Individualized pharmacotherapy utilizing genetic biomarkers and novel in vitro systems as predictive tools for optimal drug development and treatment.
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Abstract
In the area of drug development and clinical pharmacotherapy, a profound understanding of the pharmacokinetics and potential adverse reactions associated with the drug under investigation is paramount. Essential to this endeavour is a comprehensive understanding about interindividual variations in ADME genetics and the predictive capabilities of in vitro systems, shedding light on metabolite formation and the risk of adverse drug reactions (ADRs). Both the domains of pharmacogenomics and the advancement of in vitro systems are experiencing rapid expansion. Here we present an update on these burgeoning fields, providing an overview of their current status and illuminating potential future directions.

Significance
There is a very rapid development in the area of pharmacogenomics and in vitro systems for predicting drug pharmacokinetics and risk for adverse drug reactions. We provide an update of the current status of pharmacogenomics and developed in vitro systems on these aspects aimed to achieve a better personalized pharmacotherapy.
1. Introduction

Precision medicine is a cutting-edge approach to healthcare that tailors medical treatment and interventions to the unique characteristics of each individual. It marks a departure from traditional prescribing practices by taking into account genetic, environmental, pathological, and co-medication aspects to customize healthcare strategies. This field relies heavily on advances in genomics, molecular biology, and data analytics to provide a more nuanced understanding of disease and treatment response. Regarding the genetic aspect, it is evident that variations within genes encoding drug transporters and drug-metabolizing enzymes play a paramount role, frequently resulting in substantial differences in the pharmacokinetics of drugs. Conversely, in terms of quantity, pharmacogenomic aspects rooted in pharmacodynamic variation are comparatively less frequent.

The utilization of genetic variation for drug therapy holds distinct significance across various therapeutic domains. Notably, oncology (Davila-Fajardo et al., 2019; Chan et al., 2019), psychiatry (Jukic et al., 2022), and cardiovascular diseases (Ross et al., 2023) emerge as primary clinical areas where the integration of pharmacogenic information yields substantial benefits. A crucial tool facilitating the integration of pharmacogenomics into clinical practice is the use of pharmacogenomic labels. These labels highlight genetic variants that are pertinent to the prescription of various drugs, offering information categorized by pharmacogenetic associations. The inclusion of data supporting recommendations for therapeutic management, with the potential to impact safety or efficacy, is a key feature (https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/precision-medicine/table-pharmacogenetic-associations#section1). Additionally, these labels may emphasize a potential influence on pharmacokinetic properties. The selection of these genetic variants is grounded in clinical studies conducted across different sites, with a careful consideration of factors such as validity, quality, and reproducibility. Notably, when assessing the polymorphic influence on pharmacokinetics, particular attention is given to the significance of CYP2C19 and CYP2D6, as these genes play a pivotal role in this context (https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/precision-medicine/table-pharmacogenetic-associations#section3).

Thus, the pharmacokinetic aspect holds significant importance in the field, serving as the historical starting point for pharmacogenomics. The roots of the polymorphic pharmacokinetics can be traced back to the early identification of polymorphisms in N-acetyltransferase and cytochrome P450s during the period from the 1950s to the 1970s (Cf. Müller and Rizhanovsky 2020). A pivotal moment in this trajectory occurred in 1988 with the cloning of CYP2D6 and the identification of its major defective allele (Gonzalez et al., 1988). However, the overall development of the field proceeded at a relatively slow pace until the landmark identification of the draft human genome sequence in 2003.
This description catalyzed accelerated growth, as evidenced by the noteworthy increase in publications on pharmacogenomics in PubMed, surging from 230 in 1999 to 2,300 in 2022.

Polymorphisms in genes governing drug-metabolizing enzymes and drug transporters are pervasive, (Lauschke et al. (2024); Osanlou et al. (2018). Pre-emptive genotyping of variants that impact the susceptibility to adverse drug reactions is of potential importance; however, the current evidence for cost-benefit is country-specific and remains limited to a few specific gene-drug associations, as highlighted by Turongkaravee et al. (2021). Importantly, pharmacogenomic studies greatly benefit from experimental approaches that can functionally test and, thereby, provide a mechanistic fundament for identified associations. Over the last years, the in vitro tool kit has expanded drastically. In addition to recombinant expression systems that can evaluate the effects of candidate genes and variants on drug metabolism and cytotoxicity, emerging organotypic and microphysiological 3D models provide novel opportunities to unravel the intricate interplay between endogenous genetic variation and adverse drug reactions. By leveraging increasingly large biobanks and repositories of patient-derived material, these models allow to faithfully replicate the impact of individual gene variants and even polygenic risk scores and elucidate the toxicogenomic mechanisms behind adverse drug reactions. This overview aims to present the current and prospective systems adept at addressing these complex tasks.

