). Two dihydrodiols (M10 and M11) were observed in HLM/MLM and mice, which implied the epoxide formation during the DLX metabolism as
generally dihydrodiols formed via the intermediate epoxide. Thus, M10-M12 could also serve as indicator of reactive metabolites formed from DLX metabolism. The proposed mechanisms are supported by previous studies, in which the presence of DLX-GSH conjugates (e.g., M39) were demonstrated by incubation of DLX in NADPH- and GSH-supplemented HLM (Wu et al., 2010; Chan et al., 2011).

The formation of NAc-naphthol+sulfate (M38) required multiple steps: 1) DLX was metabolized to generate 1-naphthol, accompanied by alcohol M27; 2) Sulfation of 1-naphthol to form sulfate M28; 3) Epoxide of naphthol sulfate, followed by reacting with GSH and further metabolizing to NAc-naphthol+sulphate (M38). Both alcohol M27 and naphthol sulfate M28 were observed in urine, plasma, and liver (Figs. 2 & 3, Supplementary Fig. 1, and Table 1), supporting the occurrence of steps 1 and 2. Alternatively, DLX-NAc adducts (M34-M36) could be degraded to NAc-naphthol adducts, which were sulfated to furnish metabolite M38. Our data indicated that NAc conjugation exclusively occurred on the naphthalene ring, which is consistent with the *in vitro* studies (Wu et al., 2010; Chan et al., 2011). Although the formation of DLX-GSH adducts in HLM have been determined, DLX-NAc adducts identified in mice were not reported in human subjects. DLX-NAc adducts (M34-M37) retained the radio-labeled center, which should still have the radio activity. Theoretically, DLX-NAc adducts (M34-M37) could be detected if they were formed in human subjects. Thus, we proposed that the uncharacterized metabolite in human urine could be one of glucuronide conjugates (M13 or M18). It also might be one of the DLX-NAc adducts identified in mouse urine. As a couple of metabolites (M13, M18, M28, M29, M34-M37 and M38) have not been reported in human subjects using radio-
labeled DLX, revisiting DLX metabolism in human subjects using LC-MS-based approaches will be valuable for comparing the clinical metabolic profile of DLX with that in mice.

The mechanisms of DLX-induced liver injury remain elusive. Previous limited studies speculated that the reactive metabolites from DLX might contribute to its adverse effects. Our studies indicated that CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 mainly contributed to the formation of DLX phase I metabolites (Table 2 and Fig. 9), which is in line with previous studies (Wu et al., 2010; Chan et al., 2011). To be noted, the formation of dihydriodils (M10 and M11) via epoxide intermediates required multiple enzymes, since single P450 enzyme tested in our study did not produce metabolites M10 and M11. Our inhibitory experiments suggested that CYP2D6 was involved in the formation of M10 and both CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 in M11 generation. Wu et al. also reported that the formation DLX-GSH adducts were mainly catalyzed by CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 (Wu et al., 2010; Chan et al., 2011). Clinically, coadministration with fluvoxamine (CYP1A2 inhibitor) or paroxetine (CYP2D6 inhibitor) increase the peak plasma concentration (C_max), systemic exposure (AUC), and half-life of DLX (Skinner et al., 2003; Lobo et al., 2008). If DLX toxicity is attributed to its reactive metabolites, increasing DLX metabolism should exaggerate its toxicity. Further studies are warranted to address the exact role of DLX metabolism and reactive metabolites in its toxicity.

In summary, this study identified 39 metabolites and adducts associated with DLX, including five NAc- adducts, and one GSH- adduct. Moreover, we demonstrated that the roles of CYP450s in DLX metabolite formation and significant species differences of the formation of certain metabolites between HLM and MLM. To conclude, this study provided a global view of
DLX metabolism and bioactivation in liver microsomes and mice, which could facilitate the deep understanding the mechanism of adverse effects and possible drug-drug interactions concerning DLX. Further studies are granted to illustrate the role of DLX metabolism in its adverse effects *in vitro* (e.g., primary hepatocytes) and *in vivo* (e.g., Cyp2d-null mice).
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Figure legends:

**Figure 1. Metabolomic analysis of ions from the control and DLX-treated mouse urine samples.** Wild-type (WT) mice (n = 4) were treated with 12 mg/kg DLX (p.o.). Urine and feces were collected continually for 18 hours after treatment. The samples were analyzed using UHPLC-Q Exactive MS in both positive and negative modes. (A, B) Separation of control and DLX-treated mouse urinary metabolomes generated from positive and negative modes in OPLS-DA score plots, respectively. The t[1] and to[1] values represent the score of each sample in principal component 1 and 2, respectively. (C, D) Loading S-plot generated by OPLS-DA analysis of mouse urinary metabolomes generated from positive and negative modes. The X-axis is a measure of the relative abundance of ions and the Y-axis is a measure of the correlation of each ion to the model. These loading plots represent the relationship between variables (ions) in relation to the first and second components present in the OPLS-DA score plot. DLX and its metabolites were labeled in S-plots. The number of ions (metabolite identification) was accordant with that in Table 1. *, in-source fragment.