2. The roots of pharmacogenomics.

Due to the drug-metabolizing genes’ capacity to process toxic substances such as plant alkaloids, it is hypothesized that the polymorphic nature of these genes conferred evolutionary benefits (Pandian et al., 2020; Ingelman-Sundberg, 2005). This polymorphism appears to facilitate adaptation to new environments, with indications that dietary-based selection of animals occurred around 400 million years ago when animals transitioned to terrestrial habitats (Alt et al., 2022). Substantiating this theory is evidence of dietary-based genetic selection in North Africa approximately 5,000 to 10,000 years ago, leading to the proliferation of alleles with duplicated and multi-duplicated CYP2D6 genes. This adaptation provided a heightened potential for detoxification of plant toxins owing to the detoxifying capacity of the CYP2D6 enzyme (Ingelman-Sundberg 2005).

Moreover, tracing the evolutionary timeline reveals a shared CYP2C haplotype encompassing the CYP2C9*3 and CYP2C9*2 alleles back to the Neanderthals (Haeggström et al., 2022). This historical perspective underscores the integral role of drug-metabolizing genes in the adaptive evolution of species, particularly in response to dietary and environmental challenges. Nevertheless, a high portion of polymorphic ADME gene variants has arisen through genetic drift, followed by their
uneven distribution among diverse ethnic populations. This phenomenon has been widespread, primarily facilitated by the lack of crucial physiological roles for many ADME genes. This absence of functional constraints has led to the retention of numerous variants, even those with potentially detrimental effects.


The polymorphic nature of CYP genes not only impacts drug metabolism but also plays a crucial role in tissue ontogeny. Specifically, CYP genes expressed during fetal development can influence the metabolism of steroids, thereby affecting the development of important organs such as the brain (Adhya et al., 2018). For instance, the conversion of androgens to estrogen by CYP19A1 (aromatase) is pivotal in shaping the sexual differentiation of the male brain and behavior (Hutchison et al., 1997). In the context of drug metabolism, a compelling example is provided by CYP2C19 which metabolizes different steroids (Niva et al., 2021). This gene is expressed in the brain during foetal stages, but not in adult life. Overexpression of CYP2C19 in the foetal brain has been linked to smaller hippocampus and cerebellar size, which correlates with an increased susceptibility to depression and anxiety in adulthood. This highlights the intricate connection between CYP function, drug metabolism, and neurological outcomes, emphasizing the importance of understanding these relationships for both medical and developmental considerations (Jukic et al., 2017; Milosavljević et al., 2023). While these effects were observed in mice possessing multiple copies of CYP2C19, analogous distinctions are evident in humans, particularly between those lacking CYP2C19 and those expressing high levels in the liver (Persson et al., 2017; Jukic et al., 2017; Stingl et al., 2021). Notably, individuals classified as normal or ultrarapid metabolizers of CYP2C19 exhibit a diminished hippocampal volume, consequently presenting a higher risk for depression, anxiety, and suicide (Sim et al., 2010; Persson et al., 2017; Jukic et al., 2017). The mechanism governing these phenomena remains elusive but appears to be pre-programmed during fetal development, coinciding with the expression of the CYP2C19 gene in the brain. As mentioned, steroids, recognized substrates for CYPs, play a pivotal role in brain development (Ferguson and Tyndale 2021; Adhya et al., 2018). Consequently, it remains to be elucidated whether polymorphisms in other CYP genes encoding steroid metabolism are associated with variations in brain development. In adulthood, the exclusive expression of the CYP2C19 gene in the liver contributes significantly to clinically relevant differences in the metabolism of various drugs, including antidepressants and other central nervous system (CNS) active drugs (Figure 1).

4. Pharmacogenomics in exemplary therapeutic areas.

Pharmacogenomics has gained significant attention in recent years, with a growing focus on different specific therapeutic areas. While its initial application was broad, the field now emphasizes specific
gene-drug pairs, where genetic variations have been established that play crucial roles in shaping clinical outcomes. Notably, organizations like CPIC and DPGW continually update recommendations for the clinical use of pharmacogenomics. Of paramount importance is the FDA, which regularly releases updated lists of pharmacogenomic labels within different drug product descriptions. Currently, approximately 140 drugs carry these labels, providing essential information on pharmacogenomic associations. The most clinically important drug-gene pairs in pharmacogenomics are visualized in Figure 2. As seen, genes encoding drug metabolising enzymes and transporters dominate, whereas the pharmacodynamic aspect is of less clinical importance due to the relatively rare occurrence of such mutations (Zhou et al., 2021).