**Figure 2. Relative abundance of metabolites of DLX in mouse urine, feces and plasma (positive mode).** Urine and feces from mice were collected continuously over the 18 hours after treatment for analysis. Plasma and liver were collected 90 min after the treatment. The samples were analyzed using UHPLC-Q Exactive MS in positive mode. The relative quantification was conducted based on the peak area. The overall abundance of metabolites was set as 100% in each sample. (A) Relative abundance of metabolites in urine. (B) Relative abundance of metabolites in feces. (C) Relative abundance of metabolites in plasma. All the data are expressed as mean ± SEM (urine and feces, n = 4; plasma, n = 3).
Figure 3. Relative abundance of metabolites of DLX in mouse urine, feces and plasma 
(negative mode). The samples in Figure 2 were analyzed using UHPLC-Q Exactive MS in 
negative mode. The relative quantification was conducted based on the peak area. The overall 
abundance of metabolites was set as 100% in each sample. The data are expressed as mean 
(urine and feces, n = 4; plasma, n = 3). (A) Relative abundance of metabolites in urine. (B) 
Relative abundance of metabolites in feces. (C) Relative abundance of metabolites in plasma. All 
the data are expressed as mean ± SEM.

Figure 4. Relative abundance of metabolites of DLX in human and mouse liver microsomes.
Incubations were conducted in 1X phosphate-buffered saline (1 X PBS, pH 7.4), containing 20 
µM DLX, 0.2 mg HLM in a final volume of 190 µl. After 5 min of pre-incubation at 37 °C, the 
reaction was initiated by adding 10 µl of 20 mM NADPH (final concentration 1.0 mM) and 
continued for 40 min with gentle shaking. The relative quantification was conducted based on 
the peak area. The overall abundance of metabolites was set as 100% in each sample. The data 
are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 3). Statistical analysis between the groups was conducted 
using Student’s independent t-test. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

Figure 5. Formation of DLX-NAc adducts M34, M35, M36, and M37 in mouse urine and 
feces. Urine and feces from mice were collected continuously over the 18 hours after treatment 
(12 mg/kg, p.o.). The metabolites were analyzed using UHPLC-Q Exactive MS. Structural 
elucidations were performed based on accurate mass (mass errors less than 2 ppm) and MS/MS 
fragmentations. MS/MS was performed with collision energy ramping from 10, 20, 35 eV. The 
major fragmental ions are interpreted in the inlaid structural diagrams. (A) Chromatograms of
metabolite M34-M36 in urine. (B) MS/MS of DLX. (C) MS/MS of M34. (D) MS/MS of M35. (E) MS/MS of M36. (F) Trent plot of M35. (G) Chromatograms of M37. (H) MS/MS of M37.

Figure 6. Formation of NAc-naphthol sulfate M38 in mouse urine. The urine samples were collected as described in Fig. 5. The samples were analyzed using UHPLC-Q Exactive MS in positive and negative modes. (A) Chromatograms of M38. (B) MS/MS of M38.

Figure 7. Formation of DLX-GSH adduct M39 in mouse liver. Liver samples were collected 90 min after the treatment of DLX (12 mg/kg, p.o., n = 3). The samples were analyzed using UHPLC-Q Exactive MS in positive mode. (A) Chromatograms of M39. (B) MS/MS of M39.

Figure 8. Proposed mechanism of the formation of dihydrodiol-DLX and DLX-NAc adducts. DLX was oxidized to epoxides, which reacted with GSH to form DLX-GSH adducts or hydrolyzed to dihydrodiol (M10-M12). M39 were further metabolized to DLX-NAc adducts (M34-M36) via multiple steps. The DLX-NAc adduct M37 could derived from one of DLX-NAc adducts (M34-M36) by loss of H₂O, as the intermediate of direct DLX-GSH adduct in blue was not found in mouse liver. The formation of M38 requested multiple steps: 1) DLX was metabolized to generate 1-naphthol; 2) Sulfation of 1-naphthol to form naphthol sulfate M28; 3) Epoxide of naphthol sulfate, followed by reacting with GSH and further metabolizing to NAc-naphthol+sulphate (M38). Alternatively, DLX-NAc adducts (M34-M36) were further degraded to NAc-naphthol adducts, which were sulfated to form the final metabolite M38.
Figure 9. Role of CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 in DLX metabolism in human liver microsomes. 
α-NF (CYP1A2 inhibitor) and quinidine (CYP2D6 inhibitor) were used in the inhibitory assay for the formation of DLX major metabolites in HLM. TCP (CYP2B6 inhibitor), α-NF, QT (CYP2C8 inhibitor), SPA (CYP2C9 inhibitor), NK (CYP2C19 inhibitor), Quin, and KCZ (CYP3A4 inhibitor) were used in the inhibitory assay for the formation of M4. The incubation conditions of DLX in HLM were detailed in experimental procedures. All samples were analyzed by UHPLC-Q Exactive MS. (A) Effects of α-NF on the formation of DLX major metabolites in HLM. The peak area of each metabolite from the incubation with HLM in the absence of α-NF was set as 100%. (B) Effects of Quin on the formation of DLX metabolite in HLM. (C) Effects of TCP, α-NF, QT, SPA, NK, Quin, and KCZ on the formation of M4 metabolite in HLM. The relative abundance of each metabolite from the incubation in HLM without inhibitors was set as 100%. $P^{*}<0.05$, $**P<0.01$, $***P<0.001$. All the data are expressed as mean ± SEM ($n=3$). TCP, ticlopidine; α-NF, alpha-naphthoflavone; QT, quercetin; SPA, sulfaphenazole; NK, nootkatone; Quin, quinidine; KCZ, ketoconazole.

Figure 10. Summary of putative structures of DLX metabolites and adducts. All structures were determined based on the exact mass (mass error less than 2 ppm) and MS/MS fragments.