4.1 Pharmacogenomics in oncology

In the area of oncology, the primary focus lies in leveraging somatic genome variations to tailor individualized pharmacotherapy. Somatic mutations that result in altered expression or activity of growth factor receptors, along with their associated kinases and phosphatases, hold considerable significance for guiding targeted cancer therapy (Waartz et al., 2022). Furthermore, the diversity in the pharmacokinetics of anticancer drugs, influenced by germline polymorphisms in genes such as DPYD, NUDT15, TPMT, and TYMS plays a crucial role for optimizing drug exposures. Despite being less common, these polymorphisms often exert a significant impact on the overall success of drug therapy (Miteva-Marcheva et al., 2020). Somatic mutations, integral to therapeutic strategies, are typically incorporated based on pathological examinations of tumors. In contrast, the kinetic aspects crucial for enhancing drug therapy efficiency are predominantly addressed by pharmacists.

Within the pharmacokinetic domain, certain gene-drug pairs take precedence, such as 5-fluorouracil and DPYD, mercaptopurine, azathioprine and thioguanine vs TPMT and NUDT15, and to some extent, irinotecan and UGT1A1. (https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/precision-medicine/table-pharmacogenetic-associations). The significance of CYP2D6 polymorphisms in the activation of tamoxifen to endoxifen remains a topic of controversy, especially concerning long-term effects (Mulder et al., 2021). The importance of whole exome sequencing, particularly for DPYD variants, cannot be overstated in relation to the necessity to consider numerous rare genetic variants that contribute to the formation of the active DPYD enzyme (De Mattia et al 2022).

4.2 Pharmacogenomics in psychiatry and neurology.

As previously mentioned, the polymorphism of CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 plays a crucial role in determining the pharmacokinetics of a wide range of drugs, with particular significance in the field of
psychiatry. A meta-analysis has highlighted the clinically relevant impact of CYP2C19 polymorphism on the metabolism and effects of antidepressants, specifically escitalopram and sertraline, as well as the role of CYP2D6 in the treatment response to antipsychotic drugs such as haloperidol, risperidone, and aripiprazole (Milosavljevic et al., 2021).

In the context of depression research, a comprehensive meta-analysis conducted by Brown et al. (2023) revealed a substantial effect size of 1.3, indicating significant improvement through patient genotyping during the administration of SSRIs. This finding was further corroborated by Jukic et al.’s (2022) analyses. However, a more recent meta-analysis challenges this perspective, suggesting a diminished overall effect of pre-emptive genotyping, highlighting the importance of considering diverse study designs among various randomized controlled trials (RCTs) published (Milosavljevic et al. (2024)).

It becomes apparent that the variability in conditions across different studies poses a significant challenge for arriving at definitive conclusions. In contrast, an intriguing study conducted in British Columbia stands out, where pre-emptive genotyping in psychiatry has been extensively utilized for two decades. In this work, Ghanbarian et al. (2023) conducted a comprehensive analysis of a cohort comprising 194,149 adults with major depression and eligible for pharmacological treatment. They found that pharmacogenomics-guided treatment led to a remarkable 37 percent reduction in treatment-resistant cases. Additionally, there were 1,869 fewer deaths and 21,346 fewer hospital admissions over the 20-year period, resulting in a substantial cost reduction of $4,926 per patient. While the exact contribution of placebo effects versus genuine genetic prediction remains unclear, the data strongly indicate an opportunity to achieve significant benefits for both individual patients and society at large through preventive genotyping in the treatment of Major Depressive Disorder (MDD).

Indeed, a substantial proportion of pharmacogenomic drug labels, as designated by the FDA, are associated with the treatment of central nervous system (CNS) disorders (https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/precision-medicine/table-pharmacogenetic-associations). This category encompasses not only antidepressants and antipsychotics but also includes various antiepileptic drugs that serve as substrates for polymorphic CYPs, such as CYP2C9 and CYP2C19. Furthermore, HLA-1502 mediated adverse events are seen after treatment with carbamazepine (Chen et al., 2011). We anticipate a significant expansion in the application of pharmacogenomics-based drug treatments in this domain in the forthcoming years. However, navigating this terrain necessitates prospective clinical trials, and yet, these endeavours are fraught with challenges. Several pitfalls complicate the landscape of clinical trials in this therapeutic area, including the influence of
co-medications, the pervasive impact of extensive placebo effects, and the intricacies of distinguishing drug-induced side effects from the symptoms of the underlying disease. Addressing these complexities is imperative to advance our understanding and application of pharmacogenomic approaches in CNS disorder treatment.

4.3 Pharmacogenomics in cardiovascular disease

Over the past decade, substantial strides have been made in pharmacogenomic research within the cardiovascular disease domain, marked by a plethora of randomized trials (McDonough 2021; Duarte and Cavallari 2021; Nogueiras-Álvarez, 2023; cf. Ingelman-Sundberg an Pirmohamed 2024). A significant emphasis has been placed on statins, particularly in elucidating the risk of myopathy development due to restricted transport into the liver in individuals harboring the SLCO1B1*5 variant. This allele poses a heightened susceptibility to statin-induced myopathy, with the greatest risk observed in cases of high-dose simvastatin administration. Furthermore, numerous trials have explored the interplay between warfarin response and polymorphisms in CYP2C9 and VKORC1, specifically examining their impact on bleeding incidence (Pirmohamed et al., 2021; Nogueiras-Álvarez, 2023). Although warfarin is currently replaced by protein inhibitors (DOACs), treatment with warfarin is still important for kids and e.g. in patients where DOACs are contraindicated like those with mechanical heart valves. The recent development of mavacamten aimed at reducing hypertrophic cardiomyopathy introduces a new dimension, as its metabolism is influenced by the polymorphic CYP2C19 enzyme. Patients classified as poor metabolizers (PMs) may experience elevated systemic concentrations, potentially elevating the risk of heart failure (Raymond et al., 2021). The activation of antiplatelet agents, notably clopidogrel, by the CYP2C19 enzyme has also been a focal point. The efficacy of clopidogrel is diminished in individuals lacking this enzyme, shedding light on the importance of CYP2C19 status in tailoring antiplatelet therapy. Additionally, considerable attention has been directed towards understanding the impact of CYP2D6 polymorphism on the effectiveness of β-blockers metabolized by this enzyme. However, current consensus suggests that preemptive CYP2D6 genotyping is not associated with clinically significant benefits (Thomas and Johnson, 2020).

5. Future use of pharmacogenomics

Undoubtedly, the field of pharmacogenomics stands to benefit significantly from future extensive randomized prospective studies employing closed labelling. Genetic variations contributing to interindividual differences in drug response are highly gene- and substrate-specific, necessitating a focus on a select number of drugs in such studies. The execution of large-scale clinical studies encounters substantial challenges due to confounding factors. A pivotal issue involves the imperative
for closed-label studies, where blinding is constrained to limited patient information and blinded data analyses. This is essential to provide prescribing physicians with comprehensive information while mitigating biases. Notably, the impact of placebo effects in randomized controlled trials (RCTs) is exemplified by SSRIs where placebos alone can contribute up to 70% to the observed effects (Khan and Brown, 2015).

Confounding variables, particularly issues like polypharmacy and potential drug-drug interactions, present significant challenges, especially in the case of elderly patients. Furthermore, studies relying on low-frequency genotype and/or phenotype occurrences are prevalent, contributing to the emergence of ambiguous or erroneous conclusions of different studies. The pivotal role of liver and kidney function, along with the selection of individuals exhibiting a relevant phenotype for the specific study, cannot be overstated. For meaningful comparisons, it is thus critical that studies are meticulously designed to encompass a sufficient number of patients within the therapeutic intervention context of interest that harbor actionable genetic variations pertinent to each drug.

The challenge of unravelling the molecular basis of heritability persists, with up to 50% of heritable variations in drug pharmacokinetics having unknown genetic bases. The consideration of fixed endpoints, such as a thorough analysis of differences in drug concentrations during pharmacokinetic assessments, is paramount for effective research design. In recent years, the development of advanced human in vitro models has provided new avenues for the mechanistic evaluation of pharmacogenetic effects.