Supplementary Figure 1. Relative abundance of metabolites of DLX in mouse liver. Liver tissues were collected 90 min after the treatment. The samples were analyzed using UHPLC-Q Exactive MS in positive mode. The relative quantification was conducted based on the peak area. The overall abundance of metabolites was set as 100% in each sample. (A) Relative abundance
of metabolites in liver (positive mode). (B) Relative abundance of metabolites in liver (negative mode). All the data are expressed as mean ± SEM.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RT (min)</th>
<th>Observed m/z [M+H]^+</th>
<th>Calculated m/z [M+H]^+</th>
<th>Mass error (ppm)</th>
<th>Predicted molecular formula</th>
<th>Identification</th>
<th>Metabolite ID</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>298.1259</td>
<td>298.1260</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{19}NOS</td>
<td>Duloxetine</td>
<td>DLX</td>
<td>HLM, MLM, U, F, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>314.1208</td>
<td>314.1209</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>O+DLX</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>HLM, MLM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>314.1208</td>
<td>314.1209</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>O+DLX</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>HLM, MLM, U, F, S, L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>314.1209</td>
<td>314.1209</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>O+DLX</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>HLM, MLM, U, F, S, L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>284.1104</td>
<td>284.1104</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>DLX-CH_3</td>
<td>M4</td>
<td>HLM, MLM, U, F, S, L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>300.1052</td>
<td>300.1053</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>O+DLX-CH_3</td>
<td>M5</td>
<td>HLM, MLM, U, F, S, L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>300.1054</td>
<td>300.1053</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>O+DLX-CH_3</td>
<td>M6</td>
<td>HLM, MLM, U, F, L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>330.1157</td>
<td>330.1158</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{19}NO_3S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>330.1158</td>
<td>330.1158</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{19}NO_3S</td>
<td>2O+DLX</td>
<td>M8</td>
<td>HLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>330.1159</td>
<td>330.1158</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{19}NO_3S</td>
<td>2O+DLX</td>
<td>M9</td>
<td>HLM, MLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>332.1314</td>
<td>332.1315</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{21}NO_3S</td>
<td>2O+DLX+2H</td>
<td>M10</td>
<td>HLM, MLM, U, F, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>332.1314</td>
<td>332.1315</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{21}NO_3S</td>
<td>2O+DLX+2H</td>
<td>M11</td>
<td>HLM, MLM, U, F, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>328.1000</td>
<td>328.1002</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{17}NO_3S</td>
<td>2O+DLX-2H</td>
<td>M12</td>
<td>HLM, MLM, U, F, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>474.1583</td>
<td>474.1582</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{27}NO_3S</td>
<td>DLX+Glu</td>
<td>M13</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>490.1531</td>
<td>490.1530</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{27}NO_3S</td>
<td>O+DLX+Glu</td>
<td>M14</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>490.1532</td>
<td>490.1530</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{27}NO_3S</td>
<td>O+DLX+Glu</td>
<td>M15</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>490.1530</td>
<td>490.1530</td>
<td>-0.00</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{27}NO_3S</td>
<td>O+DLX+Glu</td>
<td>M16</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>490.1531</td>
<td>490.1530</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{27}NO_3S</td>
<td>O+DLX+Glu</td>
<td>M17</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>476.1375</td>
<td>476.1374</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{24}NO_3S</td>
<td>O+DLX-CH_3+Glu</td>
<td>M18</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>506.1482</td>
<td>506.1479</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{24}NO_3S</td>
<td>2O+DLX+Glu</td>
<td>M19</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>506.1481</td>
<td>506.1479</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{24}NO_3S</td>
<td>2O+DLX+Glu</td>
<td>M20</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>508.1640</td>
<td>508.1636</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{29}NO_3S</td>
<td>2O+DLX+2H+Glu</td>
<td>M21</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>508.1639</td>
<td>508.1636</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{29}NO_3S</td>
<td>2O+DLX+2H+Glu</td>
<td>M22</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>508.1637</td>
<td>508.1636</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{29}NO_3S</td>
<td>2O+DLX+2H+Glu</td>
<td>M23</td>
<td>U, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>520.1638</td>
<td>520.1636</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{29}NO_3S</td>
<td>2O+DLX+CH_3+Glu</td>
<td>M24</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>520.1637</td>
<td>520.1636</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{29}NO_3S</td>
<td>2O+DLX+CH_3+Glu</td>
<td>M25</td>
<td>U, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>520.1638</td>
<td>520.1636</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{29}NO_3S</td>
<td>2O+DLX+CH_3+Glu</td>
<td>M26</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>172.0790</td>
<td>172.0791</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>C_{8}H_{13}NOS</td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>M27</td>
<td>HLM, MLM, U, F, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>223.0068</td>
<td>223.0071</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>C_{10}H_{14}O_3S</td>
<td>Naphthol+SO_3H</td>
<td>M28*</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>319.0821</td>
<td>319.0823</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{13}O_7</td>
<td>Naphthol+Glu</td>
<td>M29*</td>
<td>U, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>392.0629</td>
<td>392.0632</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{19}NO_3S_2</td>
<td>O+DLX+SO_3H</td>
<td>M30*</td>
<td>U, F, S, L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Mass (Da)</td>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Metabolite</td>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>621.2053</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{17}NO_{8}S_{2}</td>
<td>O+DLX+2H+NAc+SO_{3}H</td>
<td>M39</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>621.2047</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{19}NO_{5}S_{2}</td>
<td>O+DLX+SO_{3}H</td>
<td>M31*</td>
<td>U, F, S, L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>392.0628</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{19}NO_{5}S_{2}</td>
<td>O+DLX+SO_{3}H</td>
<td>M32*</td>
<td>U, F, L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>392.0631</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{19}NO_{5}S_{2}</td>
<td>O+DLX+SO_{3}H</td>
<td>M33*</td>
<td>U, F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>477.1512</td>
<td>C_{23}H_{26}N_{2}O_{5}S_{2}</td>
<td>O+DLX+2H+NAc+SO_{3}H</td>
<td>M34</td>
<td>U, F, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>477.1514</td>
<td>C_{23}H_{26}N_{2}O_{5}S_{2}</td>
<td>O+DLX+2H+NAc+SO_{3}H</td>
<td>M35</td>
<td>U, F, S, L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>477.1513</td>
<td>C_{23}H_{26}N_{2}O_{5}S_{2}</td>
<td>O+DLX+2H+NAc+SO_{3}H</td>
<td>M36</td>
<td>U, F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>392.0628</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{19}NO_{5}S_{2}</td>
<td>O+DLX+SO_{3}H</td>
<td>M37</td>
<td>U, F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DLX, duloxetine, O+, monohydroxylation; 2O+, dihydroxylation; 2O+2H, monohydroxylation + hydrogenation; U, urine; F, feces; S, serum; L, liver; GSH, glutathione; NAc, N-acetyl cysteine; Glu, glucuronic acid; SO_{3}H, sulphate; HLM, MLM, human, mouse liver microsomes; RT, retention time; *, metabolites detected in negative ionization mode [M-H]. Cyan indicated the novel metabolites.
Table 2. Human recombinant CYP450 enzymes involved in the formation of DLX metabolites in vitro.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
<th>M6</th>
<th>M7</th>
<th>M9</th>
<th>M12</th>
<th>M27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP1A2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2A6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2B6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C19</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2D6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2E1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cDNA-expressed human CYP450s (control, CYP1A2, 2A6, 2B6, 2C8, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1, and 3A4) were used to determine the role of individual CYP450 in DLX metabolism. All samples were analyzed by UHPLC-Q Exactive MS. The largest peak area of each metabolite from CYP enzymes was set as 100%. All data are expressed as mean (n = 2).