6. Overview of human in vitro model diversity

In vitro models have been a long-standing research tool for the evaluation of pharmacogenomic associations, as well as for drug testing. In vitro evaluation of the effects of pharmacogenetic candidate variants using recombinant expression systems have been highly successful in confirming the functional effect of variants in drug metabolizing enzymes (Muroi et al., 2014; Shrestha et al., 2018; Siamoglou et al., 2022) and drug transporters (Seitz et al., 2015, Russell et al., 2020), as well as for determining the molecular and structural basis of drug resistance (Zhou et al., 2021, Gao et al., 2023). However, these models require overexpression of candidate variants or the knock-out/knock-down of gene products of interest. Thus, they are not useful to investigate indirect variant consequences, e.g. via altered gene regulation, or to test drug effects on complex cellular phenotypes. For these purposes, in vitro models have historically employed either animal or human cell lines that were derived from tumours or transformed so that the cells could be expanded for a virtually unlimited number of passages. The use of such immortalized cell lines is cost effective, the
cells are typically easy to culture, allow for replicate experiments between labs and across time using ostensibly identical material and bypass most ethical concerns. However, transformation and long-term culture under in vitro conditions results in the accumulation of genetic and functional aberrations and, consequently, such cell lines reflect the molecular phenotypes of their tissue of origin only poorly. As a consequence, results obtained in cell lines often do not hold in the physiological tissue, contributing to what is often referred to as the “translational gap”.

The use of primary fully differentiated human cells promises to narrow this gap as cellular phenotypes are, at least at the start of culture, highly physiological. However, when cultured in traditional monolayer systems, primary cells experience rapid alterations in their transcriptome and proteome and lose their tissue-specific function in a process called dedifferentiation. Consequently, the use of primary cells requires the use of conducive culture methods that prevent or at least delay dedifferentiation to take full advantage of their benefits.

Over the past decades a multitude of diverse methods have been developed to support the maintenance of cellular phenotypes and functions (Youhanna et al., 2022). The methods of choice differ between tissues and applications (Table 1). Spheroids describe the 3D culture of mature fully differentiated cells, typically isolated from tissue resections, biopsies or donated organs. In this culture method, a defined number of cells are seeded in a way that they cannot attach to a culture substrate and thus attach to each other forming a spheroidal aggregate. In contrast to spheroids which are assembled from mature cells, organoids are 3D structures that are established from either pluripotent or tissue-resident stem cells or progenitor cells (Zhao et al., 2022). Organoid culture is initiated from one or few undifferentiated cells and, upon treatment with an often complex regimen of medium additives, these cells proliferate and eventually differentiate into one or more cell types that make up the tissue of interest.

Besides a classification of methods based on differences in microtissue assembly and composition, methods can also be distinguished into static and perfused systems. The latter is often referred to as “organ-on-a-chip” or microphysiological systems (MPS). A multitude of different MPS have been presented that can be broadly distinguished into systems in which medium is recirculated versus chips with single pass perfusion. One common single-pass model involves the culture of cells in a quasi-2D configuration on one or both sides of a membrane, which separates two flow paths (Kim and Ingber, 2013). However, MPS can also support perfusion culture of 3D tissue models, thereby combining organ-on-a-chip technology with spheroid (Shafagh et al., 2022) or organoid culture. In the following we will discuss recent advances in the use of 3D human tissue models of liver and intestine for the assessment of human drug absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME). For a
more comprehensive overview of available tissue models and their advantages and limitations with regards to toxicity, metabolism and absorption studies, we refer the interested reader to recent reviews (Lauschke et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2019; Shen et al., 2020; Brooks et al., 2021; Franco et al., 2021; Yadav et al., 2021; Youhanna and Lauschke, 2021; Bouwmeester et al., 2023).

8.1 Hepatotoxicity studies

Hepatic in vitro models are mainstay tools for the investigation of drug metabolism and toxicity. Human liver spheroids consisting of primary human hepatocytes (PHH) have been shown to overall retain the molecular phenotypes of their *in situ* counterparts for multiple weeks at the transcriptomic, proteomic and metabolomic level (Bell et al., 2016, 2017; Vorrink et al., 2017; Messner et al., 2018). Furthermore, they maintain key metabolic function, such as albumin secretion, urea cycle and xenobiotic metabolism, which renders them useful tools for hepatotoxicity studies. Specifically, in separate screens each comprising >100 compounds implicated in drug-induced liver injury (DILI) and non-toxic controls, liver spheroids correctly identified 60-70% of hepatotoxic compounds with very low numbers of false positive (92-100% specificity) (Proctor et al., 2017; Vorrink et al., 2018). Differentiated human liver cells can also be cultured as micropatterned co-cultures (MPCCs) in which liver cells are seeded on islands of extracellular matrix surrounded by murine stromal fibroblasts (Khetani and Bhatia, 2008). While test panels were overall smaller (45 compounds), MPCCs yielded similar overall predictive accuracies with sensitivity and specificity of 66% and 90%, respectively (Khetani et al., 2013). Furthermore, both liver spheroids and MPCCs have been successfully used for the delineation of diverse genotoxic, mitochondrial and cholestatic toxicity mechanisms using toxicogenomic approaches (Hendriks et al., 2016, 2019; Bell et al., 2017; Ware et al., 2017). Both methods allow the culture of fully differentiated cells that are, if at all, only slowly dividing, mimicking their long lifespan *in vivo* (around 1-3 years for human hepatocytes)(Heinke et al., 2022), thereby enabling chronic repeated-dose exposure studies. Besides PHH, both models are also compatible with the co-culture with non-parenchymal liver cells (NPCs), including Kupffer cells, stellate cells and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells. Notably, the presence of NPCs can modulate toxicity responses as shown for acetaminophen (Bell et al., 2020), demonstrating that cellular complexity can have important roles even for the toxicity of compounds with hepatocellular mechanisms.

Besides primary human cell-based models, also hepatic organoids have developed into platforms that can faithfully detect DILI risk. Multiplexed evaluation of viability, cholestatic and mitochondrial toxicity of 238 drugs in stem cell-derived liver organoids revealed 89% sensitivity and specificity for
DILI prediction, which was overall similar compared to the use of primary cell models (Shinozawa et al., 2021). Furthermore, by using single cell sequencing, liver organoids have been useful for the identification of toxicity mechanisms, including for bosentan and its associated genetic risk factors and tenofovir-inarigivir (Shinozawa et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2023). A unique opportunity of organoids is the development of polygenic risk scores for DILI susceptibility based on genome-wide association studies. By assessing organoids from multiple donors treated with different drugs, this approach allows to identify at-risk individuals and recapitulate complex genetic predispositions in vitro (Koido et al., 2020).

8.2 In vitro studies of hepatic drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics

Liver microsomes and hepatocyte suspension cultures have been the main experimental models for metabolite identification and clearance prediction. Besides for toxicity investigations, 3D liver models constitute emerging tools for the determination of hepatic metabolism and clearance predictions. Metabolic profiles of spheroids recapitulated the major primary and secondary metabolites observed in vivo for a range of chemically diverse compounds (Kanebratt et al., 2021; Novak et al., 2023). Moreover, spheroids established from donors with different genetic CYP2D6 polymorphisms, recapitulated the differing metabolic fluxes of the CYP2D6 substrate dextromethorphan, providing proof-of-principle that genetically encoded inter-individual differences in drug metabolism can be mimicked in vitro (Vorrink et al., 2017). Spheroids can also identify CYP induction with increased accuracy compared to isogenic 2D cultures and have been useful in identifying novel non-canonical induction pathways (Hendriks et al., 2020; Oliva-Vilarnau et al., 2023). Furthermore, when using regression-based correction, spheroids accurately predicted the in vivo intrinsic clearance of >90% of tested compounds within 3-fold which, importantly, includes slowly metabolized compounds whose clearance is challenging to measure using conventional microsomes or suspension cultures due to their rapid functional deterioration that limits assay time to <2-4h (Kanebratt et al., 2021; Riede et al., 2021; Preiss et al., 2022). Reliable clearance prediction is also possible in MPCCs (Chan et al., 2013), demonstrating that maintenance of hepatic functionality rather than culture method constitutes the main criterion that determines model suitability for long-term studies. These results suggest that advanced models of primary human liver cells show promise in drug discovery to study both short and long-term metabolism, including for unknown and complex mechanisms.
8.3 Modeling of intestinal absorption.

Determination of intestinal absorption constitutes an important step in the development of compounds with an intended oral administration. Enterocytes are the main absorptive cell type of the intestinal epithelium. The most widely used models for intestinal absorption have been developed in the late 1980s and early 1990s and are comprised of a cell line-based epithelium, most commonly based on Caco-2 cells, cultured on a transwell membrane that separates two fluid compartments (Hidalgo et al., 1989; Artursson, 1990). Over the years, detailed protocols have been developed that standardize measurements and allow for reliable quantifications of permeability coefficients (Hubatsch et al., 2007). Furthermore, the system is extensively benchmarked with regards to permeability using large sets of training compounds (Cheng et al., 2008; Turco et al., 2011). The model has been gradually expanded to include HT29 cells, which can be differentiated into mucus-producing goblet-like cells. While Caco-2 monolayers show transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER), which is substantially higher than in vivo, HT-29 co-cultures exhibited more physiologically relevant values (500-1000 Ω*cm² for Caco-2 monolayers; 200 Ω*cm² for Caco-2-HT-29 co-cultures compared to 50-100 Ω*cm² for intestine in vivo) (Hilgendorf et al., 2000; Lopez-Escalera and Wellejus, 2022). Tri-culture with intestinal M cells (Raji B cells) further reduced TEER and increased paracellular absorption (Araújo and Sarmento, 2013). Overall, Caco-2 transwell cultures based on human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell lines provide a well-established system that allows for accurate identification of the extent of in vivo absorption for compounds that permeate the intestinal epithelium via transcellular mechanisms (typically hydrophobic and lowly ionized compounds). Coculture with HT-29 and Raji B further increases extends the utility of the model to predict paracellular permeability (mostly hydrophilic molecules) and transcytosis (macromolecules).

Intestinal organoids can be differentiated from individual stem cells and form hollow structures that self-organize into villi and crypt-like domains containing the entire cellular complexity of the intestinal epithelium, including enterocytes, Goblet cells, Paneth cells, enteroendocrine cells and a self-renewing stem cell compartment (Almeqdadi et al., 2019). They have been used with great success for intestinal development and modeling of gastrointestinal disease; however, their utilization for absorption studies has been limited, particularly because the organoid lumen is not directly accessible, complicating TEER measurements and quantifications of epithelial transport. To combine the cellular complexity of organoids with the accessibility of transwell cultures, organoids can be dissociated and used as the cellular substrate for monolayer cultures (Wang et al., 2017; Kasendra et al., 2018; Workman et al., 2018). These cultures retain their cellular composition and constitute a
physiologically relevant model for intestinal studies. However, whether they are more accurate in the prediction of intestinal absorption than the simpler cell line-derived transwell models remains to be determined.

### 8.4 Perfused and integrated tissue models

Over the past years, there have been major developments to emulate more and more complex phenotypes and functions using *in vitro* systems. One of the areas with the most active development is microfluidics in which 2D or 3D cell culture models are subject to controlled perfusion. This approach can further increase physiological relevance by adding relevant shear forces, which are particularly important for epithelial and endothelial cells, and by facilitating tight control of the supply and replenishment of fresh nutrients and media components. For the liver, a multitude of diverse setups with a wide range of complexities have been presented, which are excellently reviewed elsewhere (Dalsbecker *et al.*, 2022). Liver cells in these models retain physiological phenotypes and functions to a comparable extent as in 3D systems; however, despite some promising results, the added value of the drastic increase in complexity remains controversial (Rubiano *et al.*, 2020; Ewart *et al.*, 2022). For intestinal models, which constitute “natural” epithelia, perfusion provides polarized flow, which, when combined with cyclic stretching of the membrane on which cells are cultured, can result in villi formation, drastically improved phenotypes and physiologically relevant TEER (Kim *et al.*, 2012; Schweinlin *et al.*, 2016; Nikolaev *et al.*, 2020).

Integration of different tissue models constitutes an important frontier of contemporary tissue engineering. Specifically, current trends go towards the development of individual tissue modules that comprise the cellular complexity of the respective organ, which can then in a plug-and-play-like fashion be combined. A multitude of such constellations have already been presented including liver-intestine (Tsamandouras *et al.*, 2017), liver-pancreas (Bauer *et al.*, 2017; Shafagh *et al.*, 2022), liver-heart (Zhang *et al.*, 2017; Oleaga *et al.*, 2018; Yin *et al.*, 2021), liver-kidney (Li *et al.*, 2018) and liver-lung (Bovard *et al.*, 2018; Schimek *et al.*, 2020). Important consideration for integration of such modules is that the individual tissue models are compatible with a single medium composition. This is particularly complicated for the integration of organoid cultures since these often rely on complex and highly tissue-specific media formulations. In contrast, primary cells and cell lines can typically be cultured in basal media without complex additives.

Bioengineering of tissues with importance for drug metabolism, disposition and toxicity continues to receive considerable interest. This has resulted in tremendous developments that have given rise to a highly heterogeneous landscape of cell models and culture systems. We argue that it is time to
consolidate these activities and emphasize the importance of careful multi-center benchmarking of available systems in order to develop standardized setups and approaches that provide robust, reproducible and reliable results along time and between study sites. Only then will it be possible to fully leverage the power of these models and provide added value for pharmacological and toxicological applications in drug discovery and development.

Conclusions

The fields of pharmacogenomics and in vitro systems for prediction of drug metabolism and toxicity are experiencing rapid development and their utilization in drug development is summarized in Figure 3. However, several complicating factors need consideration. In pharmacogenomics, challenges arise from incorporating patient populations without disease or subtype stratification, overlooking environmental and pathophysiological factors (such as liver and kidney pathology), neglecting drug-drug interactions, and insufficiently accounting for placebo effects in clinical trials. Additionally, there’s a significant challenge of missing heritability, with up to 50% of the heritable variation in drug pharmacokinetics having an unknown genetic basis. For future progress, it is advisable to concentrate clinical investigations on well-defined large cohorts and to focus on specific drug-gene pairs. Chiseling away part of the missing heritability can be achieved through the novel ultrarapid methods for genome sequencing combined with improved AI-based algorithms for interpretation of variations in open reading frames and in regulatory regions of genes importance for alterations in drug metabolism and toxicity.

Concerning in vitro systems, many efforts are still directed at models lacking relevant phenotypes, leading to ambiguous data that is challenging to extrapolate into the in vivo situation. Efforts to improve the fidelity of in vitro models are crucial for advancing the ability for predicting drug action and toxicity. Emphasis should be placed on further developing new human 3D, high-throughput screening (HTS) compatible models that reliably mimic in vivo properties of different tissues, both individually and in combination.

Funding

The authors’ laboratories receive funding from the Swedish Research Council [grant numbers 2021-02732, 2018-05766, 2019-01837 and 2021-02801], the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation [Grant VC-2021-0026], Robert Bosch Foundation, Stuttgart, Germany, European Research Council (ERC-POC)—grant agreement Project SPHERO-NASH – 101123215, and by the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program PSY-PGx under grant agreement No 94515
**Authorship contributions:** Both authors have contributed equally to the writing of the manuscript.

**Data Availability Statement**

This review article contains no datasets generated or analyzed during the current study.
References


Table 1: Main differences between spheroid and organoid culture methods for ADME relevant tissues. HTS = high throughput screening.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Organoids</th>
<th>Spheroids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Starting material</strong></td>
<td>Stem cells</td>
<td>Fully differentiated cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestine</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scaffold</strong></td>
<td>Mostly yes</td>
<td>Mostly no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Media</strong></td>
<td>Complex, often including serum, growth factors and small molecules</td>
<td>Often basal and chemically defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time required for generation of cultures</strong></td>
<td>Multiple weeks</td>
<td>3-7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stability in cultures</strong></td>
<td>Days to few weeks</td>
<td>Weeks to months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main advantages</strong></td>
<td>- Useful to reconstruct complex architecture</td>
<td>- Use of fully differentiated, mature cells with high phenotypic relevance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Possible to generate isogenic organoids for different tissues</td>
<td>- Spheroids are highly homogeneous facilitating HTS applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Possible to generate stem cell lines from patients with rare genotypes of interest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main disadvantages</strong></td>
<td>- High heterogeneity between organoids</td>
<td>- Primary mature cells are difficult to obtain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Protocols require complex differentiation regimens and culture medium compositions</td>
<td>- Material is finite and cannot be expanded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legends to figures.

**Figure 1.** Pivotal role of CYP2C19 in both fetal brain development and in adult human liver metabolism of clinically significant drugs influenced by CYP2C19 gene polymorphism. A. CYP2C19 expression in brain (mice) and livers (human). (CYP2C19 is expressed during fetal brain development but becomes silenced after birth. By contrast, the hepatic expression starts after birth and is maximal at 10 years of age. Data from Koukouritaki et al., 2004 and Persson et al (2014). B. CYP2C19 expressed in liver is responsible for the metabolism of several clinically important drugs. Lack of the enzyme (PM phenotype) causes higher plasma concentrations of the drugs metabolised by CYP2C19 with exception for clopidogrel, which is a pro-drug. Opposite effects are seen in subjects carrying the UM phenotype. Mice with overexpressed CYP2C19 during fetal life exhibit in adult life shrinkage of the cerebellum and hippocampus, making them more susceptible to depression and anxiety. Similar phenotypes are observed in humans who are rapid metabolizers (RMs) for CYP2C19, respectively. (Persson et al., 2014, Jukic et al., 2017, Milosavljevic et al., 2023, Stingl et al., 2019). (Figure made by Biorender.com)

**Figure 2.** Overview of clinically important drug-gene pairs in pharmacogenomics. (Figure made by Biorender.com)

**Figure 3.** In drug development, the integration of in vitro cell systems and pharmacogenomics has become instrumental. Candidate drugs can in in vitro systems undergo thorough screening processes to assess factors such as toxicity, clearance, and drug-drug interactions, including potential enzyme induction and identification of enzymes responsible for metabolism. This can effectively be done by siRNA silencing of different enzymes in the 3D system used. Building upon these results, a compound is carefully chosen for clinical trials. If this compound is recognized as a substrate for polymorphic enzyme(s), the clinical trial design may incorporate stratification based on the genetics of the trial participant. (Figure made by Biorender.com)
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